
**Space systems — Definition of the
Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs)
and their criteria of assessment**

*Systèmes spatiaux — Définition des Niveaux de Maturité de la
Technologie (NMT) et de leurs critères d'évaluation*

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO 16290:2013](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/9abd48b9-a44b-425b-b57d-49f33f6702ee/iso-16290-2013)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/9abd48b9-a44b-425b-b57d-49f33f6702ee/iso-16290-2013>



iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO 16290:2013](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/9abd48b9-a44b-425b-b57d-49f33f6702ee/iso-16290-2013)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/9abd48b9-a44b-425b-b57d-49f33f6702ee/iso-16290-2013>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2013

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

	Page
Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Terms and definitions	1
3 Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs)	4
3.1 General.....	4
3.2 TRL 1 — Basic principles observed and reported.....	5
3.3 TRL 2 — Technology concept and/or application formulated.....	5
3.4 TRL 3 — Analytical and experimental critical function and/or characteristic proof-of-concept.....	6
3.5 TRL 4 — Component and/or breadboard functional verification in laboratory environment.....	6
3.6 TRL 5 — Component and/or breadboard critical function verification in a relevant environment.....	7
3.7 TRL 6 — Model demonstrating the critical functions of the element in a relevant environment.....	8
3.8 TRL 7 — Model demonstrating the element performance for the operational environment.....	9
3.9 TRL 8 — Actual system completed and accepted for flight (“flight qualified”).....	9
3.10 TRL 9 — Actual system “flight proven” through successful mission operations.....	10
4 Summary table	10
Bibliography	12

Document Preview

<https://standards.iteh.ai>

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/9abd48b9-a44b-425b-b57d-49f33f6702ee/iso-16290-2013>

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2. www.iso.org/directives

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received. www.iso.org/patents

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

The committee responsible for this document is ISO/TC 20, *Aircraft and space vehicles*, Subcommittee SC 14, *Space systems and operations*.

iteh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO 16290:2013](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/9abd48b9-a44b-425b-b57d-49f33f6702ee/iso-16290-2013)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/9abd48b9-a44b-425b-b57d-49f33f6702ee/iso-16290-2013>

Introduction

Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs) are used to quantify the technology maturity status of an element intended to be used in a mission. Mature technology corresponds to the highest TRL, namely TRL 9, or flight proven elements.

The TRL scale can be useful in many areas including, but not limited to the following examples:

- a) For early monitoring of basic or specific technology developments serving a given future mission or a family of future missions;
- b) For providing a status on the technical readiness of a future project, as input to the project implementation decision process;
- c) In some cases, for monitoring the technology progress throughout development.

The TRL descriptions are provided in [Clause 3](#) of this International Standard. The achievements that are requested for enabling the TRL assessment at each level are identified in the summary table in [Clause 4](#). The detailed procedure for the TRL assessment is to be defined by the relevant organization or institute in charge of the activity.

This International Standard was produced by taking due consideration of previous available documents on the subject, in particular including those from the National Aeronautics Space Administration (NASA), the US Department of Defence (DoD) and European space institutions (DLR, CNES and ESA).

iTeh Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

[ISO 16290:2013](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/9abd48b9-a44b-425b-b57d-49f33f6702ee/iso-16290-2013>

Space systems — Definition of the Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs) and their criteria of assessment

1 Scope

This International Standard defines Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs). It is applicable primarily to space system hardware, although the definitions could be used in a wider domain in many cases.

The definition of the TRLs provides the conditions to be met at each level, enabling accurate TRL assessment.

2 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

2.1

breadboard

physical *model* (2.10) designed to test functionality and tailored to the demonstration need

2.2

critical function of an element

mandatory function which requires specific *technology* (2.19) verification

Note 1 to entry: This situation occurs when either the element or components of the element are new and cannot be assessed by relying on previous realizations, or when the element is used in a new domain, such as new environmental conditions or a new specific use not previously demonstrated.

Note 2 to entry: Wherever used in this International Standard, “critical function” always refers to “technology critical function” and should not be confused with “safety critical function”.

Note 3 to entry: Wherever used in this International Standard, “critical function” always refers to “critical function of an element”.

2.3

critical part of an element

element (2.4) part associated to a critical function

Note 1 to entry: The critical part of an element can represent a subset of the element and the technology verification for the critical function may be achievable through dedicated tests achieved on the critical part only.

Note 2 to entry: Wherever used in this International Standard, “critical part” always refers to “technology critical part”.

Note 3 to entry: Wherever used in this International Standard, “critical part” always refers to “critical part of an element”.

2.4

element

item or object under consideration for the technology readiness assessment

Note 1 to entry: The element can be a component, a piece of equipment, a subsystem or a system.

2.5

element function

intended effect of the *element* (2.4)

2.6

functional performance requirements

subset of the *performance requirements* (2.14) of an *element* (2.4) specifying the *element functions* (2.5)

Note 1 to entry: The functional performance requirements do not necessarily include requirements resulting from the *operational environment* (2.11).

2.7

laboratory environment

controlled environment needed for demonstrating the underlying principles and functional performance

Note 1 to entry: The laboratory environment does not necessarily address the *operational environment* (2.11).

2.8

mature technology

technology defined by a set of *reproducible processes* (2.17) for the design, manufacture, test and operation of an *element* (2.4) for meeting a set of *performance requirements* (2.14) in the actual *operational environment* (2.11)

2.9

mission operations

sequence of events that are defined for accomplishing the mission

2.10

model

physical or abstract representation of relevant aspects of an *element* (2.4) that is put forward as a basis for calculations, predictions, tests or further assessment

Note 1 to entry: The term "model" can also be used to identify particular instances of the element, e.g. flight model.

Note 2 to entry: Adapted from ISO 10795, definition 1.141.

2.11

operational environment

set of natural and induced conditions that constrain the *element* (2.4) from its design definition to its operation

EXAMPLE 1 Natural conditions: weather, climate, ocean conditions, terrain, vegetation, dust, light, radiation, etc.

EXAMPLE 2 Induced conditions: electromagnetic interference, heat, vibration, pollution, contamination, etc.

2.12

operational performance requirements

subset of the *performance requirements* (2.14) of an *element* (2.4) specifying the *element functions* (2.5) in its *operational environment* (2.11)

Note 1 to entry: The operational performance requirements are expressed through technical specifications covering all engineering domains. They are validated through successful in orbit operation and can be verified through a collection of element verifications on the ground which comprehensively cover the operational case.

Note 2 to entry: The full set of performance requirements of an element consists of the operational performance requirements and the performance requirements for the use of the element on ground.

2.13

performance

aspects of an *element* (2.4) observed or measured from its operation or function

Note 1 to entry: These aspects are generally quantified.

Note 2 to entry: Adapted from ISO 10795, definition 1.155.

2.14**performance requirements**

set of parameters that are intended to be satisfied by the *element* (2.4)

Note 1 to entry: The complete set of performance requirements inevitably include the environment conditions in which the element is used and operated and are therefore linked to the mission(s) under consideration and also to the environment of the system in which it is incorporated.

2.15**process**

set of interrelated or interacting activities which transform inputs into outputs

Note 1 to entry: Inputs to a process are generally outputs of other processes.

Note 2 to entry: Processes in an organization are generally planned and carried out under controlled conditions to add value.

Note 3 to entry: A process where the conformity of the resulting product cannot be readily economically verified is frequently referred to as a “special process”.

[SOURCE: ISO 10795, definition 1.160]

2.16**relevant environment**

minimum subset of the *operational environment* (2.11) that is required to demonstrate *critical functions of the element* (2.2) performance in its *operational environment* (2.11)

2.17**reproducible process**

process (2.15) that can be repeated in time

Note 1 to entry: It is fundamental in the definition of “mature technology” and is intimately linked to realization capability and to verifiability.

Note 2 to entry: An element developed “by chance”, even if meeting the requirements, can obviously not be declared as relying on a *mature technology* if there is little possibility of reproducing the element on a reliable schedule. Conversely, reproducibility implicitly introduces the notion of time in the mature technology definition. A technology can be declared mature at a given time, and degraded later at a lower readiness level because of the obsolescence of its components or because the processes involve a specific organization with unique skills that has closed.

2.18**requirement**

need or expectation that is stated and to be complied with

Note 1 to entry: Adapted from ISO 10795, definition 1.190.

2.19**technology**

application of scientific knowledge, tools, techniques, crafts, systems or methods of organization in order to solve a problem or achieve an objective

2.20**validation**

confirmation, through objective evidence, that the *requirements* (2.18) for a specific intended use or application have been fulfilled

Note 1 to entry: The term “validated” is used to designate the corresponding status.

Note 2 to entry: The use conditions for validation can be real or simulated.

Note 3 to entry: May be determined by a combination of test, analysis, demonstration, and inspection.

ISO 16290:2013(E)

Note 4 to entry: When the element is validated it is confirmed that it is able to accomplish its intended use in the intended *operational environment* (2.11).

Note 5 to entry: Adapted from ISO 10795, definition 1.228.

2.21

verification

confirmation through the provision of objective evidence that specified *requirements* (2.18) have been fulfilled

Note 1 to entry: The term “verified” is used to designate the corresponding status.

Note 2 to entry: Confirmation can be comprised of activities such as: performing alternative calculations, comparing a new design specification with a similar proven design specification, undertaking tests and demonstrations, and reviewing documents prior to issue.

Note 3 to entry: Verification may be determined by a combination of test, analysis, demonstration, and inspection.

Note 4 to entry: When an element is verified, it is confirmed that it meets the design specifications.

Note 5 to entry: Adapted from ISO 10795, definition 1.229

3 Technology Readiness Levels (TRLs)

3.1 General

A technology for an element intended for an application reaches the maturity level, corresponding to TRL 9, when it is well-defined by a set of reproducible processes for the design, manufacture, test and operation of the element and when, in addition, the element meets a set of performance requirements in the actual operational environment.

The element under consideration is assumed to be a physical part of a system. Systems are generally subdivided into sub-systems with potentially several sub-levels. The element can be any part of the system and is not necessarily a specific sub-system or at a specific sub-level.

A prerequisite for TRL assessment is the identification of the element that is subject to the assessment. Higher TRLs further require the definition of the performance requirements, and therefore require the knowledge of the mission and the system where the element is intended to be used and its operational environment. Performance requirements can be preliminary and targeting several missions at low TRLs, then progressively refined and verified at higher levels.

The entire TRL scale applies for a given element. Therefore, there is no gradation in the element complexity when moving from low to high TRLs.

Higher TRLs also imply that the element is in its final form and is being integrated into a system for validation or use. Therefore, the TRL of a given element may be downgraded if this same element is used in a different system, unless all environment and interface requirements for the element in the new system can be demonstrated to be equally or less demanding than for the original system.

A TRL assessment is valid for a given element and at a given point in time. It may evolve if the conditions that prevailed at the time of the assessment are no longer valid. Such a situation may lead to TRL reassessment and degradation, which can occur in particular when the re-build/re-use of an element is envisioned. Examples are when the obsolescence of the electronics requires modifications or when the production involves a specific knowledge that has been lost.

The time or effort to move from one TRL to another are technology dependent and are not linearly connected to the TRL scale. Experience shows that they can vary widely depending on the element and mission under consideration. Therefore, while the TRL scale is an appropriate tool for assessing the technology maturity status at a given point in time, it gives no indication of the effort and cost to be spent for reaching the next level.