
**Road traffic safety (RTS) — Guidance
on ethical considerations relating to
safety for autonomous vehicles**

*Sécurité routière — Recommandations relatives aux considérations
éthiques en matière de sécurité pour les véhicules autonomes*

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO 39003:2023](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/c9b276cb-8fff-4658-b987-2e997a9e1f1d/iso-39003-2023)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/c9b276cb-8fff-4658-b987-2e997a9e1f1d/iso-39003-2023>



iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO 39003:2023

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/c9b276cb-8fff-4658-b987-2e997a9e1f1d/iso-39003-2023>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2023

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

	Page
Foreword	v
Introduction	vi
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 External factors affecting autonomous vehicle safety	2
4.1 General.....	2
4.2 The road environment.....	2
5 Interested parties in AV design and operations	3
5.1 General.....	3
5.2 Producers – Manufacturers, designers and their suppliers.....	3
5.3 Distribution chain – Distributors, sellers.....	3
5.4 Purchasers, owners and operators.....	4
5.5 Government agencies and other interested parties.....	4
6 Governance, assessment and evaluation	4
6.1 General.....	4
6.2 Ethical reference for assessment.....	4
6.3 Additional standards.....	4
6.4 General.....	5
6.4.1 Higher organizational level.....	5
6.4.2 Development organizational level.....	5
6.4.3 Specific development and implementation processes.....	6
6.4.4 Post implementation checking against ethical criteria.....	7
6.5 Conducting the assessment.....	7
6.6 Expression of results and conclusion.....	8
7 Operationalization of ethics - discussion on values and ethics to consider	8
7.1 General.....	8
7.2 Ethical framework for the design of AVs (driving action policies and ethical design).....	8
7.2.1 Purpose.....	9
7.2.2 Values.....	10
7.2.3 Principles.....	10
7.2.4 Methods for construction and evaluation of maxims.....	13
7.3 Background of maxims.....	13
7.3.1 Maxim design and construction.....	13
7.3.2 Evaluations of maxims.....	14
7.4 Driving action policies.....	15
7.4.1 Need versus desire driving action policy.....	16
7.4.2 Once on the road space.....	16
7.4.3 In the lane behaviour (includes braking).....	17
7.4.4 Lane switching.....	18
7.4.5 In the presence of the other.....	19
7.4.6 Road/infrastructure use cases.....	19
7.4.7 Resolving conflict.....	20
7.4.8 Negotiations.....	21
7.4.9 AV unable to function as intended.....	21
7.4.10 Yielding to first responders and emergency response vehicles.....	22
7.4.11 Protecting other road users.....	22
7.4.12 Unavoidable collision with other road users.....	23
7.4.13 Other issues.....	24
8 Framework for rule construction and dealing with violations and deviations	24
8.1 General.....	24

8.2	Framework.....	24
8.3	Goals	25
8.4	Primary rules.....	25
8.5	Supporting rules	26
8.6	Precautionary and disabling rules (prevention).....	26
8.7	Reinforcing and enabling rules (performance).....	26
8.8	Counter rules.....	26
	8.8.1 Exceptions (prevention and performance).....	26
	8.8.2 Discretionary and compensatory rules	27
	8.8.3 Misconduct.....	27
	8.8.4 Violation.....	27
	8.8.5 Deviations.....	27
	8.8.6 Breakdowns.....	28
8.9	Rule strategy.....	28
8.10	Boundaries (for prevention of unwanted behaviour).....	28
	8.10.1 Margins.....	28
	8.10.2 Barriers.....	28
	8.10.3 Buffers	28
8.11	Promoters (for performance).....	29
8.12	Further considerations	29
9	External/internal design	29
10	Sustainability	30
11	Review and re-evaluation following controls system updates.....	31
Annex A (informative)	Overview of ethical philosophy related to AV	32
Annex B (informative)	Sustainability issues	34
Annex C (informative)	Responsibility and accountability in the context of AVs.....	35
Annex D (informative)	Action plan – Example.....	41
Bibliography	43

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 241, *Road traffic safety management systems*.

ISO 39003:2023

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

0.1 General

A long established and commonly held view is that the single most significant factor in road traffic safety are the actions of the driver. However, the road transport system is a complex socio-technical system which places high demands on humans to negotiate. Crashes occur since human beings, due to finite cognitive capacity and physiological limitations, cannot always cope with these demands. To increase road safety, the road transport system therefore should be designed to support the road user to cope with this complexity and to mitigate the effects of crashes when this is not possible.

Autonomous vehicles (AVs) have the potential to replace the human driver and to increase road safety by reducing the risk of human error in daily operations. This will probably take a long time and in the meantime the capabilities of technology and humans should be combined and integrated in such a way that the strengths of both are utilized efficiently. Important safety improvements were made over the last century, but automated driving technology provides new opportunities to improve safety even further.

AVs are unlikely to gain widespread acceptance until the travelling public feels assured of their safety and security, not only of passengers but also other vehicles and vulnerable road users. This includes a behaviour of the AV according to the desires and requirements of society. However, despite contemporary expectations and a very optimistic view on technology, humans have an extremely valuable faculty that machines will probably never possess—ethical decision making and judgement. For real traffic situations the human does not always have the prerequisites to take a rational decision based on ethics since the time frame is often too narrow. For that reason, many “decisions” are made instinctively without the possibility of making a well-reasoned and balanced ethical decision. Defining this element and imparting it on machines is critical for the success of AVs. This can only be achieved by ensuring that AVs are equipped with driving action policies that align with the general ethical beliefs, needs, and desires of humanity on a global level, subject to local specific nuances.

To achieve the imprint of global and local ethical considerations in AV design, there is a need for a framework of ethics involving the necessary stakeholders of different areas. To that objective's end, it is important to develop ethical standards for AV behaviour. While there are few standards available, or under development, that address the engineering and technological aspects of AVs, there are no International Standards that address aspects concerning the general topics of driving policy and ethical behaviour, which are also important. Driving policy means a general approach of how an AV makes a decision and performs manoeuvres. Ethical relevant behaviour represents positive or potentially negative impact on road users and especially the vulnerable ones as well as the public space at large.

The objective of this document is to lay out a framework for the development of a standard for ethical and societally accepted driving policy.

0.2 The concept of autonomous vehicles

AVs have the objective to substitute driver, including tasks, decisions, and responsibilities. Hence the driver behaviour model proposed by Michon (1985) and applied to AVs in Reference [26] (Figure 1) can be a start to design and operate AVs. The driving task consists of three levels: the strategic level concerned with the higher-level trip goals (e.g. route choice), the tactical level concerned with the manoeuvring decisions, including negotiations and interactions with other vehicles, and operational level concerned with the execution of these tactical and operational behaviours at the level of vehicle control. There is no strictly hierarchical relationship among these levels.




Figure 1 — Hierarchical model of driving task (source: Reference [26])

Beside this consideration which has a timely sequence, the AV will ideally be designed thoroughly to ensure safe and secure operation, and the decision base for the desired behaviour established. Therefore, all necessary information would be available, and the situation will be captured and understood while different perspectives will support the final decision.

[Figure 1](#) is a generic decomposition of how an AV works. [Figure 2](#) is a more focused and detailed description of the decision making module (where the ethical considerations resides) Hence a functional decomposition into the following six layers (Reference [27]) ([Figure 2](#)) can provide the first step into solution space.

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/c9b276cb-8fff-4658-b987-2e997a9e1f1d/iso-39003-2023>




Figure 2 — Decomposition layers (source: Reference [27])

- Information access
Information available and accessible.
- Information reception
All necessary information can be captured.
- Information processing
Captured information contain all required classification and identification for further process.
- Situational understanding
Based on information, situation is captured and understood.
- Behavioural decision
Based on designed or trained situational awareness, the desired behaviour is chosen.
- Action
Vehicle transforms wished behaviour into action.

Within this framework, technical realization can differ while the focus of the situation decision will be comprehensible, accountable, and comparable among different designs. A thorough development will benefit transparency for action and to take decisions. The work described in this document focuses primarily on Layer 4 – behavioural decision.

0.3 The concept of ethical considerations for autonomous vehicles

Philosophy helps people question, understand and make sense of the world so they can act properly in it. This means that decisions and actions are not only intrinsically fair, but that they are also performed in a way that is balanced with respect with other's needs, the societal needs and humanistic values, as well as with respect to the physical world. It is widely accepted that philosophy can be divided into theoretical philosophy and practical philosophy. Theoretical philosophy consists of subdisciplines such as ontology, epistemology, logic, and philosophy of mind, amongst others. Practical philosophy consists of subdisciplines like ethics, political and social philosophy, and aesthetics.

Within ethics there are three main branches: normative ethics, applied ethics as well as meta-ethics. Normative ethics is the study of ethical action and determining standards for decision making and conduct (e.g. consequentialism, deontology, virtue ethics, see [Annex A](#)). Applied ethics is the application of standards of ethics to real life situations (e.g. biomedical ethics, AI ethics, political ethics, see [Annex B](#)). In this document, ethics refers to both normative and applied ethics but not to meta-ethics (which is more concerned with the meaning of ethical concepts such as 'good' or 'bad' or the nature of moral judgments. Applied ethics is a flexible and practical way to address ethical considerations in the development of new technologies because an applied ethics field, such as AV ethics, can borrow from more than one normative school of ethics. Therefore, the framework offered in this document, although based on a principle-based approach, can use ideas from deontology and virtue ethics, for example, to help solve problems. This is a balanced approach; it does not condone one type of normative ethics over another, it offers a range of perspectives that will help the designer/developer in choosing the best (or better) decision possible for specific situations.

In summary, ethics is the study of how to choose to act in situations in order to make good and rationally justifiable decisions. To make decisions, it is necessary to clarify what matters and to understand what is considered good, bad, right and wrong. Therefore, ethics may be viewed as a tool that helps us create the difference between a "good" decision and a "bad" one, but also to justify this decision on rational and intersubjectively acceptable grounds. This is of great importance in the development of AVs because choices made during the design and development of AV systems determine its "driving behaviour" and how it caters to its passengers and interacts with other road users (e.g. vulnerable road users). "Driving behaviour" is what was designed for and programmed into the machine, "conduct" is what actually transpires as a consequence of applying the driving behaviour to the real world.

This document offers a particular framework for AV ethics which is intended to support the practical integration of ethics into the AV development process. The framework suggested here builds upon Socrates conception of the hierarchical nature of philosophy for practical use which has three levels (see [Figure 3](#)). The base level is the conduct that transpires as a consequence of decision and actions (behaviour), the second level is how these behaviours are governed. Namely what kind of policy and arrangements are in place to make decisions. The top level is purpose, which behaviour and conduct will normally align with, in order to achieve the goals and objectives of the entire endeavour. Finally, knowledge is the "tool" for making sure that the purpose is reasonable and balanced and that the governance and behaviour/conduct are indeed feasible and appropriate.




Figure 3 — Socrates’ hierarchical nature of philosophy

Figure 4 is a graphical depiction of the framework advocated here for AV ethics. It shares similarities with other ethical approaches for emerging technologies, it is also unique as it has been created specifically for the AV context. Essentially, the AV ethics framework provides guidance for reflective and critical decision making and is composed of four main elements: purpose, values, principles, and process. The framework approach provides structure and guidance, yet it is flexible enough to accommodate multicultural perspectives.




Figure 4 — The AV ethics framework for the integration of ethical considerations into the design and development of AVs

The purpose element refers to the overall goal of integrating ethics into AV design and development. The purpose is the “why” aspect of the framework or the reason for the existence of this document. Purpose offers high level (or meta) guidance when decision making, i.e. will a particular decision lead to an increase in road traffic safety or not. As this is an international standard, the values element provides guidance on what is important to the world’s population. Therefore, universal values such as the UN universal values (e.g. human dignity) are recommended in this framework. The principles element gives more detailed guidance on decision making by identifying the boundaries of a good

decision and a bad one as well as directing the attention to specific areas (fairness in AV behaviour and conduct in the context of other vehicles). The process element refers to two levels of process activities, governance, and daily operations, which are required to integrate ethics into AV development. [Table 1](#) summarises these four elements.

Specific examples for the values, principles, and process elements are provided in the AV ethics framework section. The purpose is not an example but a strong recommendation as it contributes to the overall goal of the ISO traffic management safety series of standards. The values, principles and processes presented here are relevant and universally acceptable examples but may be changed according to the requirements and needs of specific countries, societies and organizations. The users of this document may decide on which values, principles and processes they will use for their work. Finally, it is acknowledged that an ethics framework is only useful if it is adhered to by all involved and is well integrated into the AV system development process.

Table 1 — Framework elements and recommended designations

Purpose	Overarching goal: increase safety in road traffic systems for all traffic participants (ISO TC 241)
Values	Value set: UN universal values recommended
Principles	Principle set: artificial intelligence for people principles recommended (with other options listed)
Process	Governance (e.g. integration of AV ethics into existing governance measures)
	Daily operations (e.g. ethical evaluations, operationalization of principles)

iteh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO 39003:2023](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/c9b276cb-8fff-4658-b987-2e997a9e1f1d/iso-39003-2023>

Road traffic safety (RTS) — Guidance on ethical considerations relating to safety for autonomous vehicles

1 Scope

This document gives guidance on ethical considerations with regards to road traffic safety of autonomous vehicles (AVs).

It is applicable to vehicles in level 5 mode according to SAE J3016 in 2022, as part of its report.

This document does not apply to the technical method used to control the decision-making process, nor does it give any guidance on the desired outcomes of those decisions; it gives guidance on ethical aspects for consideration in the design of decision-making process.

This document does not set requirements for the outcomes of ethical decisions, nor does it offer guidance on methodology. It only details aspects of the behaviour of AVs for which considerations may be made by the designer/manufacturer to ensure that key aspects are not overlooked or disregarded.

This document does not offer the technical precision to prescribe the required controls but would, rather, offer a set of “protocol guidelines” that all decision makers regarding automated driving could choose to self-certify against to assure that the desired necessary ethical considerations were addressed during design and effectively controlled.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 39001, *Road traffic safety (RTS) management systems — Requirements with guidance for use*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 39001 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>

— IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1

autonomous vehicle

AV

vehicle equipped with an *automated driving system (ADS)* (3.2)

3.2

automated driving system

ADS

system that allows a vehicle to fulfil the requirements for a SAE J3016 level 5 vehicle

3.3

conventional vehicle

vehicle designed to be operated by a conventional driver during part or the entirety of every trip

3.4

AV ethics

branch of applied ethics specific to the ethics of *autonomous vehicles (AVs)* (3.1)

Note 1 to entry: AV ethics is concerned with the ethical decision-making process of the designers and developers of AVs while creating and programming AVs. Further, it helps designers and developers of AVs define the conditions of a good choice and determine which of the options available is the most appropriate one.

3.5

ego vehicle

subject-connected and/or automated vehicle, the behaviour of which is of primary interest in testing, trialling or operational scenarios

3.6

driving action policy

algorithmic statement that is evaluated TRUE before an action is taken

Note 1 to entry: In essence, the driving action policy constrains the planner from executing a (unethical) manoeuvre. Many people could consider these as “driving action policies”.

4 External factors affecting autonomous vehicle safety

4.1 General

The following are seven categories of external factors relevant to AV safety:

- a) other fully AVs (SAE level 5);
- b) other highly or partially AVs (SAE level 4 and below);
- c) other conventional vehicles;
- d) other mechanical transportation;
- e) vulnerable road users;
- f) animals;
- f) road traffic environment.

NOTE For definition of categories a) to c), see SAE J3016.

4.2 The road environment

In addition to road users and vehicle factors, road and environment conditions are also considered as contributing factors to crashes. Hence, it is important for AVs to be aware of environmental factors such as road and topographical conditions and road type (e.g. single-or dual carriageway) throughout the entire journey route.

Attention should be given, but not limited to the following items.

- a) Road conditions:
 - road surface (e.g. potholes, slippery, greasy),
 - geometric features (e.g. sag curves, crest curves, lane width), and,
 - road construction work.
- b) Road furniture along the route (e.g. type of guardrail used, road signage, road markings).

- c) Road environment:
- topographical condition (e.g. flat, undulating, hilly, mountainous),
 - signage (e.g. inadequate, confusing or blocked road signages),
 - lighting,
 - haze,
 - thick fog,
 - weather condition (e.g. snow, ice, heavy rain, flood, landslide, crosswind),
 - animal crossing, and,
 - parked vehicles and potential impact on sightlines.
- d) Traffic volume and condition (e.g. during peak hours, festive seasons).

The nature and magnitude of traffic plying on the road plays a significant role and gives rise to a number of problems if traffic is not properly regulated and controlled due to non-adherence to the traffic rules which are common features in many developing countries. Therefore, an understanding of traffic characteristics is a key component to build into autonomous driving systems. If traffic is allowed to mix with non-motorized traffic (NMT), it would be difficult to ensure that there would not be any likelihood for occurrence of road crashes. There are many complex issues like the presence of NMT in the traffic stream to be addressed in a holistic manner in context of ethic consideration for AVs.

5 Interested parties in AV design and operations

5.1 General

There are four categories of interested parties involved in the design and operations of AVs. Each one is examined below.

5.2 Producers – Manufacturers, designers and their suppliers

Manufacturers of AVs designing the vehicle carry responsibility toward the users of the vehicle as well as and towards other road users (vulnerable road users, bystanders, other drivers) for the product and toward the regulator and operational agencies such as the Department of Motor Vehicles (see [C.1](#) for a discussion of the concept of responsibility and accountability in AV design.). Manufacturers share this responsibility with their suppliers. This document, which discusses ethical considerations in the design of the AV behaviour, provides guidance to manufacturers and their suppliers as to how they develop ethical relevant components (e.g. vehicle behaviour, interaction with other road users) and how to document the decision process and decisions accordingly. In particular, the focus is on ethical considerations in designing vehicle behaviours and decision making.

5.3 Distribution chain – Distributors, sellers

The role of a distributors is to facilitate the bulk transfer of completed vehicles from manufacturers to sellers. They should address the safety ethical considerations and the guidance within this document and ensure that no part of the distribution process can compromise any safety features or design of the vehicle, as manufactured.

The role of a seller is to facilitate the sale of vehicles to users. They should be responsible for ensuring that all vehicles they sell address the guidance within this document and that the prospective purchasers are made aware of the relevance of meeting the guidelines in this document (e.g. education about AV technology and its societal impact).