
**Industrial automation systems and
integration — Manufacturing software
capability profiling for interoperability —**

**Part 1:
Framework**

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
*Systemes d'automatisation industrielle et integration — Profil d'aptitude
du logiciel de fabrication pour interoperabilite —
Partie 1: Cadre*
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO 16100-1:2009

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/1b20e8a1-33d0-40f8-bb12-dac5b687d390/iso-16100-1-2009>



Reference number
ISO 16100-1:2009(E)

PDF disclaimer

This PDF file may contain embedded typefaces. In accordance with Adobe's licensing policy, this file may be printed or viewed but shall not be edited unless the typefaces which are embedded are licensed to and installed on the computer performing the editing. In downloading this file, parties accept therein the responsibility of not infringing Adobe's licensing policy. The ISO Central Secretariat accepts no liability in this area.

Adobe is a trademark of Adobe Systems Incorporated.

Details of the software products used to create this PDF file can be found in the General Info relative to the file; the PDF-creation parameters were optimized for printing. Every care has been taken to ensure that the file is suitable for use by ISO member bodies. In the unlikely event that a problem relating to it is found, please inform the Central Secretariat at the address given below.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO 16100-1:2009

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/1b20e8a1-33d0-40f8-bb12-dac5b687d390/iso-16100-1-2009>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2009

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction.....	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Abbreviated terms	5
5 Manufacturing application.....	5
5.1 Reference application framework.....	5
5.2 Manufacturing domain	6
5.3 Manufacturing processes	7
5.4 Manufacturing resources.....	7
5.5 Manufacturing information	8
6 Manufacturing software interoperability framework	8
6.1 Manufacturing software unit interoperability	8
6.2 Functional relationships between the manufacturing software units	9
6.3 Services, interfaces and protocols.....	10
6.4 Manufacturing software unit capability profiling.....	10
7 Conformance	11
Annex A (informative) Manufacturing application reference model	12
Annex B (informative) Examples of the manufacturing activity reference model.....	16
Annex C (informative) Use cases	41
Bibliography.....	45

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 16100-1 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 184, *Automation systems and integration*, Subcommittee SC 5, *Architecture, communications and integration frameworks*.

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO 16100-1:2002), which has been technically revised.

ISO 16100 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Industrial automation systems and integration — Manufacturing software capability profiling for interoperability*:

- *Part 1: Framework*
- *Part 2: Profiling methodology*
- *Part 3: Interface services, protocols and capability templates*
- *Part 4: Conformance test methods, criteria and reports*
- *Part 5: Methodology for profile matching using multiple capability class structures*

The following part is planned:

- *Part 6: Interface services and protocols for matching profiles based on multiple capability class structures*

Introduction

The motivation for ISO 16100 stems from the industrial and economic environment noted by ISO/TC 184/SC 5. In particular, there is broad recognition by industry that application software and the expertise to apply that software are assets of the enterprise. Industry feedback has noted the need for improvement and continued development of current design and manufacturing standards to enable software interoperability.

ISO 16100 specifies a manufacturing information model that characterizes software-interfacing requirements. With interfacing requirements clearly expressed, standard interfaces can be more easily and quickly developed using the Interface Definition Language (IDL) or an appropriate programming language, such as Java and C++. These standard interfaces are expected to enable the interoperability among manufacturing software tools (modules or systems).

The Unified Modeling Language (UML) is used in this International Standard for modelling these interfaces. Also, the manufacturing information model can be used to develop commonly sharable database schema using languages such as the Extensible Markup Language (XML).

Sectors of the manufacturing industry — such as automotive, aerospace, machine tool manufacturing, computer peripheral manufacturing, and mould and die manufacturing — that intensively use computer-aided design (CAD), computer-aided manufacturing (CAM), numerical control (NC) programming, computer-aided engineering (CAE), product data management (PDM) and manufacturing execution systems (MES) will directly benefit from ISO 16100. The software interface requirements in ISO 16100 will facilitate the development of:

- a) interoperable design and manufacturing software tools leading to shortened product development time;
- b) new software tools that can be easily integrated with current technologies leading to more choices in the market;
- c) new application software leading to reduced capital expenditures to replace legacy systems;
- d) programming interfaces and database schema leading to cost savings by not having to develop proprietary interfaces for point-to-point software integration.

The end result will be a reduction in product and manufacturing information management cost and lower product costs.

ISO 16100 enables manufacturing software integration by providing the following:

- standard interface specifications that allow information exchange among software units in industrial automation systems developed by different vendors;
- software capability profiling, using a standardized method to enable users to select software units that meet their functional requirements;
- conformance tests that ensure the integrity of the software integration.

At the time of publication of this edition of this part of ISO 16100, there are five published parts to ISO 16100 and one planned part. This part of ISO 16100 specifies a framework for interoperability of a set of manufacturing software products used in the manufacturing domain and its integration into a manufacturing application. ISO 16100-2 specifies a methodology for constructing profiles of manufacturing software capabilities, and includes a methodology for creating manufacturing software capability profiles as well as for using these profiles at the developing stage of manufacturing applications. ISO 16100-3 specifies the interface protocol and templates for various manufacturing application areas. ISO 16100-4 specifies the concepts and rules for the conformity assessment of the other parts of ISO 16100. ISO 16100-5 specifies a methodology for profile matching using multiple capability class structures. ISO 16100-6 will specify the interface services and protocols for matching profiles based on multiple capability class structures.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW **(standards.iteh.ai)**

ISO 16100-1:2009

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/1b20e8a1-33d0-40f8-bb12-dac5b687d390/iso-16100-1-2009>

Industrial automation systems and integration — Manufacturing software capability profiling for interoperability —

Part 1: Framework

1 Scope

This part of ISO 16100 specifies a framework for the interoperability of a set of software products used in the manufacturing domain and to facilitate its integration into a manufacturing application (see Annex A for a discussion of a manufacturing application). This framework addresses information exchange models, software object models, interfaces, services, protocols, capability profiles and conformance test methods.

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 15745-1, *Industrial automation systems and integration — Open systems application integration framework — Part 1: Generic reference description*

ISO 16100 (all parts), *Industrial automation systems and integration — Manufacturing software capability profiling for interoperability*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

3.1 advanced planning

production planning over time horizons of months or years using constraint models that treat both materials and capacity

NOTE In some cases, the planning system includes master production scheduling, material requirements planning or capacity planning.

3.2 bill of materials BOM

〈manufacturing〉 list of parts that are scheduled to be manufactured in the factory

NOTE For each part, a BOM contains part number, description, quantity, description, etc. The manufacturing BOM is the manufacturing version of product structure known as “as-built configuration”.

3.3

CAD/PDM

computer-aided design/product data management

computer systems that are used for product design and modelling, engineering, product data management and process data management

3.4

capability

〈software〉 set of functions and services with a set of criteria for evaluating the performance of a capability provider

NOTE This definition differs from that given in ISO 15531-1 and ISO 19439, where capability is defined as the quality of being able to perform a given activity. See IEC 62264-1 for a general definition of capability.

3.5

capability profiling

selection of a set of offered services defined by a particular interface within a software interoperability framework

3.6

CAPP/CAM

computer-aided process planning/computer-aided manufacturing

computer systems that are used for process planning and programming of numerically controlled machines

3.7

controller

〈digital systems〉 hybrid hardware/software systems that are used for controlling machines

EXAMPLES Distributed control systems (DCS), programmable logic controllers (PLC), numerical controller (NC), and supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) systems.

[ISO 16100-1:2009](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/1b20e8a1-33d0-40f8-bb12-dac5b687d390/iso-16100-1-2009)

3.8

data collection

gathering of information on workpieces, timing, personnel, lots and other critical entities for production management in a timely manner

3.9

design knowledge

rules and logic that a human designer brings to bear on design problems, including design and implementation techniques

NOTE Many different types of design knowledge are used in different design activities, such as decomposition knowledge, assignment knowledge, consolidation knowledge and optimization knowledge.

3.10

design pattern

knowledge of how to convert specifications (e.g. manufacturing capability) into practical forms (e.g. capability profile template)

3.11

enterprise resource planning

ERP

planning function that includes inventory transaction, cost accounting, order fulfilment and resource tracking

NOTE 1 The planning methodology uses material requirements planning and master production schedule to calculate requirements for materials and to make recommendations to release replenishment orders when due dates and need dates are not in phase.

NOTE 2 An alternative definition of enterprise resources planning can be found in ISO 15531-1.

3.12**machine tool**

manufacturing resource of the equipment class, associated with a machine, that enables the capability of machining

3.13**manufacturing application**

group of activities (a process or part thereof), within a manufacturing domain of an enterprise, cooperating to realize a definite objective or role

3.14**manufacturing execution system****MES**

system for producing the desired products or services, including quality control, document management, plant floor dispatching, work-in-process tracking, detailed product routing and tracking, labour reporting, resource and rework management, production measurement and data collection

NOTE The Object Management Group defines the information part of manufacturing execution systems (MES) as systems that deliver information enabling “the optimization of production activities from order launch to finished goods. Using current and accurate data, MES guides, initiates, responds to, and reports on plant activities as they occur. The resulting rapid response to changing conditions, coupled with a focus on reducing non-value-added activities, drives effective plant operations and processes. MES improves the return on operational assets as well as on-time delivery, inventory turns, gross margin and cash flow performance. MES provides mission-critical information about production activities across the enterprise and supply chain via bi-directional communications.”

3.15**manufacturing software interoperability**

ability to share and exchange information using common syntax and semantics to meet an application-specific functional relationship across a common interface

3.16**manufacturing software**

type of software resource within an automation system that provides value to a manufacturing application by enabling the flow of control and information among the automation system components involved in the manufacturing processes, between these components and other enterprise resources, and between enterprises in a supply chain or demand chain

NOTE CAD/PDM is an example of a manufacturing application.

3.17**manufacturing software component**

class of manufacturing software resource intended to support the execution of a particular manufacturing task

3.18**manufacturing software unit**

class of software resource, consisting of one or more manufacturing software components, performing a definite function or role within a manufacturing activity while supporting a common information exchange mechanism with other units

NOTE A software unit can be modelled using UML as a software object.

3.19**manufacturing system**

system coordinated by a particular information model to support the execution and control of manufacturing processes involving the flow of information, material and energy in a manufacturing plant

3.20

manufacturing software capability

set of manufacturing software functions and services against a set of criteria for evaluating performance under a given set of manufacturing conditions

NOTE Annex C provides use cases and related scenarios involving manufacturing software capability.

3.21

manufacturing software capability profile

concise representation of a manufacturing software capability to meet a requirement of a manufacturing application

3.22

product data management

PDM

management of a single, centralized data repository that enables authorized users throughout the company to access and update current product information

NOTE The Object Management Group defines a product data management (PDM) system as a software tool that manages engineering information, supports management of product configurations, and supports management of the product engineering process. The engineering information includes both database objects and “document” objects – sets of information stored in files that are opaque to the PDM system. This information may be associated with specific products or specific product designs, or more generally with product families, production processes or the engineering process itself. The engineering process support usually includes workflow management and concepts of engineering change and notification. In many manufacturing organizations, the PDM is the central engineering information repository for product development activities.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

3.23

software architecture

fundamental organization of a software system embodied in its components, their relationships to each other and to the environment, and the principles guiding its design and evolution

[IEEE 1471-2000]

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/1b20e8a1-33d0-40f8-bb12-dac5b687d390/iso-16100-1-2009>

3.24

software environment

other manufacturing resources within the computing system that affect the operational aspects of the manufacturing software unit

NOTE The software environment can include other systems that interact with the system of interest, either directly via interfaces or indirectly in other ways. The environment determines the boundaries that define the scope of the system of interest relative to other systems.

3.25

supply chain planning

usage of information technology to address planning and logistics problems at different levels and granularities of detail using models for a product line, a production plant or a full chain of multiple demand sources, suppliers, production plants and distribution means

NOTE Supply chain planning can be used to synchronize production, balancing constraints based on goals including on-time delivery, minimal inventory and maximum profit.

4 Abbreviated terms

AGV	Automatic Guided Vehicle
APT	Automated Programmed Tool
BOM	Bill of Materials
CAD	Computer-Aided Design
CAM	Computer-Aided Manufacturing
CAPP	Computer-Aided Process Planning
ERP	Enterprise Resource Planning
MES	Manufacturing Execution System
NC	Numerical Control
PDM	Product Data Management
SCM	Supply Chain Management
SCADA	Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition
SQC	Statistical Quality control
XML	Extensible Markup Language
UML	Unified Modeling Language

STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/1b20e8a1-33d0-40f8-bb12-4dc5b687d390/iso-16100-1-2009>


5 Manufacturing application

5.1 Reference application framework

The interoperability framework for manufacturing software is based upon a more general interoperability framework for manufacturing applications. Such an application interoperability framework, which is explained in further detail in ISO 15745-1, provides a basis for integrating an automation and control system architecture within a manufacturing application architecture.

An integrated manufacturing application shall be modelled as a combination of a set of manufacturing resources and a set of information units whose data structure, semantics and behaviour can be shared and exchanged among the manufacturing resources, as shown in Figure 1. Manufacturing resources are communication networks, devices, software, equipment, material and personnel necessary to support the processes and information exchanges required by the application.

In this application integration model, the various elements of the model have shared interfaces and exchange material, energy and information in a cooperative and coordinated manner. The manufacturing processes can cooperate with each other if the functions performed by the various elements of the model can interoperate with each other. When software units perform some of these functions, it is necessary for the software units to be interoperable with the other elements, as well as with each other.



NOTE Boxes represent classes of objects (things). Lines connecting boxes represent associations between objects (things). An association has two roles (one in each direction). A role may optionally be named by a label. A role from A to B is closest to B, and vice versa. Roles are one-to-one unless otherwise noted. A role can have a multiplicity, e.g. a role marked with "1..*" is used to denote many as in a one-to-many or many-to-many association. A diamond at the end of an association line denotes a part-of relationship. A shaded diamond at the end of an association line denotes a composition aggregation relationship. The absence of at least one type of aggregated element deletes the object instance of the composition aggregation class. An unshaded diamond denotes a collection aggregation relationship. An object instance of a collection aggregation class can be formed even if some of the types of aggregated elements are not present. For example, Manufacturing Application owns (is comprised of) Manufacturing Process, Manufacturing Information and Manufacturing Resources. This notation is taken from ISO/IEC 19501.

Figure 1 — Class diagram of a partial model of a manufacturing application


ISO 16100-1:2009

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/1b20e8a1-33d0-40f8-bb12-dac5b687d390/iso-16100-1-2009>

5.2 Manufacturing domain

The manufacturing domain that includes discrete, batch and continuous control encompasses many types of industries. The automotive industry is an example of an industry employing discrete control; the pharmaceutical industry is an example of an industry employing batch control; the petrochemical industry is an example of an industry employing continuous control. For manufacturing software, the interface between plant management systems and floor control systems is described by the same method regardless of whether control systems are discrete, batch or continuous. Similarly, the control flow inside a control system is also described by the same method regardless of whether the system is discrete, batch or continuous.

Even as the manufacturing domain applies to many industries, the relationship between firms in these industries is changing rapidly due to recent developments in IT infrastructure, as is the case in supply chain management systems. Therefore, ISO 16100 sets a target manufacturing domain to include the manufacturing operation and control activity, the discrete control activity, the batch control activity, the continuous control activity and the manufacturing process design activity, as shown in Figure 2.



iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

NOTE The dark grey shaded area delimits the scope of a manufacturing domain in ISO 16100.

Figure 2 — Target domain of ISO 16100

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/1b20e8a1-33d0-40f8-bb12-dac5b687d390/iso-16100-1-2009>

5.3 Manufacturing processes

A manufacturing process shall be modelled as a set of activities that follow a specific sequence. Each activity shall be associated with a set of functions performed according to a time schedule or triggered by a set of events.

The functions associated with a manufacturing process shall be viewed as being implemented through a set of manufacturing resources. The manufacturing resources shall be considered to be selected and configured to support the material, information and energy flows required by the specified sequence of manufacturing activities associated with a process.

When a manufacturing process must cooperate and coordinate with another process, the respective functions of these interacting processes are considered to be able to cooperate and coordinate with each other. Such a situation requires that the cooperating and coordinating functions meet a common set of criteria and a set of conditions for interoperability. The software units that implement these functions shall meet a related set of criteria and conditions for interoperability.

5.4 Manufacturing resources

The manufacturing resources required by a manufacturing application shall be organized in terms of the type of flow being managed and supported among the manufacturing processes — material, control, information or energy flow. The set of integrated flows can be used to represent an integrated manufacturing application or manufacturing system architecture.

The set of integrated manufacturing resources shall form a manufacturing system architecture that fulfils a set of manufacturing application requirements. These manufacturing resources, including the manufacturing software units, shall provide the functions associated with the manufacturing processes.

The combined capabilities of the various software units, in an appropriate operating environment, provide the required functionality to control and monitor the manufacturing processes according to the production plan and the allocated resources.

An operating environment shall be distinguished by the manufacturing resources needed by the associated set of software units. These manufacturing resources include the processing, storage, user interface, communications and peripheral devices, as well as other system software required for executing the software units.

5.5 Manufacturing information

A set of information structures shall provide the knowledge infrastructure to manage the various types of flows within a manufacturing application. These information sets shall include data pertaining to the product, the process and the equipment.

The manufacturing software units shall be the primary means for handling, transforming and maintaining these information structures.

6 Manufacturing software interoperability framework

6.1 Manufacturing software unit interoperability

Within a context of a manufacturing application, a manufacturing software unit is considered to be capable of performing a specific set of functions defined by a manufacturing system architecture. In performing these sets of functions, the manufacturing software unit is cooperating and conducting transactions with other manufacturing software units.

The functions performed by each software unit shall be those as described by the manufacturing application architecture. The information exchanged between these software units shall enable the coordinated execution of these manufacturing functions.

The software interoperability of a set of manufacturing activities shall be described in terms of the interoperability of the set of software units associated with each manufacturing activity.

A software interoperability framework consists of a set of elements and rules for describing the capability of software units to support the requirements of a manufacturing application. The capability to support the requirements shall cover the ability of the software unit to execute and to exchange data with other software units operating in the same manufacturing system or in different manufacturing systems used in the application.


A software interoperability framework shall be based on the following aspects:

- a) syntax and semantics shared between manufacturing software units;
- b) functional relationships between the manufacturing software units;
- c) services, interfaces and protocols offered by the manufacturing software units;
- d) ability to provide manufacturing software unit capability profiling.

The framework elements shall consist of the roles, the activities and the artefacts associated with the software entities when dealing with the manufacturing process, information and resources. The framework rules shall address the relationships, templates and conformance statements needed to construct a capability class (see ISO 16100-2), a profile class (see ISO 16100-2) and a component class (see ISO 16100-3). Framework elements for multiple capability class structures are covered in ISO 16100-5 and ISO 16100-6.

The organization, relationships and tasks pertaining to the software unit and its manufacturing software components shall be expressed in terms of the relevant framework elements and rules described in other parts of ISO 16100.

Figure 3 shows the relationships between the aspects of the software interoperability framework and the derivation of this framework from a generic application interoperability framework.



ISO 16100-1:2009
<http://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/dac5b687d390/iso-16100-1-2009>
 Figure 3 — Relationships of software interoperability aspects

6.2 Functional relationships between the manufacturing software units

Within the manufacturing domain shown in Figure 2, there can be one or more operational software units that cooperate through a specific interface/protocol to perform a single manufacturing function required in that domain. This is realized in the software environment of a specific computing system as one of the components of the manufacturing resources, enabled by a specific design pattern performing a specific role. Conversely, a single software unit can perform one or more manufacturing functions. One or more manufacturing functions can interoperate with each other to execute, control, monitor or manage a particular manufacturing activity. A series of activities can be conducted in a particular sequence to complete a manufacturing process. Figure 4 shows various classes including those used to represent a software unit and its surrounding elements and associations within an environment of a manufacturing application.

In this framework, the sequence and schedule of functions performed is determined by the sequence and schedule of the activities that comprise a particular process. The manufacturing software units deployed to perform the functions are considered to execute according to the required sequence and schedule of their associated functions.

The interoperability of the manufacturing processes shall be viewed in terms of the interoperability of the functions, which, in turn, shall be viewed in terms of the interoperability of the manufacturing resources, including the manufacturing software units. Examples of information flow among design, manufacturing planning and execution activities are provided in Annex B.