



Designation: F 2095 – 07

# Standard Test Methods for Pressure Decay Leak Test for Flexible Packages With and Without Restraining Plates<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F 2095; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

## 1. Scope

1.1 These test methods cover the measurement of leaks in nonporous film, foil, or laminate flexible pouches and foil-sealed trays, which may be empty or enclose solid product. If product is enclosed, seals or surfaces cannot be in contact with water, oils, or other liquid.

1.2 These test methods will detect leaks at a rate of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  sccs (standard cubic centimetres per second) or greater, in flexible packages. The limitation of leak rate is dependent on package volume as tested.

1.3 The following test methods are included:

1.3.1 *Test Method A*—Pressure Decay Leak Test for Flexible Packages Without Restraining Plates

1.3.2 *Test Method B*—Pressure Decay Leak Test for Flexible Packages With Restraining Plates

1.4 These test methods are destructive in that they require entry into the package to supply an internal pressure of gas, typically air or nitrogen, although other gases may be used. The entry connection into the flexible package must be leak-tight.

1.5 For porous packages, see 9.3.

1.6 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

## 2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*<sup>2</sup>

D 4332 Practice for Conditioning Containers, Packages, or Packaging Components for Testing

E 177 Practice for Use of the Terms Precision and Bias in ASTM Test Methods

<sup>1</sup> These test methods are under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee F02 on Flexible Barrier Packaging and are the direct responsibility of Subcommittee F02.40 on Package Integrity.

Current edition approved Oct. 1, 2007. Published November 2007. Originally approved in 2001. Last previous edition approved in 2001 as F 2095 – 01.

<sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

E 691 Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to Determine the Precision of a Test Method

F 17 Terminology Relating to Flexible Barrier Packaging

2.2 *Other Document:*

ANSI/AAMI/ISO 11607–1:2006 Packaging for Terminally Sterilized Medical Devices—Part 1: Requirements for Materials, Sterile Barrier Systems, and Packaging Systems<sup>3</sup>

## 3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:*

3.1.1 *integrity*—the unimpaired physical condition of the package. This implies that there are no leaks in the seals or body materials.

3.1.2 *leak*—See Terminology F 17.

3.1.3 *nonporous*—types of materials that are not purposely designed to transfer gases through their matrix.

3.1.4 *restraining plates*—plates of rigid material, for example, aluminum, that are used to restrict the movement of the package during inflation.

3.1.5 *seal*—See Terminology F 17.

3.1.6 *standard cubic centimetre per second (sccs)*—the flow rate of a gas (air) at standard conditions of 20°C (68°F) and 101.3 kPa (14.7 psig) (1 atmosphere or 760 mm Hg).

3.1.6.1 *Discussion*—Conditions may be varied depending on the source of data. Always check the definition being used.

## 4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 Detection of leak paths in flexible packages that have nonporous material surfaces and seals can be accomplished by pressurization of the package to a fixed pressure, shutting off the pressure and connecting a pressure transducer. Observed changes in pressure indicate the presence of leakage paths in the package seals or pinholes in the surfaces. This leak may be represented in decay pressure units or calculated leak rate units. To accomplish this technique, a leak-tight measuring path must be available between the package interior volume and the pressure transducer (see Fig. 1).

<sup>3</sup> Available from American National Standards Institute (ANSI), 25 W. 43rd St., 4th Floor, New York, NY 10036, http://www.ansi.org.




FIG. 1 Leak-Tight Entry System

NOTE 1—The coating used on porous barrier films will mask defects (pin-holes) in/through the porous material but not defects in the seals.

4.2 Restraining plates may be used to limit the volume of the pressurized package. Because the sensitivity of these test methods is dependent in part on the internal volume of the package, the effect of restraining plate use is to increase the sensitivity of the test (see Fig. 2). See Appendix X1 for further discussion of the effects of restraining plates on these test methods.

## 5. Significance and Use

5.1 These test methods provide a rapid, simple to apply method to detect small leaks in flexible package seals or walls

at the leak rate level of greater than  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  sccs, thus providing a measure of package integrity. Porous barrier film packages made non-porous with an impermeable film forming coating may demonstrate lateral leakage through the barrier material. Verification of leakage differences from background leakage must be included in validation methods. The use of calibrated hole sizes or orifices may be appropriate to determine leakage sensitivity or barrier integrity for these materials.

5.2 While theoretical leak rate sensitivity can be established by calculation, the test measurement is in pressure units and the measuring instrument must be calibrated, certified, and verified with these units.




FIG. 2 Restraining Fixture with Leak-Tight Entry System

5.3 The pressure decay method of leak testing is a physical measure of package integrity. When testing medical packaging which must conform to ISO 11607–1: 2006 standards, it may necessary to verify the results of the pressure decay test method with other sterile package integrity test methods.

5.4 Test Method A allows packages to be pressurized without restraint. In Test Method A the pouch, tray, or other type package will contain a volume of air defined by its mechanical configuration and its ability to resist internal pressure applied. This test method requires that the package reach a stable volume configuration (stop stretching) to make a measurement.

5.5 Test Method B allows the use of rigid restraining plates against the walls of the package to limit its volume and stabilize the package volume.

## 6. Apparatus

### 6.1 Test Method A:

6.1.1 A measuring instrument that provides the following:

6.1.1.1 A means to detect pressure changes with sufficient sensitivity to achieve theoretical leak rates in the package specification;

6.1.1.2 Automatic timer controls to pressurize the package to a preset pressure, hold the pressure for a set time, and provide a time period during which pressure change data can be taken;

6.1.1.3 A means to set pressure;

6.1.1.4 A means of holding and displaying the pressure change inside the package at the end of the test cycle;

6.1.1.5 A means (optional) to set pressure decay limits for a test method and alert the operator if the limit is exceeded.

6.1.2 A means to enter the package in a leak tight manner so that an inflation pressure can be applied to the package and changes in internal pressure can be sensed.

NOTE 2—It is important to verify the leak integrity of the entry means so that it does not contribute to the pressure changes sensed during testing.

### 6.2 Test Method B—Using Restraining Plates:

6.2.1 The measuring instrument shall have the characteristics described in 6.1.1.1-6.1.1.5.

6.2.2 Parallel, rigid plates are required. An ability to adjust plate separation is desirable. The surface of the plates should provide limited porosity in order to prevent blocking of pinhole leaks in the walls (see Fig. 2).

NOTE 3—Several techniques have been used to provide a means to prevent blocking or lowering of the leak rate in package material walls in contact with the plates. These techniques include the use of semi-porous plastic, scoring of plate surfaces and use of screen-type materials.

6.2.3 A means to enter the package in a leak-tight manner so that an inflation pressure can be applied to the package and changes in internal pressure can be sensed.

NOTE 4—It is important to verify the leak integrity of the entry means so that it does not contribute to the pressure changes sensed during testing.

## 7. Sampling

7.1 The sample size is chosen to permit an adequate determination of representative performance.

7.2 Sample identification should be made prior to testing to allow the operator to refer to specific test samples, if necessary.

Record information such that test results and anomalies are identifiable back to the individual specimens.

## 8. Conditioning

8.1 Package samples should be conditioned to obtain the same temperature conditions as exist for the test apparatus. Since measured pressure change is also a function of temperature, then the samples must be at a stable temperature. Most testing will occur at standard laboratory conditions of  $23 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$  ( $73 \pm 4^\circ\text{F}$ ) and  $50 \pm 5\%$  relative humidity. Other conditions should be recorded at the time of the test.

NOTE 5—As seen in the combined gas laws, the pressure change is a function of temperature. Test packages and the test medium (air) should be at similar temperatures.

## 9. Procedure

### 9.1 Test Method A—No Restraining Plates:

9.1.1 *Package Preparation*—The package may be tested with or without the product enclosed. To maximize sensitivity of the test, the smallest internal volume of the package is desired.

9.1.2 *Instrument Preparation* (see Annex A1 for information on determining appropriate test parameters):

9.1.2.1 Select and set the test pressure.

9.1.2.2 Select and set the timers for charge (pressurization), settle (stabilization), and test (data taking period).

9.1.2.3 Select and set pressure decay limits (if available).

9.1.3 Attach the inflation probe (supply and sensor) to the instrument.

9.1.4 Attach the leak-tight entry device and inflation probe sensor to the package (see Fig. 1).

9.1.5 Begin the test by activating the timer controls and valves to inflate, hold, and measure the test pressure inside the package.

9.1.6 Observe the pressure decay at the end of the test time period, and note if the pressure decay limit has been exceeded.

NOTE 6—Choice of times depends on package variables and leak rate requirements. For example, small changes in initial test pressure may occur from flexible package stretch, thus slightly increasing its volume (decreasing its pressure) or from fixture contact or the expanding gas medium. Increased stabilization time will allow these effects to become stable before the test data period begins. Test times are selected based on required leakage rates or pressure decay criteria along with the package volume. See Annex A1 for further discussion.

### 9.2 Test Method B—With Restraining Plates:

9.2.1 *Package Preparation*—The package may be tested with or without the product enclosed. To maximize sensitivity of the test, the smallest internal volume of the package is desired. To achieve the minimum volume, the smallest gap between restraining plates is advisable.

9.2.2 *Instrument Preparation* (see Annex A1 for information on determining appropriate test parameters):

9.2.2.1 Select and set the test pressure.

9.2.2.2 Select and set the timers for charge (pressurization), settle (stabilization), and test (data taking period).

9.2.2.3 Select and set pressure decay limits (if available).

9.2.3 Attach the inflation probe (supply and sensor) to the instrument.