Final draft ETSI ES 201 873-4 V4.6.1 (2017-03)

Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS); The Testing and Test Control Notation version 3; Part 4: TTCN-3 Operational Semantics

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
Full standard:
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/4e52-8cd2-55764a43db8/etsi-es-201-873-4-v4.6.1-2017-03

Reference

RES/MTS-201873-4 T3 ed461 OS

Keywords

language, testing, TTCN

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
Full standard:
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e4049141-c82f-4528-8cd2-5576c4a43d58/etsi-es-201-873-4-v4.6.1-2017-05

Important notice

The present document can be downloaded from:
http://www.etsi.org/standards-search

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the only prevailing document is the print of the Portable Document Format (PDF) version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at https://portal.etsi.org/TB/ETSIDeliverableStatus.aspx

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services:
https://portal.etsi.org/People/CommiteeSupportStaff.aspx

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.
The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.
The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2017.
All rights reserved.

DECT™, **PLUGTESTS**™, **UMTS**™ and the ETSI logo are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members.
3GPP™ and **LTE**™ are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.
GSM® and the GSM logo are Trade Marks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

Contents

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
Full standard:
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d40391d1-c82f-4e52-8cd2-557664a45db2/etsi-es-201-873-4-v4.6.1-2017-05

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a4039141-c82f-4e52-8cd2-5576e4a43db8/etsi-es-201873-4-v4.6.1-2017-05

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
Full standard:
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a4039141-c82f-1e32-8cd2-257764a43db5/etsi-es-201-873-4-v4.6.1-2017-05

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
Full standard:
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a1403914-c82f-4e52-8612-25756a43db8/etsi-es-201-873-4-v4.6.1-2017-05

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
Full standard:
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d4039141-c82f-4e52-8cd2-55764a43db8/etsi-es-201-873-4-v4.6.1-2017-03

Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: *"Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards"*, which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (https://ipr.etsi.org/).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Foreword

This final draft ETSI Standard (ES) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS), and is now submitted for the ETSI standards Membership Approval Procedure.

The present document is part 4 of a multi-part deliverable. Full details of the entire series can be found in part 1 [1].

NOTE: All formatting in the present document has been done intentionally. Underlined words denote special elements of the formal semantics. Their meaning is described in clauses 7 and 8.

Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "**shall**", "**shall not**", "**should**", "**should not**", "**may**", "**need not**", "**will**", "**will not**", "**can**" and "**cannot**" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the ETSI Drafting Rules (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"**must**" and "**must not**" are **NOT** allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
Full standard:
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d4039f41-c82f-4e52-8cd2-55766a43db8/etsi-es-201-873-4-v4.6.1-2017-05

1 Scope

The present document defines the operational semantics of TTCN-3. The present document is based on the TTCN-3 core language defined in ETSI ES 201 873-1 [1].

2 References

2.1 Normative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

Referenced documents which are not found to be publicly available in the expected location might be found at https://docbox.etsi.org/Reference/.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are necessary for the application of the present document.

[1] ETSI ES 201 873-1: "Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS); The Testing and Test Control Notation version 3; Part 1: TTCN-3 Core Language".

2.2 Informative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are not necessary for the application of the present document but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area.

Not applicable.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
ETSI ES 201 873-4 V4.6.1 (2017-03)
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d4039141-c82f-4e52-8c83-55766a63db8/etsi-es-201-873-4-v4.6.1-2017-03

3 Definitions and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the terms and definitions given in ETSI ES 201 873-1 [1] apply.

3.2 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

BNF	Backus-Nauer Form
MTC	Master Test Component
SUT	System Under Test
TTCN	Testing and Test Control Notation

4 Introduction

This clause defines the meaning of TTCN-3 behaviour in an intuitive and unambiguous manner. The operational semantics is not meant to be formal and therefore the ability to perform mathematical proofs based on this semantics is very limited.

This operational semantics provides a state oriented view on the execution of a TTCN module. Different kinds of states are introduced and the meaning of the different TTCN-3 constructs is described by:

1) using state information to define the preconditions for the execution of a construct; and

2) defining how the execution of a construct will change a state.

The operational semantics is restricted to the meaning of behaviour in TTCN-3, i.e. functions, altsteps, test cases, module control and language constructs for defining test behaviour, e.g. **`send`** and **`receive`** operations, **`if-else`**-, or **`while`**- statements. The meaning of some TTCN-3 constructs is explained by replacing them with other language constructs. For example, **`interleave`** statements are short forms for series of nested **`alt`** statements and the meaning of each **`interleave`** statement is explained by its replacement with a corresponding series of nested alt statements.

In most cases, the definition of the semantics of a language is based on an abstract syntax tree of the code that may be described. This semantics does not work on an abstract syntax tree but requires a graphical representation of TTCN-3 behaviour descriptions in form of flow graphs. A flow graph describes the flow of control in a function, alt step, test case or the module control. The mapping of TTCN-3 behaviour descriptions onto flow graphs is straightforward.

NOTE: The mapping of TTCN-3 statements onto flow graphs is an informal step and is not defined by using the BNF rules in ETSI ES 201 873-1 [1]. The reason for this is that the BNF rules are not optimal for an intuitive mapping because several static semantic rules are coded into BNF rules in order to allow static semantic checks during the syntax check.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai) Full standard: https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/

5 Structure of the present document

The present document is structured into four parts:

1) The first part (see clause 6) describes restrictions of the operational semantics, i.e. issues related to the semantics, which are not covered by the present document.

2) The second part (see clause 8) defines the meaning of TTCN-3 short cut and macro notations by their replacement with other TTCN-3 language constructs. These replacements in a TTCN-3 module can be seen as pre-processing step before the module can be interpreted according to the following operational semantics description.

3) The third part (see clause 9) describes the operational semantics of TTCN-3 by means of flow graph interpretation and state modification.

4) The fourth part (see clause 10) specifies the mapping of the different TTCN-3 statements onto flow graph segments, which provide the building blocks for flow graphs representing functions, alt steps, test cases and module control.

6 Restrictions

The operational semantics only covers behavioural aspects of TTCN-3, i.e. it describes the meaning of statements and operations. It does not provide:

a) A semantics for the data aspects of TTCN-3. This includes aspects like encoding, decoding and the usage of data imported from non-TTCN-3 specifications.

b) A semantics for the grouping mechanism. Grouping is related to the definitions part of a TTCN-3 module and has no behavioural aspects.

c) A semantics for the **`import`** statement. The import of definitions has to be done in the definitions part of a TTCN-3 module. The operational semantics handles imported definitions as if they are defined in the importing module.

d) A semantics for the visibility of definitions. The correct usage of imported definitions declared with **`public`**, **`private`** and **`friend`** visibility has to be checked by other means.

e) A semantics for fuzzy and lazy evaluation of variables and parameters. However, notes in the appropriate clauses of this standard refer to places where fuzzy and lazy evaluation has to be considered.

7 Replacement of short forms

7.0 General

Short forms have to be expanded by the corresponding complete definitions on a textual level before this operational semantics can be used for the explanation of TTCN-3 behaviour.

TTCN-3 short forms are:

- lists of module parameter, constant and variable declarations of the same type and lists of timer declarations;
- stand-alone receiving operations;
- stand-alone altsteps calls;
- **`trigger`** operations;
- missing **`return`** and **`stop`** statements at the end of function and test case definitions;
- missing **`stop`** execution statements;
- **`interleave`** statements;
- **`select-case`** statements;
- **`break`** and **`continue`** statements;
- **`disconnect`** and **`unmap`** operations without parameters; and
- default values of missing actual parameters.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
Full standard:
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d4039141-c82f-4f52-8cd2-55766a43db8/etsi-es-201-873-4-v4.6.1-2017-05

In addition to the handling of short forms, the operational semantics requires a special handling for module parameters, global constants, i.e. constants that are defined in the module definitions part, and pre-processing macros. All references to module parameters, global constants and pre-processing macros shall be replaced by concrete values. This means, it is assumed that the value of module parameters, global constants and pre-processing macros can be determined before the operational semantics becomes relevant.

NOTE 1: The handling of module parameters and global constants in the operational semantics will be different from their handling in a TTCN-3 compiler. The operational semantics describes the meaning of TTCN-3 behaviour and is not a guideline for the implementation of a TTCN-3 compiler.

NOTE 2: The operational semantics handles parameters of and local constants in test components, test cases, functions and module control like variables. The wrong usage of local constants or **`in`**, **`out`** and **`inout`** parameters has to be checked statically.

7.1 Order of replacement steps

The textual replacements of short forms, global constants and module parameters have to be done in the following order:

1) replacement of lists of module parameter, constant, variable and timer declarations with individual declarations;
2) replacement of global constants and module parameters by concrete values;
3) replacement of all **`select-case`** statements by equivalent nested **`if-else`** statements;
4) embedding stand-alone receiving operations into **`alt`** statements;
5) embedding stand-alone altstep calls into **`alt`** statements;
6) expansion of **`interleave`** statements;
7) replacement of all **`trigger`** operations by equivalent **`receive`** operations and **`repeat`** statements;
8) adding **`return`** at the end of functions without **`return`** statement, adding **`self`**.**`stop`** operations at the end of testcase definitions without a **`stop`** statement;
9) adding **`stop`** at the end a module control part without stop statement;
10) expansion of **break** statements;
11) expansion of **continue** statements;
12) adding default parameters to **`disconnect`** and **`unmap`** operations without parameters; and
13) adding default values of parameters.

NOTE: Without keeping this order of replacement steps, the result of the replacements would not represent the defined behaviour.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
Full standard:
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d4039141-c82f-4e2c-8e12-5576164a43db8/etsi-es-201-873-4-v4.6.1-2017-05

7.2 Replacement of global constants and module parameters

Constants declared in the module definitions part are global for module control and all test components that are created during the execution of a TTCN-3 module. Module parameters are meant to be global constants at run-time.

All references to global constants and module parameters shall be replaced by the actual values before the operational semantics starts the interpretation of the module. If the value of a constant or module parameter is given in form of an expression, the expression has to be evaluated. Then, the result of the evaluation shall replace all references of the constant or module parameter.

7.3 Embedding single receiving operations into alt statements

TTCN-3 receiving operations are: **`receive`**, **`trigger`**, **`getcall`**, **`getreply`**, **`catch`**, **`check`**, **`timeout`**, and **`done`**.

NOTE: The operations **`receive`**, **`trigger`**, **`getcall`**, **`getreply`**, **`catch`** and **`check`** operate on ports and they allow branching due to the reception of messages, procedure calls, replies and exceptions. The operations **`timeout`** and **`done`** are not real receiving operations, but they can be used in the same manner as receiving operations, i.e. as alternatives in **`alt`** statements. Therefore, the operational semantics handles **`timeout`** and **`done`** like receiving operations.

A receiving operation can be used as stand-alone statement in a function, an altstep or a test case. The **`timeout`** operation can also be used as stand-alone statement in module control. In such a case the receiving operation as considered to be shorthand for an **`alt`** statement with only one alternative defined by the receiving operation. For the operational semantics an **`alt`** statement in which the receiving statement is embedded shall replace all stand-alone occurrences of receiving operations.

EXAMPLE:

```
// The stand-alone occurrence of
     :
    MyCL.trigger(MyType:?);
     :

// shall be replaced by
     :
    alt {
        []  MyCL.trigger (MyType:?) { }
    }
     :

// or
     :
    MyPTC.done;
     :

// shall be replaced by
     :
    alt {
        []  MyPTC.done { }
    }
     :
```

7.4 Embedding stand-alone altstep calls into alt statements

TTCN-3 allows calling altsteps like functions in functions, altsteps, test cases and module control. The meaning of a stand-alone call of an altstep is given by an **alt** statement with one branch only that calls the altstep. The **alt** statement is responsible for the snapshot that is evaluated within the altstep and for the invocation of the default mechanism if none of the alternatives in the altstep can be chosen.

NOTE: An altsteps used in module control can only include alternatives with **timeout** operations and an **else** branch.

EXAMPLE:

```
// The stand-alone occurrence of
     :
    myAltstep(MyPar1Val);
     :

// shall be replaced by
     :
    alt {
        []  myAltstep(MyPar1Val) { }
    }
     :
```

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
Full standard:
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d0f5314a-82f-4e52-8cd2-55764a43db8/etsi-es-201-873-4-v4.6.1-2017-03

7.5 Replacement of interleave statements

The meaning of an **interleave** statement is defined by its replacement by a series of nested **alt** statements that has the same meaning. The algorithm for the construction of the replacement for an **interleave** statement is described in this clause. The replacement shall be made on a syntactical level.

Within an **interleave** statement it is not allowed:

1) to use the control transfer statements **for**, **while**, **do-while**, **goto**, **activate**, **deactivate**, **stop**, **repeat** and **return**;

2) to call altsteps;

3) to call user-defined functions which include communication operations;

4) to guard branches of the **interleave** statement with Boolean expressions; and

5) to specify **else** branches.