



Designation: C1165 – 90 (Reapproved 2005)

Standard Test Method for Determining Plutonium by Controlled-Potential Coulometry in H₂SO₄ at a Platinum Working Electrode¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation C1165; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method covers the determination of milligram quantities of plutonium in unirradiated uranium-plutonium mixed oxide having a U/Pu ratio range of 0.1 to 10. This test method is also applicable to plutonium metal, plutonium oxide, uranium-plutonium mixed carbide, various plutonium compounds including fluoride and chloride salts, and plutonium solutions.

1.2 The recommended amount of plutonium for each aliquant in the coulometric analysis is 5 to 10 mg. Precision worsens for lower amounts of plutonium, and elapsed time of electrolysis becomes impractical for higher amounts of plutonium.

1.3 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

1.4 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.* Specific precautionary statements are given in Section 8.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

C757 Specification for Nuclear-Grade Plutonium Dioxide Powder, Sinterable

C758 Test Methods for Chemical, Mass Spectrometric, Spectrochemical, Nuclear, and Radiochemical Analysis of Nuclear-Grade Plutonium Metal

C759 Test Methods for Chemical, Mass Spectrometric, Spectrochemical, Nuclear, and Radiochemical Analysis of Nuclear-Grade Plutonium Nitrate Solutions

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee C26 on Nuclear Fuel Cycle and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee C26.05 on Methods of Test.

Current edition approved June 1, 2005. Published December 2005. Originally approved in 1990. Last previous edition approved in 2000 as C1165 – 90 (2000) ^{ε1}. DOI: 10.1520/C1165-90R05.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

C833 Specification for Sintered (Uranium-Plutonium) Dioxide Pellets

C859 Terminology Relating to Nuclear Materials

C1009 Guide for Establishing a Quality Assurance Program for Analytical Chemistry Laboratories Within the Nuclear Industry

C1068 Guide for Qualification of Measurement Methods by a Laboratory Within the Nuclear Industry

C1108 Test Method for Plutonium by Controlled-Potential Coulometry

C1128 Guide for Preparation of Working Reference Materials for Use in Analysis of Nuclear Fuel Cycle Materials

C1156 Guide for Establishing Calibration for a Measurement Method Used to Analyze Nuclear Fuel Cycle Materials

C1168 Practice for Preparation and Dissolution of Plutonium Materials for Analysis

C1210 Guide for Establishing a Measurement System Quality Control Program for Analytical Chemistry Laboratories Within the Nuclear Industry

C1297 Guide for Qualification of Laboratory Analysts for the Analysis of Nuclear Fuel Cycle Materials

3. Summary of Test Method

3.1 In controlled-potential coulometry, the analyte reacts at an electrode having a maintained potential that precludes reactions of as many impurity components as is feasible. In the electrolysis, current decreases exponentially as the reaction proceeds until a selected background current is reached. The quantity of analyte reacted is calculable by Faraday's law. Detailed discussions of the theory and applications of this technique are presented in Refs (1)³ and (2).

3.2 Plutonium and many impurity element ions are initially reduced in a 0.5 M H₂SO₄ electrolyte at a platinum working electrode (3) maintained at +0.310 V versus a saturated calomel electrode (SCE). Plutonium is then oxidized to Pu(IV) at a potential of +0.670 V. The quantity of plutonium is calculated from the number of coulombs required for oxidation according to Faraday's law.

$$Q = \int_0^t i dt = nWF/M \quad (1)$$

³ The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to a list of references at the end of the text.

Rearrangement to solve for w gives:

$$w = MQ/nF \quad (2)$$

where:

- w = weight of Pu(III) oxidized to Pu(IV), g,
- M = gram-molecular mass of plutonium (adjusted for isotopic composition), grams/equivalent,
- Q = number of coulombs to oxidize Pu(III) to Pu(IV), coulombs,
- n = number of electron change to oxidize Pu(III) to Pu(IV) = 1, and
- F = Faraday constant, coulomb/equivalent.

3.3 An electrolyte of sulfuric acid, that selectively complexes Pu(IV), provides very reproducible electrolysis of Pu(III) to Pu(IV). In a 0.5 M H₂SO₄ electrolyte, the reduction potential of +0.310 V for conversion of Pu(IV and VI) to Pu(III) and the oxidation potential of +0.670 V for conversion of Pu(III) to Pu(IV) accounts for about 99.9 % (as calculated from the Nernst equation) conversion of the total plutonium in solution. There are few interferences at the selected potentials of the metallic impurities usually listed in specifications for fast breeder reactor (FBR) mixed oxide fuel. A chemical calibration of the coulometric system using the selected potentials technique is necessary to correct for the less than 100 % conversions of Pu(III) and Pu(IV).

3.4 Sulfuric acid is a convenient electrolyte since it is used for preliminary fuming of samples to volatilize interfering components (see 5.3 and 5.4). The preliminary fuming with sulfuric acid also serves to depolymerize any polymeric plutonium species, which tend to be electrolytically inactive (3).

4. Significance and Use

4.1 This test method is to be used to ascertain whether or not materials meet specifications for plutonium content or plutonium assay, or both.

4.2 A chemical calibration of the coulometer is necessary for accurate results.

5. Interferences

5.1 Categories of interferences are diverse metal ions that oxidize or reduce at the potential of +0.670 V used for the

oxidation of Pu(III) to Pu(IV), organic matter, anions that complex plutonium, and oxygen.

5.2 The major interfering metallic impurity element, of those usually included in specifications for FBR mixed oxide fuel, is iron (4). In the 0.5 M H₂SO₄ electrolyte, the Fe(II) – Fe(III) and Pu(III) – Pu(IV) couples have essentially the same E° value of +0.490 V. The iron interference, therefore, is quantitative and is corrected based on its measured value that can be determined by a spectrophotometric method (5). Alternatively, other techniques such as ICP, DCP, or emission spectrometry can also be used if the iron content is sufficiently low. When the iron result is <20μ g/g, the lower limit of the spectrophotometric method, no correction is necessary. The best available method for iron determination is recommended since the uncertainty in the iron correction contributes to the uncertainty in the plutonium value.

5.3 Organic matter usually is not present in calcined mixed oxide fuel pellets nor in mixed oxide powder blends prepared using calcined uranium oxide and calcined plutonium oxide. However, it may be introduced as an impurity in reagents. The sulfuric acid fuming of reference material and of samples that precedes the coulometric analysis volatilizes most organic components.

5.4 The sulfuric acid fuming volatilizes nitrate, nitrite, fluoride, and chloride, that are introduced by the use of a nitric-hydrofluoric acid mixture or acid mixtures containing chloride for the dissolution of samples and interfere in the coulometric determination of plutonium.

5.5 Oxygen interferes and must be purged continuously from both the solution and atmosphere in the electrolysis cell with an oxygen-free inert gas before and during the electrolysis.

NOTE 1—The purge gas tube extends through the cell cover and is positioned approximately 1 cm above the sample solution in the cell. The inert gas flow is maintained at a flow rate that causes a dimple to be seen on the surface of the solution with the stirrer off. The inert gas flow rate should be such that no splashing occurs.

6. Apparatus

6.1 *Controlled-Potential Coulometer*—A potentiostat having stable potential control at approximately 200 mA and 20 V and an integrator capable of 0.05 % reproducibility are required. The linearity of the integrator should be better than 0.1 % for the selected range.

NOTE 2—To obtain maximum precision, it is recommended that the reference and sample aliquants contain approximately the same amount of plutonium.⁴

6.2 *Cell Assembly*—A cell assembly similar to the one described in Ref (5) has been used satisfactorily. Cell design is very critical in controlled-potential coulometry. There are many factors that must be considered in choosing or designing a cell assembly. It is beyond the scope of this test method to




FIG. 1 Example of a Cell Design Used at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL)

⁴ The sole source of supply of the apparatus known to the committee at this time is EG&G Princeton Applied Research Corp. If you are aware of alternative suppliers, please provide this information to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee,¹ which you may attend.