

---

---

**Lifts (elevators), escalators and moving  
walks — Risk assessment and reduction  
methodology**

*Ascenseurs, escaliers mécaniques et trottoirs roulants — Méthodologie  
de l'évaluation et de la réduction du risque*

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

[ISO/TS 14798:2006](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/68529b99-1dde-45a8-8022-dc6ac2fae854/iso-ts-14798-2006)

[https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/68529b99-1dde-45a8-8022-  
dc6ac2fae854/iso-ts-14798-2006](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/68529b99-1dde-45a8-8022-dc6ac2fae854/iso-ts-14798-2006)



**PDF disclaimer**

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

ISO/TS 14798:2006

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/68529b99-1dde-45a8-8022-dc6ac2fae854/iso-ts-14798-2006>

© ISO 2006

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20  
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11  
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47  
E-mail [copyright@iso.org](mailto:copyright@iso.org)  
Web [www.iso.org](http://www.iso.org)

Published in Switzerland

# Contents

Page

Foreword.....	iv
Introduction .....	v
1 Scope .....	1
2 Terms and definitions .....	1
3 General principles.....	3
4 Risk analysis procedure .....	5
5 Step 6 — Risk evaluation .....	15
6 Step 7 — Has the risk been sufficiently reduced? .....	15
7 Reduction of risk — Protective measures .....	16
8 Documentation .....	16
Annex A (normative) Risk assessment template.....	18
Annex B (informative) Quick references to hazards (Table B.1), hazardous situations (Table B.2), causes (Table B.3), effects (Table B.4) and harm (Table B.5) .....	19
Annex C (normative) Estimation of risk elements — Severity and probability (see 4.5).....	23
Annex D (normative) Risk estimation and evaluation .....	24
Annex E (informative) Role of the team moderator.....	26
Annex F (informative) Examples of a risk assessment and protective measures .....	30
Bibliography .....	35

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

In other circumstances, particularly when there is an urgent market requirement for such documents, a technical committee may decide to publish other types of normative document:

- an ISO Publicly Available Specification (ISO/PAS) represents an agreement between technical experts in an ISO working group and is accepted for publication if it is approved by more than 50 % of the members of the parent committee casting a vote;
- an ISO Technical Specification (ISO/TS) represents an agreement between the members of a technical committee and is accepted for publication if it is approved by 2/3 of the members of the committee casting a vote.

An ISO/PAS or ISO/TS is reviewed after three years in order to decide whether it will be confirmed for a further three years, revised to become an International Standard, or withdrawn. If the ISO/PAS or ISO/TS is confirmed, it is reviewed again after a further three years, at which time it must either be transformed into an International Standard or be withdrawn.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/TS 14798 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 178, *Lifts, escalators and moving walks*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO/TS 14798:2000), which has been technically revised.

## Introduction

After the first edition of this Technical Specification had been published in 2000, with Resolutions 169/1999 and 186/2001, the Technical Committee ISO/TC178 requested the users of ISO/TS 14798 and the Committee members to report on the use of the document with comments and proposals for any improvements to be considered in a revision within 3 years.

Having received numerous comments and proposals, the Technical Committee ISO/TC178 decided, with Resolutions 186/2001 and 209/2002, to revise ISO/TS 14798 in response to the comments and proposals, with a proviso that the revised document does not change the concept and principles of the original Technical Specification.

The objective of this Technical Specification is to describe principles and set procedure for a consistent and systematic risk assessment methodology relevant to lifts (elevators), escalators, moving walks (“lifts” for short). The risk analysis and assessment principles and process described in this document may, however, be used for assessment of risk relevant to equipment other than lifts.

This risk assessment methodology is a tool used to identify risk of harm resulting from various hazards, hazardous situations, and harmful events. Knowledge and experience of the design, use, installation, maintenance, incidents, accidents, and related harm are brought together in order to assess the risk during all phases of the life of lifts<sup>1)</sup> (elevators), escalators, and moving walks (hereafter referred to as “lifts”), from design and construction up to decommissioning. The users of the methodology do not make medical judgments but, rather, evaluate events that can possibly lead to levels of harm defined in this document. By itself, this Technical Specification does not provide a presumption of conformity to any safety requirements for lifts, including those noted in Clause 1.

NOTE Risk assessment is not an exact science, as there is a certain degree of subjectivity in the process.

It is recommended that this Technical Specification be incorporated into training courses and manuals so as to provide basic instructions on safety aspects to those involved in

- assessing designs, operations, testing, and use of lift equipment; and
- writing specifications or standards incorporating safety requirements for lifts.

Clause 3 describes the concept of safety and risk assessment. Clause 4 describes the procedure of risk analysis, including risk estimation. The procedure for risk evaluation is set out in Clause 5 and for assessment in Clause 6. Clause 7 deals with protective measures. Clause 8 specifies relevant documentation. Annexes A, C, and D form a normative part of this Technical Specification. Annexes B, E, and F are for information only.

1) Hereinafter in this ISO/TS 14798, the term “lift” is used instead of the term “elevator”. In addition, the term “lift” is also used instead of the terms “lifts, elevators, and moving walks”.

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

ISO/TS 14798:2006

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/68529b99-1dde-45a8-8022-dc6ac2fae854/iso-ts-14798-2006>

# Lifts (elevators), escalators and moving walks — Risk assessment and reduction methodology

## 1 Scope

This Technical Specification establishes general principles and specific procedures for assessing risk.

The purpose of this Technical Specification is to provide a process for making decisions relevant to the safety of lifts during the

- design, construction, and installation of lifts, lift components, and systems;
- developing generic procedures for the use, operation, testing, compliance verification, and servicing of lifts; and
- development of technical specifications and standards affecting the safety of lifts.

While examples in this document refer primarily to risks of harm to persons, the risk assessment procedure set out in this document can be equally effective for assessing other types of risks relevant to lifts, such as the risk of damage to property and environment.

## 2 Terms and definitions

[ISO/TS 14798:2006](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/68529b99-1dde-45a8-8022-dc6ac2fae854/iso-ts-14798-2006)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/68529b99-1dde-45a8-8022-dc6ac2fae854/iso-ts-14798-2006>

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

### 2.1

#### **cause**

circumstance, condition, event or action that in a hazardous situation contributes to the production of an effect

### 2.2

#### **effect**

result of a cause in the presence of a hazardous situation

### 2.3

#### **harm**

physical injury or damage to the health of people, or damage to property or the environment

[ISO/IEC Guide 51:1999, 3.3]

### 2.4

#### **harmful event**

occurrence in which a hazardous situation results in harm

[ISO/IEC Guide 51:1999, 3.4]

NOTE In this document, the term “harmful event” is interpreted as a combination of “cause” and “effect”.

**2.5**

**hazard**

potential source of harm

NOTE The term “hazard” can be qualified in order to define its origin or the nature of the expected harm (e.g., electric shock hazard, crushing hazard, cutting hazard, toxic hazard, fire hazard, and drowning hazard).

[ISO/IEC Guide 51:1999, 3.5]

**2.6**

**hazardous situation**

circumstance in which people, property, or the environment are exposed to one or more hazards

[ISO/IEC Guide 51:1999, 3.6]

**2.7**

**life cycle**

period of usage of a component or a lift system

**2.8**

**protective measure**

means used to reduce risk

NOTE Protective measures include risk reduction by inherently safe design, protective devices, personal protective equipment, information for use and installation, and training

[ISO/IEC Guide 51:1999, 3.8]

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

**2.9**

**residual risk**

risk remaining after protective measures have been taken

[ISO/IEC Guide 51:1999, 3.9]

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/68529b99-1dde-45a8-8022-dc6ac2fae854/iso-ts-14798-2006>

**2.10**

**risk**

combination of the probability of occurrence of harm and the severity of that harm

[ISO/IEC Guide 51:1999, 3.2]

**2.11**

**risk analysis**

systematic use of available information to identify hazards and to estimate the risk

[ISO/IEC Guide 51:1999, 3.10]

**2.12**

**risk assessment**

overall process comprising a risk analysis and a risk evaluation

[ISO/IEC Guide 51:1999, 3.12]

**2.13**

**risk evaluation**

consideration of the risk analysis results to determine if the risk reduction is required

**2.14**

**scenario**

sequence of a hazardous situation, cause, and effect

**2.15**

**severity**

level of a potential harm



### 3 General principles

#### 3.1 Concept of safety

Safety, within this document, is considered as freedom from unacceptable risk. There can be no absolute safety. Some risks, defined in this Technical Specification as “residual risk” can remain. Therefore, a product or process (e.g., operation, use, inspection, testing, or servicing) can be only relatively safe. Safety is achieved by sufficient mitigation or reduction of the risk.

Safety is achieved by the search for an optimal balance between the ideal of absolute safety, the demand to be met by a product or process, and factors such as benefit to the user, suitability for purpose, cost effectiveness and conventions of the society concerned. Consequently, there is a need to review continually the established safety levels, in particular when experience necessitates review of the pre-set safety levels and when developments, both in technology and knowledge, can lead to feasible improvements to attain sufficient mitigation of the risk compatible with the use of a product, process, or service.

#### 3.2 Concept of risk assessment

**3.2.1** Safety is achieved by the iterative process of risk assessment (risk analysis and risk evaluation) and risk reduction (see Figure 1).

**3.2.2** Risk assessment is a series of logical steps that enables, in a systematic way, the examination of hazards associated with lifts. Risk assessment is followed, whenever necessary, by the risk reduction process, as described in Clause 7. When this process is repeated, it gives the iterative process for eliminating hazards as far as possible and for implementing protective measures.

**3.2.3** Risk assessment includes (standards.iteh.ai)

a) risk analysis:

- 1) determination of the subject of analysis (see 4.3);
- 2) identification of scenarios: hazardous situations, cause and effects (see 4.4);
- 3) risk estimation (see 4.5); and

b) risk evaluation (see Clause 5).

**3.2.4** Risk analysis provides the information required for the risk evaluation, which in turn allows judgments to be made on the level of safety of the lift, lift component, and any relevant process (e.g. operation, use, inspection, testing, or servicing).

**3.2.5** Risk assessment relies on judgmental decisions. These decisions should be supported by qualitative methods complemented, as far as possible, by quantitative methods. Quantitative methods are particularly appropriate when the foreseeable severity and extent of harm are high. Qualitative methods are useful to assess alternative safety measures and to determine which one gives better protection.

**NOTE** The application of quantitative methods is restricted by the amount of useful data that is available, and in many applications only a qualitative risk assessment is possible.

**3.2.6** The risk assessment shall be conducted so that it is possible to document the procedure, that has been followed and the results that have been achieved (see Clause 8).



## 4 Risk analysis procedure

### 4.1 Step 1 — Determination of the reason for conducting a risk assessment

Before a risk assessment process can start, the reason for the assessment should be determined.

It can be, but is not limited to, any of the following:

- a) verification that the risks are eliminated or sufficiently mitigated in relation to
  - 1) design for, or installation of, a lift or a component, or a subsystem thereof;
  - 2) the operation and use of a lift; or
  - 3) procedures for testing, inspection, servicing, or performing any other work with intent to maintain the lift or a lift component in its intended operating conditions.

NOTE This especially applies to lifts and their components for which no recognized relevant safety standards are available.

- b) development of standards and regulations that stipulate requirements related to lift safety.

### 4.2 Step 2 — Formation of a risk assessment team

#### 4.2.1 General

Considering the variety in designs, processes, and technologies relevant to lifts and the diversity in the interests and working experience of lift experts and, in order to minimize any bias, a team approach for this risk assessment process is preferable.

NOTE Risk assessment made by an individual might not be as comprehensive as that carried out by a team.

#### 4.2.2 Team members

Selection of the members of the team, including the team moderator, is of paramount importance for the success of this risk assessment process.

The team should be comprised of individuals with varied interests and having experience in all fields that can be affected by the product or process being assessed.

EXAMPLE When assessing a design of a lift with a view to the safety of mechanics who will service the lift, the team can include persons with related work experience in construction, installation, testing, inspection, and servicing, in addition to safety experts and experts in the design of various lift systems, subsystems, etc.

Experts with specialized knowledge can be engaged in a consulting role for all or appropriate portions of the risk assessment process. Such participation can significantly enhance the quality of the results.

#### 4.2.3 Team moderator

The team moderator should

- a) have an overall understanding of the product or process being assessed;
- b) understand the risk assessment process;
- c) be able to assume an impartial view free of any bias;
- d) have “facilitating” abilities;
- e) act as a facilitator rather than participant in the debates of the team, and
- f) be able to facilitate arbitration when no team consensus agreement can be reached.

NOTE For further information on the role and responsibilities of the moderator, refer to Annex E.

### 4.3 Step 3 — Determination of the subject of risk assessment and related factors

#### 4.3.1 Determination of the subject of the assessment

Once the reason for a risk assessment process is determined in accordance with 4.1, the subject of the assessment shall be determined as precisely as possible. Without limiting generalities, the subject can include one or more of the following:

- a) complete lift system:
  - 1) for a specific load, speed, travel, or a range thereof;
  - 2) for any location type, e.g., indoor or exposed to weather, in a public building or private residence, in a factory or a school;
  - 3) for a specified or unspecified life cycle (see 4.3.2.2);
  - 4) powered by any drive type, e.g., electric, hydraulic, etc.;
  - 5) in a building that is accessible to general public or has strictly controlled use and access thereto; and
  - 6) for transportation of persons from general public, defined category of persons, goods only, or combination thereof;
- b) component or subsystem of a lift in a), such as
  - 1) enclosure of car, lift well, machine room or machinery spaces;
  - 2) drive system or braking system, during normal operation or in case of emergency;
  - 3) entrances to the car and well (hoistway) or to the machine room or to the well pit area;
  - 4) operation control or motion control, incorporating diversified or specific technologies; and
  - 5) locking devices;
- c) persons in relation to a lift in a), such as those who
  - 1) use the lift for transportation;
  - 2) are in, or could gain access to, the area where any part of the lift is located or operated;
  - 3) perform any work on, or in the vicinity of, a lift, such as installing, testing, inspection, servicing, repairing, altering, cleaning (e.g., cleaning pit, car or well enclosures);
  - 4) have certain physical disabilities; and
  - 5) perform specific functions, e.g., fire fighting, transportation of hospital patients; and
- d) processes related to a lift or its components, such as
  - 1) installation;
  - 2) servicing;
  - 3) repairs;
  - 4) cleaning;
  - 5) testing;
  - 6) modernization; and
  - 7) replacement.

### 4.3.2 Determination of any additional factors and data to consider

#### 4.3.2.1 General

In addition to the reason (see 4.1) and the subject (see 4.3.1) for the risk assessment, any additional factors that can modify or clarify the subject shall be determined, and any experience with similar products should be taken into consideration in the course of the assessment.


#### 4.3.2.2 Life cycle of the subject being assessed

**4.3.2.2.1** The intended life cycle is an important factor in determining the probability that a given event can occur. It does not, however, always come into play. If a standard is being written to address intrinsic safety, the life cycle need not apply.

**EXAMPLE** A safe gap can be defined by “a dimension not exceeding  $x$ ”. This requirement is not related to time. Exceeding “ $x$ ” is deemed to be unsafe.

**4.3.2.2.2** Life cycle does have a role when considering the probability that a particular event will occur due to a component failure. In this situation, the life cycle of the system incorporating the component shall be considered. If, for example, the system is to perform its function for eight years, then the life of components shall at least match this to avoid a high probability of failure and, therefore, the occurrence of a given event. If, however, the component, through preventive maintenance, is replaced before failure occurs, the probability of the occurrence of a given event is low.

**EXAMPLE 1** If a component expected to perform its safety function no longer than eight years is incorporated in a lift system that is expected to operate safely during a 20 year interval, the lift will do so only if the component is replaced with a new one in intervals less than eight years, as shown in Figure 2.



#### Key

- 1 system life cycle time: 20 years
- 2 component life cycle, eight years
- 3 time of replacement of the component

**Figure 2 — Replacement of components with a component life cycle shorter than the system life cycle**

**EXAMPLE 2** If a component critical for lift safety could fail once or twice or three times during the life cycle of a lift system, the probability of the failure of the component, as well as the probability of an unsafe condition occurring on the lift system, would be estimated as “C — occasional” when estimating the risk in accordance with 4.5.4 and Table C.2 in Annex C. If, however, there is a program in place to regularly replace the component before its estimated probable failure, the probability of an unsafe condition occurring on the lift system would be estimated as “D — remote” or “E — improbable”, depending on the reliability of the component, as well as the reliability of the replacement program.

#### 4.3.2.3 Information and data

**4.3.2.3.1** Any available information and data that could assist in the qualitative and quantitative analysis should be taken into account, such as accident and incident history, including causes and effects, that is relevant to the subject of the assessment or to similar products or procedures.