



# SLOVENSKI STANDARD

## SIST EN 15722:2015

01-september-2015

Nadomešča:  
SIST EN 15722:2011

---

### Inteligentni transportni sistemi - e-Varnost - Minimalni nabor podatkov za elektronski klic v sili

Intelligent transport systems - ESafety - ECall minimum set of data

Strassenverkehrstelematik - eSafety - Minimaler Datensatz für den elektronischen Notruf

Systèmes de transport intelligents - ESafety - Ensemble minimal de données (MSD) pour l'eCall

[SIST EN 15722:2015](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f440dd09-c2f2-4643-a934-902e9db9/sist-en-15722-2015)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f440dd09-c2f2-4643-a934-902e9db9/sist-en-15722-2015>

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: **EN 15722:2015**

---

#### **ICS:**

13.200	Preprečevanje nesreč in katastrof	Accident and disaster control
35.240.60	Uporabniške rešitve IT v transportu in trgovini	IT applications in transport and trade
43.040.15	Avtomobilska informatika. Vgrajeni računalniški sistemi	Car informatics. On board computer systems

**SIST EN 15722:2015**

**en,fr,de**

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

SIST EN 15722:2015

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f440dd09-c2f2-4643-a934-d8aa9d2edbc9/sist-en-15722-2015>

EUROPEAN STANDARD

**EN 15722**

NORME EUROPÉENNE

EUROPÄISCHE NORM

April 2015

ICS 35.240.60

Supersedes EN 15722:2011

English Version

**Intelligent transport systems - ESafety - ECall minimum set of data**

Systèmes de transport intelligents - ESafety - Ensemble minimal de données (MSD) pour l'eCall

Intelligente Transportsysteme - ESicherheit - Minimaler Datensatz für den elektronischen Notruf eCall

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 1 February 2015.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.

[SIST EN 15722:2015](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f440dd09-c2f2-4643-a934-d8aa9d2edbc9/sist-en-15722-2015)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f440dd09-c2f2-4643-a934-d8aa9d2edbc9/sist-en-15722-2015>



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION  
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION  
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

**CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels**

<b>Contents</b>	<b>Page</b>
Foreword .....	4
Introduction .....	5
1 Scope .....	6
2 Normative references .....	6
3 Terms and definitions .....	6
4 Symbols and abbreviated terms .....	7
5 Conformance .....	7
6 Requirements .....	7
6.1 Concepts and formats .....	7
6.1.1 MSD data concepts .....	7
6.1.2 Representation of MSD data concepts .....	8
6.1.3 Different versions of MSD data .....	8
6.1.4 Distribution of MSD data .....	8
6.1.5 Additional data .....	9
6.2 ISO Object identifier .....	9
6.3 Contents of the 'Minimum Set of Data' (MSD) .....	10
6.3.1 General .....	10
6.3.2 Basic contents of MSD version 2 .....	10
6.3.3 Previous versions of MSD message .....	15
6.3.4 Future versions of MSD message .....	15
Annex A (normative) ASN.1 definition of MSD .....	16
A.1 ASN.1 definition of MSD .....	16
A.2 Syntax check of ASN.1 definition of MSD .....	21
A.3 Examples of ASN.1 encoded MSD .....	21
Annex B (informative) ASN.1 Data representation PER and BER explained .....	23
B.1 What is ASN.1 .....	23
B.2 Encoding data using ASN.1 .....	23
B.2.1 General .....	23
B.2.2 Basic Encoding Rules (BER) .....	24
B.2.3 Distinguished Encoding Rules (DER) .....	24
B.2.4 Packed Encoding Rules (PER/UPER) .....	24
B.2.5 XML Encoding Rules (XER) .....	25
B.3 Examples .....	25
B.3.1 General .....	25
B.3.2 ASN.1 example definition .....	25
B.3.3 Encoding using BER or DER .....	25
B.3.4 Encoding using PER .....	26
B.3.5 Encoding using XER and E-XER .....	26
Annex C (informative) Formal XML format description (XSD) for the MSD .....	28

<b>Annex D (informative) Explanation of rationale for MSD data concept elements .....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>Annex E (informative) Object Identifiers (OID) .....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>E.1 Formal definition of OID .....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>E.2 What is an object identifier? .....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>E.3 Object Identifiers and ISO standards .....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>E.4 OID for eCall data concepts .....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Bibliography .....</b>	<b>36</b>

## **iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW** **(standards.iteh.ai)**

[SIST EN 15722:2015](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f440dd09-c2f2-4643-a934-d8aa9d2edbc9/sist-en-15722-2015>

**EN 15722:2015 (E)****Foreword**

This document (EN 15722:2015) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 278 “Intelligent Transport Systems”, the secretariat of which is held by NEN.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by October 2015, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by October 2015.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN [and/or CENELEC] shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document supersedes EN 15722:2011.

This revision is designed to solve ambiguities, provide clarity and improve consistency throughout the document. A significant part of Clause 6 has been reformatted, some parts have been rewritten. None of the proposed changes breaks compatibility with the superseded version. The ASN.1 specifications have been updated to the current most efficient options.

Subclause 6.1 now completely describes the information around the concepts, formats and data-encoding, directly relating it to the chosen encoding rules (ASN.1 UPER). The table describing the components of the MSD (6.2) has been revised. The rationale behind this was to remove inconsistencies between the encoding rules and the description.

Comments made by Member States have been incorporated. Removed reference to the number of Member States of the European Union.

The ASN.1 definition of the MSD has been revised and updated. A syntax check result has been added. An example message with its UPER encoding was also added.

Specification of the concept of a data registry to which the ‘oid’ data element refers, and an explanatory Annex (E) explaining the concept of OIDs to has been added.

According to the CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organizations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

## Introduction

The scale of death and injury on roads in Europe needs to be fully comprehended to understand the need for "Emergency Call" (eCall). In 2008 there were 38 900 fatalities in the EU Member States. The provisional figure for 2009 is around 34 500 fatalities. The trend 2001-2008 is around 5 % reduction annually. Road accident injuries are in the region of 1,7 million (2007). Roads remain unsafe, and further efforts are needed. The pan-European in-vehicle emergency call, 'eCall', is estimated to have the potential to save up to 2 500 fatalities annually in the EU when fully deployed, and furthermore to reduce the severity of injuries, to bring significant savings to the society in and to reduce human suffering.

Emergency calls made from vehicles or mobile telephones using wireless technologies, can assist with the objectives of significantly reducing road deaths and injuries, but drivers often have poor (imprecise) location awareness, especially on interurban roads or abroad. Additionally, in many situations the car occupants may not be in a position to call using a normal mobile phone.

The situation is worse for those travelling abroad. A high (and increasing) number of vehicles travelling outside their home country is thus also contributing to the need for automated emergency call system in vehicles. In EU there are over 100 million trips to another EU country per year, 65 % of the people feel less protected while abroad and most do not know which number to call in an emergency (in some countries over 60 %). Language problems are pertinent and may render proper communication difficult. Yet, in the most crucial cases, the victim(s) may not be able to call because they have been injured/trapped, do not know the local number to call, and in many cases, particularly in rural situations and late at night, there may be no witnesses who happen to have a mobile phone and a sense of community.

eCall, in the context of "Intelligent Transport Systems" or "ITS", (previously known as "Road Traffic and Transport Telematics") can be described as a "user instigated or automatic system to provide notification to public safety answering points, by means of wireless communications, that a vehicle has crashed, and to provide coordinates and a defined minimum set of data, and where possible a voice link to the PSAP".

The objective of implementing the pan-European in-vehicle emergency call system (eCall) is to automate the notification of a traffic accident, wherever in the European Union and associated countries, with the same technical standards and the same quality of services objectives of other emergency services (for example the TS12 emergency call of GSM/UMTS).

This European Standard specifies the "Minimum Set of Data" (MSD) to be transferred by such an in-vehicle eCall system in the event of a crash or emergency.

NOTE The communications media and means of transferring the eCall MSD are not defined in this European Standard. See list of referenced Standards.

**EN 15722:2015 (E)****1 Scope**

This European Standard specifies the standard data concepts that comprise the "Minimum Set of Data" (MSD) to be transferred from a vehicle to a 'Public Safety Answering Point' (PSAP) in the event of a crash or emergency via an 'eCall' communication transaction.

Optional additional data concepts may also be transferred.

The communications media protocols and methods for the transmission of the eCall message are not specified in this European Standard.

**2 Normative references**

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 16062, *Intelligent transport systems — ESafety — ECall high level application requirements (HLAP)*

EN 16072, *Intelligent transport systems — ESafety — Pan-European eCall operating requirements*

EN 16102, *Intelligent transport systems — ECall — Operating requirements for third party support*

ISO 3779, *Road vehicles — Vehicle identification number (VIN) — Content and structure*

ISO/IEC 8825, *Information technology — ASN.1 encoding rules: Specification of Packed Encoding Rules (PER)*

NOTE Communications Standards required for transmission of eCall using GSM/UMTS wireless communications networks are referenced in EN 16062 and EN 16072.  
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f440dd09-c2f2-4643-a934-d8aa9d2edbc9/sist-en-15722-2015>

**3 Terms and definitions**

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

**3.1****ASN.1/Abstract Syntax Notation 1.**

notation that describes rules and structures for representing, encoding, transmitting, and decoding data enabling representation of objects that are independent of machine-specific encoding techniques; See Annex B

**3.2****eCall**

emergency call generated either automatically via activation of in-vehicle sensors or manually by the vehicle occupants; when activated it provides notification and relevant location information to the most appropriate 'Public Safety Answering Point', by means of mobile wireless communications networks, carries a defined standardized 'Minimum Set of Data' notifying that there has been an incident that requires response from the emergency services, and establishes an audio channel between the occupants of the vehicle and the most appropriate 'Public Safety Answering Point'

**3.3****minimum set of data (MSD)**

direct, timely data content of an eCall message to the PSAP operator receiving the emergency call containing information about the location of the incident, providing detail characterising the vehicle, and potentially sometimes also providing additional data that is deemed relevant

**3.4****public safety answering point**

'first level' responder to whom an emergency call/eCall is directed



## 4 Symbols and abbreviated terms

<b>ASN.1</b>	abstract syntax notation one (ISO 8824/ISO 8825)
<b>3G</b>	third generation mobile cellular network system, defined by 3GPP standards
<b>3GPP</b>	third generation partnership protocol
<b>BCD</b>	binary coded decimal
<b>BER</b>	basic encoding rules (ASN.1)
<b>CNG</b>	compressed natural gas
<b>ETSI</b>	European telecommunications standards institute
<b>EC</b>	European Commission
<b>EU</b>	European Union
<b>GSM</b>	global system mobile
<b>GNSS</b>	global navigation satellite system
<b>ID</b>	identity
<b>IP</b>	Internet protocol
<b>IVS</b>	in-vehicle system
<b>LPG</b>	liquid propane gas
<b>M</b>	mandatory
<b>MSD</b>	minimum set of data
<b>O</b>	optional
<b>OID</b>	object identifier (ISO/IEC 8824:1994) - see Annex E
<b>PER</b>	packed encoding rules (ASN.1)
<b>PSAP</b>	public safety answering point
<b>UMTS</b>	universal mobile telecommunications system
<b>UPER</b>	unaligned packet encoding rules (ASN.1)

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
(standards.iteh.ai)

## 5 Conformance

In order to claim conformance with this European Standard, communication shall be established using accepted wireless communication standards, and it shall be able to demonstrate that the minimum set of data (MSD) transferred together with any standardized optional data elements defined herein comply with the specifications of this European Standard, to the extent that such data is available from the vehicle.

## 6 Requirements

### 6.1 Concepts and formats

#### 6.1.1 MSD data concepts

**NOTE** The minimum set of data is important information to assist the provision of the most appropriate services to the crash or emergency site and to speed up the response. The minimum set of data makes it possible for the PSAP operator to respond to the eCall even without the voice connection.

The "Minimum Set of Data" shall be a direct, timely message to the PSAP operator receiving the emergency call.

The information elements in the MSD have been selected on the basis of their relevance in an emergency rescue situation.

**EN 15722:2015 (E)**

The MSD has an 'optional additional data' block that can be used to add information elements that are relevant to a specific situation. See 6.1.5.

**6.1.2 Representation of MSD data concepts**

The message shall be sent in the sequence defined within the ASN.1 definition defined in Annex A.

The transfer of the MSD for Pan-European eCall using GSM/UMTS (EN 16072/EN 16062) shall be represented in Abstract Syntax Notation (ASN.1) using the 'Unaligned Packed Encoding Rules' (UPER) as defined in ISO/IEC 8825-2, using the ASN1 definitions found in Annex A. See also 6.1.4.

The transfer of the MSD for Pan-European eCall using other wireless communications media (for example E-UTRAN) may be specified in future standards for 'high level application protocols' for that wireless media.

NOTE 1 An XML encoding of the MSD data representation may be used in TPSP-to-PSAP applications (EN 16102). Annex C contains the derived XSD for such encoding.

NOTE 2 In order to implement presentation in ASN.1 UPER, readers are advised to also read Annex B "ASN.1 Data Representation PER and BER explained"; and also the relevant normative referenced documents.

**6.1.3 Different versions of MSD data**

It is foreseen that, over time, new versions of the MSD data definition will occur. Wherever possible, later versions of the MSD shall be backwards compatible with existing versions.

If a future version of the MSD is defined which is not backwards compatible (i.e. cannot be automatically interpreted by receiving systems) then its deployment shall be coordinated to ensure that all receiving systems are ready before IVS adopt this new MSD format.

The main structure of an MSD shall, in any version, contain two elements, the first of which is known as the MSD version (msdVersion) which designates the encoding rules that have been used to create the second element.

Systems receiving an MSD shall support all standardized MSD versions, which are each uniquely identified using this msdVersion parameter.

**6.1.4 Distribution of MSD data**

The MSD shall be transmitted using one or more communications media as defined in other eCall Standards

In order to enable interpretation by the PSAP, the MSD shall always be presented in an ASN.1 encoded module: either ASN.1 'Unaligned Packet Encoding Rules' (UPER) or ASN.1 'Extended XML Encoding Rules' (EXER) encoding shall be used.

The ASN.1 module shall contain the MSD as defined in this European Standard plus none or more 'optional additional data' concepts presented as defined in 6.1.5 and whose name, content and presentation has been made available in a data registry as required by this European Standard (See 6.1.5).

In the case of an MSD for pan-European eCall sent via GSM/UMTS (EN 16072/EN 16062), the MSD shall be encoded using 'Unaligned Packed Encoding Rules' (UPER) as defined in ISO/IEC 8825-2. The length of this encoded MSD (including any 'optional additional data') shall not exceed 140 bytes (as specified in EN 16062). Any payload bytes received outside of the ASN.1 message length shall be ignored by the receiving entity.

The maximum length of data presented by an MSD for pan-European eCall sent via another wireless media shall be defined in the eCall "High Level Application Protocol" standard for that specific wireless media

NOTE 1 It is assumed that the integrity of the transmitted data is assured by the underlying communication interface standard used.

NOTE 2 For example EN 16072 which defines the operating requirements for the transmission of Pan-European eCall and EN 16062 (eCall high level application protocols for GSM/UMTS) which provide the high level application protocols for sending a Pan-European eCall via a circuit switched GSM/UMTS wireless phone network.

EN 16102 defines provisions for Third Party supported eCall.

NOTE 3 If the MSD is transferred using another means of communication that has no, or less stringent, data limits, XML encoding rules may be used if preferred. Annex C contains the derived XSD for such encoding.

### 6.1.5 Additional data

The MSD message has a provision for 'optional' additional data. This European Standard specifies the presentation of any such data within an MSD message. The nature and content of such additional data is not part of this standard.

Examples: Additional data may contain a reference to an external source of relevant information (such as a phone number, a website URL, etc. where further information may be found, or additional data specific to the vehicle or incident (e.g. battery temperature in the case of an electric or hybrid vehicle; number of rollovers; URL to the technical specifications to a particular vehicle model; etc.)

Optional additional data shall not include any data concerning or identifying a person (personal data) unless the transfer of such data has been explicitly and expressly prior instructed and authorized by the person who is identified by the data and its provision shall in any event only be provided only in accordance with European Union and National privacy regulations pertaining at the time of the transfer of any such personal data.

Any additional data element(s) shall each consist of two parts:

a) A relative 'object identifier' (OID)

b) the data content.

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f440dd09-c2f2-4643-a934-c9197c278wg15/en-15722-2015>

The relative OID shall be allocated by CEN TC278 WG15 or a body nominated by it. For further information see annex E.

CEN TC278 WG15 or a body nominated by it shall allocate an 'Object Identifier' (OID) for each 'Optional Additional Data concept'. Within the MSD the 'Optional Additional Data concept' used shall be identified by a 'relative OID', i.e. it will only contain the arcs of the object identifier of the concept starting below the eCall MSD 'Optional Additional Data concept' object identifier. See 6.2 below.

Additional data shall be represented using an ASN.1 representation definition that itself is made available to emergency services/PSAPs.

When sending an MSD containing this additional data, using GSM/UMTS (EN 16062), the addition of such data shall never cause the total (UPER encoded) MSD message length to exceed the maximum available number of bytes (total message length = 140 bytes).

NOTE in order to ensure that the above requirement is met with any combination of optional parameters within the main MSD message, the total length of additional data concepts may not exceed 94 bytes of data encoded in ASN.1 UPER.

## 6.2 ISO Object identifier

ISO/ITU "Object Identifiers" are explained in informative Annex E.

The full eCall MSD, or any optional additional data concept, is preceded by its ISO object identifier. When eCall data is stored or used outside of the eCall context this OID shall be prefixed onto all representations of the MSD or any eCall data concept.

**EN 15722:2015 (E)**

In eCall context, when data is being sent to a specific receiver (e.g. PSAP), the OID may be assumed to be known and is not transmitted. Thus the OID is not transferred over the air between the IVS and PSAP.

eCall has been allocated the OID: 1.0.14817.106.2.1

## NOTE

1. identifies the data concept as an ISO parent route standard
0. identifies the arc as being identified by a Standards reference number.
  - 14817 In this case ISO 14817 being the parent standard for ITS data registry
    - 106 emergency-service
      - 2 pre-harmonisation-automated-calls
        - 1 cen-15722

Below this OID three nodes are defined:

- 1.0.14817.106.2.1.1 for 'Mandatory Data Concepts'
- 1.0.14817.106.2.1.2 for 'Optional Data Concepts'
- 1.0.14817.106.2.1.3 for eCall data elements

iTech STANDARD PREVIEW  
(standards.iteh.ai)

**6.3 Contents of the 'Minimum Set of Data' (MSD)****6.3.1 General**

[SIST EN 15722:2015](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f440dd09-c2f2-4643-a934-d8aa9d2edbc9/sist-en-15722-2015)

[https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f440dd09-c2f2-4643-a934-](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f440dd09-c2f2-4643-a934-d8aa9d2edbc9/sist-en-15722-2015)

[d8aa9d2edbc9/sist-en-15722-2015](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/f440dd09-c2f2-4643-a934-d8aa9d2edbc9/sist-en-15722-2015)

The following sub-clauses provide the definition of the minimum set of data that shall be sent from the vehicle in case of an emergency call.

**6.3.2 Basic contents of MSD version 2**

Table 1 provides a summary of the semantic contents of the MSD.

The sequence of data presentation shall be as specified in Table 1, represented as described in 6.1.2 and distributed as described in 6.1.3.

For clarity the 'type' used in Table 1 is a semantic representation of the type used in the ASN.1 definition. The exact representation is defined in Annex A.

The real position of the element in the data-stream is defined by the ASN.1 'unaligned packet encoding rules (UPER)', following the definition in Annex A. Elements therefore do not necessarily start or end on a byte boundary.