



## Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV) Release 2; Security; Access Token Specification for API Access

*STANDARD PREVIEW*  
(standard not published)  
Full standard available at  
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/7a06884-22cc-4a03-b112-143dbb01b9a1/etsi-gs-nfv-sec-022-v2.7.1-2020-01>

### *Disclaimer*

---

The present document has been produced and approved by the Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV) ETSI Industry Specification Group (ISG) and represents the views of those members who participated in this ISG. It does not necessarily represent the views of the entire ETSI membership.

---

**Reference**

RGS/NFV-SEC022ed271

---

**Keywords**

API, authentication, authorization, NFV, security

**ETSI**

650 Route des Lucioles  
F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - NAF 742 C  
Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la  
Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° 7803/88

---

**Important notice**

The present document can be downloaded from:

<http://www.etsi.org/standards-search>

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the prevailing version of an ETSI deliverable is the one made publicly available in PDF format at [www.etsi.org/deliver](http://www.etsi.org/deliver).

Users of the present document should be aware that the document may be subject to revision or change of status. Information on the current status of this and other ETSI documents is available at

<https://portal.etsi.org/TB/ETSIDeliverableStatus.aspx>

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comment to one of the following services:

<https://portal.etsi.org/People/CommiteeSupportStaff.aspx>

---

**Copyright Notification**

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.

The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.

The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© ETSI 2020.

All rights reserved.

**DECT™**, **PLUGTESTS™**, **UMTS™** and the ETSI logo are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members.

**3GPP™** and **LTE™** are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners.

**oneM2M™** logo is a trademark of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the oneM2M Partners.

**GSM®** and the GSM logo are trademarks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

# Contents

Intellectual Property Rights .....	5
Foreword.....	5
Modal verbs terminology.....	5
Introduction .....	5
1 Scope .....	6
2 References .....	6
2.1 Normative references .....	6
2.2 Informative references.....	8
3 Definition of terms, symbols and abbreviations.....	8
3.1 Terms.....	8
3.2 Symbols.....	8
3.3 Abbreviations .....	8
4 Security requirements for API access tokens .....	9
4.1 Authorization for API access using OAuth2.0 defined in ETSI GS NFV-SOL 013 .....	9
4.1.0 Authorization for API access using OAuth2.0.....	9
4.1.1 Mapping roles for Authorization for API access using OAuth2.0.....	9
4.1.2 Authorization grant for Authorization for API access using OAuth2.0.....	9
4.1.3 High level procedures for API access and notifications using OAuth2.0 .....	9
4.1.4 Access token for API access and notifications using OAuth2.0.....	10
4.2 Threat Analysis .....	11
4.2.0 Access token defined in ETSI GS NFV-SOL 013 .....	11
4.2.1 Risk analysis and assessment.....	11
4.3 Security requirements.....	16
5 NFV Access Token Definition .....	18
5.1 Authorization Server discovery .....	18
5.1.1 Authorization Server discovery description.....	18
5.1.2 Manual Authorization Server Identifier discovery .....	19
5.1.3 Dynamic Authorization Server Identifier discovery .....	19
5.1.4 Authorization Server Configuration discovery .....	20
5.2 Registration process .....	22
5.2.1 Disposition.....	22
5.2.2 Registration process description .....	23
5.2.3 Client metadata .....	23
5.3 Token Request.....	25
5.4 NFV Access Token Format .....	26
5.5 NFV access token associated Metadata.....	27
6 Token Verification Process .....	29
<b>Annex A (informative): Analysis of existing Access Token specifications.....</b>	<b>30</b>
A.1 OpenStack® Keystone .....	30
A.1.0 Introduction .....	30
A.1.1 Authorization scopes .....	30
A.1.2 Token binding .....	30
A.1.3 Fernet token.....	30
A.1.4 Fernet keys .....	31
A.1.5 Advantage of Fernet tokens.....	31
A.2 OpenID® Connect ID-Token .....	31
A.2.0 Introduction .....	31
A.2.1 ID Token .....	32
A.2.2 Advantage of ID Token.....	32
A.3 IETF TLS-Based AccessToken Binding.....	33

A.3.0	Introduction .....	33
A.3.1	OAuth 2.0 Token Binding .....	33
A.3.1.1	Token Binding ID .....	33
A.3.1.2	Token Binding for ID Token .....	33
A.3.1.3	Advantage of Token Binding .....	34
A.3.1.4	Security considerations .....	34
A.3.1.4.1	Security Token Replay .....	34
A.3.1.4.2	Downgrade attacks .....	34
A.3.2	OAuth 2.0 Certificate Bound Access Tokens .....	34
A.3.2.0	Basic principle .....	34
A.3.2.1	Certificate bound access token using JWT .....	34
A.3.3	OAuth 2.0 Token Binding and OAuth2.0 Certificate Token binding comparison .....	35
A.4	3GPP authorization framework .....	35
A.4.0	OAuth 2.0 authorization in 3GPP .....	35
A.4.1	Authentication between Network Functions .....	35
A.4.2	Access Token Request .....	36
A.4.3	3GPP Access Token .....	36
A.4.4	Service access request .....	36
<b>Annex B (informative):</b>	<b>Synthesis on existing Access Token .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>Annex C (informative):</b>	<b>IANA Registry Considerations .....</b>	<b>45</b>
C.1	"Well-Known URIs" Registry .....	45
C.1.1	Introduction .....	45
C.1.2	Registry contents .....	45
C.2	JSON Web Token Claims registry .....	45
C.2.1	Introduction .....	45
C.2.2	Registry contents .....	45
C.3	OAuth Parameters registry .....	46
C.3.1	Introduction .....	46
C.3.2	Registry contents .....	46
C.4	OAuth Dynamic Client Registration Metadata registry .....	46
C.4.1	Introduction .....	46
C.4.2	Registry contents .....	46
C.5	OAuth Authorization Server Metadata registry .....	47
C.5.1	Introduction .....	47
C.5.2	Registry contents .....	47
<b>Annex D (informative):</b>	<b>Change History .....</b>	<b>48</b>
History .....		49

---

# Intellectual Property Rights

## Essential patents

IPRs essential or potentially essential to normative deliverables may have been declared to ETSI. The information pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, is publicly available for **ETSI members and non-members**, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: "*Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards*", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI Web server (<https://ipr.etsi.org/>).

Pursuant to the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

## Trademarks

The present document may include trademarks and/or tradenames which are asserted and/or registered by their owners. ETSI claims no ownership of these except for any which are indicated as being the property of ETSI, and conveys no right to use or reproduce any trademark and/or tradename. Mention of those trademarks in the present document does not constitute an endorsement by ETSI of products, services or organizations associated with those trademarks.

---

# Foreword

This Group Specification (GS) has been produced by ETSI Industry Specification Group (ISG) Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV) .

---

# Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "**shall**", "**shall not**", "**should**", "**should not**", "**may**", "**need not**", "**will**", "**will not**", "**can**" and "**cannot**" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the [ETSI Drafting Rules](#) (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"**must**" and "**must not**" are **NOT** allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

---

# Introduction

The common aspects for RESTful NFV MANO APIs have been defined in ETSI GS NFV-SOL 013 [22].

The ETSI NFV-MANO APIs are only allowed to be accessed by authorized consumers.

The Authorization of API Request and Authorization of notifications sending has been defined in SOL group. One solution for authorizing access is the use of OAuth with access token.

The aim of the present document is to define the Access Token for this access Authorization and associated procedure for the verification of the Access Token, ensuring security and interoperability. The present document results in a NFV profile of the OAuth2.0 for the NFV-MANO API Request and notification sending Authorization.

---

# 1 Scope

The present document defines the access tokens and related metadata for RESTful protocols and data model for ETSI NFV management and orchestration (MANO) interfaces. It defines also the process for the token verification by the API Producer.

For this aim, the present document:

- Analyses the security threat arising from the misuse of the access token and defines the security requirements associated to access token.
- Analyses existing specifications related to access token for API access and their compliancy with the requirements defined.
- Defines the token request and generation profile, the token format and associated metadata considering the result of existing access token specifications analysis.
- Defines the token verification procedures for the API Producer.

---

## 2 References

### 2.1 Normative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

Referenced documents which are not found to be publicly available in the expected location might be found at <https://docbox.etsi.org/Reference>.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are necessary for the application of the present document.

- [1] ETSI GS NFV 003: "Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV); Terminology for Main Concepts in NFV".
- [2] ETSI GS NFV-SEC 002: "Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV); NFV Security; Cataloguing security features in management software".
- [3] ETSI GS NFV-IFA 007: "Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV) Release 2; Management and Orchestration; Or-Vnfm reference point - Interface and Information Model Specification".
- [4] ETSI GS NFV-IFA 013: "Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV) Release 2; Management and Orchestration; Os-Ma-Nfvo reference point - Interface and Information Model Specification".
- [5] ETSI GS NFV-IFA 008: "Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV) Release 2; Management and Orchestration; Ve-Vnfm reference point - Interface and Information Model Specification".
- [6] IETF RFC 6749: "The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Framework".

NOTE: Available at <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6749>.

- [7] IETF RFC 6750: "The OAuth 2.0 Authorization Framework: Bearer Token Usage".

NOTE: Available at <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6750>.

- [8] IETF RFC 7519: "JSON Web Token (JWT)".

NOTE: Available at <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7519>.

- [9] IETF RFC 3339: "Date and Time on the Internet: Timestamps".  
NOTE: Available at <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3339>.
- [10] IETF RFC 7515: "JSON Web Signature (JWS)".  
NOTE: Available at <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7515>.
- [11] IETF RFC 7516: "JSON Web Encryption (JWE)".  
NOTE: Available at <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7516>.
- [12] NIST Special Publication 800-90B: "Recommendation for the Entropy Sources Used for Random Bit Generation", January 2018.  
NOTE: Available at <https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/specialpublications/nist.sp.800-90b.pdf>.
- [13] IETF RFC 8414: "OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server Metadata".  
NOTE: Available at <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8414>.
- [14] IETF RFC 7033: "WebFinger".  
NOTE: Available at <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7033>.
- [15] ETSI GS NFV-IFA 011: "Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV) Release 2; Management and Orchestration; VNF Descriptor and Packaging Specification".
- [16] IETF RFC 3986: "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax".  
NOTE: Available at <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3986>.
- [17] IETF RFC 8615: "Well-Known Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs)".  
NOTE: Available at <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8615>.
- [18] IETF RFC 7591: "OAuth 2.0 Dynamic Client Registration Protocol".  
NOTE: Available at <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7591>.
- [19] IETF RFC 7517: "JSON Web Key (JWK)".  
NOTE: Available at <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7517>.
- [20] IETF RFC 7518: "JSON Web Algorithms (JWA)".  
NOTE: Available at <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7518>.
- [21] IETF RFC 7662: "OAuth 2.0 Token Introspection".  
NOTE: Available at <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7662>.
- [22] ETSI GS NFV-SOL 013: "Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV) Release 2; Protocols and Data Models; Specification of common aspects for RESTful NFV MANO APIs".
- [23] draft-ietf-oauth-mtls-17: "OAuth 2.0 Mutual TLS Client Authentication and Certificate-Bound Access Tokens". Work in progress.  
NOTE: Available at <https://tools.ietf.org/wg/oauth/draft-ietf-oauth-mtls/>.
- [24] ETSI GS NFV-IFA 005: "Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV) Release 2; Management and Orchestration; Or-Vi reference point - Interface and Information Model Specification".
- [25] ETSI GS NFV-IFA 006: "Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV) Release 2; Management and Orchestration; Vi-Vnfm reference point - Interface and Information Model Specification".

## 2.2 Informative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are not necessary for the application of the present document but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area.

[i.1] <https://docs.openstack.org/keystone/latest/admin/tokens.html>.

[i.2] [https://openid.net/specs/openid-connect-core-1\\_0.html#IDToken](https://openid.net/specs/openid-connect-core-1_0.html#IDToken).

[i.3] IETF RFC 8471: "The Token Binding Protocol Version 1.0".

NOTE: Available at <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8471>.

[i.4] IETF RFC 6819: "OAuth 2.0 Threat Model and Security Considerations".

NOTE: Available at <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6819>.

[i.5] ETSI GS NFV-SEC 006: "Network Functions Virtualisation (NFV); Security Guide; Report on Security Aspects and Regulatory Concerns".

[i.6] ETSI TS 133 501: "5G; Security architecture and procedures for 5G System (3GPP TS 33.501)".

[i.7] draft-ietf-oauth-token-binding-08: "OAuth 2.0 Token Binding", Work in progress.

NOTE: Available at <https://tools.ietf.org/pdf/draft-ietf-oauth-token-binding-08.pdf>.

---

## 3 Definition of terms, symbols and abbreviations

### 3.1 Terms

For the purposes of the present document, the terms given in ETSI GS NFV 003 [1] apply.

### 3.2 Symbols

Void.

### 3.3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the abbreviations given in ETSI GS NFV 003 [1] and the following apply:

3GPP	3 <sup>rd</sup> Generation Partnership Project
HSM	Hardware Security Module
JRD	JSON Resource Descriptor
JSON	JavaScript Object Notation
JWE	JSON Web Encryption
JWS	JSON Web Signature
JWT	JSON Web Token
MAC	Message Authentication Code
MTLS	Mutual TLS
NRF	Network Resource Function
OTP	One-Time Password
PKI	Public Key Infrastructure



REST	REpresentational State Transfer
SAML	Security Assertion Markup Language
TLS	Transport Layer Security
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier
URL	Uniform Resource Locator

---

## 4 Security requirements for API access tokens

### 4.1 Authorization for API access using OAuth2.0 defined in ETSI GS NFV-SOL 013

#### 4.1.0 Authorization for API access using OAuth2.0

The requirements on interfaces supported by the reference point of MANO's entities have been defined in ETSI GS NFV-IFA 005 [24], ETSI GS NFV-IFA 006 [25], ETSI GS NFV-IFA 007 [3], ETSI GS NFV-IFA 013 [4] and ETSI GS NFV-IFA 008 [5].

One of these requirements concerns authentication and authorization of the API consumer for all operations on interfaces supported by the reference point.

To fulfil this requirement for the NFV-MANO reference points, authorization of API requests and notifications has been defined in ETSI GS NFV-SOL 013 [22] specification.

One solution defined to handle these authorizations for API request and notification is the use of OAuth 2.0 protocol as defined by IETF RFC 6749 [6].

#### 4.1.1 Mapping roles for Authorization for API access using OAuth2.0

For API calls, the producer functional block of an API in NFV terms corresponds to the "*resource server*", and the consumer functional block of an API corresponds to the "*client*" as defined by IETF RFC 6749 [6]. For sending a notification, these roles are reversed: The producer (notification sender) corresponds to the "*client*", and the consumer (notification receiver) corresponds to the "*resource server*".

Before invoking an HTTP method on a REST resource provided by a *resource server*, a consumer functional block (referred to as "*client*" from now on) first obtains authorization from another functional block fulfilling the role of the "*authorization server*".


#### 4.1.2 Authorization grant for Authorization for API access using OAuth2.0

Authorization grant, which is a credential representing the resource owner's authorization to access the API resources is used by the client to obtain an access token from the authorization server as defined by IETF RFC 6749 [6]. OAuth 2.0 defined 4 types of authorization grant (authorization code, implicit, resource owner password credentials, and client credentials).


For the reference points listed in clause 4.1, access to API is performed by a machine which is a non-interactive Client, acting on its own behalf and being the Resource owner. Example of such client is the EM requesting the creation of an instance of its related VNF to the corresponding VNF-M; this EM is the resource owner for the management resource of the VNF. The authorization grant suitable to this case is the client credentials authorization grant. This is the authorization grant type that has been selected for the NFV-MANO interfaces and defined in ETSI GS NFV-SOL 013 [22].

#### 4.1.3 High level procedures for API access and notifications using OAuth2.0

The roles and exchanges are shown in figure 4.1.3-1 in case of API calls and in figure 4.1.3-2 for sending a notification.



**Figure 4.1.3-1: OAuth 2.0 roles in case of API calls**



**Figure 4.1.3-2: OAuth 2.0 roles in case of sending notifications**

NOTE: The numbered steps below correspond to the steps of figure 4.1.3-1.

The procedure for API access is as follows:

- Step 1. Before invoking the RESTful HTTP based API on the API producer, the API consumer authenticates with an Authorization Server by presenting its credentials consisting of its Client Id and Client Secret. It is assumed that authorization-related configuration parameters such as the client credentials are pre-populated in the API consumer together with other information such as the address of the *token endpoint* exposed by the *authorization server*.
- Step 2. The Authorization server after authentication and validation of the API consumer returns an *access token*.
- Step 3. The API consumer uses the access token in the API Request.

Same procedure is used for the notifications case shown in figure 4.1.3-2.

#### 4.1.4 Access token for API access and notifications using OAuth2.0

An *access token* represents a particular access right (defining the particular set of protected resources to access in a particular manner) with a defined duration. The access token is usually used as a Bearer credential and transmitted in an HTTP Authorization header to the API. The token may denote an identifier used to retrieve the authorization information or may self-contain the authorization information in a verifiable manner (i.e. a token string consisting of some data and a signature). Access tokens can have different formats, structures, and methods of utilization (e.g. cryptographic properties) based on the resource server security requirements.

IETF has defined two aspects of access token use:

- 1) Bearer token as defined by IETF RFC 6750 [7] focuses on the transmission of the access token as an opaque string and makes no assumption about the structure of the token.
- 2) JSON Web Token (JWT) as defined by IETF RFC 7519 [8] focuses on the structure of the token, and allows it to be encrypted (JWE) or signed (JWS).

ETSI GS NFV-SOL 013 [22] specifies the transmission aspects of the token as a bearer token, according to the definitions by IETF RFC 6750 [7].

The present document analyses the different access token used by different standardization and open source organizations and the security threats around this access token.

## 4.2 Threat Analysis

### 4.2.0 Access token defined in ETSI GS NFV-SOL 013

The access token defined by ETSI GS NFV-SOL 013 [22] to authorize access to the API of NFV MANO interfaces is the bearer token as defined in IETF RFC 6750 [7].

The bearer token is defined in IETF RFC 6750 [7] as follows:

*Bearer Token: A security token with the property that any party in possession of the token (a "bearer") can use the token in any way that any other party in possession of it can. Using a bearer token does not require a bearer to prove possession of cryptographic key material (proof-of-possession).*

The Authorization grant type defined by ETSI GS NFV-SOL 013 [22] is the client credentials type as defined in IETF RFC 6749 [6].

### 4.2.1 Risk analysis and assessment

This threat analysis takes as basis the OAuth 2.0 Threat Model as presented in IETF RFC 6819 [i.4]. This risk analysis in table 4.2.1-1 uses the format found in the annex A of ETSI GS NFV-SEC 006 [i.5].

**Table 4.2.1-1: Risk analysis and assessment**

A Security Environment		
a.1 Assumptions		
a.1.1	It is assumed that the attacker has access to the communication between the client (API consumer) and the authorization server, and between the client (API consumer) and the resource server (API producer)	
a.1.2	An attacker has unlimited resources to mount an attack	
a.1.3	Two of the three parties involved in the OAuth protocol may collude to mount an attack against the 3 <sup>rd</sup> party	
a.2 Assets		
a.2.1	Access token	
a.2.2	Refresh Token	
a.2.3	Protected Resources	
a.2.4	Client id, client credentials	
a.3 Threat agents		
a.3.1	Malicious authorization server: this malicious authorization server delivers bogus token and get access to client credentials or refresh token (and then obtains access token with the refresh token by counterfeiting the client)	Threats: a.4.2.2. a.4.2.3 a.4.2.4 a.4.3.3 a.4.3.6
a.3.2	Malicious client: this malicious client may modify the content of the token	Threats: a.4.1.2

a.3.3	Attacker of client: This attack could be through malicious software within the client itself	Threats: a.4.1.7 a.4.1.8 a.4.1.2 a.4.1.3 a.4.1.4 a.4.1.5 a.4.1.9 a.4.2.1 a.4.2.3 a.4.2.4 a.4.3.1 a.4.3.2 a.4.4.3
a.3.4	Malicious resource server: this malicious resource server gain access to the access token sent by the client by counterfeiting the resource server	Threats: a.4.1.11 a.4.1.2 a.4.1.3 a.4.1.4 a.4.1.5 a.4.1.9 a.4.4.3 a.4.4.4
a.3.5	Malicious entity acting as a Man in the Middle on the communication between Authorization server and client	Threats: a.4.1.1 a.4.1.2 a.4.1.3 a.4.1.4 a.4.1.5 a.4.1.9 a.4.3.4 a.4.3.6 a.4.4.1 a.4.4.3
a.3.6	Malicious entity acting as a Man in the Middle on the communication between the Client and the resource server	Threats: a.1.4.10 a.4.1.2 a.4.1.3 a.4.1.4 a.4.1.5 a.4.1.9 a.4.4.1 a.4.4.2 a.4.4.3
a.3.7	Attacker of the Authorization server: This attack could be through malicious software within the Authorization server itself	Threats: a.4.1.6 a.4.1.2 a.4.1.3 a.4.1.4 a.4.1.5 a.4.1.9 a.4.3.5 a.4.4.3
a.4 Threats		
a.4.1 Threats on access token		
a.4.1.1	Token Interception or token eavesdropping in transit from authorization server and client	Mitigation by: b.1.3 b.1.4