



Designation: D 3884 – 01<sup>e1</sup>

## Standard Guide for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Rotary Platform, Double-Head Method)<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 3884; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

<sup>e1</sup> NOTE—The figures were corrected editorially in January 2002.

### 1. Scope

1.1 This guide covers the determination of the abrasion resistance of textile fabrics using the rotary platform, double-head tester (RPDH).

NOTE 1—Other procedures for measuring the abrasion resistance of textile fabrics are given in Test Methods D 3885, D 3886, D 1775, D 4158, D 4966, and AATCC 61.

1.2 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard; the values in English units are provided as information only and are not exact equivalents.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety problems, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

### 2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*<sup>2</sup>

D 123 Terminology Relating to Textiles

D 1775 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Oscillatory Cylinder and Uniform Abrasion Methods)

D 1776 Practice for Conditioning and Testing Textiles

D 3885 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Flexing and Abrasion Method)

D 3886 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Inflated Diaphragm Method)

D 4158 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Uniform Abrasion Method)

D 4966 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Martindale Abrasion Tester)

D 5034 Test Method for Breaking Strength and Elongation of Textile Fabrics (Grab Test)

D 5035 Test Method for Breaking Force and Elongation of Textile Fabrics (Strip Method)

2.2 *Other Documents:*

AATCC 93 Impeller Tumble Method<sup>3</sup>

### 3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions:*

3.1.1 *abrasion, n*—the wearing away of any part of a material by rubbing against another surface.

3.1.2 *abrasion cycle, n*—in *abrasion testing*, one or more movements of the abradant across a material surface, or the material surface across the abradant, that permits a return to its starting position.

3.1.2.1 *Discussion*—The abrasion cycle is dependent on the programmed motions of the abrasion machine and the test standard used. It may consist of one back-and-forth unidirectional movement such as for the rotary platform test method, or a combination of both such as for the inflated diaphragm test method. For the oscillatory cylinder abrasion method, an abrasion cycle consists of one circular movement of the specimen.

3.1.3 *breaking force, n*—the maximum force applied to a material carried to rupture. (Compare *breaking point*, *breaking strength*).

3.2 For definitions of other textile terms used in this test method, refer to Terminology D 123.

### 4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 A specimen is abraded using rotary rubbing action under controlled conditions of pressure and abrasive action. The test specimen, mounted on a platform, turns on a vertical axis, against the sliding rotation of two abrading wheels. One

<sup>1</sup> This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D13 on Textiles and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D13.60 on Fabric Test Methods, Specific.

Current edition approved Sept. 10, 2001. Published November 2001. Originally published as D 3884 – 80. Discontinued January 2001 and reinstated as D 3884 – 01. Last previous edition D 3884 – 80.

<sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

<sup>3</sup> Available from American Association of Textile Chemists and Colorists, P.O. Box 12215, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.

abrading wheel rubs the specimen outward toward the periphery and the other, inward toward the center. The resulting abrasion marks form a pattern of crossed arcs over an area of approximately 30 cm<sup>2</sup>. Resistance to abrasion is evaluated by various means which are described in Section 12.

### 5. Significance and Use

5.1 The measurement of the resistance to abrasion of textile and other materials is very complex. The resistance to abrasion is affected by many factors, such as the inherent mechanical properties of the fibers; the dimensions of the fibers; the structure of the yarns; the construction of the fabrics; and the type, kind, and amount of finishing material added to the fibers, yarns, or fabric.

5.2 The resistance to abrasion is also greatly affected by the conditions of the tests, such as the nature of abrasant, variable action of the abrasant over the area of specimen abraded, the tension of the specimen, the pressure between the specimen and abrasant, and the dimensional changes in the specimens.

5.3 Abrasion tests are all subject to variation due to changes in the abrasant during specific tests. The abrasant must accordingly be discarded at frequent intervals or checked periodically against a standard. With disposable abrasants, the abrasant is used only once or discarded after limited use. With permanent abrasants that use hardened metal or equivalent surfaces, it is assumed that the abrasant will not change appreciably in a specific series of tests. Similar abrasants used in different laboratories will not change at the same rate, due to differences in usage. Permanent abrasants may also change due to pick up of finishing or other material from test fabrics and must accordingly be cleaned at frequent intervals. The measurement of the relative amount of abrasion may also be affected by the method of evaluation and may be influenced by the judgment of the operator.

5.4 The resistance of textile materials to abrasion as measured on a testing machine in the laboratory is generally only

one of several factors contributing to wear performance or durability as experienced in the actual use of the material. While “abrasion resistance” (often stated in terms of the number of cycles on a specified machine, using a specified technique to produce a specified degree or amount of abrasion) and “durability” (defined as the ability to withstand deterioration or wearing out in use, including the effects of abrasion) are frequently related, the relationship varies with different end uses, and different factors may be necessary in any calculation of predicted durability from specific abrasion data. Laboratory tests may be reliable as an indication of relative end-use performance in cases where the difference in abrasion resistance of various materials is large, but they should not be relied upon where differences in laboratory test findings are small. In general, they should not be relied upon for prediction of actual wear-life in specific end uses unless there are data showing the specific relationship between laboratory abrasion tests and actual wear in the intended end-use.

5.5 These general observations apply to all types of fabrics, including woven, nonwoven, and knit apparel fabrics, household fabrics, industrial fabrics, and floor coverings. It is not surprising, therefore, to find that there are many different types of abrasion testing machines, abrasants, testing conditions, testing procedures, methods of evaluation of abrasion resistance and interpretation of results.

5.6 All the test procedures and instruments that have been developed for abrasion resistance of fabrics may show a high degree of variability in results obtained by different operators and in different laboratories, however, they represent the procedures most widely used in the industry. Because there is a definite need for measuring the relative resistance to abrasion, this is one of the several procedures that is useful to help minimize the inherent variation in results that may occur.

5.7 Before definite predictions of fabric usefulness can be drawn from an abrasion test as made on the rotary platform, double-head (RPDH) abrader (Fig. 1), actual end-use trials



FIG. 1 Rotary Platform Double Head Abrader

should be conducted and related to the abrasion test. Different types of wear (for example, wear on men's clothing at cuffs, crotch, etc.) may correspond to different ratings of the RPDH test.

5.8 In making a comparison of different fabrics (that is, of different fibers, weights, etc.) the RPDH test will not always reveal a difference known to exist when the fabrics are actually used. Therefore, end-use trials should be conducted in conjunction with the RPDH abrasion test, at least as a guide for future testing of these fabrics.

5.9 Uncontrolled manufacturing or finishing variations occurring within a fabric or within lots of the same style of fabric can, however, be detected satisfactorily with the RPDH tester.

5.10 Because of the conditions mentioned above, technicians frequently fail to get good agreement between results obtained on the same type of testing instrument both within and between laboratories, and the precision of these test methods is uncertain. This test method is accordingly not recommended for acceptance testing in contractual agreements between purchaser and supplier because of the poor between-laboratory precision of the test method.

5.11 If there are differences of practical significance between reported test results for two laboratories (or more), comparative tests should be performed to determine if there is a statistical bias between them, using competent statistical assistance. As a minimum, the test samples used are to be as homogeneous as possible, drawn from the material from which the disparate test results were obtained, and randomly assigned in equal numbers to each laboratory for testing. The test results from the two laboratories should be compared using a statistical test for unpaired data, at a probability level chosen prior to the testing series. If bias is found, either its cause must be found and corrected, or future test results must be adjusted in consideration of the known bias.

## 6. Apparatus

6.1 *Rotary Platform, Double-Head (RPDH) Abrader* (Fig. 1),<sup>3</sup> comprised of a housing of compact design, a removable flat-circular specimen holder, a pair of pivoted arms to which the abrasive wheels are attached, a motor for rotating the platform and specimen, a fan for cooling the motor, a vacuum nozzle and vacuum cleaner for removal of lint from specimen, and a counter for indicating the revolutions of the specimen holder. The specimen holder should be mounted so as to produce a circular surface travel of an essentially flat specimen in the plane of its surface.

6.1.1 The abrasive wheels, which are attached to the free end of the pivoted arms, rotate and have, when resting on the specimen, a peripheral engagement with the surface of the specimen, the direction of travel of the periphery of the wheels and of the specimen at the contacting portions being at acute angles, and the angles of travel of one wheel periphery being opposite to that of the other. Motion of the abrasive wheels, in opposite directions, is provided by rotation of the specimen and the associated friction therefrom.

6.1.2 The abrasive wheels<sup>4</sup> are either rubber-based or vitrified-based. Both types of wheels are manufactured in different grades of abrasive quality. The wheels are lead bushed, 13 mm (0.5 in.) thick and approximately 50 mm (2 in.) in diameter. The wheels customarily used for testing textiles are the rubber-base, resilient type composed of abrasive grains cushioned in rubber; consequently, they are distorted during operation of the abrader. Accordingly, the wheels should be mounted as prescribed in 9.1 so as to compensate for this distortion.

6.1.3 Vitrified-base wheels are the hard abrasive type. They may be cut with a diamond point to alter the roughness of the wheel, the stroke of cut determining the degree of grit. The position of these wheels is not critical, but it is recommended that they be set as prescribed in 9.1.

6.2 The specimen holder is supported by an adapter that is motor-driven and provides motion for the circular travel of the specimen holder.

6.2.1 Clamping rings are used to secure the specimen to the specimen holder, one for use with lighter weight fabrics, and a larger one for use with heavier-weight fabrics.

6.3 The RPDH abrader is provided with a load adjustment for varying the load of the abrader wheels on the specimen. The pivoted abrader arms without auxiliary weights or counter weights apply a load against the specimen of 250 g per wheel (exclusive of the mass of the wheel itself). The manufacturer provides additional weights that can be used to increase the load to 500 or 1000 g, and a counterweight attachment that can be used to reduce the load on the specimen to 125 g per wheel.

6.4 *Auxiliary Apparatus*—Resurfacing disks, of carborundum-coated paper, are provided for resurfacing of rubber-base wheels. A stiff brush is provided for the removal of loose particles from the surface of the wheels. (Compressed air is recommended for cleaning vitrified-base wheels.)

6.5 *Abrasion Wheel Resurfacing Device*, for resurfacing uneven wheel wear.

## 7. Sampling

7.1 Take a lot sample as directed in the applicable material specification, or as agreed upon by the purchaser and seller. In the absence of such a specification or other agreement, take a laboratory sample as directed in 7.1.1. Consider rolls or pieces of fabric to be the primary sampling unit.

7.1.1 Take a laboratory sample that is the full width of the fabric and at least 50 cm (approximately 20 in.) long, from each roll or piece of fabric in the lot sample. The laboratory sample should be taken no closer than 1 m (1 yd) from the end of each roll or piece of fabric.

7.2 Sample shipments of garments as agreed upon by purchaser and seller.

<sup>4</sup> The sole source of supply of the apparatus known to the committee at this time is Taber Industries, 455 Bryant St. North Tonawanda, NY 14120. If you are aware of alternate suppliers, please provide this information to ASTM headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend.