

---

---

**Prosthetics — Testing of ankle-foot  
devices and foot units — Guidance on the  
application of the test loading conditions  
of ISO 22675 and on the design of  
appropriate test equipment**

*Prothèses — Essais de mécanismes cheville-pied et unités de pied —  
Directives d'application des conditions de force d'essai selon  
l'ISO 22675 et de la conception d'équipement d'essai approprié*

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW  
(standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/TR 22676:2006](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f7c2e16b-359b-4473-b277-d3d01f979fb6/iso-tr-22676-2006)

[https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f7c2e16b-359b-4473-b277-  
d3d01f979fb6/iso-tr-22676-2006](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f7c2e16b-359b-4473-b277-d3d01f979fb6/iso-tr-22676-2006)



**PDF disclaimer**

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

[ISO/TR 22676:2006](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f7c2e16b-359b-4473-b277-d3d01f979fb6/iso-tr-22676-2006>

© ISO 2006

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20  
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11  
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47  
E-mail [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Web [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

# Contents

Page

Foreword.....	vi
Introduction .....	vii
<b>1 Scope .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Guidance on the specification of the test loading conditions of ISO 22675 .....</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1 General.....	1
2.2 Directions of static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading .....	1
2.2.1 Basic relationships and conditions .....	1
2.2.2 Lines of action of the resultant reference forces $F_{R1}$ and $F_{R2}$ .....	2
2.2.3 Position of the top load application point $P_T$ .....	3
2.3 Magnitudes of static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading .....	6
2.4 Reference test loading conditions of static and cyclic tests .....	7
2.4.1 Static tests.....	7
2.4.2 Cyclic test .....	8
<b>3 Guidance on the design of appropriate test equipment for the application of ISO 22675.....</b>	<b>20</b>
3.1 Background statement.....	20
3.2 Basic design for test equipment.....	20
3.3 Design variants for load application.....	24
3.3.1 General.....	24
3.3.2 Design variant A.....	24
3.3.3 Design variant B.....	24
3.3.4 Main differences between design variants A and B.....	25
3.4 Examples of crank gear designs.....	25
3.4.1 General.....	25
3.4.2 Asymmetrical (60:40) crank gear .....	25
3.4.3 Symmetrical (50:50) crank gear.....	26
3.5 Effect of deviations of the tilting angle $\gamma(t)$ from the specified profile (curve), addressed in 3.4, on the test loading conditions of ISO 22675.....	30
3.6 Effect of the position of the tilting axis TA of the foot platform on the elevation $E$ and the A-P displacement $\Delta_f$ of the test sample at the foot.....	35
3.6.1 General.....	35
3.6.2 Position of the tilting axis TA of the foot platform .....	36
3.6.3 Values of elevation $E$ .....	36
3.6.4 Values of A-P displacement $\Delta_f$ .....	37
3.6.5 Conclusions .....	39
3.7 Effect of the elevation $E$ and A-P displacement $\Delta_f$ of the test sample, caused by the tilting of the foot platform, on the test loading conditions of ISO 22675.....	43
3.8 Transposition of the top load application point $P_T$ for compensation of the dependence of the position of the tilting axis TA of the foot platform on the foot length $L$ .....	49
3.8.1 General.....	49
3.8.2 Possibilities of transposing the top load application point $P_T$ .....	49
3.8.3 Practicality .....	50
3.9 Effect of the position of the tilting axis TA of the foot platform on the tilting moment and the driving torque .....	53
3.10 Alternative design of foot platform .....	58
<b>Annex A (informative) Information on ISO 22675.....</b>	<b>61</b>
<b>Bibliography .....</b>	<b>62</b>

Figure 1 — Illustration of different components of loading ..... 10

Figure 2 — Profiles (curves) of force components and tilting angle for test loading level P5, based on gait analysis data representative of normal level walking..... 11

Figure 3 — Profiles (curves) of force components and angles for test loading level P5, establishing the basis from which to specify the test loading conditions of ISO 22675..... 12

Figure 4 — Illustration of different test loading conditions for test loading level P5..... 13

Figure 5 — Illustration of different test loading conditions for test loading levels P5, P4 and P3..... 15

Figure 6 — Illustration of the dependence of the position of the top load application point  $P_T$  on the foot length  $L$  (see 2.2.3)..... 17

Figure 7 — Illustration of the progression of the line of action of the resultant force  $F_R$  from heel contact to toe-off in 30 ms time increments for related values of angle  $\alpha$  shown in Figure 3 ..... 19

Figure 8 — Diagrammatic view of test equipment with test sample..... 22

Figure 9 — Parameters of a crank gear capable of driving the foot platform of the test equipment to generate the profile (curve)  $\chi(t)$  ..... 23

Figure 10 — Asymmetrical (60:40) crank gear according to 3.4.2 — Tilting range – 20° (heel contact) to + 40° (toe-off)..... 27

Figure 11 — Symmetrical (50:50) crank gear according to 3.4.3 — Tilting range — 20° (heel contact) via + 40° (toe-off) to + 50° ..... 28

Figure 12 — Tilting characteristics of asymmetrical (60:40) crank gear according to 3.4.2 and Figure 10 and symmetrical (50:50) crank gear according to 3.4.3 and Figure 11 ..... 29

Figure 13 — Profiles (curves) of angles  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  as specified and as produced by crank gear 60:40..... 31

Figure 14 — Illustration of angular deviations produced by crank gear 60:40 ..... 32

Figure 15 — Profiles (curves) of force components  $F_P$  and  $F_T$ , as specified and as produced by crank gear 60:40..... 33

Figure 16 — Illustration of force deviations produced by crank gear 60:40..... 34

Figure 17 — Illustration of distortion of time base of test force  $F$  produced by crank gear 60:40..... 35

Figure 18 — Effect of  $f$ -position of tilting axis TA of foot platform on the elevation  $E$  of the foot at the instants of heel contact and toe-off..... 40

Figure 19 — Effect of  $u$ -position of tilting axis TA of foot platform on the A/P displacement  $\Delta f$  of the foot at the instant of toe-off..... 41

Figure 20 — Values of elevation  $E$  and A-P displacement  $\Delta f$  at specific positions of tilting axis TA..... 42

Figure 21 — Illustration of the effect of A-P displacement  $\Delta f$  on the angular movement  $\Delta\phi$  of the test sample about the "internal" top load application point  $P_T$  in an arrangement according to 3.3.2..... 46

Figure 22 — Illustration of the effect of A-P displacement  $\Delta f$  on the angular movement  $\Delta\phi$  of the test sample about the "external" top load application point  $P_{TE}$  in an arrangement according to 3.3.3..... 47

Figure 23 — Illustration of possibilities of transposing the top load application point  $P_T$  for compensating the dependence of the position of the tilting axis TA of the foot platform on the foot length  $L$  ..... 51

Figure 24 — Illustration of the effect of a fixed compromise offset  $u_{TA, C}$  of the tilting axis TA of the foot platform on the A-P displacement  $\Delta f$  at the foot for different foot lengths  $L$  [see 3.8.2 c) 2)] ..... 52

Figure 25 — Illustration of effective lever arms.....	55
Figure 26 — Force transmission by asymmetrical (60:40) crank gear drive according to 3.4.2 and Figure 10.....	56
Figure 27 — Force transmission by symmetrical (50:50) crank gear drive according to 3.4.3 and Figure 11.....	57
Figure 28 — Tilting characteristic of foot platform of polycentric (four-bar-linkage) design.....	59
Figure 29 — Horizontal displacement of instantaneous centre IC of foot platform of polycentric (four-bar-linkage) design.....	60
Table 1 — Magnitudes of resultant reference forces $F_{R1x}$ and $F_{R2x}$ .....	7
Table 2 — Coordinates $f_{TA}$ and $u_{TA}$ of the tilting axis TA of the foot platform and related values of elevation $E$ and A-P displacement $\Delta f$ for foot length $L = 30$ cm.....	43
Table 3 — Specific values demonstrating the effect of A-P displacement $\Delta f$ on the angular movement $\Delta\varphi$ of the test sample about the top load application point $P_T$ for foot length $L = 30$ cm.....	48
Table 4 — Possibilities of transposing the top load application point $P_T$ for compensating the dependence of the position of the tilting axis TA of the foot platform on the foot length $L$ .....	53
Table 5 — Moments at tilting axis TA and crankshaft CS, generated by test force $F(t)$ at test loading level P5, applied to test sample of foot length $L = 30$ cm.....	58
Table A.1 — Excerpt from contents of Annexes A and E of ISO 22675:2006 and list of corresponding clause/s of this Technical Report, in which selected items are dealt with.....	61

ISO/TR 22676:2006

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f7c2e16b-359b-4473-b277-d3d01f979fb6/iso-tr-22676-2006>

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

In exceptional circumstances, when a technical committee has collected data of a different kind from that which is normally published as an International Standard ("state of the art", for example), it may decide by a simple majority vote of its participating members to publish a Technical Report. A Technical Report is entirely informative in nature and does not have to be reviewed until the data it provides are considered to be no longer valid or useful.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/TR 22676 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 168, *Prosthetics and orthotics*.

[ISO/TR 22676:2006](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f7c2e16b-359b-4473-b277-d3d01f979fb6/iso-tr-22676-2006>

## Introduction

This Technical Report is exclusively intended for use in connection with ISO 22675.

This Technical Report offers information that is closely related to the above International Standard but is not necessarily required for its application.

In order to confine the volume of ISO 22675 to the necessary, information with guidance character has been separated from it and compiled in this Technical Report.

## iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/TR 22676:2006](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f7c2e16b-359b-4473-b277-d3d01f979fb6/iso-tr-22676-2006)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f7c2e16b-359b-4473-b277-d3d01f979fb6/iso-tr-22676-2006>

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

[ISO/TR 22676:2006](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f7c2e16b-359b-4473-b277-d3d01f979fb6/iso-tr-22676-2006>



# Prosthetics — Testing of ankle-foot devices and foot units — Guidance on the application of the test loading conditions of ISO 22675 and on the design of appropriate test equipment

## 1 Scope

This Technical Report offers guidance on:

- a) the specification of the test loading conditions of ISO 22675;
- b) the design of appropriate test equipment.

The analytical work related to these items would have expanded the length of ISO 22675 without being directly required for its application. Most of the text of this Technical Report relates to the theoretical and technical background and the design of the equipment.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

## 2 Guidance on the specification of the test loading conditions of ISO 22675

### 2.1 General

ISO/TR 22676:2006

Although the concept of the tests on ankle-foot devices and foot units of ISO 22675 differs from that of the corresponding tests of ISO 10328, the relevant values of loads and dimensions are adopted where possible. Nevertheless, a few adaptations are unavoidable.

In order to confine the volume of ISO 22675 to the necessary, these and other matters relevant to the specification of the test loading conditions and test loading levels of ISO 22675 are dealt with in detail in this Technical Report.

### 2.2 Directions of static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading

NOTE For the meaning of “reference” see also statements under “IMPORTANT” at the end of 2.4.1 and 2.4.2.

#### 2.2.1 Basic relationships and conditions

The specification of the directions of static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading is based on the relationships of a) and the conditions of b) and c) below.

- a) According to Figure 1, for any instant of the loading period shown in Figure 2 there is a given relationship between the test force  $F$  and the forces at the foot platform, comprising the tangential (A-P) force component  $F_T$ , the perpendicular force component  $F_P$  and their resultant  $F_R$ . This relationship is determined by the angles  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ .

The following Equations apply:

$$\alpha + \beta = \gamma \quad (1)$$

$$\beta = \arctan (F_T/F_P) \quad (2)$$

- b) The values of the tilting angles  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  of the foot platform for static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading are consistent with those specified in ISO 10328 for the separate structural tests on ankle-foot devices and foot units. These values are  $\gamma_1 = -15^\circ$  for heel loading and  $\gamma_2 = 20^\circ$  for forefoot loading (see Table 10, Figure 7 and 17.2 of ISO 10328:2006 and Table 8 of ISO 22675:2006).
- c) The ratio  $F_T/F_P$  of the values of the tangential and perpendicular force components at the foot platform according to Figures 1 and 2 for static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading at the tilting angles according to b) is roughly  $\pm 0,15$ .

NOTE The ratio addressed in c) is based on gait analysis data representative of normal level walking.

**2.2.2 Lines of action of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$**

The relationships of 2.2.1 a) and the conditions of 2.2.1 b) and c) allow the inclination of the lines of action of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$  of static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading to be specified as follows:

- from Equation (2) and the condition according to 2.2.1 c)  $\beta = \arctan (F_T/F_P) = \arctan (\pm 0,15) = \pm 8,5^\circ$ ;
- from Equation (1) and the conditions according to 2.2.1 b)  $\alpha_1 = \gamma_1 - \beta_1 = -15^\circ + 8,5^\circ = -6,5^\circ$  and  $\alpha_2 = \gamma_2 - \beta_2 = 20^\circ - 8,5^\circ = 11,5^\circ$ .

The inclinations of the load lines of test loading conditions I and II of the principal structural tests of ISO 10328 do not correspond to these values, as the following calculation demonstrates. The inclination of their projection on the  $f$ - $u$ -plane is defined by Equation (3).

$$\alpha_{I, II} = -\arctan [(f_K - f_A)/(u_K - u_A)] \tag{3}$$

The specific values of  $\alpha_{I, II}$  calculated with the  $f$  and  $u$ -coordinates specified for test loading level P5 (see Tables 5 and 6 of ISO 10328:2006) are  $\alpha_I = -11,31^\circ$  and  $\alpha_{II} = 6,52^\circ$ . Together with the values  $\beta_I = -3,69^\circ$  and  $\beta_{II} = 13,48^\circ$  calculated using Equation (1) and the values of  $\gamma$  according to 2.2.1 b) they determine the ratio of horizontal and vertical ground reaction force as

$$(F_T/F_P)_{I, II} = \tan \beta_{I, II} \tag{4}$$

giving the values  $(F_T/F_P)_I = -0,064$  and  $(F_T/F_P)_{II} = 0,24$ , which differ considerably from the ratio according to 2.2.1 c).

In order to approach the conditions illustrated in Figures 1 and 2, the inclination of the lines of action of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$  of static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading according to ISO 22675 need to be determined by values of the angles  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  close to those calculated in the above.

This has been taken into account when establishing the full set of parameters required to specify the test loading conditions of the tests on ankle-foot devices and foot units of ISO 22675.

Figure 3 illustrates the profiles (curves) of the forces  $F_P$ ,  $F_T$ ,  $F_R$  and  $F$  as well as the profiles (curves) of the angles  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  as a function of stance phase time.

Apparently, the values of the angles  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  for static heel reference loading or maximum cyclic heel reference loading at 150 ms after heel contact ( $\alpha_1 = -6,18^\circ$ ;  $\beta_1 = -8,82^\circ$ ) and for static forefoot reference loading or maximum cyclic forefoot reference loading at 450 ms after heel contact ( $\alpha_2 = 11,14^\circ$ ;  $\beta_2 = 8,86^\circ$ ) are close to the values of the angles  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  and  $\beta$  calculated in the above ( $\alpha_1 = -6,5^\circ$ ;  $\alpha_2 = 11,5^\circ$  and  $\beta = \pm 8,5^\circ$ ).

Based on these values, the directions of static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading according to ISO 22675 can be specified in part as follows:

- The direction of static and maximum cyclic heel reference loading is defined as a straight line inclined to the  $u$ -axis by  $\alpha_1 = -6,18^\circ$ .
- The direction of static and maximum cyclic forefoot reference loading is defined as a straight line inclined to the  $u$ -axis by  $\alpha_2 = 11,14^\circ$ .

NOTE The angles  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  only determine the inclination to the  $u$ -axis of the lines of action of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$  of static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading according to ISO 22675. In order to also determine their position, further parameters need to be specified, as for example the coordinates of specific reference points, through which they pass, as follows.

The different test loading conditions applicable to or particularly developed for ankle-foot devices and foot units, specified in ISO 10328 and ISO 22675, are illustrated in Figure 4 for test loading level P5. This figure illustrates:

- 1) the test loading conditions I and II of the principal structural tests of ISO 10328 (their projection on the  $f$ - $u$ -plane);
- 2) the loading conditions of the separate structural tests on ankle-foot devices and foot units of ISO 10328;
- 3) the directions of static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading of the tests on ankle-foot devices and foot units of ISO 22675.

The directions of static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading according to ISO 22675 are specified in Cartesian coordinates as in test loading conditions I and II of the principal structural tests of ISO 10328.

ISO/TR 22676:2006

For consistency at test loading level P5, the lines of action of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$  of static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading according to ISO 22675 have the same  $f_A$ -offsets as in test loading conditions I and II of ISO 10328 (see Figure 4).

With the values of the  $f_A$ -offsets at test loading level P5 specified in Table 7 of ISO 10328:2006 the above requirement allows the complete specification of the directions of static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading according to ISO 22675 at test loading level P5 as follows:

- the direction of static and maximum cyclic heel reference loading at test loading level P5 is defined as a straight line which passes the ankle level at  $f_{A1} = f_{AI} = -32$  mm and is inclined to the  $u$ -axis by  $\alpha_1 = -6,18^\circ$ ;
- the direction of static and maximum cyclic forefoot reference loading at test loading level P5 is defined as a straight line which passes the ankle level at  $f_{A2} = f_{AII} = 120$  mm and is inclined to the  $u$ -axis by  $\alpha_2 = 11,14^\circ$ .

### 2.2.3 Position of the top load application point $P_T$

NOTE 1 The following is in accordance with Clause 6 and Figure 1 of ISO 22675:2006.

For the tests on ankle-foot devices and foot units of ISO 22675, the top load application point  $P_T$  is the point of intersection  $P_i$  of the lines of action of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$  of static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading specified in 2.2.2.

The coordinates  $f_T$  and  $u_T$  of the top load application point  $P_T$  are calculated by determining at first the functions  $u_1(f)$  and  $u_2(f)$  of these lines of action from Equation (5)

$$u(f) = f \times \tan(90 - \alpha) + u_0 \tag{5}$$

and then determining their point of intersection  $P_i$  by putting  $u_1(f) = u_2(f)$ .

This method provides the following results:

- the functions of the lines of action of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$  are  $u_1(f)_{P5} = 9,24 \times f + 375,53$  and  $u_2(f)_{P5} = -5,08 \times f + 689,39$ ;
- their point of intersection is located at  $P_{i, P5}$  ( $f_{i, P5} = 22$ ;  $u_{i, P5} = 578$ ).

The method for determining the functions  $u_1(f)$  and  $u_2(f)$  of the lines of action of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$  and their point of intersection  $P_i$  relates to test loading level P5. To apply this method to test loading levels P4 and P3, adaptations concerning the specific  $f_A$ -offsets are necessary, as described in the following.

According to 10.1.2.1 of ISO 10328:2006, “For the principal structural tests on samples of prosthetic structures including an ankle-foot device or a foot unit [...], the size of the foot selected shall allow the application of load in accordance with the combined bottom offset  $S_B$  specified for the test...”

NOTE 2 The combined bottom offset  $S_{BII}$  determines the distance from the  $u$ -axis of the bottom load application point  $P_{BII}$  on the forefoot.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

The selection of the correct size of foot (providing the correct distance) from the  $u$ -axis of the bottom load application point  $P_{BII}$  on the forefoot determines also the correct distance from the  $u$ -axis of the bottom load application point  $P_{BI}$  on the heel.

ISO/TR 22676:2006

Assuming standard proportions for different sizes of feet, the values of  $S_{BII}$  and  $S_{BI}$  should show a similar scaling. According to the dimensions specified in Table 8 of ISO 10328:2006, this is, however, not the case. While the values of  $S_{BII}$  decrease from test loading level P5 to test loading level P3, as to be expected, the corresponding values of  $S_{BI}$  have the opposite trend. (Hence, for test loading levels P4 and P3, the bottom load application point  $P_{BI}$  of test loading condition I is likely to be located outside the heel portion of an ankle-foot device or foot unit of the size that provides the correct combined bottom offset  $S_{BII}$  of the load application point  $P_{BII}$  on the forefoot.)

The same applies, in principle, to the values of the offsets  $f_{BII}$ ;  $f_{BI}$  and  $f_{AII}$ ;  $f_{AI}$ .

For the determination of the reference test loading conditions for static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading according to ISO 22675 adapted values of  $f_A$ - and  $f_B$ -offsets, identified by suffixes “1” and “2”, can be established by the following conditions, which take account of the configurations described in 2.2.2 and illustrated in Figure 4.

NOTE 3 The offsets  $f_{AI}$  and  $f_{AII}$  of test loading level P5 and the offset  $f_{AII}$  of test loading levels P4/P3 of ISO 10328:2006 have been adopted as  $f_{A1}$  and  $f_{A2}$  of P5 and  $f_{A2}$  of P4/P3 without adaptation of their values.

$$(f_{A, P5} - f_{i, P5}) / (u_{i, P5} - u_{A, P5}) = (f_{B, P5} - f_{i, P5}) / (u_{i, P5} - u_{B, P5}) \tag{6}$$

$$f_{A1, P5} / f_{A2, P5} = f_{A1, P4/P3} / f_{A2, P4/P3} \tag{7}$$

$$f_{B1, P5} / f_{B2, P5} = f_{B1, P4/P3} / f_{B2, P4/P3} \tag{8}$$

$$(f_{B2, P5} - f_{B1, P5}) / (f_{A2, P5} - f_{A1, P5}) = (f_{B2, P4/P3} - f_{B1, P4/P3}) / (f_{A2, P4/P3} - f_{A1, P4/P3}) \tag{9}$$

using Equation (6)  $f_{B1, P5} = (-32 - 22)/(578 - 80) \times (578 - 0) + 22 = -41$  and

$$f_{B2, P5} = (120 - 22)/(578 - 80) \times (578 - 0) + 22 = 136.$$

using Equation (7)  $f_{A1, P4/P3} = -32/120 \times 115 = -31.$

using Equation (8)  $f_{B1, P4/P3}/f_{B2, P4/P3} = -41/136 = -0,3$  or

$$f_{B1, P4/P3} = -0,3 \times f_{B2, P4/P3}.$$

using Equation (9)  $f_{B2, P4/P3} - f_{B1, P4/P3} = (136 + 41)/(120 + 32) \times (115 + 31) = 170$  or

$$f_{B2, P4/P3} + 0,3 \times f_{B2, P4/P3} = 1,3 \times f_{B2, P4/P3} = 170, \text{ giving}$$

$$f_{B2, P4/P3} = 170/1,3 = 131 \text{ and}$$

$$f_{B1, P4/P3} = -0,3 \times f_{B2, P4/P3} = 39.$$

Since it is desired that for static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading the ratio  $F_T:F_P$  of the values of the tangential and perpendicular force components (see 2.2.1) is the same for all test loading levels, the inclinations of the lines of action of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$ , determined by the angles  $\alpha_1 = -6,18^\circ$  and  $\alpha_2 = 11,14^\circ$  (see 2.2.2) also need to be the same for all test loading levels.

The point of intersection  $P_{i, P4/P3}$  of the lines of action of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$  for the specific  $f_A$ -offsets related to test loading levels P4 and P3 illustrated in Figure 5 in the style applied to Figure 4 can, therefore, be calculated in the manner described in the above for test loading level P5, using the functions determined by application of Equation (5), modified by a coordinate transformation that regards parallel shifting determined by the differences

$$(f_{A1, P5} - f_{A1, P4/P3}) \text{ for } u_1(f) \text{ and } (f_{A2, P5} - f_{A2, P4/P3}) \text{ for } u_2(f).$$

The resulting coordinates of the point of intersection  $P_{i, P4/P3}$  are

$$P_{i, P4/P3}(f_{i, P4/P3} = 21; u_{i, P4/P3} = 554).$$

The different positions of the point of intersection  $P_i$  of the lines of action of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$  determined in the above are dependent on the size of foot determined by the foot length  $L$  rather than on the test loading level. This can be shown as follows.

Assuming again, standard proportions for different sizes of feet, the values of  $f_{A2}$ ,  $f_{A1}$  or  $(f_{A2} + f_{A1})$  can be expected to show a scaling that is proportional to the size of foot.

Indeed, the scaling of the  $f$ - and  $u$ -coordinates of  $P_{i, P5}$  by the quotient  $f_{A2, P4/P3}/f_{A2, P5} = 115/120$  gives exactly the same position of  $P_{i, P4/P3}$  as calculated in the above.

For test loading level P5 test loading condition II the most appropriate size of foot meeting the condition of 10.1.2.1 of ISO 10328:2006 quoted in the above is size 26 (foot length  $L = 26$  cm).

Consequently, the most appropriate size of foot meeting this condition for test loading levels P4 and P3 shall be size 26 scaled by either of the quotients

$$(f_{A2, P4/P3} - f_{A1, P4/P3})/(f_{A2, P5} - f_{A1, P5}) = (115 + 31)/(120 + 32) \text{ or}$$

$$(f_{B2, P4/P3} - f_{B1, P4/P3})/(f_{B2, P5} - f_{B1, P5}) = (131 + 39)/(136 + 41),$$

which give identical values (0,96) indicating size 25 (foot length  $L = 25$  cm).

In this relation it is important to realize that straight lines drawn from the points of intersection  $P_{i, P5}$  or  $P_{i, P4/P3}$  to the points on the  $f$ -axis at  $f_{B1, P5}$  and  $f_{B2, P5}$  or  $f_{B1, P4/P3}$  and  $f_{B2, P4/P3}$  determine reference triangles of identical proportions (see Figure 5).

Since the ratio of  $f$ -offsets/foot length  $L$  is identical for both sizes of foot, triangles determined by straight lines drawn from the points of intersection  $P_{i, P5}$  or  $P_{i, P4/P3}$  to the points on the  $f$ -axis determined by the posterior heel edge and the point of foot of the reference feet of sizes 26 (foot length  $L = 26$  cm) and 25 (foot length  $L = 25$  cm) will also have identical proportions (see Figure 6).

The dependence of the position of the point of intersection  $P_i$  of the lines of action of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$  on the foot length  $L$  described in the above has been established in the concept of the tests of ISO 22675 in the following manner.

- The point of intersection  $P_i$  of the lines of action of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$  of static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading is referred to as top load application point  $P_T$ . If appropriate, the dependence of the position of the top load application point  $P_T(f_T, u_T)$  on the foot length  $L$  is indicated by the additional suffix 'L' in the form  $P_{T, L}(f_{T, L}, u_{T, L})$ . If appropriate, general suffix 'L' is replaced by specific values.
- The  $f$ - and  $u$ -coordinates determining the position of the top load application point  $P_T$  are specified in Table 8 of ISO 22675:2006 for a wide range of foot lengths  $L$ . In addition, that table includes the Equations that determine these coordinates for any other foot length.
- As is illustrated in Figure 6, the proportion of the reference triangle described in the above uniformly applies to all sizes of foot, independent of the test loading level. In principle, this allows ankle-foot devices and foot units of any size of foot to be tested at any of the test loading levels specified.

For feet of different lengths  $L$ , positioned within the coordinate system as illustrated in Figure 6, the related top load application points  $P_{T, L}$  are located on a straight line directed to the origin of the coordinate system. The distance  $D_{PT}$  between load application points  $P_{T, L}$  relating to two successive values of foot length  $L$  has a fixed value determined by the Equation

$$D_{PT} = \frac{\sqrt{(f_{T,26}^2 + u_{T,26}^2)}}{26} \tag{10}$$

which gives a value of  $D_{PT} = 22,2$ .

### 2.3 Magnitudes of static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading

The specification of the magnitudes of static and maximum cyclic heel and forefoot reference loading is based on the following general condition.

The specific values  $F_{R1x}$  and  $F_{R2x}$  of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$  (see Figure 1) are consistent with the corresponding values  $F_{1x}$  and  $F_{2x}$  of the test forces  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  specified in ISO 10328 for the separate tests on ankle-foot devices and foot units (see Tables 12 and D.3 of ISO 10328:2006). The specific values  $F_{R1x}$  and  $F_{R2x}$  of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$  are listed in Table 1.

The specific values  $F_{1x}$  and  $F_{2x}$  of the test forces  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  related to the specific values  $F_{R1x}$  and  $F_{R2x}$  of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$  (see Figure 1) are determined by the following Equation, derived from the relationship described in 2.2.1 a):

$$F_{1, 2} = F_{R1, R2} \times \cos \alpha_{1, 2} \tag{11}$$

The specific values  $F_{1x}$  and  $F_{2x}$  of the test forces  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  calculated using Equation (11) for  $\alpha_1 = -6,18^\circ$  and  $\alpha_2 = 11,14^\circ$  (see 2.2.2) are listed in Tables 10 and C.2 of ISO 22675:2006.

Table 1 — Magnitudes of resultant reference forces  $F_{R1x}$  and  $F_{R2x}$ 

Resultant force $F_{R1x}, F_{R2x}$	Related test forces $F_{1x}$ and $F_{2x}$ of the separate tests on ankle-foot devices and foot units specified in ISO 10328 (see Tables 12 and D.3 of ISO 10328:2006)								
	Symbol	Numerical values for heel and forefoot loading $F_{1x}$ and $F_{2x}$ at test loading level $P_y$							
		P6		P5		P4		P3	
		Heel	Forefoot	Heel	Forefoot	Heel	Forefoot	Heel	Forefoot
N									
$F_{R1sp},$ $F_{R2sp}$	$F_{1sp},$ $F_{2sp}$	2 800	—	2 240	—	2 065	—	1 610	—
$F_{R1su},$ lower level, $F_{R2su},$ lower level,	$F_{1su},$ lower level, $F_{2su},$ lower level	4 200	—	3 360	—	3 098	—	2 415	—
$F_{R1su},$ upper level, $F_{R2su},$ upper level	$F_{1su},$ upper level, $F_{2su},$ upper level	5 600	—	4 480	—	4 130	—	3 220	—
$F_{R1cmax},$ $F_{R2cmax}$	$F_{1cr},$ $F_{2cr}$	1 600	—	1 280	—	1 180	—	920	—
$F_{R1fin},$ $F_{R2fin}$	$F_{1fin},$ $F_{2fin}$	2 800	—	2 240	—	2 065	—	1 610	—

(standards.iteh.ai)

## 2.4 Reference test loading conditions of static and cyclic tests

ISO/TR 22676:2006

### 2.4.1 Static tests <https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f7c2e16b-359b-4473-b277-d3d01f979fb6/iso-tr-22676-2006>

According to the statements of 2.2 and 2.3, the reference test loading conditions for static (and maximum cyclic; see NOTE) heel and forefoot loading according to ISO 22675 are determined by the parameters listed in a) to d). (For the meaning of “reference” see IMPORTANT.)

- The position of the top load application point  $P_T$ , determined by the coordinates  $f_T$  and  $u_T$  relevant to the foot length  $L$  of the test sample (see 2.2.3); these are specified as offsets  $f_{T,L}$  and  $u_{T,L}$  in Table 8 of ISO 22675.
- The direction of the lines of action of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$ , determined by the coordinates of the top load application point  $P_T$  [see a)] and their inclinations to the  $u$ -axis, determined by the angles  $\alpha_1 = -6,18^\circ$  and  $\alpha_2 = 11,14^\circ$  (see 2.2.2).
- The magnitudes of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$ , specified in Table 1, and the related test forces  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  to be applied in the top load application point  $P_T$  [see a)] as illustrated in Figure 1, determined by Equation (11) for  $\alpha_1 = -6,18^\circ$  and  $\alpha_2 = 11,14^\circ$ . These are specified in Table 10 of ISO 22675:2006.
- The tilting angles  $\gamma_1 = -15^\circ$  and  $\gamma_2 = 20^\circ$  of the foot platform for static (and maximum cyclic; see NOTE) heel and forefoot loading. These are specified in Table 9 of ISO 22675:2006.

**IMPORTANT** — The inclinations of the lines of action of the resultant reference forces  $F_{R1}$  and  $F_{R2}$  to the  $u$ -axis addressed in b) are only relevant to the reference test loading conditions of the static (and cyclic; see NOTE) tests, since the concept of the tests of ISO 22675 allows each sample of ankle-foot device or foot unit to develop its individual performance under load corresponding to its individual design.