INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO 24614-1

First edition 2010-11-01

Language resource management — Word segmentation of written texts —

Part 1: **Basic concepts and general principles**

Gestion des ressources langagières — Segmentation des mots dans

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

Partie 1: Notions fondamentales et principes généraux

(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO 24614-1:2010 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0fa2f32f-d74d-48d4-8267-1eab394b5516/iso-24614-1-2010



PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO 24614-1:2010 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0fa2f32f-d74d-48d4-8267-1eab394b5516/iso-24614-1-2010



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2010

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents		Page
Fore	eword	iv
Intro	oduction	v
1	Scope	1
2	Terms and definitions	2
3	Basic framework for word segmentation	6
4	General principles of word segmentation	10
Annex A (informative) Representing word segmentation in XML		13
Riblingraphy		14

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO 24614-1:2010 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0fa2f32f-d74d-48d4-8267-1eab394b5516/iso-24614-1-2010

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 24614-1 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 37, *Terminology and other language and content resources*, Subcommittee SC 4, *Language resource management*.

ISO 24614 consists of the following parts, under the general title Language resource management — Word segmentation of written texts: (standards.iteh.ai)

- Part 1: Basic concepts and general principles
 - Part 2: Word segmentation for Chinese, Japanese and Korean

Word segmentation for other languages is to form the subject of a future Part 3.

Introduction

Word segmentation is the dividing of text into linguistic units that carry meaning. For example, "the white house" can be divided into three meaningful units, "the," "white," and "house", when it refers to a house that is white; whereas "the White House" corresponds to only one meaningful unit when it refers to the residence of the US President.

For the purposes of ISO 24614, such meaningful linguistic units are called *word segmentation units* (WSU). As demonstrated in the previous example, a WSU can be comprised of more than one word. A WSU can consist of a stem and affixes (e.g. "re+work+ing"). It can be a compound word (e.g. "blackboard"), a proper noun (e.g. "Cape Town"), an idiom (e.g. "It's raining cats and dogs"), or a multiword expression (e.g. "take care of"). For languages that have spaces between words, such as English, segmenting a text into WSU is facilitated by using the spaces as a basis for establishing the boundaries of a WSU, although additional considerations need to be taken into account for handling abbreviations, punctuation and multiword units of meaning, among others. For languages that do not have spaces between words, such as Chinese and Japanese, or for languages that have spaces partially between words, such as Thai and Korean, segmenting a text into WSU requires a different approach.

Furthermore, word segmentation is complex for languages that are characterized by extensive compounding, such as Chinese, and for languages that are characterized by extensive agglutination, such as Japanese, Korean and Hungarian. On the other hand, the fact that Japanese supports multiple scripts is beneficial for word segmentation.

However, white space alone is not sufficient to segment a text. "Apple pie," for example, is understood as a kind of pie made of apples, so "apple" and "pie" are treated as two distinct WSUs. Alternatively, it can be viewed as a single entity due to its collocational and idiomatic properties, and treated as a single WSU. Segmentation rules can differ between languages, seven when applied to equivalent expressions (as discussed in ISO 24614-2).

Elaborating standards for the rules and methods for word segmentation can facilitate innovation and development in areas such as language learning and translation. It could improve language-related technologies, including spell checking, grammar checking, dictionary lookup, terminology management, translation memory, information retrieval, information extraction and machine translation. For instance, by failing to identify "kick the bucket" as a single WSU, translation memory and machine translation technologies would produce a literal rather than idiomatic translation.

This part of ISO 24614 is the first in a series of International Standards targeted at word segmentation in written languages. It focuses on the basic concepts and general principles of word segmentation that apply to languages in general. The subsequent parts will, however, focus on the issues specific to particular languages.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO 24614-1:2010 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0fa2f32f-d74d-48d4-8267-1eab394b5516/iso-24614-1-2010

Language resource management — Word segmentation of written texts —

Part 1:

Basic concepts and general principles

1 Scope

This part of ISO 24614 presents the basic concepts and general principles of word segmentation, and provides language-independent guidelines to enable written texts to be segmented, in a reliable and reproducible manner, into word segmentation units (WSU).

NOTE 1 In language-related research and industry, the word is a fundamental and necessary concept. It is thus critical to have a universal definition of what comprises a word for the purposes of segmenting a text into words. One cannot simply use rules based only on spaces and punctuation to delimit words. Such rules do not account for situations such as hyphenated compounds, abbreviations, idioms or word-like expressions that contain symbols or numbers. Word segmentation is even more problematic for languages that do not use spaces to separate words, such as Chinese and Japanese, and for agglutinative languages, where some functional word classes are realized as affixes, such as Korean.

The many applications and fields that need to segment texts into words — and thus to which this part of ISO 24614 can be applied — include the following $\underline{14-1:2010}$

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0fa2f32f-d74d-48d4-8267-1eab394b5516/iso-24614-1-2010

Translation

Word count is the principal method for calculating the cost of a translation. Word segmentation is a standard function in translation memory systems and computer-assisted translation (CAT) tools. Word segmentation is performed by term extraction tools, which are sometimes provided in terminology management systems and CAT tools.

Content management

Most content management systems and databases allow for searching by individual words. The content being searched has to be segmented to permit matching with a search word. Furthermore, search functions require knowledge of the boundaries of words.

Speech technologies

Text-to-speech systems generate speech based on words and therefore require word segmentation for lexicon lookup, stress assignment, prosodic pattern assignment, etc.

Computational linguistics

Various natural language processing (NLP) systems must segment text into words in order to carry out their functions. NLP systems include

- morphosyntactic processors,
- syntactic parsers,
- spellcheckers,

ISO 24614-1:2010(E)

- text classification systems, and
- corpus linguistics annotators.

Lexicography

Lexical resources are often evaluated by size, usually by referring to the number of words.

NOTE 2 The size of language resources is an essential benchmark for their management. Quantifying the size of language resources is typically achieved by counting the words. However, because NLP applications use different segmentation methods, each calculates the number of words differently and arrives at a different sum for the same text. A reliable, reproducible, standard measure would allow comparable results. This is not to say that applications may not use their own, application-specific segmentation methods. For example, a speech synthesis application might segment a text into smaller or larger units compared to another application.

2 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

2.1

abbreviation

verbal designation formed by omitting words or letters from a longer form and designating the same concept

[ISO 1087-1:2000]

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

2.2

affix

(standards.iteh.ai)

bound morpheme (2.5) which may be added to a stem (2.22) or a lexeme (2.14)

NOTE Affixes can be classified into several sub-types such as prefix, suffix, infix and circumfix. Affixes can be derivational or they can be inflectional or agglutinative.

2.3

agglutination

process of concatenating one or more affixes (2.2) to a stem (2.22)

[ISO 24613:2008]

2.4

borrowing

process of word formation in which a linguistic expression is adopted from another language, usually when no term exists for the new object or concept

2.5

bound morpheme

morpheme (2.18) that appears only together with one or several other morphemes

[ISO 24613:2008]

EXAMPLE 1 Chinese: 伟 means "great," but cannot stand by itself as a word in text. Instead, it is used as a constituent element of many words, such as 伟大 ("great"), 伟人 ("giant"), and 雄伟 ("majesty").

EXAMPLE 2 Korean: the suffix "-e", which is equivalent to the English preposition "to" — as in "hakkyo-e" (to school) — is a bound morpheme.

2.6

compound

word (2.23) built from two or more lexemes (2.14)

NOTE 1 Adapted from ISO 24613:2008, definition 3.10.

NOTE 2 A compound may be endocentric if it has a head (i.e. the fundamental part that contains the basic meaning of the whole compound) and modifiers (which restrict this meaning), or exocentric if it does not have a head. A compound can be long. There are two main sub-types of compound according to their degree of lexicalization: word compound and phrasal compound.

2.7

compounding

word formation in which a new word is formed by adjoining at least two **lexemes** (2.14), in their original forms or with slight transformations

[ISO 24613:2008]

2.8

derivation

change in the form of a **word** (2.23) to create a new **word** (2.23), usually by modifying the **stem** (2.22) or by affixation

[ISO 24613:2008]

free morpheme

2.9

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

morpheme (2.18) that can be used as a word (2.23) by itself.

EXAMPLE Given the word "goodness," "good" is a free morpheme, whereas "-ness" is not. The latter is a bound morpheme.

ISO 24614-1:2010

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0fa2f32f-d74d-48d4-8267-

2.10 homograph

1eab394b5516/iso-24614-1-2010

each of two or more **word forms** (2.24) or **words** (2.23) with identical spelling but representing different concepts (semantic homography) or syntactic functions (syntactic homography)

[ISO 1087-2:2000]

2.11

inflection

process in which a word form (2.24) is made up by adding an affix (2.2) to a stem (2.22)

NOTE Inflection is a grammatical rather than lexical process.

2.12

lemma

conventional form chosen to represent a lexeme (2.14)

[ISO 24613:2008]

EXAMPLE Given a set of word forms such as "find," "found," and "finding" in English, the form "find" is chosen as a lemma to represent the group of all these word forms.

2.13

lemmatization

process of determining the lemma (2.12) for a given word form (2.24) in a context

EXAMPLE Given the word "found" in English, lemmatization results in "find" as its lemma.

NOTE Adapted from ISO 1087-2:2000, definition 2.19 and ISO 30042:2008, definition 3.14.

© ISO 2010 – All rights reserved

2.14

lexeme

abstract unit generally associated with a set of forms sharing a common meaning

[ISO 24613:2008]

NOTE 1 A lexeme may be a part of another lexeme, as a consequence of derivation and compounding.

NOTE 2 "Form" is defined in ISO 24613 as "sequence of morphs".

2.15

lexicalization

process of making a linguistic unit function as a word

NOTE Such a linguistic unit can be a single morph, e.g. "laugh," a sequence of morphs, e.g. "apple pie" or even a phrase, such as "kick the bucket", that forms an idiomatic phrase.

2.16

lexicon

list of entries mainly headed by lemmas (2.12) with associated information

2.17

morph

surface form represented by a unique **morpheme** (2.18)

EXAMPLE In English, the morphs of the plural morpheme "-s" include "-s", "-en", and "-NULL" (as in "boys", "oxen", and "sheep"), where "-NULL" has no unique surface form. Thus, the word "boys" consists of the two morphs, "boy" and "-s", whereas the morphemes corresponding to the morphs "ox" and "-en" are "ox" and "-s", respectively.

2.18

morpheme

ISO 24614-1:2010

smallest unit of meaning expressed by a sequence of phonemes or a sequence of graphemes

1eab394b5516/iso-24614-1-2010

[ISO 24613:2008]

NOTE There are two sub-types of morphemes: free morphemes and bound morphemes.

2.19

multiword expression

MWE

lexeme (2.14) made up of a sequence of lexemes that has properties that are not predictable from the properties of the individual lexemes or their normal mode of combination

[ISO 24613:2008]

NOTE A multiword expression can be a compound [a word compound or phrasal compound, an idiom, a fragment of a sentence or a sentence (e.g. a proverb or familiar quotation)]. It is not always possible to specify the part of speech for the whole MWE span.

2.20

phrasal compound

word (2.23) consisting of two or more lexemes (2.14), the meaning of which is predictable from its constituent elements

EXAMPLE "Apple pie" in English is a phrasal compound composed of two lexemes, "apple" and "pie", whose meanings are preserved in the meaning of the compound.

NOTE 1 Idioms use two or more lexical items, but do not compose a phrasal compound.

NOTE 2 A phrasal compound might be thought of as phrases by some linguists. In practice, however, there is not always a clear distinction between a word compound and a phrasal compound, or between a phrasal compound and a phrase, due to the fuzziness of semantic predictability and the degree of lexicalization. Lexico-statistics — word frequency in particular — will play an important role in this respect.

2.21

reduplication

process in which the entire word (2.23), or part of it, is repeated

2.22

stem

linguistic unit whose form is smaller than or equal to the form of a single **lexeme** (2.14) and that may be affected by an inflectional, agglutinative, compositional or derivational process

[ISO 24613:2008]

2.23

word

lexeme (2.14) that has, as a minimal property, a part of speech

[ISO 24613:2008]

2.24

word form

morphosyntactical variant of a given word (2.23)

[ISO 1087-2:2000]

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW

In English, the strings "find", "finds", "found" and "finding" are word forms of the word "find".

EXAMPLE 2.25

ISO 24614-1:2010

word segmentation https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0fa2f32f-d74d-48d4-8267-

process of splitting text into a sequence of word segmentation units (2.26)

2.26

word segmentation unit

WSU

word form (2.24) or character string of some other type that is treated as a unit

NOTE A character string that is not a word form may consist of numeric characters, foreign characters, punctuation marks or some other miscellaneous characters such as Chinese radicals, chemical symbols, such as H₂O, or a mixture of Latin and numeric characters, such as F16.

2.27

word structure

internal structure of a word (2.23) resulting from the morphological analysis

NOTE In agglutinative languages, such as Korean, Japanese and Turkish, a word may consist of a sequence of morphemes, with a comparatively high morpheme-per-word ratio, where each affix involved (both derivational and inflectional) typically expresses a particular grammatical meaning in a clear, one-to-one way. The structure of a word in these languages can be very sophisticated, with free morphemes and separate affixes as its constituent elements.

2.28

word compound

compound (2.6) whose overall meaning is not totally predictable from its constituent parts

EXAMPLE "Hotdog," "ice-cream," "blackboard".