INTERNATIONAL STANDARD



Third edition 2009-12-15

Information technology — Security techniques — Entity authentication —

Part 5: Mechanisms using zero-knowledge techniques

iTeh ST Technologies de l'information — Techniques de sécurité — Authentification d'entité — St Partie 5: Mécanismes utilisant des techniques à divulgation nulle

<u>ISO/IEC 9798-5:2009</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/177f5469-e4d0-48bc-b63f-62e009925524/iso-iec-9798-5-2009



Reference number ISO/IEC 9798-5:2009(E)

PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>ISO/IEC 9798-5:2009</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/177f5469-e4d0-48bc-b63f-62e009925524/iso-iec-9798-5-2009



© ISO/IEC 2009

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20 Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11 Fax + 41 22 749 09 47 E-mail copyright@iso.org Web www.iso.org Published in Switzerland

Contents

Forewo	ord	iv
Introdu	iction	.v
1	Scope	.1
2	Terms and definitions	.1
3	Notation, symbols and abbreviated terms	.4
4	Mechanisms based on identities	.7
4.1 4.2 4.3	Security requirements for the environment	
	Key production Unilateral authentication exchange	
5	Mechanisms based on integer factorization	
5.1 5.2	Security requirements for the environment	12
	Key production	
5.3	Unilateral authentication exchange	
6 6.1	Mechanisms based on discrete logarithms with respect to prime numbers	15
6.2	Security requirements for the environment	15
6.3	Unilateral authentication exchange ards.itch.ai)	16
7	Mechanisms based on discrete logarithms with respect to composite numbers	17
7.1	Security requirements for the environment. 50000	17
7.2	Key production //standards.itch.ai/catalog/standards/sist/177f5469-c4d0-48bc-b63f	
7.3	Unilateral authentication exchange _{324/isu-ieu-9798-5-2009}	
8	Mechanisms based on asymmetric encryption systems	20
8.1	Security requirements for the environment.	
8.2 8.3	Unilateral authentication exchange	
9 9.1	Mechanism based on discrete logarithms with respect to elliptic curves	23
9.2	Key production	
9.3	Unilateral authentication exchange	
Annex	A (normative) Object identifiers	26
Annex	B (informative) Principles of zero-knowledge techniques	28
Annex	C (informative) Guidance on parameter choice and comparison of the mechanisms	31
Annex	D (informative) Numerical examples	41
Bibliog	raphy	52

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

ISO/IEC 9798-5 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 27, *IT Security techniques*.

This third edition cancels and replaces the second edition (ISO/IEC 9798-5:2004), which has been technically revised. This edition adds a new mechanism based on elliptic curve discrete logarithm.

ISO/IEC 9798 consists of the following parts, under the general title information technology — Security techniques — Entity authentication:

- Part 1: General ISO/IEC 9798-5:2009 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/177f5469-e4d0-48bc-b63f-
- Part 2: Mechanisms using symmetric encipherment algorithms -5-2009
- Part 3: Mechanisms using digital signature techniques
- Part 4: Mechanisms using a cryptographic check function
- Part 5: Mechanisms using zero-knowledge techniques
- Part 6: Mechanisms using manual data transfer

Introduction

This part of ISO/IEC 9798 specifies authentication mechanisms that involve exchanges of information between a claimant and a verifier.

In accordance with the types of calculations that need to be performed by the claimant and the verifier, the mechanisms can be classified into the following four main groups (see Annex C).

- The first group (see Clauses 4 and 5) is characterized by the performance of short modular exponentiations. The challenge size needs to be optimized since it has a proportional impact on workloads.
- The second group (see Clauses 6 and 7 and 8) is characterized by the possibility of a "coupon strategy" for the claimant. A verifier can authenticate a claimant with very limited computational power. The challenge size has no practical impact on workloads.
- The third group (see 9.2) is characterized by the possibility of a coupon strategy for the verifier. A verifier
 with very limited computational power can authenticate a claimant. The challenge size has no impact on
 workloads.
- The fourth group (see 9.3) has no possibility of a coupon strategy.

ISO and IEC draw attention to the fact that it is claimed that compliance with this part of ISO/IEC 9798 may involve the use of the following patents and their counterparts in other countries.

- US 4 995 082 issued 1991-02-19, Inventor: C.P. Schnorr,
- US 5 140 634 issued 1992-08-18, Inventors: L.C. Guillou and J-J. Quisquater,
- EP 0 311 470 issued 1992-12-16 Inventors Incerconfloor and U-J. Quisquater,
- EP 0 666 664 issued 1995-02-02, Inventor: M. Girault,

ISO and IEC take no position concerning the evidence, validity and scope of these patent rights.

The holders of these patent rights have assured ISO and IEC that they are willing to negotiate licenses under reasonable and non-discriminatory terms and conditions with applications throughout the world. In this respect, the statements of the holders of these patent rights are registered with ISO and IEC. Information may be obtained from the companies listed overleaf.

RSA Security Inc. Attention General Counsel 174 Middlesex Turnpike Bedford, MA 01730, USA	US 4 995 082
France Telecom R&D Service PIV 38-40 Rue du Général Leclerc F 92794 Issy les Moulineaux Cedex 9, France	US 5 140 634, EP 0 311 470, EP 0 666 664
Philips International B.V. Corporate Patents and Trademarks P.O. Box 220 5600 AE Eindhoven, The Netherlands	US 5 140 634, EP 0 311 470
France Telecom claims that Patent Applications are pending in relation to Clauses 6 (GQ2) and 8 (GPS2). The	

numbers will be provided when available. ISO/IEC will then request the appropriate statement.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>ISO/IEC 9798-5:2009</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/177f5469-e4d0-48bc-b63f-62e009925524/iso-iec-9798-5-2009

Information technology — Security techniques — Entity authentication —

Part 5: Mechanisms using zero-knowledge techniques

1 Scope

This part of ISO/IEC 9798 specifies entity authentication mechanisms using zero-knowledge techniques:

- mechanisms based on identities and providing unilateral authentication;
- mechanisms based on integer factorization and providing unilateral authentication;
- mechanisms based on discrete logarithms with respect to numbers that are either prime or composite, and providing unilateral authentication; DARD PREVIEW
- mechanisms based on asymmetric encryption systems and providing either unilateral authentication, or mutual authentication;
- mechanisms based on discrete logarithms on elliptic curves and providing unilateral authentication. https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/177f5469-e4d0-48bc-b63f-

These mechanisms are constructed using the principles of zero-knowledge techniques, but they are not necessarily zero-knowledge according to the strict definition for every choice of parameters.

2 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

2.1

accreditation exponent

secret number related to the verification exponent and used in the production of private keys

2.2

adaptation parameter

public key specific to the modulus and used in the definition of public keys in the GQ2 mechanisms

2.3

asymmetric cryptographic technique

cryptographic technique that uses two related operations: a public operation defined by a public data item, and a private operation defined by a private data item (the two operations have the property that, given the public operation, it is computationally infeasible to derive the private operation)

2.4

asymmetric encryption system

system based on asymmetric cryptographic techniques whose public operation is used for encryption and whose private operation is used for decryption

2.5

asymmetric pair

two related data items where the private data item defines a private operation and the public data item defines a public operation

2.6

challenge

procedure parameter used in conjunction with secret parameters to produce a response

2.7

claimant

entity whose identity can be authenticated, including the functions and the private data necessary to engage in authentication exchanges on behalf of a principal

2.8

coupon

pair of pre-computed numbers to be used only once; one is kept secret and the other remains secret until its use by an entity

2.9

claimant parameter

public data item, number or bit string, specific to a given claimant within the domain

2.10

decryption reversal of a corresponding encryption. STANDARD PREVIEW Decryption ^[30] and decipherment ^[24] are equivalent terms. **iten.ai**) NOTE

2.11

domain

ISO/IEC 9798-5:2009 collection of entities operating under a single security policy ds/sist/177f5469-e4d0-48bc-b63f-

62e009925524/iso-iec-9798-5-2009

For example, public key certificates created either by a single certification authority, or by a collection of NOTE certification authorities using the same security policy.

2.12

domain parameter

public key, or function, agreed and used by all entities within the domain

2.13

encryption

reversible operation by a cryptographic algorithm converting data into ciphertext, so as to hide the information content of the data

Encryption^[30] and encipherment^[24] are equivalent terms. NOTE

2.14

entity authentication

corroboration that an entity is the one claimed

[ISO/IEC 9798-1:1997, definition 3.3.11]

2.15

exchange multiplicity parameter

number of exchanges of information involved in one instance of an authentication mechanism

2.16

hash-function

function that maps strings of bits to fixed-length strings of bits, satisfying the following two properties: — for a given output, it is computationally infeasible to find an input that maps to this output; — it is computationally infeasible to find two distinct inputs that map to the same output

[ISO/IEC 10118-1:2000, definition 3.5]

2.17

identification data

set of public data items (an account number, an expiry date and time, a serial number, etc.) assigned to an entity and used to identify it

2.18

mutual authentication

entity authentication that provides both entities with assurance of each other's identity

[ISO/IEC 9798-1:1997, definition 3.3.14]

2.19

number

natural number, i.e. a non-negative integer

2.20

pair multiplicity parameter

number of asymmetric pairs of numbers involved in one instance of an authentication mechanism

2.21

private key

(standards.iteh.ai)

62e009925524/iso-iec-9798-5-2009

data item of an asymmetric pair, that shall be kept secret and should only be used by a claimant in accordance with an appropriate response formula, thereby establishing its identity

2.22

procedure parameter

transient public data item used in an instance of an authentication mechanism such as a witness, challenge or response

2.23

public key

data item of an asymmetric pair, that can be made public and shall be used by every verifier for establishing the claimant's identity

2.24

random number

time variant parameter whose value is unpredictable

[ISO/IEC 9798-1:1997, definition 3.3.24]

2.25

response

procedure parameter produced by the claimant, and processed by the verifier for checking the identity of the claimant

2.26

secret parameter

number or bit string that does not appear in the public domain and is only used by a claimant, e.g. a private key

2.27

token

message consisting of data fields relevant to a particular communication and which contains information that has been produced using a cryptographic technique

2.28

unilateral authentication

entity authentication that provides one entity with assurance of the other's identity but not vice versa

[ISO/IEC 9798-1:1997, definition 3.3.33]

2.29

verification exponent

public key used as exponent by the claimant and the verifier

2.30

verifier

entity including the functions necessary for engaging in authentication exchanges on behalf of an entity requiring an entity authentication

2.31

witness

procedure parameter that provides evidence of the claimant's identity to the verifier

3 Notation, symbols and appreviated terms RD PREVIEW

For the purposes of this document, the following notation, symbols and abbreviated terms apply.

 $(a \mid n)$ Jacobi symbol of a positive integer <u>a with respect to an odd composite integer</u> n

NOTE 1 By definition, the Jacobi symbol of any positive integer a with respect to any odd positive composite integer n is the product of the Legendre symbols of a with respect to each prime factor of n (repeating the Legendre symbols for the repeated prime factors). The Jacobi symbol $^{[13][16]}$ can be efficiently computed without knowledge of the prime factors of n.

 $(a \mid p)$ Legendre symbol of a positive integer *a* with respect to an odd prime integer *p*

NOTE 2 By definition, the Legendre symbol of any positive integer *a* with respect to any odd positive prime integer *p* is equal to $a^{(p-1)/2} \mod p$. This means that $(a \mid p)$ is zero if *a* is a multiple of *p*, and either +1 or -1 otherwise, depending on whether or not *a* is a square modulo *p*.

|A| bit size of the number A if A is a number (i.e. the unique integer *i* so that $2^{i-1} \le A < 2^{i}$ if A > 0, or 0 if A = 0, e.g. $|65537 = 2^{16}+1| = 17$), or bit length of the bit string A if A is a bit string

NOTE 3 The binary representation of a number *A* as a string of |A| bits is straightforward. To represent a number *A* as a string of α bits with $\alpha > |A|$, $\alpha - |A|$ bits set to 0 are appended to the left of the |A| bits.

- $\lfloor A \rfloor$ the greatest integer that is less than or equal to the real number A
- *B* || *C* bit string resulting from the concatenation of data items *B* and *C* in the order specified. In cases where the result of concatenating two or more data items is input to a cryptographic algorithm as part of an authentication mechanism, this result shall be composed so that it can be uniquely resolved into its constituent data strings, i.e. so that there is no possibility of ambiguity in interpretation. This latter property could be achieved in a variety of different ways, depending on the application. For example, it could be guaranteed by
 - (a) fixing the length of each of the substrings throughout the domain of use of the mechanism, or
 - (b) encoding the sequence of concatenated strings using a method that guarantees unique decoding, e.g. using the distinguished encoding rules defined in ISO/IEC 8825-1^[23]

CRT	Chinese remainder theorem
d	challenge (procedure parameter)
D	response (procedure parameter)
f	number of prime factors
gcd(<i>a</i> , <i>b</i>)	the greatest common divisor of the two integers a and b
<i>G</i> , <i>G</i> _{<i>i</i>}	public key (domain parameter)
$G(A), G_i(A)$	public key (claimant parameter)
h	hash-function
<i>h</i>	bit length of the hash-code produced by the hash-function h
H, HH	hash-codes
ld(A)	identification data (claimant parameter)
Id _i (A)	part of the identification data (claimant parameter)
j mod n	the unique integer <i>i</i> from $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that <i>n</i> divides $j = i$
<i>j</i> mod* <i>n</i>	the unique integer <i>i</i> from $\{0, 1,, (n-1)/2\}$ such that <i>n</i> divides either <i>j</i> – <i>i</i> or <i>j</i> + <i>i</i>
lcm(<i>a</i> , <i>b</i>)	the least common multiple of the two integers a and b
т	<u>ISO/IEC 9798-5:2009</u> pair multiplicity, parameter/ (domain parameter) 7f5469-e4d0-48bc-b63f- 62e009925524/iso-iec-9798-5-2009
n	composite modulus (domain parameter)
n(A)	composite modulus (claimant parameter)
<i>p</i> ₁ , <i>p</i> ₂	prime factors of the modulus in ascending order, i.e. $p_1 < p_2 < \dots$ (secret parameters)
Q, Q_i	private key (secret parameter)
r	fresh random number or fresh string of random bits (secret parameter)
V	verification exponent (domain parameter)
W	witness (procedure parameter)
'X ₁ X ₂ '	number whose hexadecimal representation is $X_1X_2\ldots,$ where each X_i is equal to one of 0-9 and A-F
α	modulus size in bits, i.e. $2^{\alpha^{-1}} \le$ modulus < 2^{α} , also denoted $ $ modulus $ $ (domain parameter)
δ	length of fresh strings of random bits for representing challenges (domain parameter)
ρ	length of fresh strings of random bits for representing random numbers (domain parameter)
{a, b, c,}	set containing the elements a, b, c,

For the purposes of Clause 5 (identity-based mechanisms), the following symbols and abbreviated terms apply.

- F bit string
- *t* exchange multiplicity parameter (domain parameter)
- *u* accreditation exponent with respect to the modulus (secret parameter)
- u_i accreditation exponent with respect to the prime factor p_i (secret parameter)

For the purposes of Clause 6 (integer factorization based mechanisms), the following symbols and abbreviated terms apply.

- *b* adaptation parameter (specific to the modulus)
- D_j response component with respect to the prime factor p_j (secret parameter)
- *g*_{*i*} basic number (domain parameter)
- $g_i(A)$ basic number (claimant parameter)
- *k* security parameter (domain parameter)
- $Q_{i,j}$ private component with respect to the basic number g_i and the prime factor p_i (secret parameter)
- *r_j* fresh random number with respect to the prime factor *p* (secret parameter)
- u_i accreditation exponent with respect to the prime factor p_i (secret parameter)
- *W_i* witness component with respect to the prime factor *p_i* (secret parameter)

For the purposes of Clause 7 (mechanisms based on discrete logarithms with respect to prime numbers), the following symbols and abbreviated terms apply.

- *g* base of the discrete logarithms (domain parameter)
- *p* modulus (domain parameter)
- *q* prime number (domain parameter)

For the purposes of Clause 8 (mechanisms based on discrete logarithms with respect to composite numbers), the following symbols and abbreviated terms apply.

- *g* base of the discrete logarithms (domain parameter)
- *g*(*A*) base of the discrete logarithms (claimant parameter)
- σ number of bits for private keys in the first mode (domain parameter)

For the purposes of Clause 9 (mechanisms based on asymmetric encryption systems), the following symbols and abbreviated terms apply.

- *P*_A public operation, i.e. encryption (claimant parameter)
- *S_A* private operation, i.e. decryption (secret parameter)

For the purposes of Clause 10 (mechanisms based on discrete logarithms on elliptic curves), the following symbols and abbreviated terms apply.

[*n*]*P* multiplication operation that takes a positive integer *n* and a point *P* on the curve *E* as input and produces as output another point *Q* on the curve *E*, where Q = [n]P = P + P + ... + P is the sum of *n* occurrences of *P*. The operation satisfies [0]P = 0E (the point at infinity), and [-n]P = [n](-P)

4 Mechanisms based on identities

4.1 Security requirements for the environment

These mechanisms enable a verifier to check that a claimant knows private key(s) that are related to identification data by a verification key.

NOTE These mechanisms implement schemes due either to Fiat and Shamir^[4] and denoted FS, or to Guillou and Quisquater^[11] and denoted GQ1.

Within a given domain, the following requirements shall be satisfied.

- Domain parameters shall be selected, which will govern the operation of the mechanism. They include a hash-function, e.g. one of the functions specified in ISO/IEC 10118-3^[25]. The selected parameters shall be made known in a reliable manner to all entities within the domain.
- 2) Every claimant shall be equipped with a modulus that is either a domain parameter or a claimant parameter. Each number used as modulus is set equal to the product of two or more distinct prime factors so that knowledge of its value shall not feasibly enable any entity to deduce its prime factors, where feasibility is defined by the context of use of the mechanism. S. iten.al
 - If the modulus is a domain parameter, then it is denoted *n*. A trusted authority has selected it and only this authority can use the corresponding prime factors. The authority guarantees the identities of every claimant within the domain iteh ai/catalog/standards/sist/177f5469-e4d0-48bc-b63f-

NOTE 1 For example, a card issuer has a modulus. A delegated entity signs identification data for issuing smart cards; it uses the issuer's prime factors. In each card, the delegated entity stores appropriate identification data and private key(s). During its life, the card uses its private key(s) in accordance with an identity-based mechanism.

— If the modulus is a claimant parameter, then it is denoted n(A). A principal has selected it and the corresponding prime factors are the principal's long-term secret. For each session, the principal creates a claimant. The claimant uses private key(s) as a short-term secret.

NOTE 2 For example, in a local area network, an authority supervises each login operation within the domain and manages a directory where every verifier can obtain a trusted copy of a modulus for each principal.

- During each login operation, i.e. when a computer opens a session, it uses a principal's prime factors for a "single-sign-on" of session identification data including identifier, expiry date and time, rights, etc.
- During the session, the computer cannot use the prime factors because it does not know them any more. It uses the private key(s) in accordance with an identity-based mechanism. The private keys only last for a few hours: their utility disappears after the session.
- 3) Every claimant shall be provided with identification data and with one or more private keys by some means. In this context, the identification data is a string of bits, not all equal, that uniquely and meaningfully identifies the claimant in accordance with an agreed convention.

NOTE The presence of an expiry date and time in the identification data enforces their expiry; the presence of a serial number simplifies their revocation.

4) Every verifier shall obtain a trusted copy of the correct modulus of the claimant.

NOTE The exact means by which the verifier obtains a trusted copy of the correct modulus is beyond the scope of this part of ISO/IEC 9798. his may, for example, be achieved by the use of public-key certificates or by some other environment-dependent means.

5) Every claimant and every verifier shall have the means to produce random numbers.

4.2 Key production

4.2.1 Asymmetric key pair

A verification exponent, a pair multiplicity parameter and an exchange multiplicity parameter shall be selected. Unless otherwise specified, they are domain parameters respectively denoted v, m and t.

- Certain values of v, such as the prime numbers 2, 257, $2^{16}+1$, $2^{32}+15$, $2^{36}+2^{13}+1$ and $2^{40}+15$, have some practical advantages.
 - The value of *m* shall be at most eight if v = 2 and set equal to one if *v* is an odd prime.
- The value of $v^{-m \times t}$ fixes a mechanism security level (see C.1.4). A value from 2⁻⁸ to 2⁻⁴⁰ is appropriate for most applications.

A number, denoted α , fixes the modulus size in bits, i.e. $2^{\alpha^{-1}} < \text{modulus} < 2^{\alpha}$, in accordance with the context of use of the mechanism (for further details, see C.1.1). It is a domain parameter.

The authority or the principal shall keep secret two or more distinct large prime factors denoted $p_1, p_2 \dots$ in ascending order, the product of which is the modulus.

- If *v* = 2 (the Rabin scheme), there shall be only two prime factors (i.e. *f* = 2), both congruent to 3 mod 4, but not congruent to each other mod 8.
- If v is an odd prime (the RSA scheme), there may be more than two prime factors. For each prime factor p_j, p_j –1 shall be co-prime to v.

If α is a multiple of the number of prime factors, denoted *f*, then the bit size of each prime factor shall be α/f (for further details, see C.1.2). The modulus is set equal to either $p_1 \times p_2$ if v = 2, or $p_1 \times ... \times p_f$ if *v* is odd. In accordance with the second requirement in 5.1, the modulus is either a domain parameter denoted *n*, or a claimant parameter denoted *n*(*A*).

ISO/IEC 9798-5:2009

With respect to each prime factor p_j , an accreditation exponent, denoted u_j , is set equal to the least positive integer so that $u_i \times v + 1$ is a multiple of either $(p_i - 1)/2$ if v = 2, or $p_i - 1$ if v is an odd prime.

With respect to the modulus, an accreditation exponent, denoted *u*, is set equal to the least positive integer so that $u \times v + 1$ is a multiple of either lcm $(p_1-1, p_2-1)/2$ if v = 2, or lcm (p_1-1, \dots, p_{r-1}) if *v* is an odd prime.

4.2.2 Asymmetric pair(s) of numbers

4.2.2.1 Case where *v* = 2

The identification data Id(A) shall be converted into *m* parts by appending sixteen bits representing the numbers 1 to *m*, namely '0001', '0002', and so on, in turn to the string Id(A).

$$Id_x(A) = Id(A) || '000X'$$

NOTE The mechanism below derives from the first format mechanism specified in ISO/IEC 14888-2^[27], known as PSS (PSS reads Probabilistic Signature Scheme) and due to Bellare and Rogaway^[1].

For converting each part, from $Id_1(A)$ to $Id_m(A)$, into a string of α bits, denoted F_1 to F_m , the following computational steps are performed.

1) The string $Id_x(A)$ shall be hashed to obtain a hash-code denoted H_x .

$$H_x = h(Id_x(A))$$

2) A string of (64+|h|) bits is constructed from left to right by concatenating 8 octets set to '00' and the hash-code H_x . This string shall be hashed to obtain a hash-code denoted HH_x .

 $HH_x = h(0000000 \ 0000000' \parallel H_x)$

- 3) A mask comprising a string of $(\alpha |h| 8)$ bits is constructed from the hash-code *HH_x*. The procedure makes use of two variables: a bit string of variable length, denoted *String*, and a 32-bit counter, denoted *Counter*.
 - a) Set *String* to the empty string.
 - b) Set Counter to 0.
 - c) Replace String by String || h(HH_x || Counter).
 - d) Replace Counter by Counter + 1.
 - e) If $|h| \times Counter < \alpha |h| 8$, then go to step c.

*Mask*_x equals the leftmost $(\alpha - |h| - 8)$ bits of *String* where the leftmost bit has been forced to 0.

4) A string denoted F_x is constructed from left to right by concatenating the $(\alpha - |h| - 8)$ bits of the mask where the rightmost bit has been reversed, the |h| bits of the hash-code HH_x and one octet set to 'BC'.

 $F_x = \text{Format}(Id_x(A)) = (Mask_x \oplus (000 \dots 000 \parallel 1)) \parallel HH_x \parallel 'BC'$

A public key denoted $G_x(A)$ is derived from the number represented by the bit string F_x (also denoted F_x , this number is even, non-zero and less than the modulus), as follows.

- If the Jacobi symbol $(F_x | n)$ is +1, then $G_x(A) = F_x$.
- If the Jacobi symbol ($F_x \mid n$) is -1, then $G_x(A) = F_x / 2$.

The authority or the principal shall provide claimant A with m private keys denoted Q_1 to Q_m . The private key denoted Q_x is set equal to the u-th modular power of the public key $G_x(A)$.

$$Q_x = G_x(A)^u \pmod{\text{either } n \text{ or } n(A)}$$

NOTE 1 The CRT technique (see C.2.3) may be used for converting each public key into a private key.

— For each prime factor p_j , a component Z_j is set equal to $G_x(A)$ mod p_j .

- A CRT composition converts the set of components $\{Z_{1d}Z_{2ist}\}$ into a number $Z_{3bc-b63f-62e00992}$ $Q_x = \frac{1}{2}Z_{1d}Z_{2ist}$ into a number $Z_{3bc-b63f-62e00992}$

NOTE 2 Each asymmetric pair of numbers verifies a relationship governed by the verification key. $G_x(A) \times Q_x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n \text{ or } n(A)}$

NOTE 3 Consequently, any number $G_x(A)$ or Q_x may be replaced by the modulus minus the number.

4.2.2.2 Case where *v* is an odd prime

NOTE The mechanism below derives from the first format mechanism specified in ISO/IEC 14888-2^[27], known as PSS (PSS reads Probabilistic Signature Scheme) and due to Bellare and Rogaway^[1].

For converting the identification data Id(A) into a string of α bits, denoted F, the following computational steps are performed.

1) The string Id(A) shall be hashed to obtain a hash-code denoted H.

H = h(Id(A))

2) A string of (64+|h|) bits is constructed from left to right by concatenating 8 octets set to '00' and the hash-code *H*. This string shall be hashed to obtain a hash-code denoted *HH*.

HH = *h*('0000000 0000000' || *H*)

- 3) A mask comprising a string of $(\alpha |h|)$ bits is constructed from the hash-code *HH*. The procedure makes use of two variables: a bit string of variable length, denoted *String*, and a 32-bit counter, denoted *Counter*.
 - a) Set String to the empty string.
 - b) Set Counter to 0.
 - c) Replace String by String || h(HH || Counter).