# INTERNATIONAL STANDARD



First edition 2013-10-01

# Implants for surgery — Roentgen stereophotogrammetric analysis for the assessment of migration of orthopaedic implants

Implants chirurgicaux — Analyse stéréophotogrammétrique Roentgen pour l'évaluation de la migration des implants orthopédiques

# (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO 16087:2013

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/4d1040a2-d7db-4286-ab95-fd5e61610a37/iso-16087-2013



Reference number ISO 16087:2013(E)

# iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO 16087:2013

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/4d1040a2-d7db-4286-ab95-fd5e61610a37/iso-16087-2013



# **COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2013

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20 Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11 Fax + 41 22 749 09 47 E-mail copyright@iso.org Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

# Contents

Page

Fore	word	iv
Intro	duction	<b>v</b>
1	Scope	1
2	Terms and definitions	
3	Measurement   3.1 Size of markers   3.2 Virtual markers   3.3 Number and distribution of markers   3.4 Mean error of rigid body fitting   3.5 Condition number   3.6 Three-dimensional implant models	3 3 4 4 4
4	Radiographic arrangement	
5	Calibration cages and reference plates	
6	Radiographs   6.1 General   6.2 Double examinations	<b>5</b>
7	Software	5
8	Coordinate systems Standard   8.1 Global coordinate system   8.2 Implant coordinate system   8.3 Reference rigid body	5
9	Migration Document Preview   9.1 Translations 9.2 Rotations	6
10	Maximum total point motion <u>ISO 16087:2013</u>	7
	10.1 <sup>th</sup> General log/standards/iso/4d1040a2-d7db-4286-ab95-td5e61610a37/iso-16087-20 10.2 Signed versus unsigned values	
11	Validation   11.1 Accuracy   11.2 Precision	
12	Practical issues12.1Weight bearing12.2Follow-up intervals12.3Radiation dose12.4Exclusion of patients	9 9
13	Standardised output	9
Annex A (informative) Relevant formulae		
Bibliography		

# Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2. www.iso.org/directives

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received. www.iso.org/patents

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

The committee responsible for this document is ISO/TC 150, *Implants for surgery*, Subcommittee SC 4, *Bone and joint replacement*.

# (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

# ISO 16087:2013

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/4d1040a2-d7db-4286-ab95-fd5e61610a37/iso-16087-2013

# Introduction

Since its introduction in 1974,<sup>[1]</sup> roentgen stereophotogrammetric analysis (RSA) has been widely used to assess migration of orthopaedic implants. It is a highly accurate method of quantifying three-dimensional migration between an implant and the bone it is fixed in. RSA is also used in other applications such as measuring migration between bone fragments in e.g. bone fracture studies, and measuring wear of implants. These applications are not within the scope of this International Standard.

Several studies have found implant migration to be predictive of long-term implant survival and, for most devices, measurement over two years might therefore provide a surrogate outcome measure with relatively low numbers of subjects, e.g. less than 50 patients in each group in randomized studies.<sup>[2][3]</sup> <sup>[4]</sup> A smaller number of subjects can be used in these studies as a consequence of the high accuracy of the measurement technique. Because of this, RSA is an important technique in early clinical trials for screening new joint replacement prostheses.

However, results from these early clinical trials are difficult to compare as different studies report their results in different formats. To facilitate comparison of outcome reported from different research groups and because the results are obtained using different methodological procedures, there is a need for standardization of RSA investigations.

The RSA method described in this International Standard requires the use of X-rays and exposes the patient to a greater X-ray exposure dose with its associated health risk. For this reason, it is neither the intention of this International Standard to recommend the routine use of RSA nor to add to existing regulatory requirements. Rather it is the intention that when RSA is used in a standardized manner, the results can be as useful and as widely applicable as possible.

# (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

# ISO 16087:2013

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/4d1040a2-d7db-4286-ab95-fd5e61610a37/iso-16087-2013

# iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO 16087:2013

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/4d1040a2-d7db-4286-ab95-fd5e61610a37/iso-16087-2013

# **Implants for surgery** — Roentgen stereophotogrammetric analysis for the assessment of migration of orthopaedic implants

CAUTION — The RSA method described in this International Standard requires the use of X-rays and exposes the patient to a greater X-ray exposure dose with its associated health risk. Careful consideration of the benefits and drawbacks of this method on a case by case basis is advisable.

#### Scope 1

This International Standard provides requirements for the clinical assessment of migration of orthopaedic implants with roentgen stereophotogrammetric analysis (RSA).

#### 2 **Terms and definitions**

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

# 2.1

# absolute movement

movement of a rigid body relative to a fixed reference rigid body

# 2.2

# accuracy

closeness of agreement between a measured quantity value and a true quantity value of a measurand

# 2.3

bias

estimate of a systematic measurement error

andards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/4d1040a2-d7db-4286-ab95-fd5e61610a37/iso-16087-2013 2.4

# biplanar technique

RSA technique where two X-ray cassettes/films/sensors are set at an angle to each other

# 2.5

# calibration cage

calibration box

reference frame used to create a three-dimensional coordinate system, with definition of position and orientation, and to determine the position of the two roentgen foci

# 2.6

# condition number

calculated number used to assess the distribution of markers

Note 1 to entry: High condition numbers indicate poor marker distribution, while low condition numbers indicate appropriate marker distribution.

Note 2 to entry: See <u>Annex A</u>, which establishes the methodology to determine the condition number associated with the marker distribution.

# 2.7

# crossing line error

shortest distance between the two X-rays projecting the centre of a marker in the two RSA images

# 2.8

# double examinations

two RSA examinations of the same patient within an interval of several minutes

# 2.9

# helical axis

screw axis

instantaneous axis about which the decomposition of the motion of an object from one position to another has a translation along and a rotation about a single axis

# 2.10

# marker

small diameter biocompatible metal sphere having a precise size and shape used as landmark

Note 1 to entry: Spherical tantalum markers serve as well-defined landmarks.

Note 2 to entry: The diameter is commonly  $\leq 1$  mm.

# 2.11

# maximum total point motion

### **MTPM**

length of the translation vector of the marker or virtual marker in a rigid body that has the greatest migration

Note 1 to entry: It can only have positive values, and is not normally distributed.

### 2.12 mean error of rigid body fitting

# iTeh Standards

rigid body error

measure indicating the mean change of relative positions of markers (in the same object) over time compared to the initial, reference configuration

Note 1 to entry: <u>Annex A</u> establishes the methodology to determine the mean error associated with the change of relative positions of markers.

# 2.13

# SO 16087:2013

**migration** tandards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/4d1040a2-d7db-4286-ab95-fd5e61610a37/iso-16087-2013 change in position and orientation of an implant relative to the host bone assessed between follow-up examinations

# 2.14

# model-based RSA

RSA technique in which the position and orientation of an implant is assessed by matching a virtual projection of a three-dimensional model of the implant to the actual radiographic projection of the implant

# 2.15

# phantom

object that is used as a representative of an anatomical part

# 2.16

# precision

degree to which repeated measurements under unchanged conditions show the same results

# 2.17

# reference plate

planar object holding markers used for calibration of RSA-examinations by linking its two-dimensional coordinate system to the three-dimensional global coordinate system of previous RSA-examinations that were calibrated using a three-dimensional calibration cage

# 2.18

# reference rigid body

rigid body that defines a fixed coordinate system, the origin of which is located in that rigid body's geometrical centre

### 2.19 rotation matrix

mathematical expression of the three-dimensional rotation of a rigid body

# 2.20

# RSA

# roentgen stereophotogrammetric analysis

radiostereometry

radiostereometric analysis

roentgen stereophotogrammetry

measurement technique that relies on stereo X-ray images and can be used to assess relative changes in position and orientation of two rigid bodies (e.g. an orthopaedic implant and host bone) relative to each other

Note 1 to entry: In order to reach a high level of accuracy, markers are used as landmarks in the bone and a calibration object (calibration cage or reference plate) is used to assess the position of two synchronised X-ray sources in the global coordinate system defined by the calibration cage.

# 2.21

# virtual marker

three-dimensional point from visible landmarks or calculated from known geometry to determine a specific point of an implant

Note 1 to entry: Virtual markers were formerly named fictive markers.

# 2.22

# uniplanar technique

RSA technique where the two X-ray cassettes/films/sensors are in the same plane

# 3 Measurement

# 3.1 Size of markers

Spherical markers made of biocompatible (implant grade) metal and having a high radio-opacity (e.g. tantalum) shall be used to serve as landmarks. Marker diameters of 0,5 mm, 0,8 mm and 1,0 mm are generally used.

# 3.2 Virtual markers

Virtual markers indicate a specific part of the implant and facilitate comparison of migration data within and between studies.

EXAMPLE 1 Within a clinical RSA study of a specific implant, these virtual markers are valuable if one or more implant markers of a certain patient are obscured in the X-ray or have become loose.

In different RSA studies, different prosthesis designs might have markers attached at different locations. In order to compare the translation of specific points on the implant's surface between different implant designs, virtual markers can be used.

EXAMPLE 2 To compare the translation of a specific point, on the tip of different hip stems.

A virtual marker is defined by the observer. Its position is indicated in both images of a single RSAexamination, and the three-dimensional position of the virtual marker is reconstructed according to the common approach of reconstructing the position of an actual prosthesis marker. It is advised that the crossing line error is less than 1 mm. A new rigid body is formed when the position of the virtual marker is combined with the positions of at least three prosthesis markers. This enables the translation of the virtual marker to be determinable in subsequent (or previous) RSA examinations. Therefore, virtual markers are defined such that they move with the implant and they can be used to calculate the translation of this specific point of the prosthesis based on the migration of the implant itself.

# 3.3 Number and distribution of markers

In order to assess translations and rotations with all six degrees of freedom, markers shall be implanted on each rigid body under study so that they are not collinear. For each rigid body, at least three identical markers shall be visible on both radiographs at all examinations.

NOTE 1 In cases where only one or two markers can be used in one of the rigid bodies, only translations can be calculated.

NOTE 2 It is strongly advised to insert at least six to seven bone markers as markers may be obscured by the implant.

# 3.4 Mean error of rigid body fitting

The upper limit of acceptable mean error of rigid body fitting shall be related to the marker configuration of the segment (defined by its condition number). The upper limit accepted shall be reported and should typically not exceed 0,35 mm.

# 3.5 Condition number

For studies of hip, knee and shoulder prostheses, condition numbers shall be below 120.

For studies of small joints, such as in the fingers and the cervical spine, condition numbers shall preferably be below 150. For studies in which these high condition numbers are accepted, it is essential that the precision of the measurements is validated (see <u>11.2</u>).

# 3.6 Three-dimensional implant models

Model-based RSA techniques do not require the attachment of metal markers to the implant but require an accurate 3D representation of the implant.<sup>[Z][8]</sup> If the model-based techniques are to be used, they shall have been properly evaluated and the precision and bias of these measurements shall have been published in established journals.

# ISO 16087:2013

# 4 Radiographic arrangement

It shall be indicated whether a uniplanar or a biplanar technique is used. For a biplanar technique, the angle between the recording media needs to be presented. Any other arrangement shall be described in detail.

# 5 Calibration cages and reference plates

Routinely, biplanar calibration cages or uniplanar calibration cages are used.

If cages are used that have not been described previously in scientific papers, this shall be stated in the report. The data shall include the dimensions of the cage, the accuracy of marker placement on the cage, and the cage material.

If calibration examinations and reference plates have been used, the equivalent data (dimensions of the plate, the accuracy of marker placement on the plate, and the plate material) shall be presented for the reference plates.

If any other type of cage is employed, these specific cages shall also be evaluated in experiments that use a phantom object holding a number of stable tantalum markers and that is positioned in a reasonable range of poses that represent the clinical range of poses that can be encountered.