ASTM

Designation: D 3410/D 3410M – 95

Standard Test Method for
Compressive Properties of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials with Unsupported Gage Section by Shear Loading[1]

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 3410/D 3410M; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

This standard has been approved for use by agencies of the Department of Defense.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method determines the in-plane compressive properties of polymer matrix composite materials reinforced by high-modulus fibers. The composite material forms are limited to continuous-fiber or discontinuous-fiber reinforced composites for which the elastic properties are specially orthotropic with respect to the test direction. This test procedure introduces the compressive load into the specimen through shear at wedge grip interfaces. This type of load transfer differs from the procedure in Test Method D 5467 where compressive load is transmitted into the specimen by subjecting a honeycomb core sandwich beam with thin skins to four-point bending, or Test Method D 695 where compressive load is transmitted into the specimen by end-loading.

1.2 This procedure is applicable primarily to laminates made from prepreg or similar product forms. Other product forms may require deviations from the test method.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.4 The values stated in either SI units or inch-pound units are to be regarded separately as standard. Within the text the inch-pounds units are shown in brackets. The values stated in each system are not exact equivalents; therefore, each system must be used independently of the other. Combining values from the two systems may result in nonconformance with the standard.

NOTE 1—Additional procedures for determining compressive properties of resin-matrix composites may be found in Test Methods D 5467 and D 695.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*

D 695 Test Method for Compressive Properties of Rigid Plastics[2]

D 792 Test Method for Density and Specific Gravity (Relative Density) of Plastics by Displacement[2]

D 883 Terminology Relating to Plastics[2]

D 2584 Test Method for Ignition Loss of Cured Reinforced Resins[3]

D 2734 Test Methods for Void Content of Reinforced Plastics[3]

D 3171 Test Method for Fiber Content of Resin-Matrix Composites by Matrix Digestion[4]

D 3878 Terminology of High-Modulus Reinforced Fibers and Their Composites[4]

D 5229/D 5229M Test Method for Moisture Absorption Properties and Equilibrium Conditioning of Polymer Matrix Composite Materials[4]

D 5379/D 5379M Test Method for Shear Properties of Composite Materials by the V-Notched Beam Method[4]

D 5467 Test Method for Compressive Properties of Unidirectional Polymer Matrix Composites Using a Sandwich Beam[4]

E 4 Practices for Force Verification of Testing Machines[5]

E 6 Terminology Relating to Methods of Mechanical Testing[5]

E 83 Practice for Verification and Classification of Extensometers[5]

E 111 Test Method for Young's Modulus, Tangent Modulus, and Chord Modulus[5]

E 122 Practice for Choice of Sample Size to Estimate a Measure of Quality for a Lot or Process[6]

E 132 Test Method for Poisson's Ratio at Room Temperature[5]

E 177 Practice for Use of the Terms Precision and Bias in ASTM Test Methods[6]

E 251 Test Methods for Performance Characteristics of Metallic Bonded Resistance Strain Gages[5]

E 456 Terminology Relating to Quality and Statistics[6]

E 1237 Guide for Installing Bonded Resistance Strain Gages[5]

E 1309 Guide for the Identification of Composite Materials in Computerized Material Property Databases[4]

E 1313 Guide for the Development of Standard Data Records for Computerization of Material Property Data[7]

E 1434 Guide for the Development of Standard Data Records for Computerization of Mechanical Test Data for High-Modulus Fiber-Reinforced Composite Materials[4]

E 1471 Guide for the Identification of Fibers, Fillers, and Core Materials in Computerized Material Property Databases[4]

2.2 *ASTM Adjunct:*
Compression Fixture, D3410 Method B[8]

2.3 *Other Documents:*
ANSI Y14.5M-1982[9]
ANSI/ASME B46.1-1985[9]

3. Terminology

3.1 Terminology D 3878 defines terms relating to high-modulus fibers and their composites. Terminology D 883 defines terms relating to plastics. Terminology E 6 defines terms relating to mechanical testing. Terminology E 456 and Practice E 177 define terms relating to statistics. In the event of a conflict between terms, Terminology D 3878 shall have precedence over the other Terminology standards.

3.2 *Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:*

3.2.1 *nominal value*, *n*—a value, existing in name only, assigned to a measurable property for the purpose of convenient designation. Tolerances may be applied to a nominal value to define an acceptable range for the property.

3.2.2 *orthotropic material*, *n*—a material with a property of interest that, at a given point, possesses three mutually perpendicular planes of symmetry defining the principal material coordinate system for that property.

3.2.3 *principal material coordinate system*, *n*—a coordinate system with axes that are normal to the planes of symmetry that exist within the material.

3.2.4 *reference coordinate system*, *n*—a coordinate system for laminated composites used to define ply orientations. One of the reference coordinate system axes (normally the Cartesian x-axis) is designated the reference axis, assigned a position, and the ply principal axis of each ply in the laminate is referenced relative to the reference axis to define the ply orientation for that ply.

3.2.5 *specially orthotropic*, *adj*—a description of an orthotropic material as viewed in its principal material coordinate system. In laminated composites a specially orthotropic laminate is a balanced and symmetric laminate of the $[0_i/90_j]_{ns}$ family as viewed from the reference coordinate system, such that the membrane-bending coupling terms of the stress-strain relation are zero.

3.2.6 *transition strain, $e^{transition}$*, *n*—the strain value at the mid-range of the transition region between the two essentially linear portions of a bilinear stress-strain or strain-strain curve (a transverse strain-longitudinal strain curve as used for determining Poisson's ratio).

3.3 *Symbols:*

3.3.1 *A*—cross-sectional area of coupon.

3.3.2 B_y—percent bending in specimen.

3.3.3 *CV*—sample coefficient of variation, in percent.

3.3.4 *E*—modulus of elasticity in the test direction.

3.3.5 F^{cu}—ultimate compressive strength.

3.3.6 G_{xz}—through-thickness shear modulus of elasticity.

3.3.7 *h*—coupon thickness.

3.3.8 *i, j, n*—as used in a layup code, the number of repeats for a ply or group of plies of a material.

3.3.9 l_g—specimen gage length.

3.3.10 *s*—as used in a layup code, denotes that the preceding ply description for the laminate is repeated symetrically about its midplane.

3.3.11 *n*—number of specimens.

3.3.12 *P*—load carried by test specimen.

3.3.13 P^f—load carried by test specimen at failure.

3.3.14 P^{max}—maximum load before failure.

3.3.15 s_{n-1}—sample standard deviation.

3.3.16 *w*—coupon width.

3.3.17 x_i—measured or derived property.

3.3.18 $\bar{x}$—indicated normal strain from strain transducer.

3.3.19 ϵ—sample mean (average).

3.3.20 σ^c—compressive normal stress.

3.3.21 v^c—compressive Poisson's ratio.

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 A flat strip of material having a constant rectangular cross section, as shown in the specimen drawings of Figs. 1 and 2, is loaded in compression by a shear load acting along the grips. The shear load is applied via wedge grips in a specially designed fixture; shown in Fig. 3 for Procedure A, and Fig. 4 for Procedure B. The influence of this wedge grip design on fixture characteristics is discussed in 6.1.

4.2 To obtain compression test results, the specimen is inserted into the desired test fixture which is then placed between the platens of the testing machine and loaded in compression. The ultimate compressive strength of the material, as obtained with these test fixtures and specimens, can be obtained from the maximum load carried before failure. Strain is monitored with strain or displacement transducers so the stress-strain response of the material can be determined, from which the ultimate compressive strain, the compressive modulus of elasticity, Poisson's ratio in compression, and transition strain can be derived.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 This test method is designed to produce compressive property data for material specifications, research and development, quality assurance, and structural design and analysis. Factors that influence the compressive response and should therefore be reported include the following: material, methods of material preparation and layup, specimen stacking sequence, specimen preparation, specimen conditioning, environment of

---

[7] Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 14.01.

[8] A blueprint of the detailed drawing for the construction of the fixture shown in Fig. 4 is available at a nominal cost from ASTM Headquarters, 100 Barr Harbor Dr., PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428–2959. Order Adjunct ADJD3410.

[9] Available from American National Standards Institute, 11 W. 42nd St., 13th floor, New York, NY 10036.

D 3410/D 3410M

Notes:
1. Drawing interpretation per ANSI Y14.5M-1982 and ANSI/ASME B46.1-1985.
2. See Section 8 and Table 2 and Table 3 of the test standard for values of required or recommended width, thickness, gage length, tab length and overall length.
3. See test standard for values of material, ply orientation, use of tabs, tab material, tab angle, and tab adhesive.
4. Ply orientation tolerance relative to -A- ±0.5°.

FIG. 1 Compression Test Specimen Drawing, (SI with Tabs)

testing, specimen alignment and gripping, speed of testing, time at temperature, void content, and volume percent reinforcement. Properties, in the test direction, that may be obtained from this test method include:

5.1.1 Ultimate compressive strength,

5.1.2 Ultimate compressive strain,

5.1.3 Compressive (linear or chord) modulus of elasticity,

5.1.4 Poisson's ratio in compression, and

5.1.5 Transition strain.

6. Interferences

6.1 *Test Fixture Characteristics*—Although both methods in this test method transmit load to the specimen via tapered wedge grips, the wedges in Procedure A are conical and the wedges in Procedure B are rectangular. The conical wedges from Procedure A are known to be prone to cone-to-cone seating problems (1).[10] The rectangular wedge grip design used in Procedure B was employed to eliminate this wedge seating problem (1). In addition to these differences, the fixture used for Procedure A is much smaller in size and weight than the fixture used for Procedure B. A fixture characteristic that can have a significant effect on test results is the surface finish of the mating surfaces of the wedge grip assembly. Since these

surfaces undergo sliding contact they must be polished, lubricated, and nick free (11.5.1).

NOTE 2—An acceptable level of polish for the surface finish of wedge grip mating surfaces has been found to be one that ranges from 2 to 12 micro in. rms with a mean finish of 7 micro in. rms.

6.2 *Test Method Sensitivity*—Compression strength for a single material system has been shown to differ when determined by different test methods. Such differences can be attributed to specimen alignment effects, specimen geometry effects, and fixture effects even though efforts have been made to minimize these effects. Examples of the difference in test results between Procedures A and B of this test method and Test Method D 5467 can be found in Refs (1) and (2).

6.3 *Material and Specimen Preparation*—Compression modulus, and especially compression strength, are sensitive to poor material fabrication practices, damage induced by improper coupon machining, and lack of control of fiber alignment. Fiber alignment relative to the specimen coordinate axis should be maintained as carefully as possible, although no standard procedure to ensure this alignment exists. Procedures found satisfactory include the following: fracturing a cured unidirectional laminate near one edge parallel to the fiber direction to establish the 0° direction, or laying in small filament count tows of contrasting color fiber (aramid in carbon laminates and carbon in aramid or glass laminates) parallel to the 0° direction either as part of the prepreg production or as

---

[10] Boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this test method.

3

D 3410/D 3410M

Notes:
1. Drawing interpretation per ANSI Y14.5M-1982 and ANSI/ASME B46.1-1985
2. See Section 8 and Tables 2 and 3 of the test standard for values of required or recommended width, thickness, gage length, tab length and overall length.
3. See test standard for values of material, ply orientation, use of tabs, tab material, tab angle and tab adhesive.
4. Ply orientation tolerance relative to -A- ±0.5°

COMPRESSION TEST SPECIMEN WITHOUT TABS
SI VERSION
All Dimensions in mm
FIG. 2 Compression Test Specimen Drawing, (SI without Tabs)

part of panel fabrication.

6.4 *Tabbing and Tolerances*—The data resulting from these test methods have been shown to be sensitive to the flatness and parallelism of the tabs, so care should be taken to assure that the specimen tolerance requirements are met. This usually requires precision grinding of the tab surfaces after bonding them to the specimen.

6.5 *Thickness and Gage Length Selection*—The gage section for this test method is unsupported, resulting in a tradeoff in the selection of specimen gage length and the specimen thickness. The gage length must be short enough to be free from Euler (column) buckling, yet long enough to allow stress decay to uniaxial compression and to minimize Poisson restraint effects as a result of the grips. Minimum thickness requirements are provided in 8.2.3.

6.6 *Gripping*—A high percentage of grip-induced failures, especially when combined with high material data scatter, is an indicator of specimen gripping problems.

6.7 *System Alignment*—Excessive bending will cause premature failure, as well as highly inaccurate modulus of

D 3410/D 3410M

Notes:
1. Drawing interpretation per ANSI Y14.5M-1982 and ANSI/ASME B46.1-1985.
2. See Section 8 and Table 2 and Table 3 of the test standard for values of required or recommended width, thickness, gage length, tab length, and overall length.
3. See test standard for values of material, ply orientation, use of tabs, tab material, tab angle and tab adhesive.
4. Ply orientation tolerance relative to -A- ±0.5°.

FIG. 3 Compression Test Specimen Drawing, (Inch-Pound with Tabs)

elasticity determination. Every effort should be made to eliminate bending from the test system. Bending may occur because of misaligned (or out-of tolerance) grips or associated fixturing, or from the coupon itself if improperly installed in the grips or if it is out-of-tolerance as a result of poor specimen preparation.

7. Apparatus

7.1 *Micrometers*—The micrometer(s) shall use a suitable size diameter ball interface on irregular surfaces such as the bag side of a laminate and a flat anvil interface on machined edges or very smooth tooled surfaces. The accuracy of the instruments shall be suitable for reading to within 1 % of the sample width and thickness. For typical specimen geometries, an instrument with an accuracy of ± 2.5 µm [± 0.0001 in.] is desirable for thickness measurement, while an instrument with an accuracy of ± 25 µm [± 0.001 in.] is desirable for width measurement.

7.2 *Compression Fixtures*:

7.2.1 *Procedure A*—A sectional schematic and an exploded schematic of the fixture are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, respectively. The fixture has split collet-type grips (Items A and B, Fig. 6) with file face linings at both ends, alignment pins for proper closure, and closed width and thickness of 6 mm [0.25 in.] and 4 mm [0.15 in.], respectively. The grips have an outer 10° conical taper and fit into sleeves (Item C) with a matching inner taper. These sleeves fit snugly into a cylindrical shell

(Item D) that is not load-bearing during the test for ease of assembly and alignment. 13-mm [0.50-in.] wide preload spacer (Item E) is used to separate the grips and allow them to be closed with a preload of 200 to 400 N [50 to 100 lbf] without preloading the specimen. The assembled fixture with specimen is loaded in compression between flat steel platens of the testing machine.

7.2.2 *Procedure B*—This fixture is similar to the fixture of Procedure A, but uses rectangular wedges instead of conical wedges, and allows for variable width coupons. A sectional schematic and photographs of fixtures are shown in Figs. 7-9, respectively. Each set of specimen wedge grips fits into a mating set of wedges that fits into the upper and lower wedge housing block assemblies. By using mating wedge grip sets of different thicknesses, specimens of varying thickness can be tested in this fixture. Typically, the upper wedge housing block assembly is attached to the upper crosshead of the test machine while the lower wedge housing block assembly rests on a lower platen.

7.2.3 *Specimen Alignment Jig*—Compression test results generated by this test method are sensitive to the alignment of the specimen with respect to the longitudinal axis of the wedges in the test fixture. Specimen alignment can be accomplished by using alignment jigs on wedges that mechanically hold the specimen captive outside the fixture housing blocks (as shown in Fig. 10), or by using a custom jig or machinist square for a specimen inserted into wedge grips already in the

5

D 3410/D 3410M

COMPRESSION TEST SPECIMEN WITH TABS
INCH-POUND VERSION
All Dimensions in inches

Notes:
1. Drawing interpretation per ANSI Y14.5M-1982 and ANSI/ASME B46.1-1985.
2. See Section 8 and Table 2 and Table 3 of the test standard for values of required or recommended width, thickness, gage length, tab length, and overall length.
3. See test standard for values of material, ply orientation, use of tabs, tab material, tab angle and tab adhesive.
4. Ply orientation tolerance relative to -A- ±0.5°.

FIG. 4 Compression Test Specimen Drawing, (Inch-Pound without Tabs)

fixture housing blocks. Alignment jigs and procedures other than those described are acceptable provided they perform the same function.

7.3 *Testing Machine*—The testing machine shall be in conformance with Practices E 4, and shall satisfy the following requirements:

7.3.1 *Testing Machine Heads*—The testing machine shall have two loading heads, with at least one movable along the testing axis.

7.3.2 *Steel Platens*, two, flat, at least 20 mm [0.75 in.] thick, that act as the interfaces between the fixture and the testing machine. One of these platens may be coupled to the testing machine with a joint capable of eliminating rotational restraint, such as a hemispherical ball on the machine that fits into a hemispherical recess on a platen. Typically for Procedure B, the upper fixture assembly is attached directly to the upper crosshead, and a platen is used only between the lower crosshead and the lower fixture assembly.

NOTE 3—The use of a joint capable of eliminating rotational restraint, such as a hemispherical ball, or the use of rigid, parallel crossheads should both be considered for this test method. To determine the most appropriate

test configuration, a test fixture check-out procedure using aluminum specimens with back-to-back strain gages should be performed to determine the effect of test configuration on the accuracy and repeatability of test results.

7.3.3 *Drive Mechanism*—The testing machine drive mechanism shall be capable of imparting to the movable head a controlled displacement rate with respect to the stationary head. The displacement rate of the movable head shall be capable of being regulated as specified in 11.3.

7.3.4 *Load Indicator*—The testing machine load-sensing device shall be capable of indicating the total load being carried by the test specimen. This device shall be essentially free from inertia-lag at the specified rate of testing and shall indicate the load with an accuracy over the load range(s) of interest of within ±1 % of the indicated value, as specified by Practices E 4. The load range(s) of interest may be fairly low for modulus evaluation or much higher for strength evaluation, or both, as required.

NOTE 4—Obtaining precision load data over a large range of interest in the same test, such as when both elastic modulus and ultimate load are being determined, place extreme requirements on the load cell and its

6