



**Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+);  
Circuit switched voice capacity evolution for  
GSM/EDGE Radio Access Network (GERAN)  
(3GPP TR 45.914 version 13.0.0 Release 13)**

PREVIEW  
it-eu.com  
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7ed9c046-f54e-4478-8ce7-ab97291e7e55/et-145-914-v13.0.0-2016-01

---

**Reference**RTR/TSGG-0145914vd00

---

**Keywords**GSM

---

**ETSI**

650 Route des Lucioles  
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

---

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C  
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la  
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

---

**Important notice**

---

The present document can be downloaded from:

<http://www.etsi.org/standards-search>

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the only prevailing document is the print of the Portable Document Format (PDF) version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at

<http://portal.etsi.org/tb/status/status.asp>

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services:

<https://portal.etsi.org/People/CommitteeSupportStaff.aspx>

---

**Copyright Notification**

---

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.

The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.

The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2016.

All rights reserved.

**DECT™**, **PLUGTESTS™**, **UMTS™** and the ETSI logo are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members. **3GPP™** and **LTE™** are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

**GSM®** and the GSM logo are Trade Marks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

---

## Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: *"Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards"*, which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (<https://ipr.etsi.org>).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

---

## Foreword

This Technical Report (TR) has been produced by ETSI 3rd Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The present document may refer to technical specifications or reports using their 3GPP identities, UMTS identities or GSM identities. These should be interpreted as being references to the corresponding ETSI deliverables.

The cross reference between GSM, UMTS, 3GPP and ETSI identities can be found under <http://webapp.etsi.org/key/queryform.asp>.

---

## Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "**shall**", "**shall not**", "**should**", "**should not**", "**may**", "**need not**", "**will**", "**will not**", "**can**" and "**cannot**" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the [ETSI Drafting Rules](#) (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"**must**" and "**must not**" are **NOT** allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

# Contents

Intellectual Property Rights .....	2
Foreword.....	2
Modal verbs terminology.....	2
Foreword.....	12
Introduction .....	12
1 Scope .....	14
2 References .....	14
3 Definitions, symbols and abbreviations .....	15
3.1 Definitions .....	15
3.2 Symbols.....	15
3.3 Abbreviations .....	15
4 Objectives.....	16
4.1 Performance Objectives .....	16
4.1.1 Capacity Improvements at the BTS .....	16
4.1.2 Capacity Improvements at the Air Interface .....	17
4.2 Compatibility Objectives.....	17
4.2.1 Maintainance of Voice Quality .....	17
4.2.2 Support of Legacy Mobile Stations .....	17
4.2.3 Implementation Impacts to new Mobile Stations .....	17
4.2.4 Implementation Impacts to BSS .....	17
4.2.5 Impacts to Network Planning .....	18
5 Common Working Assumptions for Candidates Evaluation .....	18
5.1 General parameters .....	18
5.2 Definition of Model for External Interferers for Link Level Evaluations .....	20
5.2.1 Synchronous Network Mode .....	20
5.2.2 Asynchronous Network Mode .....	21
5.2.2.1 Interferer delay profiles .....	22
5.2.3 Sensitivity limited scenarios .....	22
5.2.4 Frequency Offsets .....	22
5.2.5 Uplink Interferer Profiles .....	22
5.3 Network Configurations .....	23
5.4 Channel Mode Adaptation.....	23
5.5 System Performance Evaluation Method .....	24
5.5.1 Definition of Effective Frequency Load for Mixed Frequency Reuse .....	25
5.6 Definition of Minimum Call Quality Performance .....	26
5.7 Link-to-System Mapping .....	26
5.8 Impairments of the Mobile Station.....	26
5.8.1 Subchannel power imbalance ratio on DL .....	26
5.8.2 Frequency Offset Impairment Model in UL .....	27
5.9 Reference BTS Receiver .....	27
6 Speech Capacity Enhancement using DARP .....	27
6.1 Concept Description .....	27
6.1.1 Principle .....	27
6.1.2 Downlink signal modulation schemes .....	28
6.1.2.1 Equal power level between two desired users .....	29
6.1.2.2 Spectrum analysis .....	31
6.1.2.3 Different power levels between two desired users .....	31
6.1.3 Power control in co-TCH MUROS operation.....	32
6.1.4 BTS changes for co-TCH MUROS operation .....	33
6.1.5 Adaptive pulse shaping for MUROS modulation .....	34
6.2 Performance Characterization .....	38

6.2.1	Link Level Performance .....	38
6.2.1.1	Sensitivity performance .....	38
6.2.1.2	Interference performance .....	40
6.2.1.2.1	MTS-1 configuration .....	40
6.2.1.2.2	MTS-2 configuration .....	41
6.2.1.2.3	MTS-3 configuration .....	42
6.2.1.2.4	MTS-4 configuration .....	43
6.2.1.3	Link level performance with power imbalance .....	44
6.2.1.4	SACCH performance on MUROS and non-MUROS .....	49
6.2.1.4.1	Non-MUROS and MUROS Sensitivity Performance .....	49
6.2.1.4.2	Non-MUROS and MUROS Interference Performance .....	50
6.2.2	Network Level Performance .....	54
6.2.2.1	System Setup and Configurations .....	54
6.2.2.1.1	Enabled features for system simulations .....	55
6.2.2.1.2	Simulated Channel Mode Adaptations .....	55
6.2.2.2	Simulation Results .....	56
6.2.2.2.1	MUROS-1 with 100% penetration .....	56
6.2.2.2.1.1	TU 50km/hr channel model .....	56
6.2.2.2.1.2	TU 3km/hr channel model .....	57
6.2.2.2.2	MUROS-2 with 100% penetration .....	57
6.2.2.2.2.1	TU 50km/hr channel model .....	57
6.2.2.2.3	MUROS-3A with 100% penetration .....	59
6.2.2.2.3.1	TU 50km/hr channel model .....	59
6.2.2.2.3.2	TU 3km/hr channel model .....	59
6.2.2.2.4	MUROS-3B with 100% penetration .....	60
6.2.2.2.4.1	TU 50km/hr channel model .....	60
6.2.2.2.4.2	TU 3km/hr channel model .....	61
6.2.2.2.5	MUROS-2 with less than 100% penetration .....	61
6.2.2.2.5.1	TU 3km/hr channel model .....	61
6.2.2.2.6	Summary .....	62
6.2.2.3	Performance Summary .....	62
6.2.3	Performance Summary .....	63
6.2.4	Verification of Link to System Mapping .....	63
6.3	Impacts on the Mobile Station .....	63
6.4	Impacts on the BSS .....	63
6.4.1	Impact on BTS transmitter .....	63
6.4.2	Impact on BTS receiver .....	64
6.4.3	Impact on Radio Resource Management .....	64
6.5	Impacts on Network Planning .....	64
6.6	Impacts on the Specification .....	64
6.7	Summary of Evaluation versus Objectives .....	65
6.7.1	Performance objectives .....	65
6.7.2	Compatibility objectives .....	66
6.8	References .....	67
7	Orthogonal Sub Channels for Circuit Switched Voice Capacity Evolution .....	67
7.1	Concept description .....	67
7.1.1	Overview .....	67
7.1.2	Downlink concept .....	67
7.1.2.1	Basic OSC concept .....	67
7.1.2.1.1	Mapping of user bits using QPSK modulation .....	67
7.1.2.1.2	Burst structure, training sequence, tail and guard bits .....	68
7.1.2.1.3	Tx pulse shaping filter .....	69
7.1.2.1.3.1	Investigated Candidate TX Pulse Shapes .....	69
7.1.2.1.3.1.1	Candidate Pulse Shape 1 .....	69
7.1.2.1.3.1.2	Candidate Pulse Shape 2 .....	71
7.1.2.1.3.2	Comparison of Filter Characteristics .....	72
7.1.2.1.4	Symbol rotation .....	73
7.1.2.1.5	DTX handling when one sub channel is inactive .....	73
7.1.2.1.6	FACCH signalling .....	73
7.1.2.1.7	SACCH signalling .....	73
7.1.2.2	Enhanced OSC concept .....	74

7.1.2.2.1	Sub channel specific power control.....	74
7.1.2.2.2	Power Balancing.....	75
7.1.2.2.3	Soft Stealing for FACCH with sub channel specific power control.....	75
7.1.2.2.4	Soft Stealing for SACCH with sub channel specific power control.....	76
7.1.2.2.5	User Diversity.....	76
7.1.2.2.5.1	Basic User Diversity.....	76
7.1.2.2.5.2	Optimized User Diversity.....	77
7.1.2.2.5.3	Support of Optimized User Diversity for scenarios with mixed MS types.....	78
7.1.2.2.5.4	Benefits of Optimized User Diversity.....	84
7.1.3	Uplink concept.....	85
7.1.3.1	Modulation and burst structure.....	85
7.1.3.2	Usage of new training sequences.....	85
7.1.3.3	Tx pulse shape.....	85
7.1.3.4	Associated control channels.....	85
7.1.3.5	User diversity.....	85
7.1.3.6	BTS receiver.....	85
7.1.4	RR signalling.....	85
7.2	Performance Characterization.....	86
7.2.1	Link Level Performance.....	86
7.2.1.1	Sensitivity Performance.....	86
7.2.1.1.1	Sensitivity in downlink.....	86
7.2.1.1.1.1	Sensitivity in downlink without sub channel specific power control.....	86
7.2.1.1.1.2	Sensitivity in downlink with subchannel specific power control.....	89
7.2.1.1.2	Sensitivity in uplink.....	90
7.2.1.2	Interference Performance.....	91
7.2.1.2.1	Interference limited performance in downlink.....	91
7.2.1.2.1.1	Interference performance in downlink without subchannel specific power control.....	91
7.2.1.2.1.1.1	Performance for MUROS Test Scenario 1.....	91
7.2.1.2.1.1.2	Performance for MUROS Test Scenario 2.....	91
7.2.1.2.1.1.3	Performance for Using Optimized TX Pulse Shapes.....	93
7.2.1.2.1.2	Interference performance in downlink with subchannel specific power control.....	94
7.2.1.3	Results from: MUROS – Performance of Legacy MS.....	96
7.2.1.3.1	Simulation Assumptions.....	96
7.2.1.3.1.1	Legacy Terminals.....	96
7.2.1.3.1.2	Transmitted MUROS Signal.....	97
7.2.1.3.1.3	MUROS Interference Models.....	97
7.2.1.3.1.4	Other Simulation Parameter.....	97
7.2.1.3.2	Downlink Performance Results.....	97
7.2.1.3.2.1	Sensitivity Performance.....	97
7.2.1.3.2.2	MTS-1 Performance.....	98
7.2.1.3.2.3	MTS-2 Performance.....	99
7.2.1.3.2.4	MTS-3 Performance.....	100
7.2.1.3.2.5	MTS-4 Performance.....	101
7.2.1.3.2.6	ACI Performance.....	102
7.2.1.3.3	Summary of results.....	103
7.2.2	Network Level Performance.....	103
7.2.2.1	Network Configurations.....	103
7.2.2.2	Performance results.....	104
7.2.2.2.1	MUROS-1.....	105
7.2.2.2.2	MUROS-2.....	105
7.2.2.2.3	MUROS-3.....	105
7.2.2.2.4	OSC capacity gains and HW efficiency.....	106
7.2.2.2.5	Performance of optimized user diversity.....	107
7.2.2.2.6	Performance applying Sub Channel Specific Power Control for OSC.....	108
7.2.2.2.7	Performance for Usage of Optimized TX Pulse Shape in Downlink.....	109
7.2.2.2.7.1	Setup for System Performance Evaluation.....	109
7.2.2.2.7.1.1	Network configurations.....	109
7.2.2.2.7.1.2	Channel mode adaptation.....	109
7.2.2.2.7.1.3	Link to system interface.....	109
7.2.2.2.7.2	System Performance Results.....	109
7.2.2.2.7.2.1	MUROS-1.....	109
7.2.2.2.7.2.2	MUROS-2.....	111

7.2.2.2.7.3	Performance Comparison.....	113
7.2.2.2.7.3.1	Introduction.....	113
7.2.2.2.7.3.2	Comparison.....	113
7.2.2.2.7.3.3	Interference analysis.....	114
7.2.3	Performance Summary.....	115
7.2.4	Modelling methodology for a VAMOS and legacy mobile receiver.....	115
7.2.4.1	Introduction.....	115
7.2.4.2	L2S Modelling Methodology.....	115
7.2.4.3	Initial Interference Profile.....	116
7.2.4.4	"ACP" factors.....	117
7.2.4.4.1	Introduction.....	117
7.2.4.4.2	RawBER "ACP" factors for VAMOS I receiver.....	117
7.2.4.4.3	RawBER "ACP" factors for Legacy Non-DARP receiver.....	118
7.2.4.5	Final Interference Profile.....	119
7.2.5	Verification of Link to System Mapping.....	121
7.2.5.1	Introduction.....	121
7.2.5.2	Link To System Interface For Vamos-I Receiver.....	121
7.2.5.3	Mappings For The Vamos-I Receiver.....	122
7.2.5.3.1	MUROS-1.....	122
7.2.5.3.1.1	50 % VAMOS-I mobile penetration.....	122
7.2.5.3.1.2	75 % VAMOS-I mobile penetration.....	123
7.2.5.3.1.3	100 % VAMOS-I mobile penetration.....	124
7.2.5.3.2	MUROS-2.....	126
7.2.5.3.2.1	50 % VAMOS-I mobile penetration.....	126
7.2.5.3.2.2	75 % VAMOS-I mobile penetration.....	127
7.2.5.3.2.3	100 % VAMOS-I mobile penetration.....	128
7.2.5.3	Mappings For The Legacy Non-Darp Receiver.....	130
7.3	Impacts on the Mobile Station.....	131
7.4	Impacts on the BSS.....	131
7.4.1	BTS Transmitter.....	131
7.4.2	BTS Receiver.....	131
7.4.3	Radio Resource Management (RRM).....	132
7.4.3.1	Power Control.....	132
7.4.3.2	Dynamic Channel Allocation (DCA).....	132
7.4.3.3	AMR Channel Rate and Codec Mode Adaptation.....	132
7.5	Impacts on Network Planning.....	132
7.5.1	Impacts to Abis interface.....	132
7.5.1.1	Impact of OSC on Abis allocation strategy.....	132
7.5.1.2	Impact of OSC on bandwidth consumption.....	133
7.5.1.3	Abis migration paths.....	133
7.5.2	Impacts on Frequency Planning.....	134
7.6	Impacts on the Specifications.....	134
7.7	Summary of Evaluation versus Objectives.....	134
7.7.1	Performance objectives.....	135
7.7.2	Compatibility objectives.....	136
7.8	References.....	137
8	Adaptive symbol constellation.....	139
8.1	Concept Description.....	139
8.1.1	Symbol Constellation for the Downlink.....	139
8.1.2	$\alpha$ -QPSK Modulator.....	140
8.1.3	Choice of Symbol Constellation.....	141
8.1.4	Adaptive Constellation Rotation.....	142
8.1.5	Frequency hopping.....	144
8.1.5.1	Legacy support.....	147
8.1.5.2	Additional signaling.....	147
8.1.6	SAM - Single Antenna MIMO - for VAMOS.....	147
8.1.6.1	Concept description.....	147
8.1.6.1.1	Computational Complexity.....	149
8.2	Performance Characterization.....	149
8.2.1	Link Level Performance.....	149
8.2.1.1	Simulation assumptions.....	150

8.2.1.2	Sensitivity Performance .....	151
8.2.1.2.1	SAIC receiver .....	151
8.2.1.2.1.1	Support of legacy mobiles .....	153
8.2.1.2.2	MUROS receiver .....	154
8.2.1.2.2.1	Symbol Constellation Detection .....	154
8.2.1.2.2.2	Constellation Rotation Detection .....	155
8.2.1.2.3	SIC receiver .....	156
8.2.1.2.3.1	Investigations by Telefon AB LM Ericsson .....	156
8.2.1.2.3.1.1	Simulation assumptions .....	156
8.2.1.2.3.1.2	Performance Plots .....	157
8.2.1.2.3.2	Investigations by ST-NXP Wireless France .....	158
8.2.1.2.3.2.1	Simulation Assumptions .....	158
8.2.1.2.3.2.1	Simulation Results for Downlink .....	159
8.2.1.3	Interference Performance .....	161
8.2.1.3.1	non-SAIC receiver .....	161
8.2.1.3.2	SAIC receiver .....	163
8.2.1.3.2.1	Adaptive Constellation Rotation .....	171
8.2.1.3.3	MUROS receiver .....	173
8.2.1.3.3.1	Constellation Rotation Detection .....	173
8.2.1.3.4	SIC receiver .....	174
8.2.1.3.4.1	Investigations by Telefon AB LM Ericsson .....	174
8.2.1.3.4.1.1	Simulation assumptions .....	174
8.2.1.3.4.1.2	Performance Plots for MTS Test Scenarios .....	175
8.2.1.3.4.2	Investigations by ST-NXP Wireless France .....	178
8.2.1.3.4.2.1	Introduction .....	178
8.2.1.3.4.2.2	Simulation Assumptions for Uplink .....	179
8.2.1.3.4.2.3	Simulation Results for Uplink .....	180
8.2.1.3.4.2.4	Simulation Assumptions for Downlink .....	183
8.2.1.3.4.2.5	Simulation Results for Downlink .....	183
8.2.1.3.4.2.6	Conclusions .....	187
8.2.1.3.5	SAM Receiver for VAMOS .....	187
8.2.1.3.5.1	Simulation assumptions .....	187
8.2.1.3.5.2	Performance plots .....	188
8.2.1.4	Results from: MUROS - Performance of Alpha-QPSK with Legacy DARP MS .....	193
8.2.1.4.1	Simulation Assumptions .....	193
8.2.1.4.1.1	Legacy Terminals .....	193
8.2.1.4.1.2	Transmitted MUROS Signal .....	194
8.2.1.4.1.3	Alpha-QPSK .....	194
8.2.1.4.1.4	MUROS Interference Models .....	195
8.2.1.4.1.5	Other Simulation Parameters .....	195
8.2.1.4.2	Downlink Performance Results .....	195
8.2.1.4.2.1	Sensitivity Performance .....	195
8.2.1.4.2.2	MTS-1 Performance .....	196
8.2.1.4.2.3	MTS-2 Performance .....	197
8.2.1.4.2.4	MTS-3 Performance .....	198
8.2.1.4.2.5	MTS-4 Performance .....	199
8.2.1.4.3	Summary of Results .....	200
8.2.2	Network Level Performance .....	200
8.2.2.1	Adaptive constellation rotation .....	200
8.2.2.2	Support of legacy non-DARP Phase I receivers using $\alpha$ -QPSK .....	201
8.2.2.2a	Downlink power control using $\alpha$ -QPSK .....	202
8.2.2.2.1	SCPIR distributions in different system simulations .....	203
8.2.2.3	Evaluation of VAMOS MAIO hopping .....	204
8.2.2.3a	MAIO Hopping Scheme Methodology .....	205
8.2.2.3a.1	MAIO Hopping Sequence Generation .....	205
8.2.2.3a.2	Channel Allocation and Adaptation .....	205
8.2.2.3a.3	Power Control .....	206
8.2.2.3a.4	Mechanism for Applying MAIO Hopping .....	206
8.2.2.3a.5	Penetration Levels and MS Types .....	206
8.2.2.3a.6	Link 2 System Interface .....	206
8.2.2.3a.7	System Performance Evaluation .....	206
8.2.2.3a.7.1	Simulation assumption .....	206



8.2.2.3a.7.2	Channel modes.....	207
8.2.2.3a.7.3	Minimum call quality performance.....	207
8.2.2.3a.7.4	System performance results .....	207
8.2.2.4	Evaluation of wide pulse for VAMOS .....	208
8.2.2.4.1	Background .....	208
8.2.2.4.2	Methodology .....	209
8.2.2.4.2.1	Power control.....	210
8.2.2.4.2.2	Channel allocation .....	210
8.2.2.4.2.3	Modelling of link performance .....	210
8.2.2.4.3	Results .....	210
8.2.2.4.3.1	Simulation assumptions .....	210
8.2.2.4.3.2	System capacity gains .....	210
8.2.2.4.3.2.1	MUROS-2, MS penetration scenario I.....	210
8.2.2.4.3.2.2	MUROS-2, MS penetration scenario II.....	211
8.2.2.4.3.2.2	MUROS-3A and MUROS3-B, MS penetrations scenario I.....	211
8.2.2.4.3.2.2	MUROS-3A and MUROS3-B, MS penetrations scenario I.....	212
8.2.2.4.3.3	Impact on legacy users.....	212
8.2.2.4.3.3.1	MUROS-2 .....	213
8.2.2.4.3.4	Discussion.....	215
8.2.3	Verification of Link to System Mapping .....	215
8.2.3.1	Methodology, DL.....	215
8.2.3.1.1	Interference scenarios .....	215
8.2.3.1.2	Raw BER verification levels .....	216
8.2.3.1.3	Interference statistics .....	217
8.2.3.1.4	Adjacent channel interference .....	217
8.2.3.1.5	Mappings .....	217
8.2.3.2	Verification, DL .....	219
8.2.3.2.1	SAIC.....	219
8.2.3.2.2	non-SAIC.....	221
8.2.3.2.3	SAM .....	222
8.2.4	Verification of 4-dimension Link to System Mapping .....	224
8.2.4.1	Methodology, DL.....	224
8.2.4.2	Simulation, DL.....	225
8.2.4.3	Verification, DL .....	226
8.2.5	Methodology and verification of integrated link simulator modeling .....	228
8.2.5.1	Methodology .....	228
8.2.5.1.1	Interferers .....	228
8.2.5.1.1.1	Interferer types.....	228
8.2.5.1.1.2	Limit of interferers.....	228
8.2.5.1.1.2.1	Limiting the number of interferers .....	228
8.2.5.1.1.2.3	Conservation of energy .....	229
8.2.6	Results.....	229
8.2.6.1	Limit of number of interferers.....	229
8.2.6.2	Impact on simulated system capacity .....	230
8.3	Impacts on the Mobile Station.....	231
8.3.1	Legacy mobile stations .....	231
8.3.2	Mobile stations supporting Adaptive symbol constellation .....	231
8.4	Impacts on the BSS .....	231
8.5	Impacts on Network Planning .....	232
8.6	Impacts on the Specification .....	232
8.7	Summary of Evaluation versus Objectives.....	232
8.7.1	Performance objectives.....	232
8.7.2	Compatibility objectives .....	233
8.8	References .....	235
9	Higher Order Modulations for MUROS .....	238
9.1	Concept Description .....	238
9.1.1	Downlink .....	238
9.1.1.1	Speech multiplexing.....	238
9.1.1.1.1	Speech Multiplexing and Interleaving.....	239
9.1.1.2	Modulation Schemes and Training Sequences.....	239

9.1.1.3	Legacy GMSK MS Support .....	240
9.1.1.4	Codecs support and Achievable Code Rates .....	241
9.1.1.5	DTX .....	242
9.1.1.5.1	DTX Configuration Signaling .....	242
9.1.1.5.1.1	Examples.....	243
9.1.1.5.2	Signalling Rate and Signaling Channel Coding .....	244
9.1.1.5.3	Average Channel Usage .....	244
9.1.1.6	Hopping.....	244
9.1.1.7	Power Control .....	245
9.1.1.8	SACCH .....	247
9.1.1.9	FACCH .....	247
9.1.2	Uplink .....	247
9.1.2.1	Speech multiplexing.....	247
9.1.2.1.1	Legacy Support.....	248
9.1.2.2	Training Sequences .....	249
9.1.2.3	Burst Format Signaling .....	250
9.1.2.4	DTX .....	250
9.1.2.5	Hopping.....	250
9.1.2.6	Codecs support and Achievable Code Rates .....	251
9.1.2.7	Power Control .....	251
9.1.2.8	SACCH .....	251
9.1.3	Dynamic Channel Allocation.....	251
9.2	Performance Characterization .....	252
9.2.1	Link Level Performance .....	252
9.2.1.1	Sensitivity Performance .....	252
9.2.1.2	Interference Performance .....	254
9.2.1.2.1	Co-channel Performance .....	254
9.2.1.2.2	MTS-x Performance .....	256
9.2.1.2.2.1	Downlink .....	257
9.2.1.2.3	Co-Channel Performance with Power Control .....	261
9.2.1.3	MTS-1 to MTS-4 Performance .....	266
9.2.1.4	Adjacent Interference Performance .....	274
9.2.2	Network Level Performance .....	274
9.2.3	Verification of Link to System Mapping .....	275
9.3	Impacts on the Mobile Station.....	275
9.4	Impacts on the BSS .....	275
9.5	Impacts on Network Planning .....	275
9.6	Impacts on the Specification .....	275
9.7	Summary of Evaluation versus Objectives.....	276
9.7.1	Performance Objectives .....	276
9.7.2	Compatibility Objectives .....	276
9.8	References .....	277
10	New Training Sequences.....	278
10.1	Training sequence candidates proposed .....	278
10.1.1	TSC Set proposed by Nokia [10-1].....	278
10.1.2	TSC Set proposed by Motorola [10-2].....	279
10.1.3	TSC Set proposed by China Mobile [10-3] .....	279
10.1.4	TSC Set proposed by Research in Motion Ltd [10-4].....	280
10.1.5	TSC Set proposed by Telefon AB LM Ericsson [10-5] .....	280
10.1.6	TSC Set proposed by Huawei Technologies Ltd [10-6] .....	281
10.1.7	TSC Set-2 proposed by Research in Motion Ltd [10-7] .....	281
10.1.8	TSC Set-2 proposed by Huawei Technologies Ltd [10-8].....	282
10.1.9	TSC Set-2 proposed by Motorola [10-9] .....	282
10.2	Training sequence evaluation and selection .....	282
10.3	References .....	283
11	Associated Control Channel Design.....	284
11.1	Shifted SACCH .....	284
11.1.1	Concept Description .....	284
11.1.2	Performance Characterization.....	285
11.1.2.1	Link Level Performance.....	285

11.1.2.1.1	Degradation of SACCH Performance introduced by MUROS .....	285
11.1.2.1.1.1	Simulation assumptions .....	285
11.1.2.1.1.2	SACCH Performance in MTS-1 .....	286
11.1.2.1.1.3	SACCH Performance in MTS-2 .....	287
11.1.2.1.2	Degradation of Relative Performance between SACCH and TCH introduced by MUROS .....	287
11.1.2.1.2.1	Simulation assumptions .....	287
11.1.2.1.2.2	Performance of SACCH and TCH in legacy DARP .....	288
11.1.2.1.2.3	Performance of SACCH and TCH in MUROS .....	288
11.1.2.1.2.4	Performance Analysis .....	290
11.1.2.1.3	Performance of Shifted SACCH with different SCPIRs .....	291
11.1.2.1.3.1	Simulation assumptions .....	291
11.1.2.1.3.2	SACCH Performance in MTS-1 .....	291
11.1.2.1.3.3	SACCH performance in MTS-2 .....	292
11.1.2.1.3.4	TCH/AHS5.9 performance in MTS-1 .....	293
11.1.2.1.3.5	TCH/AHS5.9 MUROS performance in MTS-2 .....	293
11.1.2.1.3.6	Performance analysis .....	293
11.1.2.1.4	Performance of Shifted SACCH in DTX .....	294
11.1.2.1.4.1	Performance evaluation methods in DTX .....	294
11.1.2.1.4.2	Simulation assumptions .....	295
11.1.2.1.4.3	SACCH and AHS4.75 Performance in MTS-1 .....	296
11.1.2.1.4.4	SACCH and AHS4.75 performance in MTS-2 .....	297
11.1.2.1.4.5	Performance analysis .....	297
11.1.2.1.5	Performance of Shifted SACCH for VAMOS level II and non-SAIC receivers .....	298
11.1.2.1.5.1	Simulation assumptions .....	298
11.1.2.1.5.2	VAMOS level II receiver .....	298
11.1.2.1.5.3	Non-SAIC receiver .....	301
11.1.2.1.5.4	Shifted SACCH without DTX .....	302
11.1.2.1.5.5	Performance analysis .....	303
11.1.3	Impacts on the Mobile Station .....	303
11.1.3.1	Legacy mobile stations .....	303
11.1.3.2	Mobile stations supporting Shifted SACCH .....	303
11.1.4	Impacts on the BSS .....	303
11.2	References .....	304
11.3	DTX-based Repeated SACCH (DRSACCH) .....	304
11.3.1	Concept Description .....	304
11.3.1.1	Transmission of SACCH repetition .....	304
11.3.1.2	Process of SACCH repetition at receiver .....	304
11.3.1.3	SACCH mappings for MUROS .....	304
11.3.1.3.1	MUROS 26-frame multiframe .....	304
11.3.1.3.2	MUROS SACCH repetition mappings in DRSACCH .....	305
11.3.1.4	Compatibility .....	306
11.3.1	Further SACCH Performance Enhancements .....	306
11.3.2.1	Combination of DRSACCH and RSACCH .....	306
11.3.2.2	Combination of DRSACCH and SSACCH .....	306
11.3.1	Performance Characterization .....	307
11.3.3.1	Link Level Performance .....	307
11.3.3.1.1	Performance of TCH, SACCH and RSACCH in non-MUROS mode .....	308
11.3.3.1.2	Performance of TCH, SACCH and RSACCH in MUROS mode .....	308
11.3.3.1.3	Relative performance between TCH and SACCH in Non-MUROS and MUROS modes .....	310
11.3.3.1.4	Impact of DTX operation on MUROS speech channels .....	311
11.3.3.1.5	Performance of SACCH enhancement techniques in MUROS .....	312
11.3.3.1.6	Throughput of SACCH information .....	314
11.3.3.1.7	Impact of SACCH enhancements on MUROS speech channels .....	318
11.3.3.2	Analysis of RLT counter for SACCH enhancements .....	319
11.3.3.2.1	Description .....	319
11.3.3.2.2	Expiration probabilities of RLT counter .....	320
11.3.3.2.3	CDF of minimal RLT counter value .....	326
11.3.4	References .....	330
12	Summary of Evaluation versus Objectives for each Candidate Technique .....	332
12.1	Performance Objectives .....	332
12.2	Compatibility Objectives .....	333

13 Conclusions .....334

**Annex A: Change history .....335**

History .....336

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**  
Full standard:  
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7ed9e046-f54e-4478-8ce7-ab97291e45e5/etsi-tr-145-914-v13.0.0-2016-01>

---

## Foreword

This Technical Report has been produced by the 3<sup>rd</sup> Generation Partnership Project (3GPP).

The contents of the present document are subject to continuing work within the TSG and may change following formal TSG approval. Should the TSG modify the contents of the present document, it will be re-released by the TSG with an identifying change of release date and an increase in version number as follows:

Version x.y.z

where:

- x the first digit:
  - 1 presented to TSG for information;
  - 2 presented to TSG for approval;
  - 3 or greater indicates TSG approved document under change control.
- y the second digit is incremented for all changes of substance, i.e. technical enhancements, corrections, updates, etc.
- z the third digit is incremented when editorial only changes have been incorporated in the document.

---

## Introduction

Recently, the GSM network is seeing its greatest expansion due to the increased demand for mobile voice services in emerging markets. Furthermore, most of these emerging markets have densely populated cities and limited radio spectrum. Thus the increase of voice capacity in the circuit switched domain in an evolutionary manner is a key issue for operators in these markets.

To help operators in these scenarios to alleviate the strain on their networks, new techniques are required to improve the voice capacity on the basis of reusing existing network equipment and radio resource. These have been investigated during the MUROS feasibility study and candidate solutions proposed in this feasibility study are based on multiplexing two or more users onto one time slot without degrading the speech quality. These solutions are unlike the speech codec approach to increase network capacity by increasing speech compression, e.g. multiplexing two GSM-HR mobiles onto one time slot but rather to maintain the same speech encoding by multiplexing four GSM-HR mobiles onto one time slot.

The Technical Report is structured in the following way:

Chapter 1 elaborates the scope of the MUROS feasibility study.

Chapter 2 and 3 contain usual elements like References, Definitions, Symbols and Abbreviations.

Chapter 4 lists the defined performance and compatibility objectives for MUROS.

Chapter 5 depicts the common working assumptions for the performance evaluation of MUROS candidate solutions.

Chapter 6 to 9 contain the four candidate solutions for MUROS, in particular:

the candidate solution 'Speech Capacity Enhancement using DARP' in Chapter 6

the candidate solution 'Orthogonal Sub Channels for Circuit Switched Voice Capacity Evolution' in Chapter 7

the candidate solution 'Adaptive symbol constellation' in Chapter 8

the candidate solution 'Higher Order Modulations for MUROS' in Chapter 9

Chapter 10 lists the proposed candidates for the new set of training sequences.