INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO/IEC 16512-2

Second edition
2011-12-01

Information technology — Relayed multicast protocol: Specification for simplex group applications

Technologies de l'information — Protocole de multidiffusion relayé: Spécification relative aux applications de groupe simplex

Reference number
ISO/IEC 16512-2:2011(E)

ISO copyright office
Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20
Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11
Fax + 41 22 749 09 47
E-mail copyright@iso.org
Web www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

CONTENTS

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) and IEC (the International Electrotechnical Commission) form the specialized system for worldwide standardization. National bodies that are members of ISO or IEC participate in the development of International Standards through technical committees established by the respective organization to deal with particular fields of technical activity. ISO and IEC technical committees collaborate in fields of mutual interest. Other international organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO and IEC, also take part in the work. In the field of information technology, ISO and IEC have established a joint technical committee, ISO/IEC JTC 1.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of the joint technical committee is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the joint technical committee are circulated to national bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the national bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO and IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO/IEC 16512-2 was prepared by Joint Technical Committee ISO/IEC JTC 1, *Information technology*, Subcommittee SC 6, *Telecommunications and information exchange between systems*, in collaboration with ITU-T. The identical text is published as ITU-T Rec. X.603.1 (03/2010).

This second edition cancels and replaces the first edition (ISO/IEC 16512-2:2008), which has been technically revised.

ISO/IEC 16512 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Information technology — Relayed multicast protocol*:

— *Part 1: Framework*

— *Part 2: Specification for simplex group applications*

Introduction

Introduction Relayed MultiCast Protocol Part 2 (RMCP-2) is an application-layer relayed multicast protocol for simplex group applications. RMCP-2 can construct an optimized and robust one-to-many relayed multicast delivery path over a unicast network with the help of RMCP entities defined by Rec. ITU-T X.603 | ISO/IEC 16512-1.

An RMCP-2 session consists of one SM and one or more MAs; SM initiates and terminates RMCP-2 session and manages RMCP-2 session and participated MAs; MA configures an RMCP-2 tree to deliver group data by exchanging a series of RMCP-2 control messages.

Along the relayed multicast delivery path, several types of data delivery channels can be constructed according to the requirement of application services.

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD
RECOMMENDATION ITU-T

Information technology – Relayed multicast protocol: Specification for simplex group applications

1 Scope

This Recommendation | International Standard specifies the Relayed MultiCast Protocol for simplex group applications (RMCP-2), an application-layer protocol, which constructs a multicast tree for data delivery from one sender to multiple receivers over the Internet where IP multicast is not fully deployed.

Clauses 5-8 define a basic RMCP-2 protocol without security features, and clauses 9-12 define a secure RMCP-2 protocol that adds security features to the basic protocol. Both protocols specify a series of functions and procedures for multicast agents to construct a one-to-many relayed data path and to relay simplex data. They also specify the operations of the session manager to manage multicast sessions.

These protocols can be used for applications that require one-to-many data delivery services, such as multimedia streaming services or file dissemination services.

Annex E defines a membership authentication procedure for use with the secure RMCP-2 protocol. Annexes A-D provide informative material related to these protocols. Annex F contains an informative bibliography.

2 Normative references

The following Recommendations and International Standards contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this Recommendation | International Standard. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All Recommendations and Standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this Recommendation | International Standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the Recommendations and Standards listed below. Members of IEC and ISO maintain registers of currently valid International Standards. The Telecommunication Standardization Bureau of the ITU maintains a list of currently valid ITU-T Recommendations.

2.1 Identical Recommendations | International Standards

– Recommendation ITU-T X.603 (2004) | ISO/IEC 16512-1:2005, *Information technology – Relayed multicast protocol: Framework.*

2.2 Additional references

– ISO/IEC 9797-2:2002, *Information technology – Security techniques – Message Authentication Codes (MACs) – Part 2: Mechanisms using a dedicated hash-function.*

– ISO/IEC 9798-3:1998, *Information technology – Security techniques – Entity authentication – Part 3: Mechanisms using digital signature techniques.*

– ISO/IEC 18033-2:2006, *Information technology – Security techniques – Encryption algorithms – Part 2: Asymmetric ciphers.*

– ISO/IEC 18033-3:2010, *Information technology – Security techniques – Encryption algorithms – Part 3: Block ciphers.*

– ISO/IEC 18033-4:2005, *Information technology – Security techniques – Encryption algorithms – Part 4: Stream ciphers.*

– IETF RFC 2094 (1997), *Group Key Management Protocol (GKMP) Architecture.*

– IETF RFC 3546 (2003), *Transport Layer Security (TLS) Extensions.*

– IETF RFC 3830 (2004), *MIKEY: Multimedia Internet KEYing.*

– IETF RFC 4279 (2005), *Pre-Shared Key Ciphersuites for Transport Layer Security (TLS).*

– IETF RFC 4346 (2006), *The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.1.*

– IETF RFC 4535 (2006), *GSAKMP: Group Secure Association Key Management Protocol.*

3 Definitions

For the purposes of this Recommendation | International Standard, the following definitions apply:

3.1 multicast: A data delivery scheme where the same data unit is transmitted from a single source to multiple destinations over a single invocation of service.

3.2 IP multicast: A multicast scheme in an IP network supported by multiple multicast-enabled IP routers.

3.3 relayed multicast: A multicast data delivery scheme that can be used in unicast environments; the scheme is based on intermediate multicast agents that relay multicast data from a media server to media players over a tree hierarchy.

3.4 relayed multicast protocol (RMCP): A protocol that supports and manages the relayed multicast data transport.

3.5 RMCP-2 session: An MA set that uses the RMCP to configure the data delivery path.

3.6 multicast agent (MA): An intermediate data transport entity used to relay the multicast application data. Depending on the deployment, an MA may be installed in the same system as a receiving client.

3.7 sender multicast agent (SMA): The MA attached to the sender in the same system or local network.

3.8 receiver multicast agent (RMA): The MA attached to the receiver in the same system or local network.

3.9 head multicast agent (HMA): A representative of the MA inside a local network where the multicast is enabled.

3.10 session manager (SM): An RMCP entity that is responsible for the overall RMCP operations; it may be located in the same system as the media server or located separately from the media server.

3.11 parent multicast agent (PMA): The next upstream MA in the RMCP-2 data delivery path.

3.12 child multicast agent (CMA): The next downstream MA in the RMCP-2 data delivery path.

3.13 RMCP-2 protocol: A relayed multicast protocol for simplex group applications.

3.14 basic RMCP-2 protocol: The relayed multicast protocol for simplex group application defined in clauses 5-8.

3.15 secure RMCP-2 protocol: The relayed multicast protocol supporting security features for simplex group applications defined in clauses 9-12.

3.16 dedicated multicast agent (DMA): An intermediate MA pre-deployed as a trust server by the Session Manager (SM) in an RMCP session.

3.17 security policy: The set of criteria for the provision of security services, together with the set of values for these criteria, resulting from agreement of the security mechanisms defined in 10.1.4.

3.18 TLS_CERT mode: A mode of the TLS defined in IETF RFC 4346 for the authentication of MAs using a certificate.

3.19 TLS_PSK mode: A mode of the TLS defined in IETF RFC 4279 for the authentication of MAs using a pre-shared key for the TLS key exchange.

3.20 relayed multicast region; RM region: A management zone defined by the use of the session key Ks.

3.21 member multicast region; MM region: A management zone defined by the use of one or more group keys Kg.

3.22 member multicast group; MM group:

1) (in a multicast disabled area) a group consisting of one DMA and multiple RMAs sharing the same group key Kg.
2) (in a multicast enabled area) a group consisting of one HMA, multiple RMAs together with one or more candidate HMAs sharing the same group key Kg.

3.23 candidate HMA: A DMA that is able to assume the role of an HMA, should the original HMA leave or be terminated from a multicast-enabled MM group.

3.24 group attribute (GP_ATTRIBUTE): An attribute that defines whether or not the Content Provider controls the admission of RMAs to the secure RMCP-2 session.

3.25 closed group: An MM group in which all the RMAs have been allocated a service user identifier from the Content Provider before subscribing to the secure RMCP-2 session.

3.26 **open group**: An MM group in which none of the RMAs require a service user identifier before subscribing to the secure RMCP-2 session.

3.27 **regular HB message**: An HB message that is relayed without interruption along the path of the RMCP-2 tree from the SMA to the receiver of the message. The originator of a regular HB message is the SMA.

3.28 **pseudo-HB message**: An HB message that indicates a fault in the delivery path of the RMCP-2 tree. The originator of a pseudo-HB message is the MA that discovers this fault.

4 Abbreviations

For the purposes of this Recommendation | International Standard, the following abbreviations apply:

ACL	Access Control List
AUTH	Authentication
CEK	Contents Encryption Key
CMA	Child Multicast Agent
CP	Content Provider
DMA	Dedicated Multicast Agent
HANNOUNCE	HMA announce message
HB	Heartbeat message
HLEAVE	HMA leave message
HMA	Head Multicast Agent
HRSANS	Head Required Security Answer
HRSREQ	Head Required Security Request
HSOLICIT	HMA solicit message
IP-IP	IP in IP
KEYDELIVER	Key Delivery
LEAVANS	Leave answer message
LEAVREQ	Leave request message
MA	Multicast Agent
MAID	Multicast Agent Identification
PMA	Parent Multicast Agent
PPROBANS	Parent probe answer message
PPROBREQ	Parent probe request message
RELANS	Relay answer message
RELREQ	Relay request message
RMA	Receiver Multicast Agent
RMCP	Relayed MultiCast Protocol
SDP	Session Description Protocol
SECAGANS	SECurity AGreement ANSwer
SECAGREQ	SECurity AGreement REQuest
SECALGREQ	SECurity ALgorithms REQuest
SECLIST	Selected sECurity LIST
SID	RMCP-2 Session Identification
SMA	Sender Multicast Agent
STANS	Status report answer message
STCOLANS	Status report collect answer message
STCOLREQ	Status report collect request message
STREQ	Status report request message

SUBSANS	Subscription answer message
SUBSREQ	Subscription request message
T/TCP	TCP extensions to Transactions
TCP	Transmission Control Protocol
TERMANS	Termination answer message
TERMREQ	Termination request message
TLS	Transport Layer Security
UDP	User Datagram Protocol

5 Overview

The RMCP-2 is an application-level protocol that uses multicast agents (MAs) and a session manager (SM) to support and manage a relayed multicast data transport over a unicast-based Internet. With the help of the SM, the RMCP-2 begins by constructing a relayed multicast control tree that consists of MAs. Consequently with the preconfigured control tree, each MA connects appropriate data channels with each other.

The RMCP-2 entities for a simplex delivery model are described in clause 5.1.

5.1 RMCP-2 entities

The RMCP-2 entities are the same as those described in RMCP Part 1. As shown in Figure 1, each RMCP-2 session constructs a relayed multicast data delivery model with the following entities:

a) one SM;

b) one sender multicast agent (SMA) per sender application;

c) one or more receiver multicast agents (RMAs);

d) one or more sending or receiving group applications.

An SM, which can handle one or multiple sessions simultaneously, can be implemented separately or as a part of other entities in an RMCP-2 session.



Figure 1 – RMCP-2 service topology

An SM can provide the following functionalities:

a) session initialization;

b) session release;

c) session membership management;

d) session status monitoring.

An MA, which refers to both the SMA and the RMA, constructs a relayed multicast delivery path from one sender to many receivers and then forwards data along the constructed path, can provide the following functionalities:

a) session initialization;

b) session join;

c) session leave;

d) session maintenance;

e) session status reporting;

f) application data relay.

5.2 RMCP-2 protocol block

An SM should exchange control messages with other MAs to control and manage RMCP-2 session. The control messages used by SM should be delivered reliably; otherwise, RMCP-2 session becomes unrecoverable. Figure 2 shows a protocol stack of an SM.



Figure 2 – Protocol stack of SM

An MA, which refers to both the SMA and the RMA, constructs a relayed multicast delivery path from one sender to many receivers and then forwards data along the constructed path. An MA consists of an *RMCP-2 control module* and a *data transport module*. The control module establishes the relayed data delivery path. The data transport module sets up a data channel along the path constructed by the control module and then relays data through the channel.

The MA's control module configures the control tree from the SMA to every leaf MAs by exchanging control messages with other MAs. Also the control module is used for session control and management by SM. Figure 3 shows the protocol stack of an MA's control module.



Figure 3 – Protocol stack of MA's control module

The MA's data module relays application data along the tree configured by the control module. Figure 4 shows the protocol stack of RMCP-2 data module. Any kind of transport mechanism can be inserted, if needed, because RMCP-2 imposes no restrictions on the type of application data to be delivered.

To ensure that RMCP-2 can adopt any kind of data transport mechanism, two MAs (namely, the parent multicast agent (PMA) and the child multicast agent (CMA)) construct a data delivery path on the control tree by exchanging the data profiles described later.

Data module of RMCP-2 MA
TCP, UDP, IP-IP, SCTP, etc.
IP (unicast or multicast)

Figure 4 – Protocol stack of RMCP-2 data module

The topologies of the two paths for control and data delivery are usually the same, because a data delivery path is constructed along the RMCP-2 control tree. Along the data delivery path, the application data from the SMA can be delivered to each leaf MAs. For more information, Annexes B and C present two feasible real-time and reliable data delivery schemes.

5.3 Simplex delivery model of RMCP-2

The target services of RMCP-2 are *simplex broadcasting services*, such as Internet live TV and software dissemination. In those service models, building an optimal data delivery path from a sender to multiple receivers is important. RMCP-2 can support a simplex data delivery model by using the MA's control and data module.

The data delivery path that RMCP-2 considers is a *per-source relayed multicast tree*. Along the per-source relayed multicast path, a *unidirectional real-time or reliable data channel* can be constructed. Figure 5 shows one of the possible relayed multicast trees configured by RMCP-2 for *simplex real-time or reliable applications*.



Figure 5 – Relayed multicast tree configured by RMCP-2

5.4 Types of RMCP-2 messages

To construct and maintain a relayed multicast tree, several control messages are exchanged between RMCP-2 peers in a *request-and-answer* manner. Table 1 lists the RMCP-2 control messages according to the appropriate functions.

Table 1 – RMCP-2 messages

Messages	Descriptions	RMCP operations
SUBSREQ	Subscription request	Session initialization
SUBSANS	Subscription answer	
PPROBREQ	Parent probe request	MAP discovery
PPROBANS	Parent probe answer	
HSOLICIT	HMA solicit	HMA election
HANNOUNCE	HMA announce	
HLEAVE	HMA leave	

Table 1 – RMCP-2 messages

Messages	Descriptions	RMCP operations
RELREQ	Relay request	Data delivery
RELANS	Relay answer	
STREQ	Status report request	Session monitoring
STANS	Status report answer	
STCOLREQ	Status collect request	
STCOLANS	Status collect answer	
LEAVREQ	Leave request	Session leave
LEAVANS	Leave answer	
HB	Heartbeat	Session heartbeat
TERMREQ	Termination request	Session termination
TERMANS	Termination answer	

6 Protocol operation

This clause describes the RMCP-2 protocol functions and their operations in details. All the components described in this clause follow the definitions of Rec. ITU-T X.603 | ISO/IEC 16512-1.

6.1 SM's operation

6.1.1 Session initiation

To make the SM create a new session, a content provider (CP) should provide a session profile, which includes details to create a session such as the session name, media characteristics, and the group address. To distinguish the sessions from each other, the SM creates a globally unique session identification (SID). After a successful session creation, the SM returns the SID to the CP. The CPs may announce the session creation by using a web server or email. But the way of session announcement is out of scope this Specification.

After the successful session creation, the SM waits for a subscription request from the MAs. When the SM receives a subscription request from an MA, the SM decides whether to accept the subscription request.

6.1.2 Admission control

On receiving MA's subscription request, firstly the SM checks the SID in the request message, and then determines whether the request is acceptable according to the session policy. RMCP-2 session can be operated privately as well as publicly with some extra information such as system information.

When the SID in the MA's SUBSREQ is valid, then the SM checks proposed MAID and proposed data profile. If the MAID proposed by MA has null or duplicated value, then the SM proposes a unique one; otherwise, the proposed MAID will be used during the session. If the proposed data profile cannot be supported, the SM should reject the request with a reason. Otherwise, the SM can negotiate for the most effective data profile and sends back with the negotiated one.

When the MA's SUBSREQ is granted, then the SM responds with a confirmed MAID, NL and session dependent information.

To kick out a specific MA, the SM starts the discard procedure by sending a leave request (LEAVREQ) with a reason code Kicked-Out (KO) and then updates its session member list. Upon receiving SM's LEAVREQ message, MA leaves the session promptly. Figure 6 illustrates the procedure, where the SM sends a LEAVREQ message with the reason code KO and then the MA B leaves the session with notifying its PMA and CMAs of the expulsion.



Figure 6 – When MA is kicked out by SM

6.1.3 Session monitoring

The SM can fetch status information of a specific MA by exchanging a status request and answer messages with any specific MA. Upon receiving the status request message, the MA responds with a status answer message that contains the requested information. Figure 7 shows how the SM monitors a specific MA.



Figure 7 – Tree monitoring – Status report

SM can also collect status information of an entire or a part of a session. In this case, the SM sends a status collect request message to the top MA of the part. Upon receiving the status collect request message, the MA should send a status answer back to the SM with appropriate information on the MA and its children. When the session size is large, the use of this mechanism for the entire session may cause overloading the network and system resources. To limit the scope of the monitoring, the status collect message should contain an option for the depth.

6.1.4 Session termination

The SM's ongoing session may terminate due to one of the following two reasons:

1) administrative request; and
2) SMA's leave.

Figure 8 shows the SM's session termination procedure.



Figure 8 – Session termination issued by SM

Because a RMCP-2 session can continue only when the SMA is alive, the SMA must notify the SM when it leaves. Having been notified SMA's leave, the SM should terminate the session promptly. The session termination caused by SMA's leave is described in 6.2.4.4.

6.2 MA's operation

6.2.1 Session subscription

Subscription is the first stage for an MA to be enrolled in a RMCP-2 session. Each MA must subscribe to the session by sending a subscription request (SUBSREQ) to the SM. Note that the SMA must have finished its subscription before the other MAs and it should act as a root node in the tree hierarchy. At this stage, each MA needs to know details of the session profile, such as the address of the SM and the policy.

Figure 9 shows the procedure of RMCP-2 session subscription procedure. After SMA's successful subscription, RMCP-2 session can be initiated.



Figure 9 – SMA's subscription

Figure 10 shows the procedure of an MA subscription (for MA A and MA B). To subscribe an RMCP-2 session, each MA sends a SUBSREQ to the SM. Upon receiving SUBSREQ from the MA, the SM decides whether to accept the subscription request. If the request is accepted, the SM responds with a SUBSANS and bootstrapping information such as an NL. Otherwise, it responds with a SUBSANS with appropriate error reason code.

After receiving a successful SUBSANS from SM, the MAs (MA A and MA B) can complete the subscription phase.



Figure 10 – MA's subscription

6.2.2 Map discovery

Since all MAs are logically interconnected, it would be difficult for a MA to know the entire network condition. However, by using map discovery procedures, each MA can explore the other MAs in the RMCP-2 network and measure the distance between itself and the other MAs. The map discovery mechanism consists of two steps. One is used in the multicast-enabled area, such as subnet LAN, and the other is used in the multicast-disabled area such as WAN.

6.2.2.1 Inside multicast-enabled area

It is desirable to assign the nearest node to its PMA. The network distance in RMCP-2 depends on the delay jitter, the hop count and the bandwidth.

Normally, an MA in the same network is closer than other MAs. Each MA looks for a candidate PMA in its local network by multicasting a head multicast agent solicit (HSOLICIT) to a specific pre-assigned address (aka, broadcast) at the beginning. If there is no answer, the MA becomes the HMA, which is a representative of the MA in the multicast-enabled network.

Once an MA becomes a HMA, the HMA announces its existence to the multicast-enabled network by sending periodic HANNOUNCE messages. The HMA sends a HANNOUNCE promptly on receiving HSOLICIT from the multicast-enabled area.