



**SmartM2M;
Strategic/technical approach on how to achieve
interoperability/interworking
of existing standardized IoT Platforms**

iTeh Standards (Standard Preview)
Full text of this standard is available at
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/si/9b01f071-d6a0-4498-a0d8-b947526473ca/etsi-tr-103-536-v1-1-2019-12

Reference

DTR/SmartM2M-103536

Keywords

interoperability, IoT, IoT platforms, oneM2M,
SAREF, semantic

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Important notice

The present document can be downloaded from:
<http://www.etsi.org/standards-search>

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the prevailing version of an ETSI deliverable is the one made publicly available in PDF format at www.etsi.org/deliver.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at <https://portal.etsi.org/TB/ETSIDeliverableStatus.aspx>

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services:
<https://portal.etsi.org/People/CommiteeSupportStaff.aspx>

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.

The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.

The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© ETSI 2019.
All rights reserved.

DECT™, **PLUGTESTS™**, **UMTS™** and the ETSI logo are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members.
3GPP™ and **LTE™** are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

oneM2M™ logo is a trademark of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the oneM2M Partners.

GSM® and the GSM logo are trademarks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

Contents

Intellectual Property Rights	7
Foreword.....	7
Modal verbs terminology.....	7
Introduction	7
1 Scope	9
1.1 Context for the present document.....	9
1.2 Scope of the present document.....	9
2 References	9
2.1 Normative references	9
2.2 Informative references.....	9
3 Definition of terms, symbols and abbreviations.....	13
3.1 Terms.....	13
3.2 Symbols.....	14
3.3 Abbreviations	14
4 Platforms Interoperability in the context of IoT.....	17
4.1 A global approach to IoT Systems	17
4.1.1 Major characteristics of IoT systems	17
4.1.2 The need for an "IoT-centric" view	18
4.1.2.1 Introduction.....	18
4.1.2.2 Roles	18
4.1.2.3 Reference Architecture(s)	18
4.1.2.4 Guidelines	18
4.2 Main objectives of the present document.....	18
4.3 Purpose and target group.....	19
4.4 Content of the present document.....	19
5 The IoT Platforms Landscape	19
5.1 A framework for IoT Platforms.....	19
5.1.1 Expectations and definition.....	19
5.1.2 Challenges.....	20
5.1.2.1 Flexibility, versatility	20
5.1.2.2 Semantic Interoperability.....	21
5.1.2.3 Flexible deployment models	21
5.1.2.4 Open and efficient implementations.....	22
5.1.2.5 Non-functional properties	22
5.1.2.6 Security	22
5.1.2.7 Privacy and data confidentiality.....	22
5.1.2.7 Integration with legacy.....	22
5.2 An IoT Platforms Landscape.....	23
5.2.1 Fragmentation and lack of maturity.....	23
5.2.2 A typology of platforms.....	23
5.2.2.1 Main dimensions for platform analysis.....	23
5.2.2.2 Scope and breadth	23
5.2.2.3 Openness	24
5.2.2.4 Origin and governance	24
5.2.2.5 Ecosystem	26
5.2.2.6 Maturity.....	26
5.2.2.7 A classification of Platforms	27
5.2.3 Finding a way in the jungle of platforms	28
5.2.3.1 Introduction.....	28
5.2.3.2 Platforms identified by UNIFY-IoT and the IoT-EPI	28
5.2.3.3 Platforms in the IoT Large Scale Pilots.....	28
5.2.3.4 Emerging approaches: Marketplaces and APIs.....	30
5.3 Standardized IoT Platforms	31

5.3.1	Characterization of Standardized IoT Platforms.....	31
5.3.2	oneM2M	31
5.3.2.1	Scope.....	31
5.3.2.2	Architecture.....	32
5.3.2.3	Interoperability and other aspects	33
5.3.3	The OCF Platform	34
5.3.3.1	The Ecosystem	34
5.3.3.2	The Interoperability.....	34
5.3.3.3	The Architecture	34
5.3.4	The Apache Platform.....	35
5.3.4.1	The Ecosystem	35
5.3.4.2	Some elements of the platform.....	36
5.3.5	Point solutions and the challenge of integration	37
5.3.5.1	Fitting point solutions in global platforms	37
5.3.5.2	Stand-alone or cloud-based solutions: two examples.....	37
5.3.5.3	The role of integration.....	38
6	Dealing with Interoperability	38
6.1	Strategic Approaches to Interoperability	38
6.2	Technical Approaches to Interoperability	39
6.2.1	A program for evolution	39
6.2.2	The Internet of Things (IoT): The basic objectives of IoT platforms	40
6.2.3	The WoT: a step towards interoperability of IoT platforms	40
6.2.4	The SWoT: The foundations for semantic interoperability of IoT platforms	40
6.3	Interoperability Frameworks	40
6.3.1	The AIOTI Reference Framework.....	40
6.3.2	Other Interoperability Frameworks.....	41
6.3.3	Interoperability examples of use-cases	42
6.4	The challenge of IoT Deployment.....	42
6.4.1	Key technologies and design requirements.....	42
6.4.2	Interoperability in Smart Cities.....	43
6.5	Criteria for Interoperability	43
7	The case of Industrial IoT	45
7.1	The challenges of Industrial IoT.....	45
7.1.1	The role of Industrial IoT in Smart Manufacturing	45
7.1.1.1	Smart Manufacturing	45
7.1.1.2	Industrial IoT.....	46
7.1.2	IIoT: a major segment of the IoT with significant specificities	47
7.1.2.1	A major business segment.....	47
7.1.2.2	Differences with traditional Operational Technology (OT).....	47
7.1.2.3	Differences with consumer IoT.....	47
7.1.3	Expected Benefits of IIoT.....	48
7.1.4	Challenges and barriers to, and strategies for the adoption of IIoT	50
7.1.4.1	The current situation: A Progressive Adoption.....	50
7.1.4.2	On the importance of legacy: Greenfield vs Brownfield.....	50
7.1.4.3	Technical barriers to adoption.....	50
7.1.4.4	Strategic choices and their impact on platforms.....	51
7.2	Using Standardized Platforms in IIoT.....	52
7.2.1	Technical aspects	52
7.2.2	Connectivity.....	52
7.2.2.1	The importance of legacy.....	52
7.2.2.2	Greenfield: starting from scratch.....	52
7.2.2.3	Brownfield: integrating (with) legacy	53
7.2.3	Interoperability and the role of Semantics	54
7.2.4	IoT Virtualization and the role of Cloud.....	55
7.2.4.1	IoT Virtualization.....	55
7.2.4.2	Virtualization in the context of IIoT	56
7.2.5	Data Management and Analysis	56
7.2.6	Business Processes and Enterprise view.....	57
7.2.6.1	The need for Vertical Integration	57
7.2.6.2	The Impact of IIoT	58

7.2.7	Software Development	59
7.3	Platform adoption: proprietary or open/standardized	60
7.3.1	Proprietary platforms	60
7.3.1.1	Benefits and limits of proprietary platforms	60
7.3.1.2	Issues in coupling proprietary platforms and open/standardized platforms	60
7.3.2	A review of IIoT Platforms	61
7.3.2.1	Introduction	61
7.3.2.2	Standardized Platforms	61
7.3.2.3	Open Source Platforms	61
7.3.2.4	Industry Groups Platforms	61
7.3.2.5	Proprietary Platforms	63
7.3.3	Conclusions	64
8	Conclusions	64
8.1	Lessons learned	64
8.2	Guidelines and Recommendations	65
8.2.1	Introduction	65
8.2.2	Strategy Recommendations	66
8.2.3	Technical Recommendations	68
8.2.4	Recommendations to oneM2M	68
Annex A:	IoT Platforms identified by UNIFY-IoT and IoT-EPI	70
A.1	The platforms identified by UNIFY-IoT	70
A.2	The platforms in the IoT-EPI projects	70
History	72

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
 (standards.iteh.ai)
 Full standard:
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/fba10071-d6ad-4498-a0d8-b947526473ca/etsi-tr-103-536-v1.1.1-2019-12>

Figures

Figure 1: AIOTI 3-Layer Functional Model.....	20
Figure 2: The Three IoT Software Stacks	21
Figure 3: Functional components of ACTIVAGE IoT platforms.....	29
Figure 4: The platforms across the AUTOPILOT Use Cases	30
Figure 5: oneM2M high level architecture.....	32
Figure 6: oneM2M functional architecture.....	33
Figure 7: Building Blocks of OCF architecture.....	35
Figure 8: The example of the Apache Hadoop ecosystem	35
Figure 9: AIOTI HLA Functional Model.....	41
Figure 10: Synthetic view of interoperability dimensions.....	44
Figure 11: Manufacturing Pyramid	46
Figure 12: Cyber-Physical Production Systems	46
Figure 13: The potential of Cloud-Native Infrastructures	55
Figure 14: An HLA for IoT Virtualization.....	56
Figure 15: OPC-UA multiple queries support.....	59
Figure 16: OPC-UA support for Information Models	62
Figure 17: OPC UA Companion Specifications - The example of EUROMAP.....	63
Figure 18: Risk of double work and approaches in the Companion Specifications	63
Figure 19: oneM2M OPC-UA Interworking and Functional Architecture with IPE	69
Figure A.1: UNIFY-IoT: Leading IoT Platforms selected for in-depth analysis.....	70

Tables

Table 1: A classification of platforms	27
Table 2: Examples of Apache Software Components	36
Table 3: Expected benefits of Industrial IoT	48
Table 4: IIoT Platform selection scenarios.....	51
Table 5: Scenarios for Control Systems modifications	53
Table 6: Functional Level of Activities.....	58
Table A.1: Platforms used by the IoT EPI Projects.....	71

Intellectual Property Rights

Essential patents

IPRs essential or potentially essential to normative deliverables may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: *"Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards"*, which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (<https://ipr.etsi.org/>).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Trademarks

The present document may include trademarks and/or tradenames which are asserted and/or registered by their owners. ETSI claims no ownership of these except for any which are indicated as being the property of ETSI, and conveys no right to use or reproduce any trademark and/or tradename. Mention of those trademarks in the present document does not constitute an endorsement by ETSI of products, services or organizations associated with those trademarks.

Foreword

This Technical Report (TR) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Smart Machine-to-Machine communications (SmartM2M).

Modal verbs terminology

In the present document **"should"**, **"should not"**, **"may"**, **"need not"**, **"will"**, **"will not"**, **"can"** and **"cannot"** are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the [ETSI Drafting Rules](#) (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"must" and **"must not"** are **NOT** allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

Introduction

The initial project of Machine-to-Machine (M2M) communications was addressing the possibility for a device to interact with other devices (point-to-point or via gateways). This project has been handled at the very start by a variety of specialized (often sector-specific) platforms and solutions. Soon, it has been clear that this approach was bearing a strong risk of fragmentation with great difficulty in ensuring interoperability of such platforms when required. The Standard Development Organizations (SDOs) and Standard Setting Organizations (SSOs) have started to address the question of the M2M communications and have developed a number of approaches focusing on interoperability, in particular at the network level. Amongst the standards developed, some have addressed the possibility to serve as a basis for the development of platforms that could use these standards to deal with interoperability in a generic manner, across a variety of business sectors, with a variety of possible implementations. Such "standardized platforms" are relying on reference architectures, interoperability stacks addressing different layers, generic protocol adaptors, etc.

Gradually, the focus of the industry has shifted to the design and development of IoT systems with the purpose to offer full-fledge systems dealing with a vast number of devices (with various computing and interaction capabilities) and potentially integrating these devices into larger systems implementing often complex business processes. This has been enabled by the emergence of IoT devices with higher computing capacity and the possibility of producing massive amounts of data that will be collected, transformed, stored and managed by larger (non IoT specific) information systems which transform it into qualitative information to trigger useful actions.

This incorporation of IoT with Big Data is one new challenge for IoT platforms, a significant one but not the only one. Another example is the use of Virtualization technologies coming from Cloud Computing that wants to get the benefits of Cloud in terms of flexibility and cost effectiveness. In the case of Big Data or Virtualization, the role of standards is challenged by new approaches based on the usage of Open Source Software (OSS) components. The "standardized IoT platforms" will have to address the challenges and probably not all of the existing ones will be able to make it.

An important business sector for the validation of the approach of generic standardized platforms is Industrial IoT. The need for the Industry to have a holistic approach to the use of Information Technologies to foster innovation and competitiveness has been addressed by a variety of initiatives coming from business sectors (such as Industrie 4.0 in Germany and similar national initiatives) or from the European Commission (such as Digitizing European Industry - DEI). The approaches taken will have to combine the benefits of existing technology solutions (including established standards) with the flexibility offered by new approaches such as Big Data, Virtualization, or Semantic Interoperability.

Two main challenges have to be addressed by IoT standardization (organizations) and by the "standardized" platforms (an example is oneM2M, see ETSI TS 118 101 [i.13] that some of these organizations are developing:

- The "advanced technology" challenge posed by e.g. the incorporation of Big Data or Virtualization.
- The "business sector" challenge with the question of which level of genericity can be provided in support of the development of large IoT systems for Smart Cities, Intelligent Transport or Industrial IoT.
- The "standards" challenge posed by the role of emerging approaches such as Open Source.

The example of Industrial IoT is addressed in detail, based on considerations and questions such as the following:

- Considering that Industrial IoT is a business sector in which the Return on Investment (RoI) of IoT is expected to be positive in the short/medium period, how is it possible to foster the adoption of IoT standards and standardized IoT platforms in this particular sector.
- The adoption of standards and platforms for interoperability should benefit not only to the technology providers but, first and foremost, to those who purchase and use these solutions, in particular the SMEs who do not always have the technical knowledge and the leverage available to large businesses.

The present document addresses these questions first by carefully outlining the nature, the role of IoT platforms and proposing elements for the identification of the most relevant ones. It also addresses detailed examples such as Industrial IoT to outline the challenges posed to generic IoT platforms.

1 Scope

1.1 Context for the present document

The design, development and deployment of - potentially large - IoT systems require to address a number of topics - such as security, interoperability or privacy - that are related and should be treated in a concerted manner. In this context, several Technical Reports have been developed that each address a specific facet of IoT systems.

In order to provide a global a coherent view of all the topics addressed, a common approach has been outlined across the Technical Reports concerned with the objective to ensure that the requirements and specificities of the IoT systems are properly addressed and that the overall results are coherent and complementary.

The present document has been built with this common approach also applied in all of the other documents listed below:

- ETSI TR 103 533 [i.1].
- ETSI TR 103 534 [i.2].
- ETSI TR 103 535 [i.3].
- ETSI TR 103 537 [i.4].
- ETSI TR 103 591 [i.5].

1.2 Scope of the present document

The present document is addressing the issues related to the interoperability and interworking of IoT platforms, in particular standardized IoT platforms, and how the way they are handled can foster their adoption by the IoT community. The following points are discussed:

- What is a platform and what are the relevant ones for IoT?
- What are the main requirements of Interoperability and Interworking?
- How these requirements are taken into account by typical platforms.
- How those elements are taken into account in specific sectors such as Industrial IoT.
- Which recommendations can be made for an effective selection and usage?

2 References

2.1 Normative references

Normative references are not applicable in the present document.

2.2 Informative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication ETSI cannot guarantee their long-term validity.

The following referenced documents are not necessary for the application of the present document, but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area.

- [i.1] ETSI TR 103 533 (V1.1.1): "SmartM2M; Security; Standards Landscape and best practices".
 - [i.2] ETSI TR 103 534 (Parts 1 and 2) (V1.1.1): "SmartM2M; Teaching material".
 - [i.3] ETSI TR 103 535 (V1.1.1): "SmartM2M; Guidelines for using semantic interoperability in the industry".
 - [i.4] ETSI TR 103 537 (V1.1.1): "SmartM2M; Plugtests™ preparation on Semantic Interoperability".
 - [i.5] ETSI TR 103 591 (V1.1.1): "SmartM2M; Privacy study report; Standards Landscape and best practices".
 - [i.6] White Paper: "IoT Platforms Interoperability Approaches", IoT-EPI Platform Interoperability Task Force, 2017.
 - [i.7] AIOTI: "IoT LSP Standards Framework Concepts", Release 2.8, White Paper, 2017.
 - [i.8] AIOTI: "High Level Architecture (HLA)", Release 4.0, June 2018.
 - [i.9] "Semantic Interoperability", Release 2.0, AIOTI, 2015.
- NOTE: Two new AIOTI Joint White Papers on Semantic Interoperability have been issued by AIOTI on 22 October 2019. See <https://aioti.eu/aioti-iso-iec-jtc1-etsi-onem2m-and-w3c-collaborate-on-two-joint-white-papers-on-semantic-interoperability-targeting-developers-and-standardization-engineers/>.
- [i.10] UNIFY-IoT Deliverable D03.01: "Report on IoT platform activities", 2017.
- NOTE: Available at http://www.internet-of-things-research.eu/pdf/D03_01_WP03_H2020_UNIFY-IoT_Final.pdf.
- [i.11] UNIFY-IoT Deliverable D03.02: "Analysis on IoT Platforms Adoption Activities", 2017.
- NOTE: Available at http://www.internet-of-things-research.eu/pdf/D03_02_WP03_H2020_UNIFY-IoT_Final.pdf.
- [i.12] UNIFY-IoT Deliverable D05.01: "Interoperable IoT Platforms Standards Framework", 2017.
 - [i.13] ETSI TS 118 101 (V2.10.0): "Functional Architecture (oneM2M TS-0001 version 2.10.0 Release 2)".
 - [i.14] ETSI TS 118 102 (V2.7.1): "oneM2M Requirements (oneM2M TS-0002 version 2.7.1 Release 2)".
 - [i.15] oneM2M-TS-0012 (2018): "Base Ontology".
 - [i.16] oneM2M-TS-0023 (2018): "Home Appliances Information Model and Mapping".
 - [i.17] ETSI TS 118 121 (V2.0.0): "oneM2M; oneM2M and AllJoyn® Interworking (oneM2M TS-0021 version 2.0.0 Release 2)".
 - [i.18] oneM2M-TS-0014 (2017): "LWM2M Interworking".
 - [i.19] oneM2M-TS-0024 (2017): "OIC Interworking".
 - [i.20] oneM2M-TS-0033 (2017): "Interworking Framework".
 - [i.21] ETSI TR 103 527 (V1.1.1): "SmartM2M; Virtualized IoT Architectures with Cloud Back-ends".
 - [i.22] ETSI TR 103 528 (V1.1.1): "SmartM2M; Landscape for open source and standards for cloud native software applicable for a Virtualized IoT service layer".
 - [i.23] ETSI TR 103 529 (V1.1.1): "SmartM2M; IoT over Cloud back-ends: A Proof of Concept".

- [i.24] ETSI TS 103 378 (V1.1.1): "Smart Body Area Networks (SmartBAN) Unified data representation formats, semantic and open data model".
- [i.25] ACTIVAGE Deliverable D3.1: "Report on IoT European Platforms". .
- NOTE: Available at https://www.activageproject.eu/docs/downloads/activage_public_deliverables/ACTIVAGE_D3.1_M3_Report%20on%20IoT%20European%20Platforms_V1.0.pdf.
- [i.26] T. Berners-Lee, J. Hendler and O. Lassila: "The Semantic Web" Scientific American, 2001, vol. 284, no 5, p. 28-37.
- [i.27] Recommendation ITU-T Y.2063: "Framework of the web of things".
- NOTE: Available at https://www.itu.int/rec/dologin_pub.asp?lang=e&id=T-REC-Y.2063-201207-I!!PDF-E&type=items.
- [i.28] Recommendation ITU-T Y.2060: "Overview of the web of things".
- [i.29] EUROMAP 83: "OPC UA interfaces for plastics and rubber machinery - General Type definitions".
- [i.30] G. Hatzivasilis, I. Askoxylakis, G. Alexandris, G. Spanoudakis, et al.: "The Interoperability of Things: Interoperable solutions as an enabler for IoT and Web 3.0", Conference: IEEE International Workshop on Computer-Aided Modeling Analysis and Design of Communication Links and Networks (CAMAD) 2018, Barcelona, Spain. Project: SEMIoTICS, September 2018 DOI:10.1109/CAMAD.2018.8514952.
- [i.31] Linked Open Vocabularies for Internet of Things (LOV4IoT).
- NOTE: Available at <http://lov4iot.appspot.com/?p=ontologies>.
- [i.32] ETSI TS 103 264 (V1.1.1): "SmartM2M; Smart Appliances; Reference Ontology and oneM2M Mapping".
- NOTE: Available at http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_ts/103200_103299/103264/01.01.01_60/ts_103264v010101p.pdf.
- [i.33] European Research Cluster on the Internet of Things: "Internet of Things. IoT Semantic Interoperability: Research Challenges, Best Practices, Recommendations and Next Steps", March 2015.
- NOTE: Available at http://www.internet-of-things-research.eu/pdf/IERC_Position_Paper_IoT_Semantic_Interoperability_Final.pdf.
- [i.34] Sarogini Grace Pease, Paul P. Conway and Andrew A. West: "Hybrid ToF and RSSI real-time semantic tracking with an adaptive industrial internet of things architecture", Journal of Network and Computer Applications, 99(August 2016): 98-109, 2017. ISSN 10958592. doi:10.1016/j.jnca.2017.10.010.
- [i.35] Paul Murdock et al.: "Semantic Interoperability for the Web of Things", 2016. .
- NOTE: See note in [i.9].
- [i.36] ETSI GS CIM 009: "Context Information Management (CIM); NGSI-LD API".
- [i.37] Mahdi Ben Alaya, Khalil Drira, Ghada Gharbi: "Semantic-aware IoT platforms", IEEE AIMS2017. Honolulu Jul 2017.
- [i.38] ETSI TS 102 690 (V1.2.1): "Machine-to-Machine communications (M2M); Functional architecture".
- [i.39] Web of Things Working Group.
- NOTE: Available at <https://www.w3.org/WoT/WG>.

- [i.40] European Innovation Partnership for Smart Cities & Communities, EIP-SCC: "6-Nations Smart Cities Forum Smart Cities National Market Blueprint", Version 3, March 2016.
- NOTE: Available at https://eu-smartcities.eu/sites/default/files/2018-09/6-Nations_SC_BLUEPRINT_v3.pdf.
- [i.41] AIOTI: "Smart City LSP Recommendations Report", AIOTI WG08 - Smart Cities, 2015.
- NOTE: Available at <https://aioti.eu/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/AIOTIWG08Report2015-Smart-Cities.pdf>.
- [i.42] Thomas Casey, Ville Valovirta, Immo Heino, Janne Porkka, Ville Kotovirta, Sampsa Ruutu: "Interoperability Environment for Smart Cities (InterCity) - Report of Phase 2 -Smart City Interoperability Environment Concept", September 2016.
- NOTE: Available at https://www.vtt.fi/sites/InterCity/en/Documents/InterCity_Report_Phase_2_FINAL.pdf.
- [i.43] Omer Ozdemir, José Manuel Cantera, Martino Maggio, Nicola Muratore, Francesco Arigliano, Eunah Kim, Luis Muñoz, Ignacio EliceGUI Maestro, Andrea Gaglione and Angelo Caposelle: "Reference Architecture for IoT Enabled Smart Cities SynchroniCity: Delivering an IoT enabled Digital Single Market for Europe and Beyond".
- [i.44] The British Standards Institution, PAS 182:2014: "Smart city concept model - Guide to establishing a model for data interoperability".
- [i.45] Eurostat: "Urban Europe - statistics on cities, towns and suburbs - executive summary".
- NOTE: Available at https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Urban_Europe_-_statistics_on_cities,_towns_and_suburbs_-_executive_summary#People_and_life_in_cities.
- [i.46] IEC 62264 (Parts 1 to 6): "Enterprise-control system integration".
- [i.47] Industrial Internet Consortium: "A Practical Way to Get Started in Manufacturing IIoT: Cultivate a "Green Patch" in Your Brownfield".
- NOTE: Available at https://www.iiconsortium.org/pdf/2017-11-14-Cultivate_a_green_patch_in_brownfield_whitepaper.pdf.
- [i.48] IoT eclipse.org: "The Three Software Stacks Required for IoT Architectures" White paper.
- NOTE: Available at <https://iot.eclipse.org/resources/white-papers/Eclipse%20IoT%20White%20Paper%20-%20The%20Three%20Software%20Stacks%20Required%20for%20IoT%20Architectures.pdf>.
- [i.49] IoT-O.
- NOTE: Available at: <https://www.irit.fr/recherches/MELODI/ontologies/IoT-O.html>.
- [i.50] Bain & Company: "Choosing the Right Platform for the Industrial IoT", 2018.
- NOTE: Available at <https://www.bain.com/insights/choosing-the-right-platform-for-the-industrial-iot/>.
- [i.51] "The Forrester Wave: Industrial IoT Software Platforms", Forrester, Q3 2018.
- [i.52] IEC 61360: "Common Data Dictionary".
- [i.53] EUROMAP 77: "OPC UA interfaces for plastics and rubber machinery - Data exchange between injection moulding machines and MES".
- [i.54] Website of AVNU Alliance.
- NOTE: Available at <https://avnu.org>.
- [i.55] Website of BIG IoT.
- NOTE: Available at <http://big-iot.eu>.
- [i.56] Website of DATEX II.
- NOTE: Available at <https://datex2.eu>.

[i.57] "VDMA supports developing OPC UA CS", presentation by Andreas Faath.

NOTE: Available at https://www.automaatioseura.fi/site/assets/files/1824/03_opc_finland_vdma_andreas_faath.pdf.

[i.58] "VDMA Overview of activities and companion specs", presentation by Andreas Faath.

NOTE: Available at https://jp.opcfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2018/12/8_Faath_VDMA OPCUA.pdf.

[i.59] "Wanted: A Plug-In Architecture for Hadoop Development", article by Alex Woodie, DATANAMI, May 6, 2015.

NOTE: Available at <https://www.datanami.com/2015/05/06/wanted-a-plug-in-architecture-for-hadoop-development/>.

[i.60] "Role of CPS in Manufacturing", presentation by Prof. Marco Taisch at Workshop "Platforms for connected Factories of the Future", 2015-10-05.

NOTE: Available at http://ec.europa.eu/information_society/newsroom/image/document/2015-44/6_taisch_11943.pdf.

[i.61] "AUTOPILOT The role of IoT interoperability in Smart Mobility", presentation by Mahdi Ben Alaya at oneM2M TP#37 Industry Day, 2018-09-14.

NOTE: Available at ftp://ftp.onem2m.org/Meetings/TP/2018%20meetings/20180914_Industry%20Day_TP37/Industry_Day-2018-0004-AUTOPILOT-The_role_of_IoT_interop_in_Smart_Mobility.PDF.

[i.62] "OPC Unified Architecture Interoperability for Industrie 4.0 and the Internet of Things", Version 10, November 2019, published by OPC Foundation.

NOTE: Available at <https://opcfoundation.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/OPC-UA-Interoperability-For-Industrie4-and-IoT-EN.pdf>.

[i.63] oneM2M TS-0001-V3.15.1: "Functional Architecture".

NOTE: Available at http://www.onem2m.org/images/files/deliverables/Release3/TS-0001-Functional_Architecture-V3_15_1.pdf.

[i.64] ETSI TR 118 518 V2.0.0 (2016-09) "oneM2M; Industrial Domain Enablement (oneM2M TR-0018 version 2.0.0 Release 2)".

NOTE: Available at https://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_tr/118500_118599/118518/02.00.00_60/tr_118518v020000p.pdf.

[i.65] oneM2M TR-0018-V4.0.0: "Industrial Domain Enablement".

NOTE: Available from <http://www.onem2m.org/technical/published-drafts/release-4> at <http://member.onem2m.org/Application/documentapp/downloadLatestRevision/default.aspx?docID=29334>.

3 Definition of terms, symbols and abbreviations

3.1 Terms

For the purposes of the present document, the following terms apply:

AVNU Alliance: community creating an interoperable ecosystem servicing the precise timing and low latency requirements of diverse applications using open standards through certification [i.54]

copyleft: practice of offering people the right to freely distribute copies and modified versions of a work with the stipulation that the same rights be preserved in derivative works created later