

SLOVENSKI STANDARD
oSIST prEN 16803-2:2019
01-april-2019

Vesolje - Uporaba sistemov globalne satelitske navigacije (GNSS) za ugotavljanje položaja pri inteligentnih transportnih sistemih (ITS) v cestnem prometu - 2. del: Ocenjevanje osnovnih tehničnih lastnosti terminalske opreme za določanje položaja, ki uporablja GNSS

Space - Use of GNSS-based positioning for road Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS) - Part 2: Assessment of basic performances of GNSS-based positioning terminals

Raumfahrt - Anwendung von GNSS-basierter Ortung für Intelligente Transportsysteme (ITS) im Straßenverkehr - Teil 2: Bestimmung der grundlegenden Leistungen von GNSS-basierten Ortungsendgeräten [SIST EN 16803-2:2020](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0762b3ef-3521-4788-acef-54fe0a07b6ec/sist-en-16803-2-2020)

Espace - Utilisation du positionnement GNSS pour les systèmes de transport routier intelligents (ITS) - Partie 2 : Evaluation des performances de base des terminaux de positionnement GNSS

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: prEN 16803-2

ICS:

03.220.20	Cestni transport	Road transport
33.060.30	Radiorelejni in fiksni satelitski komunikacijski sistemi	Radio relay and fixed satellite communications systems
35.240.60	Uporabniške rešitve IT v prometu	IT applications in transport

oSIST prEN 16803-2:2019

en,fr,de

EUROPEAN STANDARD
NORME EUROPÉENNE
EUROPÄISCHE NORM

DRAFT
prEN 16803-2

February 2019

ICS 03.220.20; 33.060.30; 35.240.60

English version

Space - Use of GNSS-based positioning for road Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS) - Part 2: Assessment of basic performances of GNSS-based positioning terminals

Espace - Utilisation du positionnement GNSS pour les systèmes de transport routier intelligents (ITS) - Partie 2 : Evaluation des performances de base des terminaux de positionnement GNSS

Raumfahrt - Anwendung von GNSS-basierter Ortung für Intelligente Transportsysteme (ITS) im Straßenverkehr - Teil 2: Bestimmung der grundlegenden Leistungen von GNSS-basierten Ortungsendgeräten

This draft European Standard is submitted to CEN members for enquiry. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5.


If this draft becomes a European Standard, CEN and CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

This draft European Standard was established by CEN and CENELEC in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN and CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation. Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Warning : This document is not a European Standard. It is distributed for review and comments. It is subject to change without notice and shall not be referred to as a European Standard.



Contents	Page
European foreword.....	6
Introduction	7
1 Scope.....	9
2 Normative references.....	9
3 Terms and definitions	10
3.1 Definitions	10
3.2 Acronyms	11
4 Overview of the whole assessment process	12
4.1 Definition of the general strategy: what kind of tests.....	12
4.1.1 Rationale	12
4.1.2 Record and Replay choice	12
4.2 Construction of the operational scenarios: how to configure the tests.....	14
4.2.1 General.....	14
4.2.2 Basic principles.....	14
4.2.3 Definition of the operational scenarios	15
4.3 Definition of the test facilities: which equipment to use	21
4.3.1 For the record phase.....	21
4.3.2 For the replay phase.....	21
4.4 Description of the record phase: how to elaborate the data sets of the test scenarios	22
4.4.1 General.....	22
4.4.2 Test plan	22
4.4.3 Test bench preparation and good functioning verification.....	22
4.4.4 Field test execution	23
4.4.5 Data control and archiving	23
4.5 Replay phase: assessing the DUT performances.....	24
5 Definition of the metrics.....	25
5.1 General considerations.....	25
5.2 Basic notation.....	25
5.3 Time interpolation procedure.....	26
5.4 Accuracy metrics	27
5.5 Availability and Continuity metrics.....	28
5.6 Integrity metrics.....	33
5.6.1 Definition of the Protection Level performance metrics.....	33
5.6.2 Definition of the Misleading Information Rate metrics	34
5.7 Timing metrics	35
5.7.1 Timestamp resolution	35
5.7.2 Nominal output latency.....	35
5.7.3 Nominal output rate.....	35
5.7.4 Output latency stability.....	35
5.7.5 Output rate stability.....	36
5.7.6 Time to first fix.....	37
6 Description of the replay phase: how to assess the DUT performances	37
6.1 General.....	37
6.2 Checking of the content of the test scenario.....	38

6.3	Setting-up of the replay test-bench	39
6.4	Validation of the data processing HW and SW by the RF test laboratory	40
6.5	Replaying of the data	40
6.6	Computation of the ACAI performances	43
6.7	Computation of the TTFE performances	43
6.8	Establishment of the final test report	47
7	Definition of the validation procedures: how to be sure of the results (checks)	47
7.1	Definition of the validation procedures	47
7.2	Pass/Fail criteria for the verification of the test procedures	48
8	Definition of the synthesis report: how to report the results of the tests	49
Annex A (informative) Homologation framework		56
A.1	The road value chain	56
A.2	Roles of the different stakeholders	56
A.3	Responsibilities of the different stakeholders	58
Annex B (informative) Detailed criteria for the testing strategy (trade-off)		59
B.1	Main criteria for testing strategy	59
B.2	Metrological quality	59
B.2.1	Reproducibility	59
B.2.2	Representativeness	60
B.2.3	Reliability	60
B.3	Cost efficiency	60
B.3.1	Cost of test benches	60
B.3.2	Cost of the test operations	61
B.4	Clarity in the sharing of responsibilities	61
B.5	Scenario-management authority	61
Annex C (informative) Record and replay testing considerations		63
C.1	General	63
C.2	Experimentation considerations	63
C.3	Equipment justification	65
C.3.1	Equipment for in-field data collection	65
C.3.2	Record & Replay Solutions	67
C.3.3	Recommended equipment	70
C.4	Presentation of a scenario: rush time in Toulouse	70
C.5	Quality of the reference trajectory	72
C.6	Availability, regularity of the of the DUT's outputs for the metrics computations	73
Annex D (informative) Perspectives on record and replay of hybridized		75
Annex E (informative) Considerations on coordinate systems, reference frames and projections		80
Bibliography		83

List of figures

Figure 1 — Generic functional architecture of a Positioning-based road ITS system.....	7
Figure 2 — The “Record and Replay” principle.....	13
Figure 3 — Methodology to build the combinatory of operational scenarios.....	17
Figure 4 — Segmentation of trips.....	18
Figure 5 — Composition of test scenario with UTS.....	20
Figure 6 — Example of UTS-A composition.....	20
Figure 7 — Illustration of the percentiles triplet for Horizontal Position Accuracy.....	28
Figure 8 — Decomposition into time intervals of length T for availability and continuity computation.....	30
Figure 9 — Examples of computation of a_i coefficients.....	31
Figure 10 — Examples of computation of c_i coefficients.....	32
Figure 11 — Test bench for conducted replays.....	39
Figure 12 — Test bench for radiated replays.....	39
Figure 13 — Several replays for conducted test.....	41
Figure 14 — UEDS sorted by environment.....	42
Figure 15 — Concatenations of UEDS according to environment.....	42
Figure 16 — Minimal pattern.....	44
Figure 17 — Example of a single pattern for mid-term TTF assessment.....	45
Figure 18 — Example of a complete TTF assessment (30 trials).....	45
Figure 19 — Ancillary data delivered by Lab-A to a generic test laboratory (Lab-B).....	47
Figure 20 — Verification of the test procedures in two steps.....	48
Figure A.1 — Test bench for radiated replays.....	56
Figure C.1 — Global view of the scenario (rush time in Toulouse — Ring road).....	63
Figure C.2 — CDFs corresponding to horizontal position accuracy.....	64
Figure C.3 — Averaged CDF.....	64
Figure C.4 — Reference Trajectory Measurement System.....	65
Figure C.5 — Followed path for the data collection (left: whole trajectory, right: zoom on some areas).....	66
Figure C.6 — Reference trajectory- estimated standard deviation (left: 1st run, right: second run).....	66
Figure C.7 — Reference position estimated standard deviation — Open sky area.....	67
Figure C.8 — Reference position estimated standard deviation — Static point.....	67
Figure C.9 — C/N0 obtained during record phase.....	68
Figure C.10 — C/N0 obtained during replay phase.....	68
Figure C.11 — Global view of the scenario (rush time in Toulouse — Ring road).....	71

Figure C.12 — Few zoom on reduced velocity and voluntary static point under trees	72
Figure C.13 — Cinematic of the trajectory (horizontal speed - km/h, acceleration m/s ² , track on ground)	72
Figure C.14 — Respect of road lanes by the reference trajectory	73
Figure C.15 — Holes in output of high end GNSS receiver.....	74
Figure C.16 — X-ECEF of DUT trajectory (green) and reference trajectory (black) of well synchronized trajectories.....	74
Figure D.1 — Second breakdown level for hybridized GBPT testing issues.....	75
Figure D.2 — Replay test principles proposed for hybridized DUT	76

List of tables

Table 1 — Proposal for a list of unitary test scenarios	19
Table 2 — Environment coverage according to UTS.....	20
Table 3 — Timing metrics process covered by EN 16803 series.....	43
Table 4 — List of all 72 possible combinations.....	45
Table C.1 — Example of Record &Replay Characteristics	69

(standards.iteh.ai)

SIST EN 16803-2:2020

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0762b3ef-3521-4788-acef-54fe0a07b6ec/sist-en-16803-2-2020>

prEN 16803-2:2019 (E)

European foreword

This document (prEN 16803-2:2019) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN-CENELEC/TC 5 “SPACE”, the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

This document is currently submitted to the CEN Enquiry.

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN and CENELEC by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

SIST EN 16803-2:2020

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0762b3ef-3521-4788-acef-54fe0a07b6ec/sist-en-16803-2-2020>

Introduction

The EN 16803 series of CEN-CENELEC standards deals with the use of GNSS technology in the intelligent transport domain, and address more particularly the issue of performance assessment.

As recalled in the generic functional architecture of a road ITS system based on GNSS, two main sub-systems can be considered: the positioning system (GNSS-based positioning terminal (GBPT) + external sources of data) and the road ITS application processing the position quantities output by the terminal to deliver the final service to the user.




Figure 1 — Generic functional architecture of a Positioning-based road ITS system

This EN is the second one of the EN 16803 series.

The performance assessment issue can also be considered at these two levels.

According to Figure 3 in the Introduction of EN 16803-1, the performances of the application cannot be assessed independently from the GBPT and the adequacy of the GBPT's performances to the end-to-end performance of the system cannot be assessed independently from the application. For these two kinds of assessment, the EN 16803-1 standard proposed a method called "Sensitivity analysis". In addition, this first EN defined the generic architecture, the generic terms and the basic performance metrics for the Positioning quantities.

EN 16803-1 can be of interest for many different stakeholders but is targeting mainly the ITS application developers.

EN 16803-2, EN 16803-3 and EN 16803-4 address specifically the performances of the GBPT itself, as they can be measured by the metrics defined in EN 16803-1:

- EN 16803-2 proposes a test methodology based on the replay in the lab of real data sets recorded during field tests, assuming no security attack during the test.

prEN 16803-2:2019 (E)

- EN 16803-3 proposes a complement to this test methodology to assess the performance degradation when the GNSS signal-in-space (SIS) is affected by intentional radio-frequency (RF) perturbations such as jamming, spoofing or meaconing, also applicable to unintentional RF perturbations.

These two ENs are targeting mainly the generalist RF test laboratory that will be in charge of assessing the performances of GBPTs for different applications.

EN 16803-4 (in preparation) will propose the methodology for the recording of the real data sets and is targeting mainly the GNSS-specialized test laboratories that will be in charge of elaborating the test scenarios that will be replayed by the previous category of test laboratories.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

SIST EN 16803-2:2020

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0762b3ef-3521-4788-acef-54fe0a07b6ec/sist-en-16803-2-2020>

1 Scope

Like the other ENs of the whole series, this EN deals with the use of GNSS-based positioning terminals (GBPT) in road Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS). GNSS-based positioning means that the system providing position data, more precisely Position, Velocity and Time (PVT) data, comprises at least a GNSS receiver and, potentially, for performance improvement, other additional sensor data or sources of information that can be hybridized with GNSS data.

This new EN proposes testing procedures, based on the replay of data recorded during field tests, to assess the basic performances of any GBPT for a given use case described by an operational scenario. These tests address the basic performance features **Availability**, **Continuity**, **Accuracy** and **Integrity** of the PVT information, but also the **Time-To-First-Fix** (TTFF) performance feature, as they are described in EN 16803-1, considering that there is no particular security attack affecting the SIS during the operation. This EN does not cover the assessment tests of the timing performances other than TTFF, which do not need field data and can preferably be executed in the lab with current instruments.

“Record and Replay” (R&R) tests consist in replaying in a laboratory environment GNSS SIS data, and potentially additional sensor data, recorded in specific operational conditions thanks to a specific test vehicle. The dataset comprising GNSS SIS data and potential sensor data resulting from these field tests, together with the corresponding metadata description file, is called a “**test scenario**”. A dataset is composed of several data files.

This EN 16803-3 addresses the “**Replay**” part of the test scenario data set. It does not address the “Record” part, although it describes as informative information the whole R&R process. This “Record” part will be covered by EN 16803-4 under preparation.

Although the EN 16803 series concerns the GNSS-based positioning terminals and not only the GNSS receivers, the present release of this EN addresses only the replay process of **GNSS only terminals**. The reason is that the process of replaying in the lab additional sensor data, especially when these sensors are capturing the vehicle’s motion, is generally very complex and not mature enough to be standardized today. It would need open standardized interfaces in the GBPT as well as standardized sensor error models and is not ready to be standardized. But, the procedure described in the present EN has been designed to be extended to GBPT hybridizing GNSS and vehicle sensors in the future.

This EN 16803-3 does not address R&R tests when specific radio frequency signals simulating security attacks are added to the SIS. This case is specifically the topic of EN 16803-3.

Once standardized assessment tests procedures have been established, it is possible to set minimum performance requirements for various intelligent transport applications but it makes sense to separate the assessment tests issue from minimum performance requirements, because the same test procedure may be applicable to many applications, but the minimum performance requirements typically vary from one application to another. **So, this EN does not set minimum performance requirements for any application.**

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 16803-1, *Space — Use of GNSS-based positioning for road Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS) — Definitions and system engineering procedures for the establishment and assessment of performances*

EN 16803-3, *Space — Use of GNSS-based positioning for road Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS) — Part 3: Assessment field tests for security performances of GNSS-based positioning terminals*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <http://www.iso.org/obp>

3.1 Definitions

3.1.1

GBPT

GNSS Based Positioning Terminal

term used to define the component that basically outputs PVT

3.1.2

DUT

Device Under Test

term used to defined a device that is assessed

Note 1 to entry: In the context of EN 16803-2, DUT refers to GPBT.

3.1.3

Test Scenario

a Test Scenario is composed of GNSS SIS data and potential sensor data resulting from field tests, complemented by a metadata description file; a test scenario is a non-empty combination of UTS that allows to assess a GBPT in the desired environments.

Note 1 to entry: Data inside a Test Scenario are raw data, either RF signals from GNSS satellites, or raw data from other embedded sensors.

Note 2 to entry: A Test Scenario is the whole package that a GNSS-specialized test laboratory delivers to a Generalist RF test laboratory in charge of performance assessment tests according to the EN 16803 series.

Note 3 to entry: Considering the 6 different environments as defined in EN 16803-1, there's a combination of $2^6 - 1 = 63$ possible test scenarios; from let's say "Rural only" test scenario up to "All environment" test scenario that covers the 6 different environments. See 4.2.2 for more details.

3.1.4

Unitary Test Scenario (UTS)

a Unitary Test Scenario is an elementary brick of a Test Scenario, resulting from a specific field test; in other words, a Test Scenario is composed of a concatenation of several Unitary Test Scenarios

Note 1 to entry: See 4.2.2 for more details.

3.1.5

Uniform Environment Data Set (UEDS)

Uniform Environment Data Set is the output of the DUT collected after a replay in laboratory sorted by environment; it is a concatenation of the output of the DUT for all UTS restricted to a unique environment

Note 1 to entry: See 6.4 for more details.

Note 2 to entry: Considering the 6 different environments as defined in EN 16803-1, there is the same number of UEDS ; i.e. 6.

Note 3 to entry: Data composing a Uniform Environment Data Set are PVT data, as they are output by a GBPT.

Note 4 to entry: Uniform Environment Data Sets are the data sets to which the metrics shall be applied to assess the performances of the device under test.

3.1.6

GNSS-specialized test laboratory

laboratory in charge of producing Test Scenarios for generalist RF test laboratories

3.1.7

Generalist RF test laboratory

laboratory in charge of assessing the performances of GBPTs thanks to Test Scenario

3.1.8

Benchmark Unitary Test Scenario (B-UTS)

dedicated UTS used specifically for the validation procedure as defined in Clause 7

3.1.9

Benchmark Uniform Environment Data Set (B-UEDS)

each of the UEDS obtained with the benchmark receiver at the GNSS specialised lab (used by the generalist lab to validate their test platform and procedures)

3.2 Acronyms

Acronym	Description
ACAI	Availability, Continuity, Accuracy, Integrity
BPM	Benchmark Performance Metrics
B-UEDS	Benchmark Uniform Environment Data Set
B-UTS	Benchmark Unitary Test Scenario
CDF	Cumulative Distribution Function
DUT	Device Under Test
GBPT	GNSS Based Positioning Terminal
GNSS	Global Navigation Satellite Systems
I/Q	In-phase and Quadrature – I/Q format is an efficient way to store RF signals so that it is possible to reproduce RF signals in laboratory after modulation. I/Q format is the format used to store GNSS signals in UTS
ITS	Intelligent Transport Systems
KML	Keyhole Markup Language
Lab-A	GNSS-specialized test laboratory
Lab-B	Generalist RF test laboratory
PVT	Position Velocity and Time
RAMS	Reliability, Availability, Maintainability, and Safety
RF	Radio frequency
R&R	Record and Replay

prEN 16803-2:2019 (E)

SIS	Signal In Space: RF signals coming from the GNSS satellites
UTS	Unitary Test Scenario
UEDS	Uniform Environment Data Set
TTFF	Time To First Fix

4 Overview of the whole assessment process

4.1 Definition of the general strategy: what kind of tests

4.1.1 Rationale

Performances and behaviours of GNSS-based positioning terminals not only depend on their design but also, and strongly, on a lot of external situations and parameters, uncontrolled by the stakeholders. Among those parameters, we can quote the status of international worldwide space systems (GNSS), the physical atmospheric conditions, and other environmental conditions in the proximity of the vehicle (buildings in vicinity, traffic, tree foliage, etc.).

As an example, this situation implies that any realization of one field test procedure of a given product at a given date and hour, will give a different result than the same test procedure of the same product in the same location, but at a different date and hour (not stationary stochastic process).

The obvious consequence is that, if a pure field test strategy is targeted as a preferred solution for the performance assessment aiming certification of devices, the analysis of the tests results would require specialists, and may frequently result in intangible and unreliable interpretations, the opposite of metrology.

A solution to avoid this issue is to have a total trust in simulations where all the tests conditions are controlled and which could be perfectly repeatable. ETSI addressed a similar issue during its standardization process targeting the GNSS based Location Based Services. As a conclusion of its work, ETSI, selected a solution exclusively based on simulations (see [1]).

Considering that the real-life environment remains complex to be simulated, the pure simulation technique will lead to scenarios with a very great number of parameters to be set-up, inducing risk of human manipulation errors, and anyway a remaining lack of true representation of the reality.

A standardized performance assessment process, designed for certification, needs to be unquestionable (undisputable?), repeatable, realistic with respect to the real conditions the GBPT will operate in and cost-effective. Since the reality of all the physical phenomena impacting the behaviour of GNSS receivers is quite impossible to be simulated with mathematical models, but still has a significant impact, the principle of field tests is preferred in this standard to the principle of simulating the GNSS SIS with constellation simulators.

But, in pure field tests where the device under test (DUT) is on-board the test vehicle, this latter is stimulated with various environmental features that remain unpredictable and uncontrolled, rarely reproducible from one run to another and leading to large difficulties to interpret the results. Consequently, the present EN made the choice of '**Record and Replay**' (R&R) technique that combines realism, repeatability and cost effectiveness.

4.1.2 Record and Replay choice

The '**Record and Replay**' technique **starts on the field, by recording** of the test data collection according to an agreed scenario. This step shall guarantee a **high-fidelity digitalization of numerous parameters, in particular the capture of radio signals** issued from the worldwide infrastructure (GNSS) in a realistic local environment of propagation. It **ends in the lab to replay as many times as**

required the same scenario, using exactly the same radio signal inputs and thus the same environmental conditions of reception.

With respect to pure simulation, the R&R technique offers a better representation of reality since it comes from real situations, but less flexibility of tested situations (rare events are unlikely). The chance to integrate a satellite failure in one recorded test scenario is near to zero. However, as with simulations, a lot of devices can be tested in exactly the same conditions.

With respect to field tests, the R&R technique offers better metrological features since the repeatability can be reached.

It also saves money, since the setup of the test bench for recording is similar to one field test, but the setup of the test bench for replaying is largely less expensive and enables to test a multitude of receivers with less additional cost.

Finally, a very interesting feature of the R&R approach, is that **the interface between the record phase and the replay phase is a repository of files**, offering capabilities like copies and licensing, cut and paste (in smaller files if a customization by application would become necessary as an example...), browse, etc. Today, the amount of data is important for storage techniques (2TB/mn of recording) but remains feasible for some hours of recording. It is expected that in few years, improved solution could be available (currently no data compression is used).

Since the record phase needs recognized skills and experience in GNSS metrology, this work shall be performed by **GNSS-specialized laboratories**, ISO/IEC 17025 homologated, and accredited for that job by a certification authority. They shall follow standardized procedures for recording the data sets that shall become themselves **standardized scenarios** that can be replayed by a larger panel of homologated, but not GNSS-specialized, radio frequency (RF) test laboratories.




Figure 2 — The “Record and Replay” principle

This feature has a true interest in the worldwide economy. First it enables to make in Tokyo a field test recorded in Paris, or inversely to replay anywhere in Europe and with few expenses a record performed in Shanghai. Moreover, it is interesting, as an additive business model for GNSS, offering a European capability to export standardized data sets towards other regional economies, enabling worldwide