



**Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+);
Circuit switched voice capacity evolution for
GSM/EDGE Radio Access Network (GERAN)
(3GPP TR 45.914 version 13.0.0 Release 13)**

PREVIEW
iTech (http://www.it-eu.info)
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7ed9c046-f54e-4478-8ce7-ab97291e7e55/etsi-tr-145-914-v13.0.0-2016-01

Reference

RTR/TSGG-0145914vd00

Keywords

GSM

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Important notice

The present document can be downloaded from:

<http://www.etsi.org/standards-search>

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the only prevailing document is the print of the Portable Document Format (PDF) version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at

<http://portal.etsi.org/tb/status/status.asp>

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services:

<https://portal.etsi.org/People/CommiteeSupportStaff.aspx>

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.

The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.

The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2016.

All rights reserved.

DECT™, **PLUGTESTS™**, **UMTS™** and the ETSI logo are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members. **3GPP™** and **LTE™** are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

GSM® and the GSM logo are Trade Marks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: *"Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards"*, which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (<https://ipr.etsi.org>).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Foreword

This Technical Report (TR) has been produced by ETSI 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The present document may refer to technical specifications or reports using their 3GPP identities, UMTS identities or GSM identities. These should be interpreted as being references to the corresponding ETSI deliverables.

The cross reference between GSM, UMTS, 3GPP and ETSI identities can be found under <http://webapp.etsi.org/key/queryform.asp>.

Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "**shall**", "**shall not**", "**should**", "**should not**", "**may**", "**need not**", "**will**", "**will not**", "**can**" and "**cannot**" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the [ETSI Drafting Rules](#) (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"**must**" and "**must not**" are **NOT** allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

Contents

Intellectual Property Rights	2
Foreword.....	2
Modal verbs terminology.....	2
Foreword.....	12
Introduction	12
1 Scope	14
2 References	14
3 Definitions, symbols and abbreviations	15
3.1 Definitions	15
3.2 Symbols.....	15
3.3 Abbreviations	15
4 Objectives.....	16
4.1 Performance Objectives	16
4.1.1 Capacity Improvements at the BTS	16
4.1.2 Capacity Improvements at the Air Interface	17
4.2 Compatibility Objectives.....	17
4.2.1 Maintainance of Voice Quality	17
4.2.2 Support of Legacy Mobile Stations	17
4.2.3 Implementation Impacts to new Mobile Stations	17
4.2.4 Implementation Impacts to BSS	17
4.2.5 Impacts to Network Planning.....	18
5 Common Working Assumptions for Candidates Evaluation	18
5.1 General parameters	18
5.2 Definition of Model for External Interferers for Link Level Evaluations	20
5.2.1 Synchronous Network Mode	20
5.2.2 Asynchronous Network Mode	21
5.2.2.1 Interferer delay profiles.....	22
5.2.3 Sensitivity limited scenarios	22
5.2.4 Frequency Offsets	22
5.2.5 Uplink Interferer Profiles	22
5.3 Network Configurations	23
5.4 Channel Mode Adaptation.....	23
5.5 System Performance Evaluation Method	24
5.5.1 Definition of Effective Frequency Load for Mixed Frequency Reuse	25
5.6 Definition of Minimum Call Quality Performance	26
5.7 Link-to-System Mapping	26
5.8 Impairments of the Mobile Station.....	26
5.8.1 Subchannel power imbalance ratio on DL	26
5.8.2 Frequency Offset Impairment Model in UL	27
5.9 Reference BTS Receiver	27
6 Speech Capacity Enhancement using DARP	27
6.1 Concept Description	27
6.1.1 Principle	27
6.1.2 Downlink signal modulation schemes	28
6.1.2.1 Equal power level between two desired users	29
6.1.2.2 Spectrum analysis	31
6.1.2.3 Different power levels between two desired users	31
6.1.3 Power control in co-TCH MUROS operation.....	32
6.1.4 BTS changes for co-TCH MUROS operation	33
6.1.5 Adaptive pulse shaping for MUROS modulation	34
6.2 Performance Characterization	38

6.2.1	Link Level Performance	38
6.2.1.1	Sensitivity performance	38
6.2.1.2	Interference performance	40
6.2.1.2.1	MTS-1 configuration	40
6.2.1.2.2	MTS-2 configuration	41
6.2.1.2.3	MTS-3 configuration	42
6.2.1.2.4	MTS-4 configuration	43
6.2.1.3	Link level performance with power imbalance	44
6.2.1.4	SACCH performance on MUROS and non-MUROS	49
6.2.1.4.1	Non-MUROS and MUROS Sensitivity Performance	49
6.2.1.4.2	Non-MUROS and MUROS Interference Performance	50
6.2.2	Network Level Performance	54
6.2.2.1	System Setup and Configurations	54
6.2.2.1.1	Enabled features for system simulations	55
6.2.2.1.2	Simulated Channel Mode Adaptations	55
6.2.2.2	Simulation Results	56
6.2.2.2.1	MUROS-1 with 100% penetration	56
6.2.2.2.1.1	TU 50km/hr channel model	56
6.2.2.2.1.2	TU 3km/hr channel model	57
6.2.2.2.2	MUROS-2 with 100% penetration	57
6.2.2.2.2.1	TU 50km/hr channel model	57
6.2.2.2.3	MUROS-3A with 100% penetration	59
6.2.2.2.3.1	TU 50km/hr channel model	59
6.2.2.2.3.2	TU 3km/hr channel model	59
6.2.2.2.4	MUROS-3B with 100% penetration	60
6.2.2.2.4.1	TU 50km/hr channel model	60
6.2.2.2.4.2	TU 3km/hr channel model	61
6.2.2.2.5	MUROS-2 with less than 100% penetration	61
6.2.2.2.5.1	TU 3km/hr channel model	61
6.2.2.2.6	Summary	62
6.2.2.3	Performance Summary	62
6.2.3	Performance Summary	63
6.2.4	Verification of Link to System Mapping	63
6.3	Impacts on the Mobile Station	63
6.4	Impacts on the BSS	63
6.4.1	Impact on BTS transmitter	63
6.4.2	Impact on BTS receiver	64
6.4.3	Impact on Radio Resource Management	64
6.5	Impacts on Network Planning	64
6.6	Impacts on the Specification	64
6.7	Summary of Evaluation versus Objectives	65
6.7.1	Performance objectives	65
6.7.2	Compatibility objectives	66
6.8	References	67
7	Orthogonal Sub Channels for Circuit Switched Voice Capacity Evolution	67
7.1	Concept description	67
7.1.1	Overview	67
7.1.2	Downlink concept	67
7.1.2.1	Basic OSC concept	67
7.1.2.1.1	Mapping of user bits using QPSK modulation	67
7.1.2.1.2	Burst structure, training sequence, tail and guard bits	68
7.1.2.1.3	Tx pulse shaping filter	69
7.1.2.1.3.1	Investigated Candidate TX Pulse Shapes	69
7.1.2.1.3.1.1	Candidate Pulse Shape 1	69
7.1.2.1.3.1.2	Candidate Pulse Shape 2	71
7.1.2.1.3.2	Comparison of Filter Characteristics	72
7.1.2.1.4	Symbol rotation	73
7.1.2.1.5	DTX handling when one sub channel is inactive	73
7.1.2.1.6	FACCH signalling	73
7.1.2.1.7	SACCH signalling	73
7.1.2.2	Enhanced OSC concept	74

7.1.2.2.1	Sub channel specific power control.....	74
7.1.2.2.2	Power Balancing.....	75
7.1.2.2.3	Soft Stealing for FACCH with sub channel specific power control.....	75
7.1.2.2.4	Soft Stealing for SACCH with sub channel specific power control.....	76
7.1.2.2.5	User Diversity.....	76
7.1.2.2.5.1	Basic User Diversity.....	76
7.1.2.2.5.2	Optimized User Diversity.....	77
7.1.2.2.5.3	Support of Optimized User Diversity for scenarios with mixed MS types.....	78
7.1.2.2.5.4	Benefits of Optimized User Diversity.....	84
7.1.3	Uplink concept.....	85
7.1.3.1	Modulation and burst structure.....	85
7.1.3.2	Usage of new training sequences.....	85
7.1.3.3	Tx pulse shape.....	85
7.1.3.4	Associated control channels.....	85
7.1.3.5	User diversity.....	85
7.1.3.6	BTS receiver.....	85
7.1.4	RR signalling.....	85
7.2	Performance Characterization.....	86
7.2.1	Link Level Performance.....	86
7.2.1.1	Sensitivity Performance.....	86
7.2.1.1.1	Sensitivity in downlink.....	86
7.2.1.1.1.1	Sensitivity in downlink without sub channel specific power control.....	86
7.2.1.1.1.2	Sensitivity in downlink with subchannel specific power control.....	89
7.2.1.1.2	Sensitivity in uplink.....	90
7.2.1.2	Interference Performance.....	91
7.2.1.2.1	Interference limited performance in downlink.....	91
7.2.1.2.1.1	Interference performance in downlink without subchannel specific power control.....	91
7.2.1.2.1.1.1	Performance for MUROS Test Scenario 1.....	91
7.2.1.2.1.1.2	Performance for MUROS Test Scenario 2.....	91
7.2.1.2.1.1.3	Performance for Using Optimized TX Pulse Shapes.....	93
7.2.1.2.1.2	Interference performance in downlink with subchannel specific power control.....	94
7.2.1.3	Results from: MUROS – Performance of Legacy MS.....	96
7.2.1.3.1	Simulation Assumptions.....	96
7.2.1.3.1.1	Legacy Terminals.....	96
7.2.1.3.1.2	Transmitted MUROS Signal.....	97
7.2.1.3.1.3	MUROS Interference Models.....	97
7.2.1.3.1.4	Other Simulation Parameter.....	97
7.2.1.3.2	Downlink Performance Results.....	97
7.2.1.3.2.1	Sensitivity Performance.....	97
7.2.1.3.2.2	MTS-1 Performance.....	98
7.2.1.3.2.3	MTS-2 Performance.....	99
7.2.1.3.2.4	MTS-3 Performance.....	100
7.2.1.3.2.5	MTS-4 Performance.....	101
7.2.1.3.2.6	ACI Performance.....	102
7.2.1.3.3	Summary of results.....	103
7.2.2	Network Level Performance.....	103
7.2.2.1	Network Configurations.....	103
7.2.2.2	Performance results.....	104
7.2.2.2.1	MUROS-1.....	105
7.2.2.2.2	MUROS-2.....	105
7.2.2.2.3	MUROS-3.....	105
7.2.2.2.4	OSC capacity gains and HW efficiency.....	106
7.2.2.2.5	Performance of optimized user diversity.....	107
7.2.2.2.6	Performance applying Sub Channel Specific Power Control for OSC.....	108
7.2.2.2.7	Performance for Usage of Optimized TX Pulse Shape in Downlink.....	109
7.2.2.2.7.1	Setup for System Performance Evaluation.....	109
7.2.2.2.7.1.1	Network configurations.....	109
7.2.2.2.7.1.2	Channel mode adaptation.....	109
7.2.2.2.7.1.3	Link to system interface.....	109
7.2.2.2.7.2	System Performance Results.....	109
7.2.2.2.7.2.1	MUROS-1.....	109
7.2.2.2.7.2.2	MUROS-2.....	111

7.2.2.2.7.3	Performance Comparison.....	113
7.2.2.2.7.3.1	Introduction.....	113
7.2.2.2.7.3.2	Comparison.....	113
7.2.2.2.7.3.3	Interference analysis.....	114
7.2.3	Performance Summary.....	115
7.2.4	Modelling methodology for a VAMOS and legacy mobile receiver.....	115
7.2.4.1	Introduction.....	115
7.2.4.2	L2S Modelling Methodology.....	115
7.2.4.3	Initial Interference Profile.....	116
7.2.4.4	"ACP" factors.....	117
7.2.4.4.1	Introduction.....	117
7.2.4.4.2	RawBER "ACP" factors for VAMOS I receiver.....	117
7.2.4.4.3	RawBER "ACP" factors for Legacy Non-DARP receiver.....	118
7.2.4.5	Final Interference Profile.....	119
7.2.5	Verification of Link to System Mapping.....	121
7.2.5.1	Introduction.....	121
7.2.5.2	Link To System Interface For Vamos-I Receiver.....	121
7.2.5.3	Mappings For The Vamos-I Receiver.....	122
7.2.5.3.1	MUROS-1.....	122
7.2.5.3.1.1	50 % VAMOS-I mobile penetration.....	122
7.2.5.3.1.2	75 % VAMOS-I mobile penetration.....	123
7.2.5.3.1.3	100 % VAMOS-I mobile penetration.....	124
7.2.5.3.2	MUROS-2.....	126
7.2.5.3.2.1	50 % VAMOS-I mobile penetration.....	126
7.2.5.3.2.2	75 % VAMOS-I mobile penetration.....	127
7.2.5.3.2.3	100 % VAMOS-I mobile penetration.....	128
7.2.5.3	Mappings For The Legacy Non-Darp Receiver.....	130
7.3	Impacts on the Mobile Station.....	131
7.4	Impacts on the BSS.....	131
7.4.1	BTS Transmitter.....	131
7.4.2	BTS Receiver.....	131
7.4.3	Radio Resource Management (RRM).....	132
7.4.3.1	Power Control.....	132
7.4.3.2	Dynamic Channel Allocation (DCA).....	132
7.4.3.3	AMR Channel Rate and Codec Mode Adaptation.....	132
7.5	Impacts on Network Planning.....	132
7.5.1	Impacts to Abis interface.....	132
7.5.1.1	Impact of OSC on Abis allocation strategy.....	132
7.5.1.2	Impact of OSC on bandwidth consumption.....	133
7.5.1.3	Abis migration paths.....	133
7.5.2	Impacts on Frequency Planning.....	134
7.6	Impacts on the Specifications.....	134
7.7	Summary of Evaluation versus Objectives.....	134
7.7.1	Performance objectives.....	135
7.7.2	Compatibility objectives.....	136
7.8	References.....	137
8	Adaptive symbol constellation.....	139
8.1	Concept Description.....	139
8.1.1	Symbol Constellation for the Downlink.....	139
8.1.2	α -QPSK Modulator.....	140
8.1.3	Choice of Symbol Constellation.....	141
8.1.4	Adaptive Constellation Rotation.....	142
8.1.5	Frequency hopping.....	144
8.1.5.1	Legacy support.....	147
8.1.5.2	Additional signaling.....	147
8.1.6	SAM - Single Antenna MIMO - for VAMOS.....	147
8.1.6.1	Concept description.....	147
8.1.6.1.1	Computational Complexity.....	149
8.2	Performance Characterization.....	149
8.2.1	Link Level Performance.....	149
8.2.1.1	Simulation assumptions.....	150

8.2.1.2	Sensitivity Performance	151
8.2.1.2.1	SAIC receiver	151
8.2.1.2.1.1	Support of legacy mobiles	153
8.2.1.2.2	MUROS receiver	154
8.2.1.2.2.1	Symbol Constellation Detection	154
8.2.1.2.2.2	Constellation Rotation Detection	155
8.2.1.2.3	SIC receiver	156
8.2.1.2.3.1	Investigations by Telefon AB LM Ericsson	156
8.2.1.2.3.1.1	Simulation assumptions	156
8.2.1.2.3.1.2	Performance Plots	157
8.2.1.2.3.2	Investigations by ST-NXP Wireless France	158
8.2.1.2.3.2.1	Simulation Assumptions	158
8.2.1.2.3.2.1	Simulation Results for Downlink	159
8.2.1.3	Interference Performance	161
8.2.1.3.1	non-SAIC receiver	161
8.2.1.3.2	SAIC receiver	163
8.2.1.3.2.1	Adaptive Constellation Rotation	171
8.2.1.3.3	MUROS receiver	173
8.2.1.3.3.1	Constellation Rotation Detection	173
8.2.1.3.4	SIC receiver	174
8.2.1.3.4.1	Investigations by Telefon AB LM Ericsson	174
8.2.1.3.4.1.1	Simulation assumptions	174
8.2.1.3.4.1.2	Performance Plots for MTS Test Scenarios	175
8.2.1.3.4.2	Investigations by ST-NXP Wireless France	178
8.2.1.3.4.2.1	Introduction	178
8.2.1.3.4.2.2	Simulation Assumptions for Uplink	179
8.2.1.3.4.2.3	Simulation Results for Uplink	180
8.2.1.3.4.2.4	Simulation Assumptions for Downlink	183
8.2.1.3.4.2.5	Simulation Results for Downlink	183
8.2.1.3.4.2.6	Conclusions	187
8.2.1.3.5	SAM Receiver for VAMOS	187
8.2.1.3.5.1	Simulation assumptions	187
8.2.1.3.5.2	Performance plots	188
8.2.1.4	Results from: MUROS - Performance of Alpha-QPSK with Legacy DARP MS	193
8.2.1.4.1	Simulation Assumptions	193
8.2.1.4.1.1	Legacy Terminals	193
8.2.1.4.1.2	Transmitted MUROS Signal	194
8.2.1.4.1.3	Alpha-QPSK	194
8.2.1.4.1.4	MUROS Interference Models	195
8.2.1.4.1.5	Other Simulation Parameters	195
8.2.1.4.2	Downlink Performance Results	195
8.2.1.4.2.1	Sensitivity Performance	195
8.2.1.4.2.2	MTS-1 Performance	196
8.2.1.4.2.3	MTS-2 Performance	197
8.2.1.4.2.4	MTS-3 Performance	198
8.2.1.4.2.5	MTS-4 Performance	199
8.2.1.4.3	Summary of Results	200
8.2.2	Network Level Performance	200
8.2.2.1	Adaptive constellation rotation	200
8.2.2.2	Support of legacy non-DARP Phase I receivers using α -QPSK	201
8.2.2.2a	Downlink power control using α -QPSK	202
8.2.2.2.1	SCPIR distributions in different system simulations	203
8.2.2.3	Evaluation of VAMOS MAIO hopping	204
8.2.2.3a	MAIO Hopping Scheme Methodology	205
8.2.2.3a.1	MAIO Hopping Sequence Generation	205
8.2.2.3a.2	Channel Allocation and Adaptation	205
8.2.2.3a.3	Power Control	206
8.2.2.3a.4	Mechanism for Applying MAIO Hopping	206
8.2.2.3a.5	Penetration Levels and MS Types	206
8.2.2.3a.6	Link 2 System Interface	206
8.2.2.3a.7	System Performance Evaluation	206
8.2.2.3a.7.1	Simulation assumption	206

8.2.2.3a.7.2	Channel modes.....	207
8.2.2.3a.7.3	Minimum call quality performance.....	207
8.2.2.3a.7.4	System performance results	207
8.2.2.4	Evaluation of wide pulse for VAMOS	208
8.2.2.4.1	Background	208
8.2.2.4.2	Methodology	209
8.2.2.4.2.1	Power control.....	210
8.2.2.4.2.2	Channel allocation	210
8.2.2.4.2.3	Modelling of link performance	210
8.2.2.4.3	Results	210
8.2.2.4.3.1	Simulation assumptions	210
8.2.2.4.3.2	System capacity gains	210
8.2.2.4.3.2.1	MUROS-2, MS penetration scenario I.....	210
8.2.2.4.3.2.2	MUROS-2, MS penetration scenario II.....	211
8.2.2.4.3.2.2	MUROS-3A and MUROS3-B, MS penetrations scenario I.....	211
8.2.2.4.3.2.2	MUROS-3A and MUROS3-B, MS penetrations scenario I.....	212
8.2.2.4.3.3	Impact on legacy users.....	212
8.2.2.4.3.3.1	MUROS-2	213
8.2.2.4.3.4	Discussion.....	215
8.2.3	Verification of Link to System Mapping	215
8.2.3.1	Methodology, DL.....	215
8.2.3.1.1	Interference scenarios	215
8.2.3.1.2	Raw BER verification levels	216
8.2.3.1.3	Interference statistics	217
8.2.3.1.4	Adjacent channel interference	217
8.2.3.1.5	Mappings	217
8.2.3.2	Verification, DL	219
8.2.3.2.1	SAIC.....	219
8.2.3.2.2	non-SAIC.....	221
8.2.3.2.3	SAM	222
8.2.4	Verification of 4-dimension Link to System Mapping	224
8.2.4.1	Methodology, DL.....	224
8.2.4.2	Simulation, DL.....	225
8.2.4.3	Verification, DL	226
8.2.5	Methodology and verification of integrated link simulator modeling	228
8.2.5.1	Methodology	228
8.2.5.1.1	Interferers	228
8.2.5.1.1.1	Interferer types.....	228
8.2.5.1.1.2	Limit of interferers.....	228
8.2.5.1.1.2.1	Limiting the number of interferers	228
8.2.5.1.1.2.3	Conservation of energy	229
8.2.6	Results.....	229
8.2.6.1	Limit of number of interferers.....	229
8.2.6.2	Impact on simulated system capacity	230
8.3	Impacts on the Mobile Station.....	231
8.3.1	Legacy mobile stations	231
8.3.2	Mobile stations supporting Adaptive symbol constellation	231
8.4	Impacts on the BSS	231
8.5	Impacts on Network Planning	232
8.6	Impacts on the Specification	232
8.7	Summary of Evaluation versus Objectives.....	232
8.7.1	Performance objectives.....	232
8.7.2	Compatibility objectives	233
8.8	References	235
9	Higher Order Modulations for MUROS	238
9.1	Concept Description	238
9.1.1	Downlink	238
9.1.1.1	Speech multiplexing.....	238
9.1.1.1.1	Speech Multiplexing and Interleaving.....	239
9.1.1.2	Modulation Schemes and Training Sequences.....	239

9.1.1.3	Legacy GMSK MS Support	240
9.1.1.4	Codecs support and Achievable Code Rates	241
9.1.1.5	DTX	242
9.1.1.5.1	DTX Configuration Signaling	242
9.1.1.5.1.1	Examples	243
9.1.1.5.2	Signalling Rate and Signaling Channel Coding	244
9.1.1.5.3	Average Channel Usage	244
9.1.1.6	Hopping	244
9.1.1.7	Power Control	245
9.1.1.8	SACCH	247
9.1.1.9	FACCH	247
9.1.2	Uplink	247
9.1.2.1	Speech multiplexing	247
9.1.2.1.1	Legacy Support	248
9.1.2.2	Training Sequences	249
9.1.2.3	Burst Format Signaling	250
9.1.2.4	DTX	250
9.1.2.5	Hopping	250
9.1.2.6	Codecs support and Achievable Code Rates	251
9.1.2.7	Power Control	251
9.1.2.8	SACCH	251
9.1.3	Dynamic Channel Allocation	251
9.2	Performance Characterization	252
9.2.1	Link Level Performance	252
9.2.1.1	Sensitivity Performance	252
9.2.1.2	Interference Performance	254
9.2.1.2.1	Co-channel Performance	254
9.2.1.2.2	MTS-x Performance	256
9.2.1.2.2.1	Downlink	257
9.2.1.2.3	Co-Channel Performance with Power Control	261
9.2.1.3	MTS-1 to MTS-4 Performance	266
9.2.1.4	Adjacent Interference Performance	274
9.2.2	Network Level Performance	274
9.2.3	Verification of Link to System Mapping	275
9.3	Impacts on the Mobile Station	275
9.4	Impacts on the BSS	275
9.5	Impacts on Network Planning	275
9.6	Impacts on the Specification	275
9.7	Summary of Evaluation versus Objectives	276
9.7.1	Performance Objectives	276
9.7.2	Compatibility Objectives	276
9.8	References	277
10	New Training Sequences	278
10.1	Training sequence candidates proposed	278
10.1.1	TSC Set proposed by Nokia [10-1]	278
10.1.2	TSC Set proposed by Motorola [10-2]	279
10.1.3	TSC Set proposed by China Mobile [10-3]	279
10.1.4	TSC Set proposed by Research in Motion Ltd [10-4]	280
10.1.5	TSC Set proposed by Telefon AB LM Ericsson [10-5]	280
10.1.6	TSC Set proposed by Huawei Technologies Ltd [10-6]	281
10.1.7	TSC Set-2 proposed by Research in Motion Ltd [10-7]	281
10.1.8	TSC Set-2 proposed by Huawei Technologies Ltd [10-8]	282
10.1.9	TSC Set-2 proposed by Motorola [10-9]	282
10.2	Training sequence evaluation and selection	282
10.3	References	283
11	Associated Control Channel Design	284
11.1	Shifted SACCH	284
11.1.1	Concept Description	284
11.1.2	Performance Characterization	285
11.1.2.1	Link Level Performance	285

11.1.2.1.1	Degradation of SACCH Performance introduced by MUROS	285
11.1.2.1.1.1	Simulation assumptions	285
11.1.2.1.1.2	SACCH Performance in MTS-1	286
11.1.2.1.1.3	SACCH Performance in MTS-2	287
11.1.2.1.2	Degradation of Relative Performance between SACCH and TCH introduced by MUROS	287
11.1.2.1.2.1	Simulation assumptions	287
11.1.2.1.2.2	Performance of SACCH and TCH in legacy DARP	288
11.1.2.1.2.3	Performance of SACCH and TCH in MUROS	288
11.1.2.1.2.4	Performance Analysis	290
11.1.2.1.3	Performance of Shifted SACCH with different SCPIRs	291
11.1.2.1.3.1	Simulation assumptions	291
11.1.2.1.3.2	SACCH Performance in MTS-1	291
11.1.2.1.3.3	SACCH performance in MTS-2	292
11.1.2.1.3.4	TCH/AHS5.9 performance in MTS-1	293
11.1.2.1.3.5	TCH/AHS5.9 MUROS performance in MTS-2	293
11.1.2.1.3.6	Performance analysis	293
11.1.2.1.4	Performance of Shifted SACCH in DTX	294
11.1.2.1.4.1	Performance evaluation methods in DTX	294
11.1.2.1.4.2	Simulation assumptions	295
11.1.2.1.4.3	SACCH and AHS4.75 Performance in MTS-1	296
11.1.2.1.4.4	SACCH and AHS4.75 performance in MTS-2	297
11.1.2.1.4.5	Performance analysis	297
11.1.2.1.5	Performance of Shifted SACCH for VAMOS level II and non-SAIC receivers	298
11.1.2.1.5.1	Simulation assumptions	298
11.1.2.1.5.2	VAMOS level II receiver	298
11.1.2.1.5.3	Non-SAIC receiver	301
11.1.2.1.5.4	Shifted SACCH without DTX	302
11.1.2.1.5.5	Performance analysis	303
11.1.3	Impacts on the Mobile Station	303
11.1.3.1	Legacy mobile stations	303
11.1.3.2	Mobile stations supporting Shifted SACCH	303
11.1.4	Impacts on the BSS	303
11.2	References	304
11.3	DTX-based Repeated SACCH (DRSACCH)	304
11.3.1	Concept Description	304
11.3.1.1	Transmission of SACCH repetition	304
11.3.1.2	Process of SACCH repetition at receiver	304
11.3.1.3	SACCH mappings for MUROS	304
11.3.1.3.1	MUROS 26-frame multiframe	304
11.3.1.3.2	MUROS SACCH repetition mappings in DRSACCH	305
11.3.1.4	Compatibility	306
11.3.1	Further SACCH Performance Enhancements	306
11.3.2.1	Combination of DRSACCH and RSACCH	306
11.3.2.2	Combination of DRSACCH and SSACCH	306
11.3.1	Performance Characterization	307
11.3.3.1	Link Level Performance	307
11.3.3.1.1	Performance of TCH, SACCH and RSACCH in non-MUROS mode	308
11.3.3.1.2	Performance of TCH, SACCH and RSACCH in MUROS mode	308
11.3.3.1.3	Relative performance between TCH and SACCH in Non-MUROS and MUROS modes	310
11.3.3.1.4	Impact of DTX operation on MUROS speech channels	311
11.3.3.1.5	Performance of SACCH enhancement techniques in MUROS	312
11.3.3.1.6	Throughput of SACCH information	314
11.3.3.1.7	Impact of SACCH enhancements on MUROS speech channels	318
11.3.3.2	Analysis of RLT counter for SACCH enhancements	319
11.3.3.2.1	Description	319
11.3.3.2.2	Expiration probabilities of RLT counter	320
11.3.3.2.3	CDF of minimal RLT counter value	326
11.3.4	References	330
12	Summary of Evaluation versus Objectives for each Candidate Technique	332
12.1	Performance Objectives	332
12.2	Compatibility Objectives	333

13 Conclusions334

Annex A: Change history335

History336

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
Full standard:
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7ed9e046-f54e-4478-8ce7-ab97291e45e5/etsi-tr-145-914-v13.0.0-2016-01>

Foreword

This Technical Report has been produced by the 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The contents of the present document are subject to continuing work within the TSG and may change following formal TSG approval. Should the TSG modify the contents of the present document, it will be re-released by the TSG with an identifying change of release date and an increase in version number as follows:

Version x.y.z

where:

- x the first digit:
 - 1 presented to TSG for information;
 - 2 presented to TSG for approval;
 - 3 or greater indicates TSG approved document under change control.
- y the second digit is incremented for all changes of substance, i.e. technical enhancements, corrections, updates, etc.
- z the third digit is incremented when editorial only changes have been incorporated in the document.

Introduction

Recently, the GSM network is seeing its greatest expansion due to the increased demand for mobile voice services in emerging markets. Furthermore, most of these emerging markets have densely populated cities and limited radio spectrum. Thus the increase of voice capacity in the circuit switched domain in an evolutionary manner is a key issue for operators in these markets.

To help operators in these scenarios to alleviate the strain on their networks, new techniques are required to improve the voice capacity on the basis of reusing existing network equipment and radio resource. These have been investigated during the MUROS feasibility study and candidate solutions proposed in this feasibility study are based on multiplexing two or more users onto one time slot without degrading the speech quality. These solutions are unlike the speech codec approach to increase network capacity by increasing speech compression, e.g. multiplexing two GSM-HR mobiles onto one time slot but rather to maintain the same speech encoding by multiplexing four GSM-HR mobiles onto one time slot.

The Technical Report is structured in the following way:

Chapter 1 elaborates the scope of the MUROS feasibility study.

Chapter 2 and 3 contain usual elements like References, Definitions, Symbols and Abbreviations.

Chapter 4 lists the defined performance and compatibility objectives for MUROS.

Chapter 5 depicts the common working assumptions for the performance evaluation of MUROS candidate solutions.

Chapter 6 to 9 contain the four candidate solutions for MUROS, in particular:

the candidate solution 'Speech Capacity Enhancement using DARP' in Chapter 6

the candidate solution 'Orthogonal Sub Channels for Circuit Switched Voice Capacity Evolution' in Chapter 7

the candidate solution 'Adaptive symbol constellation' in Chapter 8

the candidate solution 'Higher Order Modulations for MUROS' in Chapter 9

Chapter 10 lists the proposed candidates for the new set of training sequences.