ETSI TR 143 030 V13.0.0 (2016-01)



Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+);
Radio network planning aspects
(3GPP TR 43.030 version 13.0.0 Release 13)

35 GLOBAL SYSTEM FOR A GLOBAL INITIATIVE MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS

Reference RTR/TSGG-0143030vd00 Keywords GSM

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Important notice

The present document can be downloaded from: http://www.etsi.org/standards-search

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the only prevailing document is the print of the Portable Document Format (PDF) version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status.

Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at

http://portal.etsi.org/tb/status/status.asp

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services: https://portal.etsi.org/People/CommiteeSupportStaff.aspx

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.

The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.

The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2016.
All rights reserved.

DECTTM, **PLUGTESTS**TM, **UMTS**TM and the ETSI logo are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members. **3GPP**TM and **LTE**TM are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

GSM® and the GSM logo are Trade Marks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: "Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (https://ipr.etsi.org/).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Foreword

This Technical Report (TR) has been produced by ETSI 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The present document may refer to technical specifications or reports using their 3GPP identities, UMTS identities or GSM identities. These should be interpreted as being references to the corresponding ETSI deliverables.

The cross reference between GSM, UMTS, 3GPP and ETSI identities can be found under http://webapp.etsi.org/key/queryform.asp.

Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "shall", "shall not", "should", "should not", "may", "need not", "will", "will not", "can" and "cannot" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the <u>ETSI Drafting Rules</u> (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"must" and "must not" are NOT allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

Contents

Annex A.6:	Example of RF-budget for GSM 700 Class4 MS handheld (peak power 2 W)	2 1
Annex A.5: Example of RF-budget for GSM 400 Class4 (peak power 2 W) in a (small) cell		
Annex A.4: Example of RF-budget for GSM 900 Class4 (peak power 2 W) in a small		
Annex A.3: (DCS1800 classes 1&2) Example of RF-budget for DCS 1800 MS RF-outpower 1 W & 250 mW		
Annex A.2:	(class 2) Example of RF-budget for GSM MS RF-output peak power 8 W	17
Annex A.1:	(GSM 900 class 4) Example of RF-budget for GSM 900 MS handheld RF-outp	
7 Bibliogr	raphy	14
•	y	
	adjustments	
5.3 Field	strength measurements	13
5.2 Envii	ronment factors	13
	uin obstacles	
	ation models	
4.9 Frequence4.10 Cells	udgets ranges arge cells mall cells ficrocells I re-use threshold e-off between Ec/No and C/fc cent channel suppressions nna patterns nna heights loss balance dimensioning nnel allocation uency hopping with extra long propagation delay	12
4.8 Chan	nel allocation	12
4.7 Cell	dimensioningdimensioning	13
4.6 Ante	loss balance	11
4.4 Anter 4.5 Anter	nna patterns	11
4.3 Adja	cent channel suppressions	11
4.2 Trade	e-off between Ec/No and C/fc and C/fc and control and	10
4.1 C/Ic	threshold difficultive the short of the shor	10
4 Channe	I re-use	1(
3.4.3 N	licrocells	10
3.4.2 Si	mall cells.	
3.4 Cell 1 3.4.1 L	ranges	3
3.3 RF-b	udgets	
3.2 Ec/N	o threshold	
	tion probability	
3 Cell cov	/erage	-
	uniform	
	Orm	
	distributions	
	eviations	
	rences	
Modal verbs to	erminology	
Foreword		2
Intellectual Pro	operty Rights	2

Annex A.7:	(DCS1800 class 1) Example of RF link budget for DCS 1800 MS RF-output peak
	power 1 W Handheld with External Low Noise Amplifier (LNA) connected to BTS22

Annex B	: Propagation loss formulas for mobile radiocommunications	24
B.1 Ha	ıta Model [4], [8]	24
B.1.1	Urban	
B.1.2	Suburban	
B.1.3 B.1.4	Rural (Quasi-open)	
B.2 C0	OST 231-Hata Model [7]	
B.3 C0	OST 231 Walfish-Ikegami Model [7]	25
B.3.1	Without free line-of-sight between base and mobile (small cells)	
B.3.1.1	Lo free-space loss	25
B.3.1.2	Lrts roof-top-to-street diffraction and scatter loss	
B.3.1.3 B.3.2	Lmsd multiscreen diffraction loss	
Annex C	: Path Loss vs Cell Radius	27
Annex D		31
D.1 Int		
D.2 De	efinition of Terms	31
D.3 Ga	in Requirements	32
D.4 Sp	purious/Intermodulation Products	32
D.5 Ot	roduction efinition of Terms in Requirements curious/Intermodulation Products cutput Power/Automatic Level Control (ALC) cal oscillator sideband noise attenuation clay Requirements cideband Noise cutdoor Rural Repeater Example Rural repeater example for GSM 900 Intermodulation products/ALC setting	33
D.6 Lo	and ancillator sidehand noise attack tion all and Add	22
D.0 L0	car oscillator sideband noise attenuation	33
D.7 De	elay Requirements	33
D.8 W	ideband Noise	34
D.9 Ou	utdoor Rural Repeater Example	34
D.9.1 D.9.1.1	Intermodulation products/ALC setting	34
D.9.1.1 D.9.1.2	Wideband noise	
D.10 Inc	door Low Power Repeater Example	35
D.10.1	Indoor repeater example for DCS 1800	35
D.10.1.1	Intermodulation products/ALC setting.	
D.10.1.2	Wideband noise	
	ample for a Repeater System using Frequency Shift	
D.11.1 D.11.1.1	Example for GSM 900	
D.11.1.2	Wideband noise	
D.11.1.3	Multipath environment	38
D.12 Re	peaters and Location Services (LCS)	
D.12.1	Uplink-TOA positioning method	
D.12.2 D.12.3	Enhanced Observed Time Difference positioning method	
	•	
Annex E	•	
History		43

Foreword

This Technical Report has been produced by the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The contents of the present document are subject to continuing work within the TSG and may change following formal TSG approval. Should the TSG modify the contents of the present document, it will be re-released by the TSG with an identifying change of release date and an increase in version number as follows:

Version x.y.z

where:

- x the first digit:
 - 1 presented to TSG for information;
 - 2 presented to TSG for approval;
 - 3 or greater indicates TSG approved document under change control.
- ange contro

 stance, i.e. techni

 only changes have been inco.

 ange contro

 i.e. techni

 contro

 ange contro

 i.e. techni

 ange contro

 i.e. techni the second digit is incremented for all changes of substance, i.e. technical enhancements, corrections, updates, etc.
- z the third digit is incremented when editorial only changes have been incorporated in the document.

1 Scope

The present document is a descriptive recommendation to be helpful in cell planning.

1.1 References

The following documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of the present document.

- References are either specific (identified by date of publication, edition number, version number, etc.) or non-specific.
- For a specific reference, subsequent revisions do not apply.
- For a non-specific reference, the latest version applies.
- [1] GSM 01.04: "Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+); Abbreviations and acronyms".
- [2] 3GPP TS 45.002: "Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+); Multiplexing and multiple access on the radio path".
- [3] 3GPP TS 45.005: "Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+); Radio transmission and reception".
- [4] 3GPP TS 45.008: "Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+); Radio subsystem link control".
- [5] CCIR Recommendation 370.5: "VHF and UHF propagation curves for the frequency range from 30 MHz to 1000 MHz".
- [6] CCIR Report 567-3: "Methods and statistics for estimating field strength values in the land mobile services using the frequency range 30 MHz to 1 GHz".
- [7] CCIR Report 842: "Spectrum-conserving terrestrial frequency assignments for given frequency-distance separations".
- [8] CCIR Report 740: "General aspects of cellular systems".

1.2 Abbreviations

Abbreviations used in the present document are given clause 6 (Glossary) and in GSM 01.04 [1].

2 Traffic distributions

2.1 Uniform

A uniform traffic distribution can be considered to start with in large cells as an average over the cell area, especially in the country side.

2.2 Non-uniform

A non-uniform traffic distribution is the usual case, especially for urban areas. The traffic peak is usually in the city centre with local peaks in the suburban centres and motorway junctions.

A bell-shaped area traffic distribution is a good traffic density macro model for cities like London and Stockholm. The exponential decay constant is on average 15 km and 7,5 km respectively. However, the exponent varies in different directions depending on how the city is built up. Increasing handheld traffic will sharpen the peak.

Line coverage along communication routes as motorways and streets is a good micro model for car mobile traffic. For a maturing system an efficient way to increase capacity and quality is to build cells especially for covering these line concentrations with the old area covering cells working as umbrella cells.

Point coverage of shopping centres and traffic terminals is a good micro model for personal handheld traffic. For a maturing system an efficient way to increase capacity and quality is to build cells on these points as a complement to the old umbrella cells and the new line covering cells for car mobile traffic.

Cell coverage 3

Location probability 3.1

Location probability is a quality criterion for cell coverage. Due to shadowing and fading a cell edge is defined by adding margins so that the minimum service quality is fulfilled with a certain probability.

For car mobile traffic a usual measure is 90 % area coverage per cell, taking into account the minimum signal-to-noise ratio Ec/No under multipath fading conditions. For lognormal shadowing an area coverage can be translated into a location probability on cell edge (Jakes, 1974).

For the normal case of urban propagation with a standard deviation of 7 dB and a distance exponential of 3.5, 90 % area coverage corresponds to about 75 % location probability at the cell edge. Furthermore, the lognormal shadow margin in this case will be 5 dB, as described in CEPT Recommendation T/R 25-03 and CCIR Report 740.

3.2 Ec/No threshold The mobile radio channel is characterized by wideband multipath propagation effects such as delay spread and Doppler shift as defined in 3GPP TS 45.005 annex C. The reference signal-to-noise ratio in the modulating bit rate bandwidth (271 kHz) is Ec/No = 8 dB including 2 dB implementation margin for the GSM system at the minimum service quality without interference. The Ec/No quality threshold is different for various logical channels and propagation conditions as described in 3GPP TS 45.005.

RF-budgets 3.3

The RF-link between a Base Transceiver Station (BTS) and a Mobile Station (MS) including handheld is best described by an RF-budget. Annex A consists of 7 such budgets; A.1 for GSM 900 MS class 4; A.2 for GSM 900 MS class 2, A.3 for DCS 1800 MS classes 1 and 2, A.4 for GSM 900 class 4 in small cells, A.5 for GSM 400 class 4 in small cells, A.6 for GSM 700 class 4 and A.7 for DCS 1800 MS class 1. GSM 900 RF-budgets should be used for 850 band.

The Mean Effective Gain (MEG) of handheld MS in scattered field representing the cell range taking into consideration absorption, detuning and mismatch of the handheld antenna by the human body (MEG = -antenna/body loss) of -13 dBi for GSM 400, -10dBi for GSM 700, -9 dBi for GSM 900 and -6 dBi for DCS 1800 is incorporated in annex A.1, A.3, A.4 and A.5 as shown from measurements in Tdoc SMG2 1075/99.

At 900 MHz, the indoor loss is the field strength decrease when moving into a house on the bottom floor on 1.5 m height from the street. The indoor loss near windows (< 1 m) is typically 12 dB. However, the building loss has been measured by the Finnish PTT to vary between 37 dB and -8 dB with an average of 18 dB taken over all floors and buildings (Kajamaa, 1985). See also CCIR Report 567.

At 1800 MHz, the indoor loss for large concrete buildings was reported in COST 231 TD(90)117 and values in the range 12 - 17 dB were measured. Since these buildings are typical of urban areas a value of 15 dB is assumed in annex A.3. In rural areas the buildings tend to be smaller and a 10 dB indoor loss is assumed.

The isotropic power is defined as the RMS value at the terminal of an antenna with 0 dBi gain. A quarter-wave monopole mounted on a suitable earth-plane (car roof) without losses has antenna gain 2 dBi. An isotropic power of -113 dBm corresponds to a field strength of 23.5 dBuV/m for 925 MHz and 29.3 dBuV/m at 1795 MHz, see CEPT

Recommendation T/R 25-03 and 3GPP TS 45.005 section 5 for formulas. GSM900 BTS can be connected to the same feeders and antennas as analog 900 MHz BTS by diplexers with less than 0.5 dB loss.

3.4 Cell ranges

3.4.1 Large cells

In large cells the base station antenna is installed above the maximum height of the surrounding roof tops; the path loss is determined mainly by diffraction and scattering at roof tops in the vicinity of the mobile i.e. the main rays propagate above the roof tops; the cell radius is minimally 1 km and normally exceeds 3 km. Hata"s model and its extension up to 2000 MHz (COST 231-Hata model) can be used to calculate the path loss in such cells (see COST 231 TD (90) 119 Rev 2 and annex B).

The field strength on 1.5 m reference height outdoor for MS including handheld is a value which inserted in the curves of CCIR Report 567-3 Figure 2 (Okumura) together with the BTS antenna height and effective radiated power (ERP) yields the range and re-use distance for urban areas (section 5.2).

The cell range can also be calculated by putting the maximum allowed path loss between isotropic antennas into the Figures 1 to 3 of annex C. The same path loss can be found in the RF-budgets in annex A. The figures 1 and 2 (GSM 900) in annex C are based on Hata"s propagation model which fits Okumura"s experimental curves up to 1500 MHz and figure 3 (DCS 1800) is based on COST 231-Hata model according to COST 231 TD (90) 119 Rev 2. GSM 900 models should be used for 850 band range calculation.

The example RF-budget shown in annex A.1 for a GSM900 MS handheld output power 2 W yields about double the range outdoors compared with indoors. This means that if the cells are dimensioned for handhelds with indoor loss 10 dB, the outdoor coverage for MS will be interference limited, see section 4.2. Still more extreme coverage can be found over open flat land of 12 km as compared with 3 km in urban areas outdoor to the same cell site.

For GSM 900 the Max EIRP of 50 W matches MS class 2 of max peak output power 8 W, see annex A.2.

An example RF budget for DCS 1800 is shown in annex A.3. Range predictions are given for 1 W and 250 mW DCS 1800 MS with BTS powers which balance the up- and down- links.

The propagation assumptions used in annex A1, A2, A3 are shown in the tables below:

For GSM 900:

	Rural	Rural	Urban
	(Open Area)	(Quasi-open)	
Base station	100	100	50
height (m)			
Mobile height (m)	1.5	1.5	1.5
Hata"s loss	90.7+31.8log(d)	95.7+31.8log(d)	123.3+33.7log(d)
formula (d in km)			
Indoor Loss (dB)	10	10	15

For DCS 1800:

	Rural	Rural	Urban (*)
	(Open Area)	(Quasi-Open)	
Base station	60	60	50
height (m)			
Mobile height (m)	1.5	1.5	1.5
COST 231	100.1+33.3log(d)	105.1+33.3log(d)	133.2+33.8log (d)
Hata"s loss			
formula (d in km)			
Indoor Loss (dB)	10	10	15

^(*) medium sized city and suburban centres (see COST 231 TD (90) 119 Rev2). For metropolitan centres add 3 dB to the path loss.

NOTE 1: The rural (Open Area) model is useful for desert areas and the rural (Quasi-Open) for countryside.

NOTE 2: The correction factors for Quasi-open and Open areas are applicable in the frequency range 100-2000 MHz (Okumura,1968).

3.4.2 Small cells

For small cell coverage the antenna is sited above the median but below the maximum height of the surrounding roof tops and so therefore the path loss is determined by the same mechanisms as stated in section 3.4.1. However large and small cells differ in terms of maximum range and for small cells the maximum range is typically less than 1-3 km. In the case of small cells with a radius of less than 1 km the Hata model cannot be used.

The COST 231-Walfish-Ikegami model (see annex B) gives the best approximation to the path loss experienced when small cells with a radius of less than 5 km are implemented in urban environments. It can therefore be used to estimate the BTS ERP required in order to provide a particular cell radius (typically in the range 200 m - 3 km).

The cell radius can be calculated by putting the maximum allowed path loss between the isotropic antennas into figure 4 of annex C.

The following parameters have been used to derive figure 4:

Width of the road, w = 20 m

Height of building roof tops, Hroof = 15 m

Height of base station antenna, Hb = 17 m

Height of mobile station antenna, Hm = 1.5 m

Road orientation to direct radio path, Phi = 90°

Building separation, b = 40 m

For GSM 900 the corresponding propagation loss is given by:

Loss (dB) = $132.8 + 38\log(d/km)$

For DCS 1800 the corresponding propagation loss is given by:

Loss (dB) = $142.9 + 38\log(d/km)$ for medium sized cities and suburban centres

Loss (dB) = $145.3 + 38\log(d/km)$ for metropolitan centres

An example of RF budget for a GSM 900 Class 4 MS in a small cell is shown in annex A.4.

3.4.3 Microcells

COST 231 defines a microcell as being a cell in which the base station antenna is mounted generally below roof top level. Wave propagation is determined by diffraction and scattering around buildings i.e. the main rays propagate in street canyons. COST 231 proposes the following experimental model for microcell propagation when a free line of sight exists in a street canyon:

Path loss in dB (GSM 900) = $101,7 + 26\log(d/km)$ d > 20 m Path loss in dB (DCS 1800) = $107,7 + 26\log(d/km)$ d > 20 m

The propagation loss in microcells increases sharply as the receiver moves out of line of sight, for example, around a street corner. This can be taken into account by adding 20 dB to the propagation loss per corner, up to two or three corners (the propagation being more of a guided type in this case). Beyond, the complete COST231-Walfish-Ikegami model as presented in annex B should be used.

Microcells have a radius in the region of 200 to 300 metres and therefore exhibit different usage patterns from large and small cells. They can be supported by generally smaller and cheaper BTS"s. Since there will be many different microcell environments, a number of microcell BTS classes are defined in 3GPP TS 45.005. This allows the most appropriate microcell BTS to be chosen based upon the Minimum Coupling Loss expected between MS and the microcell BTS. The MCL dictates the close proximity working in a microcell environment and depends on the relative BTS/MS antenna heights, gains and the positioning of the BTS antenna.

In order to aid cell planning, the micro-BTS class for a particular installation should be chosen by matching the measured or predicted MCL at the chosen site with the following table.

The microcell specifications have been based on a frequency spacing of 6 MHz between the microcell channels and the channels used by any other cell in the vicinity. However, for smaller frequency spacings (down to 1.8 MHz) a larger MCL must be maintained in order to guarantee successful close proximity operation. This is due to an increase in wideband noise and a decrease in the MS blocking requirement from mobiles closer to the carrier.

Standal sull sandrolster					
Micro-BTS class	Recommended MCL (GSM 900)		Recommended MCL (DCS 1800)		
	Normal	Small freq spacing	Normal	Small freq. spacing	
M1	60	364	60	68	
M2	55	59	55	63	
M3	50	11th 9 th 54	50	58	

Operators should note that when using the smaller frequency spacing and hence larger MCL the blocking and wideband noise performance of the micro-BTS will be better than necessary.

Operators should exercise caution in choosing the microcell BTS class and transmit power. If they depart from the recommended parameters in 45.005 they risk compromising the performance of the networks operating in the same frequency band and same geographical area.

4 Channel re-use

4.1 C/Ic threshold

The C/Ic threshold is the minimum co-channel carrier-to-interference ratio in the active part of the timeslot at the minimum service quality when interference limited. The reference threshold C/Ic = 9 dB includes 2 dB implementation margin on the simulated residual BER threshold The threshold quality varies with logical channels and propagation conditions, see 3GPP TS 45.005.

4.2 Trade-off between Ec/No and C/Ic

For planning large cells the service range can be noise limited as defined by Ec/No plus a degradation margin of 3 dB protected by 3 dB increase of C/Ic, see annex A.

For planning small cells it can be more feasible to increase Ec/No by 6 dB corresponding to an increase of C/Ic by 1 dB to cover shadowed areas better. C/(I+N) = 9 dB represents the GSM limit performance.

To permit handheld coverage with 10 dB indoor loss, the Ec/No has to be increased by 10 dB outdoors corresponding to a negligible increase of C/Ic outdoors permitting about the same interference limited coverage for MS including handhelds. The range outdoors can also be noise limited like the range indoors as shown in section 3.4 and annex A.1.

4.3 Adjacent channel suppressions

Adjacent channel suppression (ACS) is the gain (Ia/Ic) in C/I when wanted and unwanted GSM RF-signals co-exist on adjacent RF channels whilst maintaining the same quality as in the co-channel case, i.e. ACS = C/Ic - C/Ia. Taking into account frequency errors and fading conditions in the product of spectrum and filter of wanted and unwanted GSM RF-signals, ACS = 18 dB is typical as can be found in 3GPP TS 45.005.

 1^{st} ACS >= 18 dB, i.e. C/Ia1 <= -9 dB for C/Ic = 9 dB in 3GPP TS 45.005, imposes constraints of excluding the 1^{st} adjacent channel in the same cell. However, the 1^{st} adjacent channel can be used in the 1^{st} adjacent cell, as C/Ic <= 12 dB and ACS >= 18 dB gives an acceptable handover- margin of >= 6 dB for signalling back to the old BTS as shown in 3GPP TS 45.008. An exception might be adjacent cells using the same site due to uplink interference risks.

 2^{nd} ACS >= 50 dB, i.e. C/Ia2 <= -41 dB for C/Ic = 9 dB in 3GPP TS 45.005, implies that due to MS power control in the uplink, as well as intra-cell handover, it is possible that the 2^{nd} adjacent channel can be used in the same cell. Switching transients are not interfering due to synchronized transmission and reception of bursts at co-located BTS.

4.4 Antenna patterns

Antenna patterns including surrounding masts, buildings, and terrain measured on ca 1 km distance will always look directional, even if the original antenna was non-directional. In order to achieve a front-to-back ratio F/B of greater than 20 dB from an antenna with an ideal F/B > 25 dB, backscattering from the main lobe must be suppressed by using an antenna height of at least 10 m above forward obstacles in ca 0.5 km. In order to achieve an omni-directional pattern with as few nulls as possible, the ideal non-directional antenna must be isolated from the mast by a suitable reflector. The nulls from mast scattering are usually in different angles for the duplex frequencies and should be avoided because of creating path loss imbalance.

The main lobe antenna gains are typically 12-18 dBi for BTS, and 2-5 dBi for MS. Note that a dipole has the gain 0 dBd = 2 dBi.

4.5 Antenna heights

The height gain under Rayleigh fading conditions is approximately 6 dB by doubling the BTS antenna height. The same height gain for MS and handheld from reference height 1.5 m to 10 m is about 9 dB, which is the correction needed for using CCIR Recommendation 370.

4.6 Path loss balance

Path loss balance on uplink and downlink is important for two-way communication near the cell edge. Speech as well as data transmission is dimensioned for equal quality in both directions. Balance is only achieved for a certain power class (section 3.4).

Path loss imbalance is taken care of in cell selection in idle mode and in the handover decision algorithms as found in 3GPP TS 45.008. However, a cell dimensioned for 8 W MS (GSM 900 class 2) can more or less gain balance for 2 W MS handheld (GSM 900 class 4) by implementing antenna diversity reception on the BTS.

4.7 Cell dimensioning

Cell dimensioning for uniform traffic distribution is optimized by at any time using the same number of channels and the same coverage area per cell.