



Designation: F 2178 – 06

Standard Test Method for Determining the Arc Rating and Standard Specification for Face Protective Products¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F 2178; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method and product specification is used to measure the arc rating and specify the requirements for products intended for use as face protection for workers exposed to electric arcs that would generate heat flux values from 84 to 25 120 kW/m² [2 to 600 cal/cm²s]. Products are tested as sold.

1.2 This test method determines an arc rating for face protective products. The faceshield or other applicable portions of the complete product must meet ANSI Z87.1. This excludes the textile or non ANSI Z87.1 testable parts of the hood assemblies or other tested products. This standard does not measure optical and impact properties (see ANSI Z87.1) but does specify requirements for optical and impact properties.

1.3 The materials covered by this standard are in the form of faceshields attached to the head by protective helmets (hard hats), headgear, or hood assemblies. Faceshields are tested with or without other face and head protective products, for example, sock hoods, balaclavas, sweat shirt hoods or jacket hoods.

1.3.1 Fabric layers used in hood assemblies or other items tested under this standard shall meet the requirements of Specification F 1506.

1.4 This test method shall be used to measure and describe the properties of materials, products, or assemblies in response to convective and radiant energy generated by an electric arc under controlled laboratory conditions and does not purport to predict damage from light other than the thermal aspects measured.

1.5 *Units*—The values stated in SI units shall be regarded as standard except as noted. Within the text, alternate units are shown in brackets. The values stated in each system may not be exact equivalents; therefore, alternate systems must be used independently of the other. Combining values from the systems described in the text may result in nonconformance with the method.

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee F18 on Electrical Protective Equipment for Workers and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee F18.65 on Wearing Apparel.

Current edition approved Nov. 15, 2006. Published January 2007. Originally approved in 2002. Last previous edition approved in 2002 as F 2178 – 02.

1.6 This standard does not purport to describe or appraise the effect of the electric arc fragmentation explosion and subsequent molten metal splatter, which involves the pressure wave containing molten metals and possible fragments of other materials except to the extent that heat energy transmission due to these arc explosion phenomena is reduced by test specimens.

1.7 *This standard shall not be used to describe or appraise the fire hazard or fire risk of materials, products, or assemblies under actual fire conditions. However, results of this test may be used as elements of a fire assessment which takes into account all of the factors which are pertinent to an assessment of the fire hazard of a particular end use.*

1.8 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use. For specific precautions, see Section 7.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

C 177 Test Method for Steady-State Heat Flux Measurements and Thermal Transmission Properties by Means of the Guarded-Hot-Plate Apparatus

D 123 Terminology Relating to Textiles

D 3776 Test Method for Mass Per Unit Area (Weight) of Fabric

D 4391 Terminology Relating to The Burning Behavior of Textiles

E 457 Test Method for Measuring Heat-Transfer Rate Using a Thermal Capacitance (Slug) Calorimeter

F 1494 Terminology Relating to Protective Clothing

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

F 1506 Performance Specification for Flame Resistant Textile Materials for Wearing Apparel for Use by Electrical Workers Exposed to Momentary Electric Arc and Related Thermal Hazards

F 1958/F 1958M Test Method for Determining the Ignitability of Non-flame-Resistant Materials for Clothing by Electric Arc Exposure Method Using Mannequins

F 1959/F 1959M Test Method for Determining the Arc Rating of Materials for Clothing

2.2 *ANSI/IEEE Standards:*

IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms³

ANSI Z87.1-2003 Practice for Occupational and Educational Eye and Face Protection⁴

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—For definitions of other textile terms used in this method, refer to terminology in Terminology **D 123**, **D 4391**, **F 1494** and the **IEEE Standard Dictionary** of Electrical and Electronics Terms.

3.2 *Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:*

3.2.1 *afterflame*, *n*—persistent flaming of a material after the ignition source has been removed.

3.2.2 *afterflame time*, *n*—the length of time for which a material continues to flame after the ignition source has been removed.

3.2.3 *arc duration*, *n*—time duration of the arc, *s*.

3.2.4 *arc energy*, *vi dt*, *n*—sum of the instantaneous arc voltage values multiplied by the instantaneous arc current values multiplied by the incremental time values during the arc, *J*.

3.2.5 *arc gap*, *n*—distance between the arc electrodes, *cm* [in.].

3.2.6 *arc rating*, *n*—value attributed to materials that describes their performance to exposure to an electric arc discharge, *J/cm²* (*cal/cm²*).

3.2.6.1 *Discussion*—The arc rating is expressed in *J/cm²* (*cal/cm²*) and is derived from the determined value of *ATPV* or *E_{BT}* (should a material system exhibit a breakopen response below the *ATPV* value).

3.2.7 *arc thermal performance value (ATPV)*, *n*—*in arc testing*, the incident energy of a fabric or material that results in 50 % probability that sufficient heat transfer through the specimen is predicted to cause the onset of a second-degree skin burn injury based on the Stoll curve.⁵

3.2.8 *arc voltage*, *n*—voltage across the gap caused by the current flowing through the resistance created by the arc gap (*V*).

3.2.9 *asymmetrical arc current*, *n*—the total arc current produced during closure; it includes a direct component and a symmetrical component, *A*.

3.2.10 *blowout*, *n*—the extinguishing of the arc caused by a magnetic field.

3.2.11 *breakopen*, *n*—*in electric arc testing*, a material response evidenced by the formation of one or more holes in the material which may allow thermal energy to pass through material.

3.2.11.1 *Discussion*—The specimen is considered to exhibit breakopen when any hole in the material or fabric is at least 1.6 *cm²* [0.5 *in.²*] in area or at least 2.5 *cm* [1.0 *in.*] in any dimension. For textile materials, single threads across the opening or hole do not reduce the size of the hole for the purposes of this test method. In multiple layer specimens, if some of the layers are ignitable, breakopen occurs when these layers are exposed.

3.2.12 *breakopen threshold energy (E_{BT})*, *n*—the incident energy on a fabric or material that results in a 50 % probability of breakopen.

3.2.12.1 *Discussion*—This is the value in *J/cm²* [*cal/cm²*] determined by use of logistic regression analysis representing the energy at which breakopen of the layer occurred.

3.2.13 *deformation*, *n*—*for electric arc testing of face protective products*, the sagging of material greater than 7.6 *cm* [3 *in.*] or melting in any manner that the faceshield/window touches any part of the body.

3.2.14 *delta peak temperature*, *n*—difference between the maximum temperature and the initial temperature of the sensor during the test, *°C*.

3.2.15 *dripping*, *n*—*in electric arc testing*, a material response evidenced by flowing of a specimen's material of composition.

3.2.15.1 *Discussion*—Dripping is exhibited by either the fabric material or faceshield material of face protective products.

3.2.16 *electric arc ignition*, *n*—*in electric arc testing of face protective products*, the initiation of combustion as related to electric arc exposure, a response that causes the ignition of textile test specimen material which is accompanied by heat and light, and then subsequent burning for at least 5 *s*, and consumption of at least 25 % of the test specimen area.

3.2.16.1 *Discussion*—For multilayer specimens, consumption of the innermost FR layer must be at least 25 %.

3.2.17 *faceshield*, *n*—a protective device commonly intended to shield the wearer's face, or portions thereof, in addition to the eyes, from certain hazards.

3.2.18 *heat attenuation factor; HAF*, *n*—*in electric arc testing*, the average of the percent of the incident energy that is blocked by a material.

3.2.18.1 *Discussion*—*In arc testing of face protective products*, *HAF* (face) is based on the highest sensor reading among the four head sensors for each head exposure.

3.2.19 *heat flux*, *n*—the thermal intensity indicated by the amount of energy transmitted per area and time *W/m²* [*cal/cm²s*].

³ Available from Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. (IEEE), 445 Hoes Ln., P.O. Box 1331, Piscataway, NJ 08854-1331, <http://www.ieee.org>.

⁴ Available from American National Standards Institute (ANSI), 25 W. 43rd St., 4th Floor, New York, NY 10036, <http://www.ansi.org>.

⁵ Derived from Stoll, A. M., and Chianta, M. A., "Method and Rating System for Evaluations of Thermal Protection," *Aerospace Medicine*, Vol 40, 1969, pp. 1232-1238 and Stoll, A. M., and Chianta, M. A., "Heat Transfer through Fabrics as Related to Thermal Injury," *Transactions—New York Academy of Sciences*, Vol 33 (7), Nov. 1971, pp. 649-670.

3.2.20 i^2t , n —sum of the instantaneous arc current values squared multiplied by the incremental time values during the arc, A^2/s .

3.2.21 *incident energy monitoring sensors*, n —sensors mounted on each side of each head, using calorimeters, not covered by specimens, used to measure incident energy.

3.2.22 *incident exposure energy* (E_i), n —in arc testing, the total incident energy delivered to monitor calorimeter sensors as a result of the arc exposure, J/cm^2 [cal/cm^2].

3.2.22.1 *Discussion*—In an arc test exposure, incident exposure energy for a specimen is determined from the average of the measured incident energy from the respective two monitor sensors adjacent to the test specimen.

3.2.23 *material response*, n —material response to an electric arc is indicated by the following terms: breakopen, melting, dripping, deformation, afterflame time, shrinkage, and electric arc ignition.

3.2.24 *melting*, n —in arc testing, a material response evidenced by softening of the material.

3.2.25 *peak arc current*, n —maximum value of the AC arc current, A .

3.2.26 *RMS arc current*, n —root mean square of the AC arc current, A .

3.2.27 *shrinkage*, n —in testing face protective products, a material response evidenced by reduction in specimen size.

3.2.28 *Stoll curve*, n —an empirical predicted second-degree skin burn injury model, also commonly referred to as the *Stoll Response*.

3.2.29 *time to delta peak temperature*, n —the time from beginning of the initiation of the arc to the time the delta peak temperature is reached, s .

3.2.30 *X/R ratio*, n —the ratio of system inductive reactance to resistance. It is proportional to the L/R ratio of time constant, and is, therefore, indicative of the rate of decay of any DC offset. A large X/R ratio corresponds to a large time constant and a slow rate of decay.

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 This test method determines the heat transport response across a material, fabric, or fabric system when exposed to the heat energy from an electric arc. This heat transport response is assessed versus the Stoll curve, an approximate human tissue tolerance predictive model that projects the onset of a second-degree burn injury.

4.1.1 Products are mounted on the standard mannequin head containing copper slug calorimeters inserted in the eyes, mouth, and chin positions. During this procedure, the amount of heat energy transferred by the specimen face protective products is measured during and after exposure to an electric arc.

4.1.2 The thermal energy exposure and heat transport response of the test specimen(s) are measured with copper slug calorimeters. The change in temperature versus time is used, along with the known thermo-physical properties of copper to determine the respective thermal energies delivered to and through the specimen(s).

4.2 This procedure incorporates incident energy monitoring sensors.

4.3 Product and material performance for this procedure are determined by comparing the amount of thermal energy generated by the arc flash on monitor sensors with the energy transferred by or through the test specimen(s) and measured by sensors on the mannequin head.

4.4 Product and material responses shall be further described by recording the observed effects of the electric arc exposure on the specimens using the terms in the Report section.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 This test method is intended for the determination of the arc rating of a product/design, intended for use as face protection for workers exposed to electric arcs.

5.1.1 Because of the variability of the arc exposure, different heat transmission values may be observed at individual sensors. The results of each sensor are evaluated in accordance with Section 12.

5.2 This test method maintains the specimen in a static, vertical position and does not involve movement except that resulting from the exposure.

5.3 This test method specifies a standard set of exposure conditions. Different exposure conditions may produce different results.

5.4 This specification covers the minimum performance criteria for arc resistance and other requirements for face protective products used by workers who may be exposed to thermal hazards of momentary electric arcs or flame.

5.5 The purchaser has the option to perform or have performed any of these tests in order to verify the performance of the face protective product. Claims for failure to meet the specification are subject to verification by the manufacturer.

NOTE 1—In addition to the standard set of exposure conditions, other conditions representative of the expected hazard may be used and shall be reported should this data be cited.

6. Apparatus

6.1 *General Arrangement for Determining Rating Using Sensor Heads and Monitor Sensors*—The test apparatus shall consist of supply bus, arc controller, recorder, arc electrodes, two (or optionally three) four-sensor heads, and four (or optionally six) incident energy monitoring sensors. The arc exposure shall be monitored with two incident energy-monitoring sensors for each sensed head.

6.1.1 *Arrangement of the Four-Sensor Heads*—The standard test set up is three four-sensor heads spaced at 120° around the arc (Fig. 1). When one video camera is used to view the testing, it shall be placed so that the front of two of the heads can be viewed. A single head which is viewed from the rear may be removed to facilitate viewing. Each head shall be located vertically to the arc electrodes as shown in Fig. 2. Only calorimetry data from heads that are viewed from the front shall be used (a minimum 50 % view of the facial area is required) to record subjective data during the test. Each four-sensor head shall have two incident energy monitoring sensors. One monitoring sensor shall be positioned on each side of each four-sensor head as shown in Fig. 3.




FIG. 1 Location of Mannequin Heads




FIG. 2 Vertical Location of Heads to Arc Electrodes




FIG. 3 Mannequin Head with Monitor Sensors

6.1.2 *Head Construction*—Each four-sensor head and each monitor sensor holder shall be constructed from non-

conductive heat resistant material as shown in Fig. 4. Use a mannequin head, size large, made from a non-conductive high temperature resin/fiberglass construction. (A mannequin head, such as Model 7001 D-H, Morgese Soriano or equivalent has been found to be acceptable.) The high-temperature resin used in the construction of the head shall be non-melting and flame resistant. Each four-sensor head and monitoring sensors shall be placed 12 in. (305 mm) from the centerline of the arc electrodes as shown in Fig. 2. Four-sensors shall be mounted in the head as shown in Fig. 4. The mouth sensor shall be forward of the eye sensor plane by 6 mm [¼ in.]. The chin sensor shall be in the horizontal plane (perpendicular to the plane of the eye and mouth) under the chin as shown in Fig. 4. The chin sensor shall protrude below the lowest point of the chin by 3 mm [1/8 in.].

6.1.3 Each four-sensor head may be mounted on the mannequin body specified in Test Method F 1958 and the mannequin to simulate a human body. Any clothing on the mannequin (if used) shall be reported.

6.2 The four-sensor head and monitor sensors shall be copper slug calorimeters constructed from electrical grade copper with a single thermocouple wire installed as identified in Fig. 5 (see Test Method E 457 for information regarding slug calorimeters).

6.2.1 The exposed surface of the copper slug calorimeters shall be painted with a thin coating of a flat black high temperature spray paint with an emissivity of >0.9. The painted sensor shall be dried before use and present a uniformly applied coating (no visual thick spots or surface irregularities). Note that an external heat source, for example, an external heat lamp, may be required to completely drive off any remaining organic carriers in a freshly painted surface.

6.2.1.1 *Discussion*—An evaluation of the emissivity of the painted calorimeters used in this test method is available from ASTM; “ASTM Research Program on Electric Arc Test Method Development to Evaluate Protective Clothing Fabric; ASTM F18.65.01 Testing Group Report on Arc Testing Analysis of the F 1959 Standard Test Method—Phase 1.”

6.2.2 The thermocouple wire is installed in the calorimeter as shown in Fig. 5.

6.2.3 Alternate calorimeters are permitted for use as monitor sensors provided they are calibrated and have a similar response to those in 6.2. The use of a different thermocouple junction, exposed surface area, slug material, and mass are allowed and their performance shall be documented in the test results.

6.3 *Sensor Construction*—The sensor mount used to hold the calorimeter shall be constructed from a thermally stable heat resistant material with a minimum thermal conductivity value as indicated in Table 3 (such as Fire-Resistant Structural Insulation or equivalent) and shown in Fig. 5 to prevent unwanted heat conduction. For test exposures above 40 cal/cm² only, existing monitoring sensors may be moved away from the arc center line, perpendicular to the arc, provided they are not blocked. A multiplier shall be determined to give an equivalent exposure value at 30.5 cm [12 in.] (for example, at 45.7 cm [18 in.], the multiplier is 2.25).




FIG. 4 Mannequin Head and Sensor Locations




FIG. 5 Sensor Mount

ASTM F2178-06

6.3.1 For test exposures which create a sensor temperature in excess of 400°C, alternate calorimeters for the monitor sensors shall be used. The alternate sensors shall be calibrated and shall have a similar response. An alternate approach for test exposures which create a sensor temperature in excess of 400°C is to increase the distance between the arc centerline and the monitor sensors from the standard distance of 30.5 cm to 45.7 cm [12 to 18 in.], and to apply a conversion factor to the incident energy measured at a distance of to 45.7 cm [18 in.] in order to approximate the energy at a distance of 30.5 cm [12 in.] In this procedure, the specimen remains at a distance of 30.5 cm [12 in.] from the arc centerline. Copper calorimeter sensor data above 400°C shall be not be valid.

NOTE 2—At an ambient temperature of 25°C, the calorimeter temperature would reach 300°C (ΔT of 275°C) at approximately 36 cal/cm².

6.4 *Supply Bus and Electrodes*—A typical arrangement of the supply bus and arc electrodes is shown in Fig. 2. The arc shall be in a vertical position as shown.

6.4.1 *Electrodes*—Make the electrodes from stainless steel (Alloy Type 303 or Type 304) rod of a nominal 19 mm [0.75 in.] diameter. Lengths of 45.0 cm [18 in.] long initially have been found to be adequate.

6.4.2 *Fuse Wire*—A fuse wire, connecting the ends of opposing electrodes tips, is used to initiate the arc. This wire is consumed during the test; therefore, its mass shall be very small to reduce any effects on the testing. The fuse wire shall be a copper wire with a diameter not greater than 0.05 mm [0.02 in.].

6.5 *Electric Supply*—The electric supply should be sufficient to allow for the discharge of an electric arc with a gap of up to 305 mm [12 in.] with alternating arc current from 4000 up to 25 000 amperes, and with arc duration from 3 cycles (0.05 s) up to 90 cycles (1.5 s) (from a 60 Hz supply). The X/R ratio of the test circuit shall be such that the test current contains a DC component resulting in the first peak of the test current having a magnitude of 2.3 times the symmetrical RMS value.

6.6 *Test Circuit Control*—Repeat exposures of the arc currents shall not deviate more than 2 % per test from the selected test level. The make switch shall be capable of point on wave closing within 0.2 cycles from test to test such that the closing angle will produce maximum asymmetrical current with an X/R ratio of the test circuit as stated in 6.5. The arc current, duration, and voltage shall be measured. The arc current,

TABLE 1 Face Protective Product Performance Requirements Test Report

Company Issuing Report _____		
Date of Report _____		
Sample description for the face protective product		
Face protective product type, hood, faceshield or other _____		
Composition of window material _____		
Coatings on window _____		
Thickness of window, mm (inches) _____		
Nominal Weight of hood fabric if applicable, oz/yd ² (g/m ²) _____		
Average Weight of hood fabric if applicable per Test Methods D 3776 , oz/yd ² (g/m ²) _____		
Color of hood fabric if applicable _____		
	ASTM F 2178 Requirement	Material Performance
The window in the face protective products shall meet the requirements of ANSI Z87.1-2003 Practice for Occupational and Educational Eye and Face Protection	Requirements Include: Flammability, Corrosion Resistance, Optical, Cleanability, Markings, Penetration, Minimum Thickness, Drop ball, High Mass and High Velocity	Certification by manufacturer that window in the face protective product meets the requirements of ANSI Z87.1-2003
Scratch resistance	Report Only no minimum value	Certification by manufacturer that scratch resistant coating is applied to window of face protective product
Fogging resistance	Report Only no minimum value	Certification by manufacturer that anti-fog coating is applied to window of face protective product
For Hoods, Fabric Material	Hood fabric material shall meet the requirements of Specification F 1506	Certification by Face Protective Product Manufacturer that fabric material in hood meets the requirements of Specification F 1506
Stitchings, thread, findings, fasteners or other components shall not degrade the flame resistance or thermal performance of the face protective product		Certification by Face Protective Product Manufacturer that stitchings, thread, findings, fasteners or other components do not degrade flame resistance or thermal performance of the face protective product
Arc Rating (ATPV) or Arc Rating (E_{BT}) of face protective product	Report Arc Rating ≥ 4.0 cal/cm ²	_____ J/cm ² _____ cal/cm ² Arc Rating (ATPV) or Arc Rating (E_{BT})
Heat Attenuation Factor – HAF of face protective product	Report Only no minimum value	— % HAF
For hoods, Arc Rating (ATPV) or Arc Rating (E_{BT}) of fabric material	Report Arc Rating ≥ 4.0 cal/cm ²	_____ J/cm ² _____ cal/cm ² Arc Rating (ATPV) or Arc Rating (E_{BT})
For hoods, Heat Attenuation Factor – HAF of fabric material	Report Only no minimum value	— % HAF

TABLE 2 Subjective Material Evaluation of Face Protective Products

	Subjective Material Evaluations at or above Arc Rating	
	Arc Exposure # 1	Arc Exposure # 2
Incident Energy, cal/cm ²		
After flame time, s		
Breakopen (yes/no) and size in cm (in.)		
Melting (yes/no)		
Dripping (yes/no)		
Deformation of window (yes/no)		
Shrinkage, %		
Electric Arc Ignition (yes/no)		

duration, voltage, and energy shall be displayed in graph form and stored in digital format.

6.7 *Data Acquisition System*—The system shall be capable of recording voltage, current, and sufficient calorimeter outputs as required by the test.

TABLE 3 Thermal Conductivity per Test Method **C 177 at Various Mean Temperatures**

Temperature	Thermal Conductivity Btu-in./ft ² , h, °F/(W/m °K)
75°F (24°C)	1.15 (0.17)
400°F (205°C)	1.13 (0.16)
600°F (316°C)	1.15 (0.17)
800°F (425°C)	1.16 (0.17)
1000°F (538°C)	1.17 (0.17)

6.7.1 The temperature data (calorimeter outputs) shall be acquired at a minimum sampling rate of 20 samples per second per calorimeter. The acquisition system shall be able to record temperatures to 400°C. The temperature acquisition system shall have at least a resolution of 0.1°C and an accuracy of $\pm 0.75^\circ\text{C}$.

6.7.2 The system current and voltage data shall be acquired at a minimum rate of 2000 samples per second. The current and