ETSI TR 103 138 V1.5.1 (2018-08)



Speech and multimedia Transmission Quality (STQ); Speech samples and their use for QoS testing

Reference RTR/STQ-00221m Keywords QoS, quality, speech

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

Important notice

The present document can be downloaded from: http://www.etsi.org/standards-search

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the only prevailing document is the print of the Portable Document Format (PDF) version kept on a specific network drive within ETSI Secretariat.

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status.

Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at https://portal.etsi.org/TB/ETSIDeliverableStatus.aspx

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services: https://portal.etsi.org/People/CommitteeSupportStaff.aspx

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.

The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.

The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© ETSI 2018. All rights reserved.

DECTTM, **PLUGTESTS**TM, **UMTS**TM and the ETSI logo are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members. **3GPP**TM and **LTE**TM are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

oneM2M logo is protected for the benefit of its Members. **GSM**® and the GSM logo are trademarks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

Contents

Intelle	ectual Pro	perty Rights	5			
Forev	vord		5			
Moda	l verbs te	rminology	5			
Introduction						
1						
2	•	res				
2.1		ative references				
2.2		native references				
3	Abbrevia	ations	7			
4	Devices	and network access	7			
4.1	Mobil	e devices	7			
4.2	ISDN	/PSTN	8			
4.3	Test se	cenarios	8			
4.3.1		eneral aspects				
4.3.2	Na	nrrowband telephony and narrowband test scenario	8			
4.3.3	W	ideband telephony and super-wideband/fullband test scenario	9			
5	Speech s	amples cal aspects ltering of speech signals nulation of handsets lter for narrowband test scenarios IRS send Filter MSIN Filter	10			
5.1	Gener	all aspects	10			
5.2	Dre_fil	Itering of speech signals	10			
5.2.1	Fn	nulation of handsets	10			
5.2.2	Fil	ter for parrowhand test scenarios	10			
5.2.2.1	1	IRS send Filter	10			
5.2.2.2)	MSIN Filter	11			
5.2.2.3	3	Recommended filters to use in narrowband mobile test scenarios	12			
5.2.3		Iter for wideband and fullband telephony test scenarios				
5.2.3.1		Filter for fullband signals	12			
5.2.3.2		Filter for fullband signals	12			
5.2.3.3		Recommendation ITU-T P 341	12			
5.2.3.4		Recommended filters to use in super-wideband mobile test scenarios	13			
5.2.4		eference signals	13			
5.3	Audio	eference signals	13			
5.3.1		ominal level				
5.3.2		vel adjustment with Recommendation ITU-T P.56				
5.3.3		put level at test devices				
6	Canaria	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.4			
		wband-Measurement Land to Mobile				
6.1 6.2		wband-Measurement Mobile to Landwband-Measurement Mobile to Land				
6.3		e to Mobile				
6.3.1		nrowband				
6.3.2		ideband and super-wideband				
7		S				
	• •					
Anne	x A :	Coefficients for the reconstruction lowpass filter				
Anne	x B :	Bibliography	18			
Anne	x C:	Speech Samples	19			
C.1	Introduct	tion	19			
C.2	Design		19			
C.3	Example results					

C.4	Technical specification	20
Histo	orv	22

Intellectual Property Rights

Essential patents

IPRs essential or potentially essential to normative deliverables may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: "Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (https://ipr.etsi.org/).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Trademarks

The present document may include trademarks and/or tradenames which are asserted and/or registered by their owners. ETSI claims no ownership of these except for any which are indicated as being the property of ETSI, and conveys no right to use or reproduce any trademark and/or tradename. Mention of those trademarks in the present document does not constitute an endorsement by ETSI of products, services or organizations associated with those trademarks.

Foreword

This Technical Report (TR) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Speech and multimedia Transmission Quality (STQ).

Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "should", "should not", "may", "need not", "will", "will not", "can" and "cannot" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the ETSI Drafting Rules (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"must" and "must not" are NOT allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

Introduction

Conducting drive test in multi technology environment presents a challenge to all parties. And the complexity and variance of the different scenarios need to be broken down to handy instructions for those who actually configure and conduct the measurements, such as Network Operators, Service Providers, Equipment Vendors and Regulatory Authorities.

1 Scope

The present document introduces and explains the use and application of speech samples to determine the objective listening quality (LQO) in narrowband (NB), wideband (WB), super-wideband (SWB) and fullband (FB) for different scenarios such as connections between fixed networks and mobile terminals.

2 References

2.1 Normative references

Normative references are not applicable in the present document.

2.2 Informative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are not necessary for the application of the present document but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area.

[i.1]	Recommendation ITU-T P.48. "Specification for an intermediate reference system".
[i.2]	Recommendation ITU-TP.800. "Methods for subjective determination of transmission quality".
[i.3]	Recommendation ITU-T P.830: "Subjective performance assessment of telephone-band and wideband digital codecs".
[i.4]	Recommendation ITU-T P.862: "Perceptual evaluation of speech quality (PESQ): An objective method for end-to-end speech quality assessment of narrow-band telephone networks and speech codecs".
[i.5]	Recommendation ITU-T P.862.1: "Mapping function for transforming P.862 raw result scores to MOS-LQO".
[i.6]	Recommendation ITU-T P.862.2: "Wideband extension to Recommendation P.862 for the assessment of wideband telephone networks and speech codecs".
[i.7]	Recommendation ITU-T P.862.3: "Application guide for objective quality measurement based on Recommendations P.862, P.862.1 and P.862.2".
[i.8]	Recommendation ITU-T P.863: "Perceptual objective listening quality prediction".
[i.9]	Recommendation ITU-T P.863.1: "Application Guide for the Recommendation ITU-T P.863".
[i.10]	Recommendation ITU-T G.711: "Pulse code modulation (PCM) of voice frequencies".
[i.11]	Recommendation ITU-T G.191: "Software tools for speech and audio coding standardization".
[i.12]	Recommendation ITU-T P.341: "Transmission characteristics for wideband digital loudspeaking and hands-free telephony terminals".
[i.13]	Recommendation ITU-T P.56: "Objective measurement of active speech level".
[i.14]	Recommendation ITU-T P.501: "Test signals for use in telephonometry".

[i.15] Recommendation ITU-T P.10/G100: "Vocabulary for performance, quality of service and quality of experience".

3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

AMR Adaptive Multi-Rate codec

AMR-WB Adaptive Multi-Rate codec Wideband

ASL Active Speech Level EFR Enhance Full Rate codec

EVS Enhanced Voice Services, speech codec

FB Fullband

FIR Finite Impulse Response filter
IRS Intermediate Reference System
ISDN Integrated Services Digital Network

LQO Listening Quality Objective MOS Mean Opinion Score MSIN Mobile Station Input filter

NB Narrowband

NTP Network Terminating Point

OVL Overload point

PBX Private Branch Exchange
PC Personal Computer
PCM Pulse Code Modulation

PSTN Public Switched Telephone Network

SWB Super-Wideband
VoLTE Voice over LTE
WB Wideband

4 Devices and network access

4.1 Mobile devices

There are only a few devices and access interfaces that play a role in end-to-end mobile network testing. In end-to-end testing a test connection between two endpoints is established. This determines the access interfaces and devices.

The mobile device is not a pure access device to the mobile network. It contains complex components for speech processing and becomes therefore an important contributor to the overall quality measured in the test connection.

Mobile devices do not have a standardized audio interface, neither digital nor analogue. As common practice the headset connector of the mobile device is used as access interface for audio insertion and capturing. As a pre-condition for audio insertion and capturing, the measurement equipment has to match to the devices headset connector in impedance and level.

It has to be noted that in this setup the mobile devices are used in headset mode. Devices apply individual audio profiles, means individual settings in filtering, amplification and noise- and echo treatment for connected headphones or the use of the internal microphone. Often there is a third mode that applies when a handsfree loudspeaker set is connected. Since the audio processing in headphone mode is different from the use of internal microphone, such a test connection emulates a user with a headphone (personal handsfree kit) connected by wire to the headphone connector.

4.2 ISDN/PSTN

ISDN or (analogue) PSTN interfaces are not directly belonging to the mobile network but they are usually used as defined endpoint of the test connection. As access point to the ISDN or PSTN network a real consumer telephone device is not used but rather an ISDN or PSTN interface module as e.g. a PC card. It enables an electrical connection to the network for audio transmission and processes all the signalling information. The interface module or PC card is usually accessed with a digitalized speech signal in PCM format. The format is preferably 16 bit or 13 bit linear PCM sampled at 8 kHz or 16 kHz. Some interfaces expect 8 bit A-Law PCM that can be used in case of ISDN but is not recommended for PSTN, since it will cause an additional A-Law compression step in the test connection.

NOTE: The A-Law signal would be decompressed and fed as analogue signal in the local loop, where the regular A-Law compression will be at the digital NTP or the PBX.

Today, ISDN/PSTN channels are narrowband only. Thus, a transmission to an ISDN/PSTN end-point is always restricted to narrowband despite that the airlink can use AMR-WB. The transition to narrowband is part of the gateway to the ISDN/PSTN.

4.3 Test scenarios

4.3.1 General aspects

The analogue circuits of almost all mobile devices are able to process wideband or fullband speech. Whether a call is transmitting narrowband or wideband or above speech depends on the wideband coding capability of the phone, the network and call setup. The subscriber cannot control whether the phone connects in narrowband, in wideband or in super-wideband. The established channel determines the transmission bandwidth of the channel that can be narrowband, wideband, super-wideband or even fullband.

4.3.2 Narrowband telephony and narrowband test scenario

The conventional narrowband or normal-band telephony is traditionally using a pass-band from 300 Hz to 3 400 Hz. In digital transmission the technical limit is given by the Nyquist frequency due to sampling at 4 kHz upper audio transmission limit; there is no limit at the lower boundary. Today's narrowband speech codecs as EFR or AMR are also able to encode an audio band up to 4 kHz. Despite that fact, in practice a dedicated filtering is applied to the signal. Usually, there is a bandpass that is wider than the traditional pass-band but still limiting at the lower and upper range. The actual transmission characteristic is depending on the phone manufacturer and the setting of the phone. There are no binding limits or characteristics.

Testing narrowband is not tied to a narrowband channel. Narrowband testing means that the listening quality is estimated as listening through a conventional handset, the objective quality model filters the signal with such a bandpass and compares the speech signal to an ideal narrowband reference signal too. This restriction to a narrowband bandpass is applied despite the fact of the signal bandwidth passed through the channel.

For testing a narrowband scenario using a mobile access device there are two setups:

- 1) Insertion of a signal that exceeds the traditional narrowband bandwidth, e.g. 50 Hz to 3 800 Hz or even 50 Hz to 8 000 or 50 Hz to 14 000 Hz. In this case, the limitation of the signal is done by the device and the channel, while the device usually limits at most. At the receiving side, the recorded speech signal is compared to an ideal narrowband signal (at a bandwidth of 50 Hz to 3 800 Hz). In this test case the filter characteristic of the mobile device used has a significant influence on the estimated quality, since all restrictions to the reference bandwidth are considered as degradation. The predicted MOS describes the overall quality as it is perceived by the particular device and the channel; the score is device dependent.
- 2) Insertion of a signal that emulates a traditional sending path that is close to the defined passband of 300 Hz to 3 400 Hz. Therefore the test speech signal is filtered with a bandpass filter as e.g. IRSsend or MSIN. Usually, those filters are narrower than the phone's characteristic. The phone's band limitations will not affect significantly the speech signal anymore. By using such a setup, the filter characteristic of the particular phone becomes less influencing. The bandwidth of the signal at receiving side is than widely dominated by the applied pre-filtering and widely the same for all devices. The estimated score becomes less phone dependent.

The approach (1) is recommended for device testing. For field testing of mobile network quality the setup (2) is recommended. It focuses more on network quality than on device depending audio filtering.

Please note that the term narrowband test scenario does not depend on the actual transmission capability of the channel but rather on the quality reference that is just narrowband. Even a wideband channel can be tested in a narrowband setup, it can be compared to listening wideband with a traditional handset, the upper frequency ranges are just not perceptible by such a transducer.

Typical MOS scores in a narrowband scenario are:

- 4,5 for a complete transparent narrowband signal.
- 4,4 for an ISDN signal (coded with Recommendation ITU-T G.711 [i.10] A-Law).
- 4,2 to 4,3 for a perfectly processed signal with AMR at 12,2 kbit/s.
- 3,4 to 3,6 for a perfectly processed signal with AMR at 4,75 kbit/s.

Quality testing in a narrowband test scenario is used for a long time and most of published MOS scores relate to this scenario. The established Recommendation ITU-T P.862.1 [i.5] is an objective measure emulating a narrowband scenario. Also, the new Recommendation ITU-T P.863 [i.8] supports a dedicated narrowband test modus, where signal predictions are made according to a narrowband test setup.

4.3.3 Wideband telephony and super-wideband/fullband test scenario

For wideband telephony typically a transmission capability of 100 Hz to 7 000 Hz is defined. Similar to narrowband, the technical limits for a wideband transmission channel are from often 50 Hz to 8 000 Hz due to the sampling frequency of 16 000 Hz.

NOTE: The AMR-WB speech codec limits itself at 6 400 Hz due to an internal sampling frequency of 12,8 kHz.

The next step beyond wideband is called super-wideband and enables a transmission bandwidth from 50 Hz to 14 000 Hz or 50 Hz to 16 000 Hz. In practice, super-wideband can be seen as equivalent to fullband for human speech, since there are no relevant signal parts in speech above 14 000 Hz.

The recently standardized EVS speech codec supports all audio bandwidths from narrowband, wideband, super-wideband and even to full-band. In comparison with AMR and AMR-WB, which can adapt bitrate but support only one fix audio bandwidths. The EVS speech codec can change both, audio bandwidth and bitrate and is able to choose to the best compromise between bitrate and audio bandwidth adaptively. For VoLTE the EVS codec will support super-wideband audio as default.

A wideband, super-wideband or fullband transmission needs a corresponding channel and two endpoint devices, that are able to process wideband, super-wideband or fullband speech. Today, wideband in the field can only be tested in mobile to mobile connections, since ISDN/PSTN are restricted to narrowband.

In a traditional wideband scenario, a wideband signal becomes compared to an ideal 100 Hz to 7 000 Hz or 50 Hz to 8 000 Hz signal. However, there is a tendency to evaluate and score traditional wideband directly by comparing to super-wideband or even fullband signal as an ideal reference. Along with the standardization of Recommendation ITU-T P.863 [i.8] there is the fullband mode recommended, where the recorded signal is compared with a fullband reference signal (Recommendation ITU-T P.862.2 [i.6] wideband supports a dedicated wideband modus, however this measure was not established in the field and superseded by Recommendation ITU-T P.863 [i.8] fullband mode).

The super-wideband/fullband scenario can be imagined as listening through a high quality headphone without perceptible restrictions in transmission. It is as a mono listening situation, where the same signal is perceived on both ears.

The actual limitation to 7 000 Hz or 8 000 Hz in a real wideband transmission as with the AMR-WB will lead to slight degradation compared to a reference of 50 Hz to 14 000 Hz or a fullband reference. For testing a wideband, a super-wideband or even a fullband channel, the fullband scenario is the best suited test scenario. In that scenario the signal can be evaluated completely up to its upper spectral range. Fullband mode gives the possibility to relate each limitation to an ideal sample (fullband reference).

From a testing point of view, flat filtered super-wideband or if available a fullband signal is inserted in the access interface. All limitations in bandwidth applied to the signal are taken into account. Typical MOS scores in a fullband scenario are:

- 4,79 for fullband reference.
- 4,78 for a full transparent signal from 50 Hz to 14 000 Hz or more.
- 4,2 to 4,5 for a full transparent wideband signal from 50 Hz to 7 000 or 8 000 Hz.
- 3.8 to 4.1 for a transparent processing with AMR-WB 12,65 and no further limitations in bandwidth.
- 3,2 to 3,5 for a transparent processing with AMR 12,2 in narrowband.

5 Speech samples

5.1 General aspects

Starting from the original speech sample recorded in the studio the sample need to be processed before they can be used in instrumental speech testing.

Speech samples for quality testing are usually composed by a subsequent series of sentences spoken by a human speaker. Traditionally, a sentence pair of two sentences is used in auditory tests following Recommendation ITU-T P.800 [i.2] and for instrumental testing as well.

Recommendations on recording and processing of speech samples for testing speech quality are given in Recommendation ITU-T P.800 [i.2] and Recommendation ITU-T P.830 [i.3]. Speech samples to be used for instrumental testing of speech quality have to fulfil additional technical requirements regarding temporal structure, noise floor and similar. Those recommendations are given in Recommendations ITU-T P.862.3 [i.7] and P.863.1 [i.9].

Typically, there is a systematic difference in scoring male or female voices, where male voices are scored by instrumental measures like Recommendation ITU-T P.862 [i.4] and Recommendation ITU-T P.863 [i.8]. For the purpose of automated testing as in drive test tools, speech samples combining sentences spoken by a male and a female talker is a preferable solution.

5.2 Pre-filtering of speech signals

5.2.1 Emulation of handsets

Depending on the application to be tested different filters need to be applied. In this context, filtering applies to an upfront filtering applied to the speech signal before it becomes inserted in the test device or the network interface respectively. This filter emulates the transmission characteristic of the microphone and its connection circuit, which is not present in an electrical insertion. After filtering, the signal becomes closer to the signal that would naturally be available at this point of insertion.

5.2.2 Filter for narrowband test scenarios

5.2.2.1 IRS send Filter

The IRS filter (IRS stands for Intermediate Reference System) emulates a transmission characteristic of a traditional narrowband handset. There is an IRS send filter for the microphone and sending characteristic and an IRS receive filter for the characteristic of the receiving side including a (electro-dynamic) transducer.

The IRS send filter can be imagined as a bandfilter slightly wider than the normal passband but with a significant pre-emphasis towards 2 700 Hz. The classical IRS filters are defined in Recommendation ITU-T P.48 [i.1].