

ETSI TS 103 307 V1.2.1 (2016-10)



CYBER; Security Aspects for LI and RD Interfaces

PREVIEW
iTech STANDARDS
(standards.iteh.ai)
Full standard available at: <https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/634fde6c-8147-42ce-9b4a-6e4a88db2a56/etsi-ts-103-307-v1.2.1-2016-10>

Reference

RTS/CYBER-0023

Keywords

cyber security, lawful interception, retained data

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Important notice

The present document can be downloaded from:

<http://www.etsi.org/standards-search>

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the only prevailing document is the print of the Portable Document Format (PDF) version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status.

Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at

<https://portal.etsi.org/TB/ETSIDeliverableStatus.aspx>

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services:

<https://portal.etsi.org/People/CommiteeSupportStaff.aspx>

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.

The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.

The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© European Telecommunications Standards Institute 2016.

All rights reserved.

DECT™, **PLUGTESTS™**, **UMTS™** and the ETSI logo are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members.
3GPP™ and **LTE™** are Trade Marks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.
GSM® and the GSM logo are Trade Marks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

Contents

Intellectual Property Rights	5
Foreword.....	5
Modal verbs terminology.....	5
1 Scope	6
2 References	6
2.1 Normative references	6
2.2 Informative references.....	6
3 Definitions and abbreviations.....	7
3.1 Definitions.....	7
3.2 Abbreviations	7
4 Structure of document and list of relevant interfaces.....	7
4.1 Introduction	7
4.2 List of LI and RD items covered in the present document	8
5 Common techniques.....	8
5.1 Introduction	8
5.2 Hash algorithms.....	8
Annex A (normative): Providing assurance for LI or RD material as evidence	9
A.1 Statement of problem	9
A.2 Techniques for providing assurance for LI or RD material as evidence	9
A.2.1 How to use the present document.....	9
A.2.2 Types of technique	10
A.2.3 Techniques in the present document	10
A.3 Detailed definition for hash-only technique in the context of Retained Data	10
A.3.1 Summary	10
A.3.2 Terminology used in clause A.3.....	10
A.3.3 Processes and testing.....	11
A.3.3.1 Process at CSP	11
A.3.3.2 Process at any LEA systems handling the Evidence Data	11
A.3.3.3 Process for use in court.....	11
A.3.3.4 Recommended testing and assurance process at LEA Receiver	12
A.3.4 Choice of hashing algorithms.....	12
A.3.5 Meta-data required	12
A.3.6 Associating hashes with the Evidence Data	13
A.3.7 Storing information at the CSP.....	13
A.3.8 Other notes	14
Annex B (informative): Security issues for global, third-party or virtualised functionality for Retained Data functionality	15
B.1 Introduction	15
B.2 Reference model and recommendations for Retained Data	15
B.2.1 Introduction	15
B.2.2 Reference models/use cases	15
B.2.3 Approaches to meeting the challenges	18
B.2.3.0 Introduction.....	18
B.2.3.1 Principle 1: Data in transit protection	19
B.2.3.2 Principle 2: Asset protection and resilience	19
B.2.3.3 Principle 3: Separation between consumers.....	19
B.2.3.4 Principle 4: Governance framework	20
B.2.3.5 Principle 5: Operational security	20
B.2.3.6 Principle 6: Personnel security.....	20

B.2.3.7	Principle 7: Secure development	21
B.2.3.8	Principle 8: Supply chain security	21
B.2.3.9	Principle 9: Secure consumer management	21
B.2.3.10	Principle 10: Identity and authentication	21
B.2.3.11	Principle 11: External interface protection	22
B.2.3.12	Principle 12: Secure service administration.....	22
B.2.3.13	Principle 13: Audit information provision.....	23
B.2.3.14	Principle 14: Secure use of the service by the consumer	23
B.2.3.15	Table summarizing the principles.....	23
B.2.4	Other recommendations for virtualised or globalized Retained Data.....	24
B.2.4.0	Introduction.....	24
B.2.4.1	Location information	24
B.2.4.2	Times and storage	24
B.2.4.3	Logs, audit and records for evidence	24
History	25

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
 (standards.iteh.ai)

Full standard:
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/634fd66c-8147-42ce-9b4a-6e4a88db2a56/etsi-ts-103-307-v1.2.1-2016-10>

Intellectual Property Rights

IPRs essential or potentially essential to the present document may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: *"Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards"*, which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (<https://ipr.etsi.org/>).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Foreword

This Technical Specification (TS) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Cyber Security (CYBER).

Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "shall", "shall not", "should", "should not", "may", "need not", "will", "will not", "can" and "cannot" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the [ETSI Drafting Rules](#) (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"must" and "must not" are **NOT** allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
Full standard available at
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/42ce-9b4a-6e4a88db2a56/etsi-ts-103-307-v1-2-1-2016-10>

1 Scope

The present document specifies security processes and techniques for LI and RD systems.

The present document is limited to:

- 1) The provision of evidential assurance of RD material.
- 2) Security issues around the role for global, third-party or virtualised components for RD systems.

Future versions of the present document will cover:

- 1) Assurance of the integrity and originator of approvals/authorizations.
- 2) Security aspects of internal interfaces for Lawful Interception.

2 References

2.1 Normative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

Referenced documents which are not found to be publicly available in the expected location might be found at <http://docbox.etsi.org/Reference>.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are necessary for the application of the present document.

- [1] FIPS Publication 180-4 (2014): "Secure Hash Standard (SHS)".

2.2 Informative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are not necessary for the application of the present document but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area.

- [i.1] ETSI TS 102 657: "Lawful Interception (LI); Retained data handling; Handover interface for the request and delivery of retained data".
- [i.2] ETSI TS 102 232-1: "Lawful Interception (LI); Handover Interface and Service-Specific Details (SSD) for IP delivery; Part 1: Handover specification for IP delivery".
- [i.3] ETSI TS 102 918: "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); Associated Signature Containers (AsiC)".
- [i.4] CESG guidance: "Cloud Security Guidance: Implementing Cloud Security Principles".

NOTE 1: Available at <https://www.cesg.gov.uk/guidance/cloud-security-guidance-implementing-cloud-security-principles>.

NOTE 2: Text extracted from [i.4] and used in the present document is in italics and done according to the Open Government Licence available at <http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/1/open-government-licence.htm>.

[i.5] ETSI TS 102 656: "Lawful Interception (LI); Retained Data; Requirements of Law Enforcement Agencies for handling Retained Data".

[i.6] ETSI GS NFV-SEC 010: "Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV); NFV Security; Report on Retained Data problem statement and requirements".

3 Definitions and abbreviations

3.1 Definitions

For the purposes of the present document, the terms and definitions given in ETSI TS 102 657 [i.1] and the following apply:

third party: organization other than the CSP or LEA who is engaged to assist in providing RD or LI services

NOTE: Often the phrase "Trusted Third Party" is used. Clearly the CSP or LEA are expected to engage Third Parties whom they consider to be trusted.

3.2 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

CESG	Communications Electronic Security Group
CSP	Communications Service Provider
LEA	Law Enforcement Agency
LI	Lawful Interception
PDF	Portable Document Format
RD	Retained Data
SHA	Secure Hash Algorithm
XML	Extensible Markup Language

4 Structure of document and list of relevant interfaces

4.1 Introduction

The present document considers the list of particular information flows and interfaces for RD and LI specified in clause 4.2. It examines them from a security (confidentiality, integrity and authenticity) perspective and specifies implementation details (technologies, algorithms, options, minimum requirements on keys, etc.).

An underlying reference model for LI is given in ETSI TS 102 232-1 [i.2] and an underlying reference model for RD is given in ETSI TS 102 657 [i.1].

Certain techniques are applicable to more than one information flow or interface. Generic techniques are addressed in clause 5.

For each information flow or interface, the present document contains the following information (where applicable):

- Statement of the problem, including reference model.
- Identification of the threats and risks to the extent it is appropriate to publish in a standard.
- Statement of the techniques which are recommended as a solution.

4.2 List of LI and RD items covered in the present document

The present document addresses the following LI and RD items:

- 1) Providing evidential assurance of LI or RD material (annex A).
- 2) Security issues around the role for global, third-party or virtualised components of Retained Data facilities (annex B).

The following topics will be covered in future versions of the present document:

- 1) Assurance of the integrity and originator of approvals/authorizations.
- 2) Security aspects of internal interfaces for Lawful Interception.

5 Common techniques

5.1 Introduction

The following techniques are used in a number of the annexes of the present document:

- Algorithms for hashing data.
- The following techniques will be included in future versions of the present document:
- Digital signature algorithms.
- Procedures for Trusted timestamp.
- Transport-layer security.

5.2 Hash algorithms

The SHA-256 algorithm shall be as defined in FIPS Publication 180-4 [1].

The SHA-512 algorithm shall be as defined in FIPS Publication 180-4 [1].

Annex A (normative): Providing assurance for LI or RD material as evidence

A.1 Statement of problem

The requirement is to provide assurance about the integrity of the LI or RD material (i.e. to help with assurance that it has not been altered during the course of delivery and/or storage with end user authorities) and to provide assurance about the originator of the material (i.e. the organization that produced it). The present document does not look at any requirement for confidentiality in this annex.

The goal of this clause is to add assurance to LI or RD material if it is presented as evidence in court. The present document does not attempt to examine legal aspects and no assurance is given that the process in the present document provides a complete or adequate level of assurance for any particular jurisdiction.

The reference model for this clause consists of two parties:

- The originator: the party that creates the material and wishes to provide assurance about its integrity and origin.
- The receiver: the party that wishes to check the integrity and originator of the material.

In a typical situation:

- The originator is the CSP, and the information flow starts at the point where material is selected by the CSP for use as RD or LI. The present document does not examine the integrity of existing CSP business records.
- The receiver is wherever there is a requirement to check the integrity and origin. This can include:
 - immediately upon receiving the material at a government/police agency; or
 - as a check by police or prosecution teams prior to court; or
 - for checking at any time during court proceedings.

The information contained within the flow is not defined within the present document, except where it is noted that parameters (such as identifiers or timestamps) would be needed in order to meet the requirements.

A.2 Techniques for providing assurance for LI or RD material as evidence

A.2.1 How to use the present document

The present document lists a set of techniques which may be used to help provide assurance of LI or RD material used in evidence.

A threat analysis should be performed on a national basis to determine the set of techniques which is appropriate for any given jurisdiction or situation.

Systems should be designed to avoid a "bid-down" attack where techniques can be selected which are not appropriate for the threats they are trying to mitigate.

A.2.2 Types of technique

Techniques for assuring evidence can be categorized as:

- Process-based: It is possible to assure evidence by demonstrating that a process was followed in accordance with approved procedures.

EXAMPLE 1: Use a published procedure for how a Retained Data response file is stored, and demonstrate that these procedures had been followed.

- Cryptography-based: It is possible to assure evidence based on cryptographic assurance of the integrity and origin of material.

EXAMPLE 2: If material is signed using a private key which has been stored securely, there is cryptographic assurance that it was produced by the owner of the private key.

Many countries/jurisdictions use a mix of both process-based techniques and cryptographic techniques. The present document does not state that one type of technique is fundamentally better than the other. It is national choice whether to use process-based techniques, or cryptographic techniques, or a mixture of the two.

A.2.3 Techniques in the present document

The present document lists two cryptography-based techniques:

- "Hash-only technique": Clause A.3 specifies a technique by which hashes give assurance to Retained Data records. This technique provides assurance that evidence has not been altered from originator to receiver. It places a requirement on the sender to keep a record of the hashes it created.
- "Digital-signature technique": This technique provides assurance of the integrity and origin of the material. The details of this technique (in an LI context) is given in ETSI TS 102 232-1 [i.2]. It relies on the cryptographic material being stored securely.

A.3 Detailed definition for hash-only technique in the context of Retained Data

A.3.1 Summary

This clause defines a technique based on hashing without using signatures. The present document describes this technique in the context of assuring the integrity of Retained Data records from the point when a request is answered by the CSP onwards (e.g. through to its use in court). However, it can be used in other contexts e.g. for material other than Retained Data or for assuring Retained Data at other stages.

This clause highlights how the present document can be used in conjunction with ETSI TS 102 657 [i.1].

A.3.2 Terminology used in clause A.3

The terms "Request" and "Response" are defined in ETSI TS 102 657 [i.1].

The "Evidence Data" is the response generated by the CSP which is required to be assured for use in evidence. The Evidence Data is considered to be immutable or "atomic" i.e. it is not possible to discard part of the evidence and assure the remainder. If information has sub-components that can be used independently then each component is considered to be a single piece of Evidence Data and is hashed separately. Clause A.3.6 details how the Evidence Data and hashes can be associated.

The "Unique Identifier" for Evidence Data (UniqueID) is a unique identifier for a single piece of Evidence Data. If ETSI TS 102 657 [i.1] is used, the RequestID can be used as the UniqueID.