



Designation: D 4157 – 02

# Standard Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Oscillatory Cylinder Method)<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 4157; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

## 1. Scope

1.1 This test method covers the determination of the abrasion resistance of woven textile fabrics using the oscillatory cylinder tester. This test method may not be usable for some fabric constructions.

NOTE 1—Other procedures for measuring the abrasion resistance of textile fabrics are given in: Test Methods D 3884, D 3885, D 3886, D 4158, and AATCC 93.

1.2 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard; the values in English units are provided as information only and are not exact equivalents.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

## 2. Referenced Documents

### 2.1 ASTM Standards:<sup>2</sup>

- D 123 Terminology Relating to Textiles
- D 1776 Practice for Conditioning and Testing Textiles
- D 3597 Specification for Woven Upholstery Fabrics—Plain, Tufted, or Flocked
- D 3884 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Rotary Platform, Double Head Method)
- D 3885 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Flexing and Abrasion Method)
- D 3886 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Inflated Diaphragm Method)
- D 4158 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Uniform Abrasion Method)
- D 4966 Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Textile Fabrics (Martindale Abrasion Tester)

D 5034 Test Method for Breaking Strength and Elongation of Textile Fabrics (Grab Method)

D 5035 Test Method for Breaking Strength and Elongation of Textile Fabrics (Strip Method)

### 2.2 Other Document:

AATCC 93 Abrasion Resistance of Fabrics: Accelerator Method<sup>3</sup>

## 3. Terminology

### 3.1 Definitions:

3.1.1 *abrasion, n*—the wearing away of any part of a material by rubbing against another surface.

3.1.2 *abrasion cycle, n*—in abrasion testing, one or more movements of the abrillant across a material surface, or the material surface across the abradant, that permits a return to its starting position.

3.1.2.1 *Discussion*—The abrasion cycle is dependent on the programmed motions of the abrasion machine and the test standard used. It may consist of one back-and-forth unidirectional movement such as for the flexing and abrasion test method; a circular movement such as for the rotary platform test method, or a combination of both such as for the inflated diaphragm test method. For the oscillatory cylinder abrasion method, an abrasion cycle consists of one double-rub as defined in 3.1.4.

3.1.3 *breaking force, n*—the maximum force applied to a material carried to rupture (compare *breaking point, breaking strength*).

3.1.4 *double-rub, n*—in oscillatory cylinder abrasion testing, one forward and one backward motion required to complete one cycle.

3.2 For definitions of other textile terms used in this test method, refer to Terminology D 123.

## 4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 Abrasion resistance is measured by subjecting the specimen to unidirectional rubbing action under known conditions of pressure, tension, and abrasive action. Resistance to abrasion is evaluated by various means which are described in Section 12.

<sup>1</sup> This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D13 on Textiles and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D13.60 on Fabric Test Methods, Specific.

Current edition approved Dec. 10, 2002. Published March 2003. Originally published as D 4157 – 82. Last previous edition D 4157 – 92.

<sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

<sup>3</sup> Available from American Association of Textile Chemists and Colorists, P.O. Box 12215, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.

## 5. Significance and Use

5.1 The measurement of the resistance to abrasion of textile and other materials is very complex. The resistance to abrasion is affected by many factors, such as the inherent mechanical properties of the fibers; the dimensions of the fibers; the structure of the yarns; the construction of the fabrics; and the type, kind, and amount of finishing material added to the fibers, yarns, or fabric.

5.2 The resistance to abrasion is also greatly affected by the conditions of the tests, such as the nature of abradant; variable action of the abradant over the area of specimen abraded, the tension of the specimen, the pressure between the specimen and abradant, and the dimensional changes in the specimen.

5.3 Abrasion tests are all subject to variation due to changes in the abradant during specific tests. The abradant must accordingly be changed at frequent intervals or checked periodically against a standard. With disposable abradants, the abradant is used only once or changed after limited use. With permanent abradants that use hardened metal or equivalent surfaces, it is assumed that the abradant will not change appreciably in a specific series of tests, but obviously similar abradants used in different laboratories will not likely change at the same rate due to differences in usage. Permanent abradants may also change due to pick up of finishing or other material from test fabrics and must accordingly be cleaned at frequent intervals. The measurement of the relative amount of abrasion may also be affected by the method of evaluation and may be influenced by the judgment of the operator.

5.4 The resistance of textile materials to abrasion as measured on a testing machine in the laboratory is generally only one of several factors contributing to wear performance or durability as experienced in the actual use of the material. While “abrasion resistance” (often stated in terms of the number of cycles on a specified machine, using a specified technique to produce a specified degree or amount of abrasion) and “durability” (defined as the ability to withstand deterioration or wearing out in use, including the effects of abrasion) are frequently related, the relationship varies with different end uses, and different factors may be necessary in any calculation of predicted durability from specific abrasion data. Laboratory tests may be reliable as an indication of relative end-use performance in cases where the difference in abrasion resistance of various materials is large, but they should not be relied upon where differences in laboratory test findings are small. In general, they should not be relied upon for prediction of actual wear-life in specific end uses unless there are data showing the specific relationship between laboratory abrasion tests and actual wear in the intended end-use.

5.5 These general observations apply to all types of fabrics, including woven, nonwoven, and knit apparel fabrics, household fabrics, industrial fabrics, and floor coverings. It is not surprising, therefore, to find that there are many different types of abrasion testing machines, abradants, testing conditions, testing procedures, methods of evaluation of abrasion resistance, and interpretation of results.

5.6 All the test methods and instruments so far developed for abrasion resistance may show a high degree of variability in results obtained by different operators and in different labora-

tories; however, they represent the methods now most widely in use. This test method provides a comparative measurement of the resistance of woven textile fabrics to abrasion, and may not necessarily predict the actual performance of fabrics in actual use.

5.7 If there are differences of practical significance between reported test results for two or more laboratories, comparative tests should be performed to determine if there is a statistical bias between them, using competent statistical assistance. As a minimum, test samples that are as homogeneous as possible, drawn from the material from which the disparate test results were obtained, and randomly assigned in equal numbers to each laboratory for testing. The test results from the two laboratories should be compared using a statistical test for unpaired data, at a probability level chosen prior to the testing series. If bias is found, either its cause must be found and corrected, or future test results must be adjusted in consideration of the known bias.

## 6. Apparatus

6.1 *Oscillatory Cylinder Abrasive Machine*, consisting of the following

6.1.1 *Oscillating Cylinder Section*, equipped with edge clamps to permit mounting of a sheet of abrasive material over its surface, capable of oscillating through an arc of  $76 \pm 2$  mm ( $3 \pm 0.1$  in.) at the rate of  $90 \pm 1$  cycles (double rub) per min.

6.1.2 *Four Specimen Holding Arms*, to permit testing of several specimens simultaneously; each arm having a set of controlled tension clamps with the forward clamp attached to a force scaled tension bar, and a controlled pressure pad attached to a force scaled pressure bar.

6.1.3 *Calibrated Mass (340 g)*, that slides on the tension bar and attached on each arm forward clamp to adjust tension to the specimen in increments of 4.45 N (1 lbf) up to a total of 26.7 N (6 lbf).

6.1.4 *Thumb Screw*, that butts against the rear clamp of each arm to provide slack take-up of the specimen.

6.1.5 *Sponge Rubber Pressure Pad*,  $50 \times 50$  mm ( $2 \times 2$  in.) with a tolerance of  $\pm 1$  mm (0.05 in.) shaped to the cylinder surface and fitted to the pressure bar.

6.1.6 *Calibrated Mass (150 g)*, that slides on the pressure bar and attached on each pressure pad to adjust and apply pressure to the specimen in increments of 4.45 N (1 lbf) up to a total of 15.575 N (3.5 lbf).

6.1.7 *Two Slotted Vacuum Pipes*, suspended over the cylinder drum to remove lint and dust particles.

6.1.8 *Automatic Cycle Counter*, with set and stop mechanism to record the number of cycles (double rubs) and stop the machine at a predetermined number of cycles.

6.2 *Abradant*:

6.2.1 *Cotton Duck # 10*, with the following characteristics:

6.2.1.1 *Mass/Unit Area*— $500 \pm 25$  g/m<sup>2</sup> (14 to 15.8 oz/yd<sup>2</sup>).

6.2.1.2 *Weave Type*—plain weave.

6.2.1.3 *Fabric Count*— $41 \pm 1$  end/in.  $\times$   $28 \pm 1$  pick/in.

6.2.1.4 *Yarn Size*— $7/2$  cotton count  $\pm 1$  in both warp and filling.

6.2.1.5 *Air Permeability*—less than 4 cfm.

6.2.1.6 *Finish*—loom state; no warp size.

6.2.2 *Wire Screen*, specification information to follow.

- 6.2.3 *Grit Sandpaper*, to refurbish rubber pads.
- 6.2.4 *Nylon Brush*, medium bristle, or equivalent.
- 6.2.5 *Mild Household Detergent Solution*.
- 6.2.6 *Air Supply*, with regulated nozzle.
- 6.2.7 *Digital Force Gage*.

## 7. Sampling

7.1 Take a lot sample as directed in the applicable material specification, or as agreed upon between the purchaser and seller. In the absence of such a specification or other agreement, take a laboratory sample as directed in 7.2.

7.2 Take a laboratory sample from each roll or piece of fabric in the lot sample. The laboratory sample should be full width and at least 50 cm (approximately 20 in.) long and should not be taken any closer to the end of the roll or piece of fabric than 1 m (1 yd). Consider rolls or pieces of fabric to be the primary sampling unit.

7.3 Take a laboratory sampling unit from each roll or piece of fabric in the lot sample that is full width and at least 50 cm (20 in.) long and not taken any closer to the end of the roll or piece of fabric than 1 m (1 yd).

7.4 Sample shipment of garments as agreed upon between purchaser and seller.

## 8. Number and Preparation of Test Specimens

8.1 In the absence of any applicable material specifications, take 12 specimens, 6 warp (machine direction) and 6 filling, (across machine direction) from each sample to be tested.

### 8.2 Preparation of Specimens:

8.2.1 Cut the test specimens 73 mm (2<sup>7</sup>/<sub>8</sub> in.) by 245 mm (9<sup>5</sup>/<sub>8</sub> in.). Specimens should be cut with flares or wings. The long dimensions are cut parallel to the warp yarns for warpwise (machine direction) abrasion and parallel to the filling yarns for filling-wise (cross-machine direction) abrasion. For woven fabrics do not cut two warp specimens from the same warp yarns or two filling specimens from the same filling yarns. If the fabric has a pattern, ensure that the specimens are representative sampling of the pattern.

8.2.2 Cut test specimens both in the length and widthwise directions of the fabric. Cut specimens representing a broad distribution diagonally across the length and the width of the fabric.

8.2.3 Ensure specimens are free of folds, creases or wrinkles. Take no specimens within 10 % of the selvage.

8.2.4 If the fabric has a pattern, ensure that the specimens are a representative sampling of the pattern.

8.2.5 Seal edges when required to prevent raveling. The specimen edges may be sealed by use of rubber blue or by sewing using the stitch described in Test Method D 5034.

## 9. Conditioning

9.1 For the tests made as described, precondition the specimens by bringing them to approximate moisture equilibrium in the standard atmosphere for preconditioning, then bring the specimens to moisture equilibrium for testing in the standard atmosphere for testing. Equilibrium is considered to have been reached when the increase in weight of the specimen in successive weightings made at intervals of not less than 2 h does not exceed 0.1 % of the weight of the specimen.

## 10. Preparation, Maintenance, and Calibration of Test Apparatus

10.1 Prepare and verify calibration of the abrasion tester using directions supplied by the manufacturer.

10.2 Verify that the rubber pads extend below their holders.

10.3 Verify that the entire lower surface of the rubber pad is in contact with the cylinder section, and that no space is observed. If space(s) are observed, reshape the lower pad surface as directed. Pads should be changed at least once a year.

10.3.1 If wire screen abradant is used, remove and clean with the nylon brush. Clean cylinder. Insert and clamp 50 grit sand paper to the cylinder. Remove all pressure from the pad and lock the specimen holding arm in position. Run the tester in 50 cycle increments. Inspect for spaces between the lower surface of the pad and the cylinder after each 50 cycles. Continue until the entire surface of the pad conforms to the shape of the cylinder section. The wear pattern on the sand paper can assist in determining conformance.

10.4 At least on a weekly schedule: clean surface of the cylinder section and the steel screen by brushing and then using the mild detergent solution. Clean out vacuum system. Inspect the pads for wear and refurbish as directed in 10.3.1 as required.

10.5 After each test: brush the rubber pads to remove any loose fibers, etc. Using the nylon brush, clean the surface of the cylinder section and the steel screen by brushing and wiping with a cloth. If disposable abradants are used, such as emery paper or cotton duck, replace after each test.

10.6 Calibration of the Oscillatory Cylinder machine should be performed as specified in the appendix of this method.

## 11. Procedure

11.1 Test the conditioned specimens in the standard atmosphere for testing textiles, which is 70 ± 2°F (21 ± 1°C) and 65 ± 2 % relative humidity.

11.2 Select the abradant for a given end-use application. Refer to Table 1. Ensure the abradant is taut and secured squarely to the cylinder. In the absence of a specified abradant, use the steel screen. If using #10 cotton Duck, be sure that a new piece of duck is used and that it is mounted on the machine with the short direction cut parallel to the warp direction. If the wire screen is being used, it should be preconditioned and should be discarded after 2 000 000 cycles or after the appearance of visible wear, whichever comes first.

**TABLE 1 Typical Abradants, Pressures, and Tension for End-Use Applications**

| End Use Application         | Abradant        | Head Pressure, N (lbf) | Specimen Tension, N (lbf) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| Upholstery General Contract | #10 Cotton Duck | 13.4 N (3 lbf)         | 17.8 N (4 lbf)            |
| Upholstery Heavy Duty       | #10 Cotton Duck | 13.4 N (3 lbf)         | 17.8 N (4 lbf)            |
| Olefin Upholstery           | Steel Screen    | 13.4 N (3 lbf)         | 17.8 N (3 lbf)            |

NOTE—Experience indicates that olefin fabrics are best tested with steel screen. Experience indicates that velvet fabrics are best tested on both the face and back.