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Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the WTO principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see the following URL: Foreword — Supplementary information.

This committee responsible for this document is ISO/IEC JTC 1, Information Technology, Subcommittee SC 40, IT Service Maintenance and IT Governance.
Introduction

Information technology (IT) has become pervasive in supporting and enabling the strategy of organizations and this prevalence mandates the governance of IT as an organizational imperative.

Organizations have made significant investments in IT to automate business processes and to communicate and transact electronically with their customers and suppliers. The benefits from these investments have unfortunately not always materialised and in some instances, organizations have incurred significant financial and reputational damage as a result of IT failures. This has further heightened governing body awareness of the need for the governance of IT and of their responsibilities in this regard.

It might be, however, that some governing bodies are uncertain of what arrangements they need to have in place for the governance of IT.

This Technical Specification has therefore been developed to provide guidance on the implementation of governance of IT within organizations. It considers governance, both from the perspective of gaining assurance that the risks associated with the use of IT are appropriately managed, as well as ensuring that the organization maximizes the value from its investments in IT.

It expands on the model and principles for good governance of IT, as described in ISO/IEC 38500 and ISO/IEC/TR 38502, and provides guidance on a methodology for implementing principles-based governance of IT.
Information technology — Governance of IT — Implementation guide

1 Scope

1.1 Overview

This Technical Specification provides guidance on how to implement arrangements for effective
governance of IT within an organization.

1.2 Purpose

This Technical Specification identifies the key activities that an organization has to undertake to
implement governance of IT, in accordance with ISO/IEC 38500.

It provides guidance on the design and establishment of the arrangements for the governance of IT,
clarifying roles and responsibilities of key stakeholders within the organization, as well as providing
examples of matters to consider in the design of the governance of IT.

1.3 Audience

This Technical Specification can be used by individuals responsible for governance of IT within an
organization and individuals supporting in the governance of organizations. This Technical Specification
is applicable to organizations of all sizes and types.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are
indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated
references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO/IEC 38500, Corporate governance of information technology

ISO/IEC/TR 38502, Information technology — Governance of IT — Framework and model

3 Implementation approach

The implementation of the governance of IT should be based on a cyclic approach considering the model
presented in ISO/IEC 38500, Figure 1. The first cycle of activities involves the establishment of the initial
“implementation” or baseline, with subsequent cycles of the activities being used to support and enhance
the governance of IT implementation by means of continual improvement. The duration of cycles will be
different for each organization, depending on a number of factors including the organization’s size, its
industry, as well as the maturity of the governance of IT in the organization.

The implementation cycle comprises the following main activities which are expanded in the clauses below.

— Establish and sustain enabling environment: Commence by establishing an enabling environment
which ensures that all stakeholders are appropriately identified and made aware of their roles and
responsibilities. Subsequent cycles will ensure that the enabling environment is sustained.

— Govern IT: Progress to the evaluate, direct, and monitor activities to perform the governance of IT.
— **Continual review**: Review the governance of IT arrangements to determine whether desired outcomes are being achieved. If not, recommence the implementation cycle to effect the necessary changes, thereby ensuring continual improvement of the governance of IT implementation.

### 4 Establish and sustain enabling environment

#### 4.1 Overview

The execution and improvement of the governance of IT implementation activities will generally require clear leadership and commitment from the governing body and the executive managers of the organization.

The level of engagement of these stakeholders should be proportionate to the importance of the role of IT to the organization — both currently and in the future, as required by the organization’s goals and strategy.

This may lead to change in terms of organizational culture and behaviours in respect of IT, in addition to requiring new or improved processes in the governance of IT.

This is achieved through the identification and engagement of appropriate stakeholder groups, as well as the clarification of roles and responsibilities for the various stakeholders. This is an on-going activity and therefore needs to be revisited through each pass of the implementation cycle, as individual stakeholders can change and the stakeholder group responsibilities can mature over time. These activities are discussed below.

#### 4.2 Ensure internal stakeholder engagement

Two key stakeholder groups should be considered when commencing with a governance of IT implementation, namely the governing body and executive managers. Awareness should be developed in these stakeholder groups of the purpose and objectives of governing IT and their various roles and responsibilities in this regard (see ISO/IEC/TR 38502 for further detail on the relationships and boundaries between these key stakeholder groups).
Developing and maintaining awareness is an on-going process that is enhanced through the successive iterations of the activities described in this Technical Specification. The awareness should be initiated by holding briefing sessions and or workshops covering, inter alia:

- how business value is realised from the use of IT;
- the risks associated with maintaining current and implementing new IT capability;
- the need for the governance of IT and how it fits with corporate governance;
- the model and principles that are described in ISO/IEC 38500;
- the framework, roles and responsibilities of stakeholders as described in ISO/IEC/TR 38502;
- facilitating stakeholder assessments of the effectiveness of current governance of IT arrangements (see Annex A and Annex B).

The first cycle of the implementation provides the opportunity to explain and bed down these concepts in the context of the organization. This will lead to greater stakeholder understanding and ownership of their respective roles and responsibilities, as well as the identification of areas for improvement. Subsequent cycles will provide for the on-boarding of new stakeholders, as well as the refinement and updating of knowledge and responsibilities for existing stakeholders.

4.3 Clarify sponsorship and responsibilities

There are many activities associated with improving and maintaining effective governance of IT that need to be actively managed within the organization. These include awareness and education about the governance of IT, as well as on-going coordination and administration activities. It is therefore important to determine and appoint a sponsor and a small group of individuals on the first cycle of the implementation. This group is referred to as the Governance Steering Group in this Technical Specification but in smaller organizations it may simply be an individual. The Governance Steering Group has the responsibility to drive the adoption and or transformation of the governance of IT in the organization. These activities are discussed in further detail in 5.3.4.

The sponsor should be a key influential business/marketing/operations executive manager and should not be a risk or governance expert or department.

5 Govern IT

5.1 Overview

The three activities of the governance model in ISO/IEC 38500, namely evaluate, direct and monitor, take place in the Govern IT phase. These are framed and guided by the six principles of the standard (responsibility, strategy, acquisition, performance, conformance, human behaviour) within the context of the internal and external environments, as well as organization's culture for the governance of IT.

It is important to focus and describe what the result of the governance of IT should be when applying the ISO/IEC 38500 framework, rather than being process or control oriented. This will ensure that the governing body determines what needs to be achieved, rather than prescribing how it should be done, thereby appropriately guiding or steering the organization in its use of IT.

In addition, an appropriate mechanism of assessment is required, which must take into account the principles-based nature of ISO/IEC 38500.
5.2 Evaluate

5.2.1 Overview

The evaluate activity is used to establish the internal and external environment and to determine how the organization is currently supported and enabled through the use of IT (the current state).

The first cycle of the implementation approach also provides an opportunity to introduce, explain and reinforce the concepts of ISO/IEC 38500 and to highlight the value of the standard to key stakeholders. Subsequent cycles should also form a key part of the on-going awareness and education program for the governing body and executive managers.

5.2.2 Understand internal environment

The governing body should maintain an understanding of key aspects of the organization so that IT related assessments and decisions can be made that are relevant to the organization. Key considerations include:

— business goals;
— business strategy;
— risk appetite and performance;
— culture of the organization and tone at the top;
— organizational maturity and levels of skill, training and competence in the use of IT;
— strategic change initiatives;
— the need for innovative use of IT to obtain competitive advantage;
— assurance reporting including audit and risk;
— how key business processes use and are supported by IT;
— key IT services and how they are provided;
— how the organization engages with partner organizations.

Much of this information will be provided to the governing body for validation or review (e.g. business strategy, organizational performance, strategic change initiatives, risk appetite, etc.), however, some of the “softer”, more human aspects might not be formally quantified. In these instances, the governing body should request executive managers to perform organizational assessments so that this feedback can be presented to the governing body and taken into consideration.

5.2.3 Understand external environment

The governing body should ensure that they are kept appraised of external factors that might drive business opportunities and risks, thereby mandating IT related business change responses. These factors should form part of the environmental reviews that are presented by executive managers when preparing strategic plans for approval by the governing body. Key considerations include:

Regulatory environment The impacts that local and global regulations might have on how the organization treats its IT
Technological advances How advances in IT can be used to redefine business models and change the ways in which individuals engage
Generational trends The social and cultural expectations of younger generations and the risks and opportunities that these present for IT – both for members of the organization, as well as for consumers of the organization’s products and services
5.2.4 Identify current state of the use of IT

Once the internal and external context has been identified, the governing body can appropriately determine how the organization is currently being supported and enabled through the use of IT.

The principles-based evaluation method requires an assessment of the extent to which appropriate outcomes are being achieved for each of the principles of the ISO/IEC 38500 standard. Annex A provides an example assessment scheme and a suggested graphical representation for displaying the outcome of the assessment.

Careful judgement is required when performing these ratings, as the basis of assessment is qualitative in nature and variances may arise owing to differences in interpretation. Governing bodies should ensure that there is a broad base of participants in this process to achieve the best result.

One of the difficulties of evaluating at the principle level is that it is easy to exclude or neglect key aspects that might not be explicitly referenced. To this end, sample assessment criteria have been identified for each of the six ISO/IEC 38500 principles (see Annex B). These take the form of beneficial outcomes which are more granular in nature and thus more readily assessed. The evidence of success that is associated with these beneficial outcomes is primarily used for the monitor activity (described later), but may also be used to assist with the identification and assessment of the current state of achievement of the beneficial outcomes.

These assessment criteria may be used as a baseline for determining the current state during the initial ‘implementation’ cycle, but should then be revisited during subsequent iterations through the cycle to reflect the governing body’s evolving vision of how the organization is supported and enabled through appropriate use of IT.

5.3 Direct

5.3.1 Overview

The governing body should define how it believes the organization should be supported and enabled through the appropriate use of IT (the desired state). In addition, it should initiate an appropriate program of change activities and establish governance enabling mechanisms.

5.3.2 Define desired state for the use of IT

In order to assist the governing body in defining the desired state for the use of IT within the organization, the organizational culture or foundation upon which this vision will be based, should be determined.

The culture for the governance of IT should align with the broader governance criteria for the organization and represents the distillation of the governing body’s perspective on how the internal and external...