This document is not an ASTM standard and is intended only to provide the user of an ASTM standard an indication of what changes have been made to the previous version. Because it may not be technically possible to adequately depict all changes accurately, ASTM recommends that users consult prior editions as appropriate. In all cases only the current version of the standard as published by ASTM is to be considered the official document.

INTERNATIONAL

Designation: E2207-02 Designation: E 2207 - 08

Standard Practice for Strain-Controlled Axial-Torsional Fatigue Testing with Thin-Walled Tubular Specimens¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E 2207; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 The standard deals with strain-controlled, axial, torsional, and combined in- and out-of-phase axial torsional fatigue testing with thin-walled, circular cross-section, tubular specimens at isothermal, ambient and elevated temperatures. This standard is limited to symmetric, completely-reversed strains (zero mean strains) and axial and torsional waveforms with the same frequency in combined axial-torsional fatigue testing. This standard is also limited to thin-walled tubular specimens (machined from homogeneous materials)characterization of homogeneous materials with thin-walled tubular specimens and does not cover testing of either large-scale components or structural elements.

1.2 This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards: ²

- E 3Practice Guide for Preparation of Metallographic Specimens
- E 4 Practices for Force Verification of Testing Machines
- E 6 Terminology Relating to Methods of Mechanical Testing
- E 8 Test Methods for Tension Testing of Metallic Materials
- E 9 Test Methods of Compression Testing of Metallic Materials at Room Temperature
- E 83 Practice for Verification and Classification of Extensometer Systems
- E 111 Test Method for Young's Modulus, Tangent Modulus, and Chord Modulus
- E 112 Test Methods for Determining Average Grain Size
- E 143 Test Method for Shear Modulus at Room Temperature
- E 209 Practice for Compression Tests of Metallic Materials at Elevated Temperatures with Conventional or Rapid Heating Rates or and Strain Rates
- E 467 Practice for Verification of Constant Amplitude Dynamic Forces in an Axial Fatigue Testing System
- E 606 Practice for Strain-Controlled Fatigue Testing
- E 1012Practice for Verification of Specimen Alignment under Tensile Loading² Practice for Verification of Test Frame and Specimen Alignment Under Tensile and Compressive Axial Force Application
- E 1417 Practice for Liquid Penetrant Examination Testing
- E 1444 Practice for Magnetic Particle Examination³Testing
- E 1823 Terminology Relating to Fatigue and Fracture Testing

3. Terminology

3.1Definitions:

3.1.1

3.1 *Definitions*—The terms specific to this practice are defined in this section. All other terms used in this practice are in accordance with Terminologies E 6 and E 1823.

3.2 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

Copyright © ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States.

¹ This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E08 on Fatigue and Fracture and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E08.05 on Cyclic Deformation and Fatigue Crack Formation.

Current edition approved May 10, 2002. Published August 2002.

Current edition approved Jan. 1, 2008. Published February 2008. Originally approved in 2002. Last previous edition approved in 2002 as E 2207-02.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For Annual Book of ASTM Standards , Vol 03.01.volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

<u>3.2.1</u> axial strain—refers to engineering axial strain, ϵ , and is defined as change in length divided by the original length $(\Delta L_g/L_g)$. <u>3.1.2</u>

<u>3.2.2</u> shear strain—refers to engineering shear strain, γ , resulting from the application of a torsional moment to a cylindrical specimen. Such a torsional shear strain is simple shear and is defined similar to axial strain with the exception that the shearing displacement, ΔL_s is perpendicular to rather than parallel to the gage length, L_e , that is, $\gamma = \Delta L_s / L_e$ (see Fig. 1).

Note $1-\gamma$ is related to the angles of twist, θ and Ψ as follows:

 $\gamma = \tan \Psi$, where Ψ is the angle of twist along the gage length of the cylindrical specimen. For small angles expressed in radians, tan Ψ approaches Ψ and γ approaches Ψ .

 $\gamma = (d/2)\theta/L_g$, where θ expressed in radians is the angle of twist between the planes defining the gage length of the cylindrical specimen and *d* is the diameter of the cylindrical specimen.

Note $2-\Delta L_s$ is measurable directly as displacement using specially calibrated torsional extensioneters or as the arc length $\Delta L_s = (d/2)\theta$, where θ is measured directly with a rotary variable differential transformer.

3.1.2.1

<u>3.2.2.1</u> Discussion—The shear strain varies linearly through the thin wall of the specimen, with the smallest and largest values occurring at the inner and outer diameters of the specimen, respectively. The value of shear strain on the outer surface, inner surface, and mean diameter of the specimen shall be reported. The shear strain determined at the outer diameter of the tubular specimen is recommended for strain-controlled torsional tests, since cracks typically initiate at the outer surfaces.

3.1.3

<u>3.2.3</u> biaxial strain amplitude ratio—in an axial-torsional fatigue test, the biaxial strain amplitude ratio, λ is defined as the ratio of the shear strain amplitude (γ_a) to the axial strain amplitude (ϵ_a), that is, γ_a/ϵ_a .

3.1.4

<u>3.2.4</u> phasing between axial and shear strains— in an axial-torsional fatigue test, phasing is defined as the phase angle, ϕ , between the axial strain waveform and the shear strain waveform. The two waveforms must be of the same type, for example, both must either be triangular or both must be sinusoidal.

3.1.4.13.2.4.1 *in-phase axial-torsional fatigue test*— for completely-reversed axial and shear strain waveforms, if the maximum value of the axial strain waveform occurs at the same time as that of the shear strain waveform, then the phase angle, $\phi = 0^{\circ}$ and the test is defined as an "in-phase" axial-torsional fatigue test (Fig. 2(a)). At every instant in time, the shear strain is proportional to the axial strain.

NOTE 3—Proportional loading is the commonly used terminology in plasticity literature for the in-phase axial-torsional loading described in this practice.

3.1.4.2

<u>3.2.4.2</u> out-of-phase axial-torsional fatigue test— for completely-reversed axial and shear strain waveforms, if the maximum value of the axial strain waveform leads or lags the maximum value of the shear strain waveform by a phase angle $\phi \neq 0^{\circ}$ then the test is defined as an "out-of-phase" axial-torsional fatigue test. Unlike in the in-phase loading, the shear strain is not proportional to the axial strain at every instant in time. An example of out-of-phase axial-torsional fatigue test with $\phi = 75^{\circ}$ is shown in Fig. 2(b). Typically, for an out-of-phase axial-torsional fatigue test, the range of ϕ ($\neq 0^{\circ}$) is from -90° (axial waveform leading the shear waveform).

NOTE 4-In plasticity literature, nonproportional loading is the generic terminology for the out-of-phase loading described in this practice.

3.1.5

<u>3.2.5</u> shear stress—refers to engineering shear stress, τ , acting in the orthogonal tangential and axial directions of the gage section and is a result of the applied torsional moment, (Torque) *T*, to the thin-walled tubular specimen. The shear stress, like the shear strain, is always the largestgreatest at the outer diameter. Under elastic loading conditions, shear stress also varies linearly through the thin wall of the tubular specimen. However, under elasto-plastic loading conditions, shear stress tends to vary in a





FIG. 1 Twisted Gage Section of a Cylindrical Specimen Due to a Torsional Moment



nonlinear fashion. Most strain-controlled axial-torsional fatigue tests are conducted under elasto-plastic loading conditions. Therefore, assumption of a uniformly distributed shear stress is recommended. The relationship between such a shear stress applied at the mean diameter of the gage section and the torsional moment, T, is

$$\tau = \frac{16T}{(\pi (d_o^2 - d_i^2)(d_o + d_i))} \tag{1}$$

where <u>Where</u>, τ is the shear stress, d_o and d_i are the outer and inner diameters of the tubular test specimen, respectively. However,

if necessary, shear stresses in specimens not meeting the criteria for thin-walled tubes can also be evaluated (see Ref (1)).³ Under elastic loading conditions, shear stress, $\tau(d)$ at a diameter, *d* in the gage section of the tubular specimen can be calculated as follows:

$$\pi(d) = \frac{16Td}{(\pi(d_o^4 - d_i^4))}$$
(2)

In order to establish the cyclic shear stress-strain curve for a material, both the shear strain and shear stress shall be determined at the same location within the thin wall of the tubular test specimen.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 Multiaxial forces often tend to introduce deformation and damage mechanisms that are unique and quite different from those induced under a simple uniaxial loading condition. Since most engineering components are subjected to cyclic multiaxial forces it is necessary to characterize the deformation and fatigue behaviors of materials in this mode. Such a characterization enables reliable prediction of the fatigue lives of many engineering components. Axial-torsional loading is one of several possible types of multiaxial force systems and is essentially a biaxial type of loading. Thin-walled tubular specimens subjected to axial-torsional loading is more convenient than in-plane biaxial loading because the stress state in the thin-walled tubular specimens is constant over the entire test section and is well-known. This practice is useful for generating fatigue life and cyclic deformation data on homogeneous materials under axial, torsional, and combined in- and out-of-phase axial-torsional loading conditions.

5. Empirical Relationships

5.1 Axial and Shear Cyclic Stress-Strain Curves—Under elasto-plastic loading conditions, axial and shear strains are composed of both elastic and plastic components. The mathematical functions commonly used to characterize the cyclic axial and shear stress-strain curves are shown in Appendix X1. Note that constants in these empirical relationships are dependent on the phasing between the axial and shear strain waveforms.

NOTE 5—For combined axial-torsional loading conditions, analysis and interpretation of cyclic deformation behavior can be performed by using the techniques described in Ref (2).

5.2 Axial and Shear Strain Range-Fatigue Life Relationships — The total axial and shear strain ranges can be separated into their elastic and plastic parts by using the respective stress ranges and elastic moduli. The fatigue life relationships to characterize cyclic lives under axial (no torsion) and torsional (no axial loading) conditions are also shown in Appendix X1. These axial and torsional fatigue life relationships can be used either separately or together to estimate fatigue life under combined axial-torsional loading conditions.

NOTE 6—Details on some fatigue life estimation procedures under combined in- and out-of-phase axial-torsional loading conditions are given in Refs (3-5). Currently, no single life prediction method has been shown to be either effective or superior to other methods for estimating the fatigue lives of materials under combined axial-torsional loading conditions.

6. Test Apparatus

6.1 *Testing Machine*—All tests should be performed in a test system with tension-compression and clockwise-counter clockwise torsional loading capability. The test system (test frame and associated fixtures) must shall be in compliance with the bending strain criteria specified in Practices E 606 and E 1012. The test system shall possess sufficient lateral stiffness and torsional stiffness to minimize distortions of the test frame at the rated maximum axial force and torque capacities, respectively.

6.2 *Gripping Fixtures*—Fixtures used for gripping the thin-walled tubular specimen shall be made from a material that can withstand prolonged usage, particularly at high temperatures. The design of the fixtures largely depends upon the design of the specimen. Typically, a combination of hydraulically clamped collet fixtures and smooth shank specimens provide good alignment and high lateral stiffness. However, other types of fixtures, such as those specified in Practice E 606 (for example, specimens with threaded ends) are also acceptable provided they meet the alignment criteria. Typically specimens with threaded ends tend to require significantly more effort than the smooth shank specimens to meet the alignment criteria specified in Practice E 606. For this reason, smooth shank specimens are preferred over the specimens with threaded ends.

6.3 Force and Torque Transducers—Axial force and torque must be measured with either separate transducers or a combined transducer. The transducer(s) must be placed in series with the force train and must comply with the specifications in Practices E 4 and E 467. The cross-talk between the axial force and the torque shall not exceed 1%, whether a single transducer or multiple transducers are used for these measurements. The cross-talk between the axial force and the torque shall not exceed 1 % of full scale reading, whether a single transducer or multiple transducers are used for these measurements. Specifically, application of the rated axial force (alone) shall not produce a torque output greater than 1% of the rated torque and application of the rated torque (alone) shall not produce an axial force output greater than 1% of the rated axial force. In other words, the cross-talk between the

³ Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 03.03.

³ The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the list of references at the end of this standard.