



EMTEL; Study of use cases and communications involving IoT devices in provision of emergency situations

*!Teh STANDARDS PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
Full standard: https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sis/2c68148b-144c-45a3-b66e-3651c40957f4/etsi-tr-103-582-v1.1.1-2019-07*

Reference

DTR/EMTEL-00041

Keywords

emergency, emergency services, IoT, public safety, security

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Important noticeThe present document can be downloaded from:
<http://www.etsi.org/standards-search>

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the prevailing version of an ETSI deliverable is the one made publicly available in PDF format at www.etsi.org/deliver.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at <https://portal.etsi.org/TB/ETSIDeliverableStatus.aspx>

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services:
<https://portal.etsi.org/People/CommiteeSupportStaff.aspx>

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.

The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.

The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© ETSI 2019.
All rights reserved.

DECT™, **PLUGTESTS™**, **UMTS™** and the ETSI logo are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members.
3GPP™ and **LTE™** are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

oneM2M™ logo is a trademark of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the oneM2M Partners.

GSM® and the GSM logo are trademarks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

Contents

Intellectual Property Rights	7
Foreword.....	7
Modal verbs terminology.....	7
Introduction	7
1 Scope	9
2 References	9
2.1 Normative references	9
2.2 Informative references.....	9
3 Definition of terms, symbols and abbreviations.....	14
3.1 Terms.....	14
3.2 Symbols.....	14
3.3 Abbreviations	14
4 General Overview.....	17
5 State of the art for communications relevant to emergency situations involving IoT devices.....	18
5.1 General overview	18
5.2 Emergency-related standardization state of the art.....	19
5.2.1 ETSI SC EMTEL standardization	19
5.2.1.1 General	19
5.2.1.2 Summary of ETSI SC EMTEL Requirements.....	19
5.2.1.3 Advanced Mobile Location for emergency calls.....	21
5.2.1.4 Conclusion	21
5.2.2 ETSI SES/SatEC standardization	22
5.2.3 ETSI TCCE standardization	22
5.2.4 3GPP standardization.....	23
5.2.4.1 General	23
5.2.4.2 Conclusion	24
5.2.5 IETF standardization.....	24
5.2.6 ITU standardization	25
5.2.7 CEN and 3GPP standardization for the eCall	25
5.3 IoT-related standardization state of the art	26
5.3.1 3GPP Standardization.....	26
5.3.1.1 General	26
5.3.1.2 Conclusion	26
5.3.2 IETF standardization.....	27
5.3.2.1 General	27
5.3.2.2 Conclusion	27
5.3.3 ITU-T standardization.....	28
5.3.3.1 General	28
5.3.3.2 Conclusion	29
5.3.4 IEEE standardization	29
5.3.4.1 General	29
5.3.4.2 IEEE 802.15.1 Bluetooth®	29
5.3.4.3 IEEE 802.15.3 High Rate WPAN	30
5.3.4.4 IEEE 802.15.4 Low Rate WPAN.....	30
5.3.4.5 IEEE 802.15.7 Visible Light Communication	30
5.3.5 oneM2M standardization	30
5.3.5.1 General	30
5.3.5.2 Conclusion	30
5.4 Communication networks deployed	31
5.4.1 Networks related to emergency communications domains.....	31
5.4.1.1 Emergency Calling.....	31
5.4.1.2 Mission critical communications	31
5.4.1.3 Public Warning System.....	34

5.4.1.4	Conclusion	34
5.4.2	IoT networks from mobile telecom operators	34
5.4.2.1	General	34
5.4.2.2	LTE-M (Long Term Evolution for Machines)	35
5.4.2.3	NB-IoT (Narrowband Internet of Things)	35
5.4.2.4	Conclusion	35
5.4.3	Additional long-range IoT networks	35
5.4.3.1	General	35
5.4.3.2	Sigfox	35
5.4.3.3	LoRaWAN	36
5.4.4	Other IoT short range networks	36
5.4.4.1	General	36
5.4.4.2	ZigBee	36
5.4.4.3	Z-Wave	37
5.4.4.4	EnOcean	37
5.4.4.5	ANT/ANT+	37
5.5	Support of emergency by IoT sensors and platforms	37
5.5.1	Overview of IoT landscape	37
5.5.2	Overview of IoT service platforms	39
5.5.3	Drones as special IoT devices	40
5.5.4	Existing implementations and trials using IoT sensors for emergency situations	41
5.5.4.1	Emergency calling	41
5.5.4.2	Mission critical communications	41
5.5.4.2.1	Based on PMR systems	41
5.5.4.2.2	Proprietary solutions	41
5.5.4.2.3	Research and trials	41
5.5.4.3	Public Warning System	42
5.6	Selection of use cases and existing requirements	43
5.6.1	Emergency situation handling in oneM2M standard	43
5.6.1.1	General	43
5.6.1.2	oneM2M use case: Traffic Accident Information Collection	43
5.6.1.3	oneM2M use case: Information Delivery Service in The Devastated Area	44
5.6.1.4	Conclusion	44
5.6.2	ETSI PPDR 2016 workshop	45
5.7	Previous studies on IoT in emergency situations	45
5.7.1	EENA	45
5.7.2	White paper on technologies for mission critical IoT	46
5.7.2.1	General	46
5.7.2.2	Conclusion	48
5.7.3	Experiments and Simulations	48
5.7.3.1	NIST disaster simulation (Philadelphia, USA)	48
5.7.3.2	Disaster-ready communication infrastructure (Coral Gables, Florida, USA)	48
6	Use cases for emergency services involving communications with IoT devices	48
6.1	Introduction	48
6.2	EC1: Automatic direct emergency call from IoT device	53
6.2.1	Emergency Domain	53
6.2.2	Description	53
6.2.3	Actors	53
6.2.4	Pre-conditions	53
6.2.5	Triggers	53
6.2.6	Normal Flow	54
6.2.7	Alternative flow	54
6.2.8	Post-conditions	54
6.2.9	High Level Illustration	55
6.2.10	Potential points of failure putting safety at risk	55
6.2.11	Potential means to prevent points of failure	56
6.3	EC2: IoT device provides additional information to an emergency call	56
6.3.1	Emergency Domain	56
6.3.2	Description	56
6.3.3	Actors	57
6.3.4	Pre-conditions	57

6.3.5	Triggers.....	57
6.3.6	Normal Flow.....	58
6.3.7	Alternative flow.....	58
6.3.8	Post-conditions.....	58
6.3.9	High Level Illustration.....	59
6.3.10	Potential points of failure putting safety at risk.....	59
6.3.11	Potential means to prevent points of failure.....	59
6.4	MC1: IoT-based mission critical communications.....	60
6.4.1	Emergency Domain.....	60
6.4.2	Description.....	60
6.4.3	Actors.....	60
6.4.4	Pre-conditions.....	61
6.4.5	Triggers.....	61
6.4.6	Normal Flow.....	61
6.4.7	Alternative flow.....	61
6.4.8	Post-conditions.....	62
6.4.9	High Level Illustration.....	62
6.4.10	Potential points of failure putting safety at risk.....	62
6.4.11	Potential means to prevent points of failure.....	63
6.5	MC2: Mission critical logistics support.....	63
6.5.1	Emergency Domain.....	63
6.5.2	Description.....	63
6.5.3	Actors.....	64
6.5.4	Pre-conditions.....	65
6.5.5	Triggers.....	65
6.5.6	Normal Flow.....	65
6.5.7	Alternative flow.....	65
6.5.8	Post-conditions.....	65
6.5.9	High Level Illustration.....	66
6.5.10	Potential points of failure putting safety at risk.....	66
6.5.11	Potential means to prevent points of failure.....	67
6.6	MC3: Emergency services teams accessing pre-deployed IoT devices.....	68
6.6.1	Emergency Domain.....	68
6.6.2	Description.....	68
6.6.3	Actors.....	69
6.6.4	Pre-conditions.....	69
6.6.5	Triggers.....	69
6.6.6	Normal Flow.....	69
6.6.7	Alternative flow.....	69
6.6.8	Post-conditions.....	69
6.6.9	High Level Illustration.....	70
6.6.10	Potential points of failure putting safety at risk.....	70
6.6.11	Potential means to prevent points of failure.....	70
6.7	PWS1: warning sent via IoT device to citizens.....	71
6.7.1	Emergency Domain.....	71
6.7.2	Description.....	71
6.7.3	Actors.....	71
6.7.4	Pre-conditions.....	72
6.7.5	Triggers.....	72
6.7.6	Normal Flow.....	72
6.7.7	Alternative flow.....	72
6.7.8	Post-conditions.....	72
6.7.9	High Level Illustration.....	73
6.7.10	Potential points of failure putting safety at risk.....	73
6.7.11	Potential means to prevent points of failure.....	73
6.8	AE1: IoT communication with priority handling to prevent emergency situation.....	74
6.8.1	Emergency Domain.....	74
6.8.2	Description.....	74
6.8.3	Actors.....	74
6.8.4	Pre-conditions.....	75
6.8.5	Triggers.....	75
6.8.6	Normal Flow.....	75

6.8.7	Alternative flow	75
6.8.8	Post-conditions	75
6.8.9	High Level Illustration.....	76
6.8.10	Potential points of failure putting safety at risk	76
6.8.11	Potential means to prevent points of failure.....	76
6.9	AE2: IoT-based action following public warning system message reception	77
6.9.1	Emergency Domain	77
6.9.2	Description.....	77
6.9.3	Actors.....	77
6.9.4	Pre-conditions	77
6.9.5	Triggers.....	78
6.9.6	Normal Flow	78
6.9.7	Alternative flow	78
6.9.8	Post-conditions	78
6.9.9	High Level Illustration.....	79
6.9.10	Potential points of failure putting safety at risk	79
6.9.11	Potential means to prevent points of failure.....	79
6.10	Conclusions	80
7	Impact of use cases on specifications.....	80
7.1	Introduction	80
7.2	Recommendations of requirements for existing domains.....	80
7.2.1	Emergency Calling domain.....	80
7.2.1.1	Usage & Maintenance	80
7.2.1.2	Interoperability	81
7.2.1.3	Networks and connectivity.....	81
7.2.1.4	Data Exchange at service and application level	81
7.2.1.5	Security	81
7.2.2	Mission Critical Communications domain	82
7.2.2.1	Usage & Maintenance	82
7.2.2.2	Interoperability	82
7.2.2.3	Networks and connectivity.....	82
7.2.2.4	Data Exchange at service and application level	83
7.2.2.5	Security	84
7.2.3	PWS domain	84
7.2.3.1	Usage & Maintenance	84
7.2.3.2	Interoperability	84
7.2.3.3	Networks and connectivity.....	84
7.2.3.4	Data Exchange at service and application level	85
7.2.3.5	Security	85
7.3	Recommendations of requirements for new domains.....	85
7.3.1	Automated Emergency response domain.....	85
7.3.1.1	Usage & Maintenance	85
7.3.1.2	Interoperability	86
7.3.1.3	Networks and connectivity.....	86
7.3.1.4	Data Exchange at service and application level	86
7.3.1.5	Security	86
7.4	Concluding recommendations	87
7.4.1	SC EMTEL recommendations.....	87
7.4.2	Recommendations for IoT service platform specification groups	87
7.4.3	Recommendations for network specification groups	88
Annex A:	Use case MC2: MCI logistics and management in detail	89
Annex B:	Bibliography	93
Annex C:	Change History	94
History		95

Intellectual Property Rights

Essential patents

IPRs essential or potentially essential to normative deliverables may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: "*Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards*", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (<https://ipr.etsi.org/>).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Trademarks

The present document may include trademarks and/or tradenames which are asserted and/or registered by their owners. ETSI claims no ownership of these except for any which are indicated as being the property of ETSI, and conveys no right to use or reproduce any trademark and/or tradename. Mention of those trademarks in the present document does not constitute an endorsement by ETSI of products, services or organizations associated with those trademarks.

Foreword

This Technical Report (TR) has been produced by ETSI Special Committee Emergency Communications (EMTEL).

Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "**should**", "**should not**", "**may**", "**need not**", "**will**", "**will not**", "**can**" and "**cannot**" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the [ETSI Drafting Rules](#) (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"**must**" and "**must not**" are **NOT** allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

Introduction

Since the Internet has matured, society has become more interconnected, as have the devices used to enhance everyday lives. This has led to the emergence of the so-called "Internet of Things" (IoT), in which autonomous devices as well as people act as connected endpoints in a massive network of networks.

The purpose of the present document is to consider communications involving IoT devices in all types of emergency situations, such as emergency calling, mission critical communications, Public Warning System communications and a new domain identified as automated emergency response, and to prepare the potential standardization requirements enabling a safe operation of these communications.

The reader will find in clause 4 a general overview of the topic.

Clause 5 provides a comprehensive state of the art at the date of the present document, covering IoT in emergency communications, as well as emergency handling in IoT communications. It analyses existing standards, communications networks, previous studies and solutions being already deployed.

A set of eight exemplary use cases, presenting different types of communications and applications involving IoT devices for emergency services, is presented in clause 6. The use cases are analysed from the point of view of potential failures putting safety at risk. Potential means to prevent these points of failure are also identified.

Finally, the impact of these use cases on existing or future standards is assessed. A set of potential requirements is proposed in clause 7, for each emergency domain under study, leading to recommendations for the different standardization groups targeted by this study, including SC EMTEL, IoT service platform specification groups and network specification groups.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

Full standard:
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/2c68148b-144c-45a3-b66e-3651c40957f4/etsi-tr-103-582-v1.1.1-2019-07>

1 Scope

The present document considers communications involving IoT devices in all types of emergency situations. This includes the use of IoT devices to enhance:

- Emergency calling, e.g. between individuals and emergency authorities/organizations, between emergency authorities/organizations, and between individuals.
- Mission critical communications within emergency services/public safety organizations, e.g. between public safety officers and control centres, between the control centres of different public safety organizations, and between individual public safety officers.
- Public Warning System type communications from authorities to the general public.
- Automated emergency response (new IoT domain) between two IoT devices.

The current state of the art for IoT device communications, especially when relevant to emergency situations, is described and use cases illustrate how such communications can be used to provide additional/enhanced information for communicating parties involved in emergency situations.

The impact of the use cases on the existing emergency, public warning, and mission critical communications is then considered, and recommendations for requirements to existing specifications for each domain are provided.

2 References

2.1 Normative references

Normative references are not applicable in the present document.

2.2 Informative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are not necessary for the application of the present document but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area.

- [i.1] ETSI TS 102 181: "Emergency Communications (EMTEL); Requirements for communication between authorities/organizations during emergencies".
- [i.2] ETSI TS 102 182: "Emergency Communications (EMTEL); Requirements for communications from authorities/organizations to individuals, groups or the general public during emergencies".
- [i.3] ETSI TR 102 410: "Emergency Communications (EMTEL); Basis of requirements for communications between individuals and between individuals and authorities whilst emergencies are in progress".
- [i.4] ETSI TR 103 338: "Satellite Earth Stations and Systems (SES); Satellite Emergency Communications (SatEC); Multiple Alert Message Encapsulation over Satellite (MAMES) deployment guidelines".
- [i.5] ETSI TS 103 337: "Satellite Earth Stations and Systems (SES); Satellite Emergency Communications; Multiple Alert Message Encapsulation over Satellite (MAMES)".
- [i.6] ETSI TR 118 501: "oneM2M; Use Case collection (oneM2M TR-0001)".

- [i.7] ETSI TR 103 375: "SmartM2M; IoT Standards landscape and future evolutions".
- [i.8] ETSI TS 122 261: "5G; Service requirements for next generation new services and markets (3GPP TS 22.261)".
- [i.9] EENA Technical Committee Document: "Public Safety Digital Transformation, The Internet of Things (IoT) and Emergency Services, March 2016.
- [i.10] GSMA Whitepaper, February 2017: "Network 2020: Mission Critical Communications".
- [i.11] 91/396/EEC: Council Decision of 29 July 1991 on the introduction of a single European emergency call number.
- NOTE: Available at <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:31991D0396>.
- [i.12] Directive (EU) 2018/1972 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 11 December 2018 establishing the European Electronic Communications Code.
- NOTE: Available at <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/HTML/?uri=CELEX:32018L1972&from=EN>.
- [i.13] ETSI TS 122 268: "Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+) (GSM); Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS); Public Warning System (PWS) requirements (3GPP TS 22.268)".
- [i.14] 3GPP TR 36.888: "Study on the provision of low-cost MTC User Equipment based on LTE".
- [i.15] 3GPP TS 26.850: "MBMS for IoT".
- [i.16] 3GPP Study Item for FS-MBMS-IoT.
- NOTE: Available at SP-170592: http://www.3gpp.org/ftp/tsg_sa/TSG_SA/TSGS_76/Docs/SP-170592.zip.
- [i.17] ETSI TS 122 011: "Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+) (GSM); Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS); LTE; Service accessibility (3GPP TS 22.011)".
- [i.18] ETSI TS 122 261: "5G; Service requirements for next generation new services and markets (3GPP TS 22.261)".
- [i.19] ETSI SR 002 180: "Emergency communications; Requirements for communication of citizens with authorities/organizations in case of distress (emergency call handling)".
- [i.20] Keysight white paper - 5992-2943EN: "Key Technologies Needed to Advance Mission-Critical IoT", May 7, 2018.
- NOTE: Available at <http://literature.cdn.keysight.com/litweb/pdf/5992-2943EN.pdf>.
- [i.21] IETF RFC 3261: "Session Initiation Protocol".
- [i.22] IETF RFC 6881: "Best Current Practice for Communications Services in Support of Emergency Calling (BCP 181)".
- [i.23] IETF RFC 6443: "Framework for Emergency Calling Using Internet Multimedia".
- [i.24] IETF RFC 4190: "Framework for Supporting Emergency Telecommunications Service (ETS) in IP Telephony".
- [i.25] IETF draft-ietf-ecrit-data-only-ea-17: "Data-Only Emergency Calls".
- [i.26] GSMA Mobile IoT Rollout Report.
- NOTE: Available at <https://www.gsma.com/iot/miot-rollout/>.
- [i.27] ITU-T Terms of Reference - Internet of Things Global Standards Initiative (IoT-GSI).
- [i.28] CENELEC EN 55011:2017: "Industrial, scientific and medical equipment - Radio-frequency disturbance characteristics - Limits and methods of measurement".

- [i.29] Recommendation ITU-T Y.2060/Y.4000: "Overview of the Internet of things".
- [i.30] Recommendation ITU-T Y.2061/Y.4001: "Requirements for the support of machine-oriented communication applications in the next generation network environment".
- [i.31] ETSI TR 118 501: "oneM2M; Use Case collection (oneM2M TR-0001)".
- [i.32] ETSI TR 118 526: "oneM2M: Vehicular Domain Enablement (oneM2M TR-0026)".
- [i.33] oneM2M-REQ-2013-0264R05: Traffic Accident Information Collection Use Case.
- [i.34] oneM2M-REQ-2012-0074R09: Information Delivery Service in The Devastated Area Use Case.
- [i.35] ETSI TR 103 376: "SmartM2M; IoT LSP use cases and standards gaps".
- [i.36] AIOTI WG03: "IoT LSP Standard Framework Concepts", Release 2.0, October 2015.
- [i.37] Going beyond the technical analysis (Part 1), IoT Platforms, STF505, Samir Medjiah.
- NOTE: Available at http://ec.europa.eu/information_society/newsroom/image/document/2017-7/stf_505_-_4-iot_platforms_C8B323CB-D37C-DB62-1A6210643559CBB5_42842.pdf.
- [i.38] IETF draft-zuniga-lpwan-sigfox-system-description-04: "SIGFOX System Description".
- [i.39] IETF draft-farrell-lpwan-lora-overview-01: "LoRaWAN Overview".
- [i.40] Luca Simone Ronga, Sara Jayousi, Renato Pucci, Simone Morosi, Matteo Berlioli, Josef Rammer, Alessio Fanfani, and Stefano Antonetti: Multiple Alert Message Encapsulation Protocol: Standardization and Experimental Activities, Proceedings of the ISCRAM 2015 Conference - Kristiansand, May 24-27, Palen, Büscher, Comes & Hughes, eds.
- [i.41] ETSI TR 102 022-1 (V1.1.1) (2012-08): "User Requirement Specification; Mission Critical Broadband Communication Requirements".
- [i.42] ETSI TR 102 022-2 (V1.1.1) (2015-01): "User Requirements Specification; Mission Critical Broadband Communications Part 2: Critical Communications Application".
- [i.43] Recommendation ITU-T X.1303: "Common alerting protocol (CAP 1.1)".
- [i.44] IETF Journal: "Internet of Things: Standards and Guidance from the IETF", April 17, 2016.
- [i.45] ETSI TS 103 260-1 (V1.1.1) (2015-05): "Satellite Earth Stations and Systems (SES); Reference scenario for the deployment of emergency communications; Part 1: Earthquake".
- [i.46] ETSI TS 103 260-2 (V1.1.1) (2015-05): "Satellite Earth Stations and Systems (SES); Reference scenario for the deployment of emergency communications; Part 2: Mass casualty incident in public transportation".
- [i.47] "The future of Public Safety", Ulrich Ruefuss, ETSI PPDR workshop, September 2016.
- [i.48] New opportunities for broadband PPDR: "How will police officers work in this new era of critical communications?", Jeppe Jepsen, ETSI PPDR workshop, September 2016.
- [i.49] Raimundo Rodulfo: "Connected through a disaster", IEEE standards University E-Magazine, vol 9, no. 2, July 2018.
- [i.50] Yatin Trivedi: "Disaster recovery - Can we be prepared by simulation?", IEEE standards University E-Magazine, vol 9, no. 2, July 2018.
- [i.51] ETSI TR 102 641: "Satellite Earth Stations and Systems (SES); Overview of present satellite emergency communications resources".
- [i.52] ETSI TR 103 166: "Satellite Earth Stations and Systems (SES); Satellite Emergency Communications (SatEC); Emergency Communication Cell over Satellite (ECCS)".
- [i.53] IETF RFC 7668: "IPv6 over BLUETOOTH(R) Low Energy".

- [i.54] IETF RFC 7428: "Transmission of IPv6 Packets over ITU-T G.9959 Networks".
- [i.55] IETF RFC 6550: "RPL: IPv6 Routing Protocol for Low-Power and Lossy Networks".
- [i.56] IETF RFC 7252: "The Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)".
- [i.57] IETF RFC 7390: "Group Communication for the Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)".
- [i.58] IETF RFC 7641: "Observing Resources in the Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)".
- [i.59] IETF RFC 6690: "Constrained RESTful Environments (CoRE) Link Format".
- [i.60] IETF RFC 7049: "Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR)".
- [i.61] IETF RFC 7744: "Multicast Protocol for Low-Power and Lossy Networks (MPL) Parameter Configuration Option for DHCPv6".
- [i.62] IETF RFC 8392: "CBOR Web Token (CWT)".
- [i.63] IETF RFC 7554: "Using IEEE 802.15.4e Time-Slotted Channel Hopping (TSCH) in the Internet of Things (IoT): Problem Statement".
- [i.64] IETF RFC 8180: "Minimal IPv6 over the TSCH Mode of IEEE 802.15.4e (6TiSCH) Configuration".
- [i.65] IETF RFC 7228: "Terminology for Constrained-Node Networks".
- [i.66] IETF RFC 7815: "Minimal Internet Key Exchange Version 2 (IKEv2) Initiator Implementation".
- [i.67] IETF RFC 8352: "Energy-Efficient Features of Internet of Things Protocols".
- [i.68] IETF RFC 8387 "Practical Considerations and Implementation Experiences in Securing Smart Object Networks".
- [i.69] Recommendation ITU-T Y.2074: "Requirements for Internet of things devices and operation of Internet of things applications during disaster".
- [i.70] Recommendation ITU-T Y.4116: "Requirements of transportation safety services including use cases and services scenarios".
- [i.71] Recommendation ITU-T Y.4119: "Requirements and capability framework for IoT-based automotive emergency response system".
- [i.72] Recommendation ITU-T Y.4806: "Security capabilities supporting safety of the Internet of things".
- [i.73] Recommendation ITU-T Y.4457: "Architectural framework for transportation safety services".
- [i.74] ETSI TS 123 041: "Digital cellular telecommunications system (Phase 2+) (GSM); Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS); Technical realization of Cell Broadcast Service (CBS) (3GPP TS 23.041)".
- [i.75] ETSI TS 126 281: "LTE; Mission Critical Video (MCVideo); Codecs and media handling (3GPP TS 26.281)".
- [i.76] ETSI TS 123 282: "LTE; Functional architecture and information flows to support Mission Critical Data (MCData); Stage 2 (3GPP TS 23.282)".
- [i.77] ETSI TR 103 393: "Emergency Communications (EMTEL); Advanced Mobile Location for emergency calls".
- [i.78] EENA Operations Document, "RPAS and the Emergency Services", November 2015.
- [i.79] EENA Next Generation 112 Document "Long Term Definition", April 2012.
- [i.80] ETSI TR 103 140: "Mobile Standards Group (MSG); eCall for VoIP".

- [i.81] eCall in all new cars from April 2018.
- NOTE: Available at <https://ec.europa.eu/digital-single-market/en/news/ecall-all-new-cars-april-2018>.
- [i.82] CEN TS 17184: "Intelligent transport systems. eSafety. eCall High level application Protocols (HLAP) using IMS packet switched networks".
- [i.83] CEN TS 17240: "Intelligent transport systems - ESafety - ECall end to end conformance testing for IMS packet switched based systems".
- [i.84] Regulation (EU) 2015/758 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 29 April 2015 concerning type-approval requirements for the deployment of the eCall in-vehicle system based on the 112 service and amending Directive 2007/46/EC.
- [i.85] ETSI TS 103 479: "Emergency Communications (EMTEL); Core elements for network independent access to emergency services".
- [i.86] IETF- charter-ietf-atoca-01: "Authority-to-Citizen Alert".
- NOTE: Available at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/charter-ietf-atoca/>.
- [i.87] ETSI EN 302 637-3: "Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS); Vehicular Communications; Basic Set of Applications; Part 3: Specifications of Decentralized Environmental Notification Basic Service".
- [i.88] Theilen-Willige, Barbara & Wenzel, Helmut (2012). Remote Sensing and GIS Contribution to the Inventory of Areas and Infrastructure susceptible to Tsunami Hazards - demonstrated by Case Studies in Chile and Japan.
- [i.89] M. Wetterwald et al: "Integrating Future Communication Technologies for the Downstream Component of Public Warning Systems", International Journal on Advances in Networks and Services, 2012 vol 5 nr 3&4.
- [i.90] ETSI TS 102 900: "Emergency Communications (EMTEL); European Public Warning System (EU-ALERT) using the Cell Broadcast Service".
- [i.91] Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation), OJ 2016 L 119/1.
- [i.92] Recommendation ITU-T G.9959: "Short range narrow-band digital radiocommunication transceivers - PHY, MAC, SAR and LLC layer specifications".
- [i.93] ETSI TS 118 102: "oneM2M Requirements (oneM2M TS-0002)".
- [i.94] oneM2M TR-0046: "Study on Public Warning Service Enabler".
- [i.95] COM/2008/0886.
- NOTE: Available at <http://www.ipex.eu/IPEXL-WEB/dossier/document/COM20080886FIN.do>.
- [i.96] IEEE 802.15.4™: "IEEE Standard for Low-Rate Wireless Networks".