

# SLOVENSKI STANDARD oSIST prEN 16303:2018

01-junij-2018

# Oprema cest - Postopek validacije in verifikacije računalniške simulacije preskusnih trčenj v sisteme za zadrževanje vozil

Road restraint systems - Validation and verification process for the use of virtual testing in crash testing against vehicle restraint system

Rückhaltesysteme an Straßen - Validierungs- und Nachweisverfahren für die Nutzung von Computersimulationen bei Anprallprüfungen an Fahrzeug-Rückhaltesysteme

Dispositifs de retenue routiers - Processus de vérification et de validation pour l'utilisation d'essais virtuels dans les essais de choc contre un dispositif de retenue pour véhicules

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: prEN 16303

ICS:

13.200 Preprečevanje nesreč in Accider

katastrof

93.080.30 Cestna oprema in pomožne

naprave

Accident and disaster control

Road equipment and

installations

oSIST prEN 16303:2018 en,fr,de

oSIST prEN 16303:2018

# iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

SIST EN 16303:2020

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ddc/8adf-1f2d-49a4-ad82-b4469d5b6585/sist-en-16303-2020

# EUROPEAN STANDARD NORME EUROPÉENNE EUROPÄISCHE NORM

# DRAFT prEN 16303

April 2018

ICS 13.200; 93.080.30

Will supersede CEN/TR 16303-1:2012, CEN/TR 16303-2:2012, CEN/TR 16303-3:2012, CEN/TR 16303-4:2012

#### **English Version**

# Road restraint systems - Validation and verification process for the use of virtual testing in crash testing against vehicle restraint system

Dispositifs de retenue routiers - Processus de vérification et de validation pour l'utilisation d'essais virtuels dans les essais de choc contre un dispositif de retenue pour véhicules Rückhaltesysteme an Straßen - Validierungs- und Nachweisverfahren für die Nutzung von Computersimulationen bei Anprallprüfungen an Fahrzeug-Rückhaltesysteme

This draft European Standard is submitted to CEN members for enquiry. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/TC 226.

If this draft becomes a European Standard, CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

This draft European Standard was established by CEN in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

**Warning**: This document is not a European Standard. It is distributed for review and comments. It is subject to change without notice and shall not be referred to as a European Standard.



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

Con	tents	Page
Europ	oean foreword	6
Intro	duction	7
1	Scope	8
2	Normative references	8
3	Terms and definitions	8
4	Symbols and abbreviations	
5	Requirements for numerical vehicle model	
5.1	General	
5.2	Validation	10
5.3	Test methodology	11
5.3.1	General	11
5.3.2	Test description	11
5.4	Verification	13
5.5	Reporting	13
6	Requirements for numerical model of roadside safety device	14
6.1	Modelling	
6.2		
6.3	Validation and verificationReporting	14
	1 0	
7	Requirements for numerical model of passive safety device	14
7.1	Modelling	
7.2	Validation and verification	
7.3	Reporting SISTEN 16303:2020	
8	Validation requirements for virtual testing against roadside devices	15
8.1	General	15
8.2	Test specifications	15
8.2.1	Safety barriers	
8.2.2	Crash cushions	_
8.2.3	Terminals	
8.2.4	Passive safety support	
8.3	Comparison tables	
8.3.1	General	
8.3.2	Dynamic deflection for safety barrier	
8.3.3	Working width	
8.3.4	Vehicle intrusion	
8.3.5	Lateral displacements for crash cushion and terminals	
8.3.6	Comparison between final shapes of test item	
8.3.7	Additional Comparison table for cars	
8.3.8	Severity indices	
8.3.9	Time histories	
8.4	Reporting	
9	Virtual test verification	22
9.1	General	
9.2	Finite element model verification	22

9.3	Multi-Body model verification	23
9.4	Standard report and Output parameters	
Anney	A (normative) Virtual Testing - Template for report	24
A.1	General	
A.2	Verification and Validation report	
A.2.1	General	
A.2.2	Validation report cover	
A.2.3	Person/group performing VT	
A.2.4	Client	
A.2.5	Test procedure	
A.2.6	Software	
A.2.7	Validation virtual test conditions	
A.2.8	Test item model	
_	A table that shows/reports of the following	
	Vehicle model	
	Verification and validation criteria	
	Comments to validation activities	
	General statements	
	Approval of report	
	Annexes	
A.3	New performances report	
A.3.1	General	
A.3.2	Test procedure	
A.3.3	Software	30
A.3.4		
A.3.5	Impact virtual test conditionsAdditional information	31
A.3.6	Modified test item model	31
A.3.7	A table that shows/reports of the following	
A.3.8	Results	
A.3.9	General statements	
	Approval of report	
	Annexes	
Annex	$B\ (\text{normative})\ \ \textbf{Requirements for the entity (person/group) performing VT activities}$	35
Annex	C (informative) Validation procedures of a vehicle for crash test analysis	37
C.1	General	
C.2	Vehicle setup – Idle test	
C.3	Vehicle suspension and steering kinematics	
C.3.1	Isolated suspensions system (constrained vehicle) - full compression and full	5 /
0.5.1	extension	37
C.3.2	Isolated steering system (constrained vehicle) - full rotation both side	
C.3.2 C.4	Vehicle handling	
C.4.1	Linear track	
C.4.2	Test on curvilinear track	
C.4.3	Step test	
C.5	Vehicle crashworthiness - Test against rigidwall / rigid pole	
	D (informative) Considerations on the modelling techniques of a vehicle	
<b>D.1</b>	General	
<b>D.2</b>	General scheme of a vehicle	
<b>D.3</b>	Vehicle validation considerations	
<b>D.4</b>	Step by step development of a vehicle for crash test analysis	42

Annex	E (informative) Recommendations for the mesh of Finite Element vehicle models	
	addressed to crash simulations	
E.1	Components to be modeled	
E.1.1	Frame	
E.1.2	Vehicle body	43
E.1.3	Suspensions	43
E.1.4	Wheels	44
E.1.5	Steering system	45
<b>E.2</b>	Model Organization	46
E.2.1	General consideration	46
E.2.2	Rules for the development of a modular model	48
<b>E.3</b>	General recommendations for the material of Finite Element vehicle models	
	addressed to crash simulations	49
E.3.1	Material constitutive laws	49
E.3.2	Strain rate effect	49
E.3.3	Model prediction	49
E.3.4	New constitutive law	49
<b>E.4</b>	General recommendations for the mesh of Finite Element vehicle models addressed	
	to crash simulations	50
E.4.1	General	50
E.4.2	2D-Mesh Specifications - General recommendations	50
E.4.3	Criteria for the definition of geometric details	
E.4.4	Mesh features	
E.4.5	Welding and connections	
A		
Annex	x F (informative) Recommendations for the mesh of Multi-Body vehicle models	E 4
г 4	addressed to crash simulations	
F.1 F.2	Multi-Body Models	
	General requirements	
F.3	Modelling requirements	
F.4 F.4.1	Model Organization	
r.4.1 F.4.2	General consideration	
	Vehicle body model	
F.4.3	Steering system	
F.4.4	Suspension	
F.4.5	Wheels	60
F.5	General recommendations for the material of Multi-Body-Element vehicle models	
rea	addressed to virtual crash test	
F.5.1	Material	
F.5.2	Strain rate effect	
F.5.3	Model prediction	66
Annex	<b>G</b> (informative) <b>Considerations on the modelling techniques of a roadside safety</b>	
	device	67
<b>G.1</b>	General	67
<b>G.2</b>	Finite Element and Multi-body approaches	67
<b>G.3</b>	Finite element guidelines	68
<b>G.4</b>	Multi-body guidelines	68
G.5	Step by step development of a roadside device	68
G.5.1	Component to be modeled	
G.5.2	Material models	
G.5.3	Material modelling for dynamic finite elements simulations	
G.5.4	Material modelling for Multi-body simulations	
G.6	Verification of the model	

G.6.1	General	70
G.6.2	Basic Requirements	70
G.6.3	Model Verification and Proof of Performance	70
G.6.4	Finite Element Model	70
G.6.5	Multi-Body Model	70
G.6.6	Full-scale dynamic testing and Simulated Crash Testing	71
G.6.7	Failure mode reproduction capability	71
G.6.8	Collection data	71
Annex	H (informative) Recommendations for the mesh of Finite Element roadside device	
	models addressed to crash simulations	72
H.1	Material recommendations for Finite Element roadside device models addressed to crash simulations	
Н.2	General recommendations for the mesh of Finite Element roadside device models	/ 2
	addressed to crash simulations	72
Н.3	2D-Mesh Specifications	
H.3.1	General recommendations	
H.3.2	Mesh features	
H.4	Welding and connections	73
H.4.1	Spot-Welding	73
H.4.2	Seam-Welding	73
H.4.3	Bonded joint	73
H.4.4	Bolted joint	73
H.5	3D-Mesh specifications - Mesh features	74
Annex	I (informative) Recommendations and criteria for Multi-body roadside device models	
	addressed to virtual crash testing	75
I.1	Introduction	75
I.2	Welding and connections	75
I.3	Model validation	75
Bibling	raphy	76
	7 <sup>-</sup> <i> J</i>	0

uttps://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ddc78adf-1f2d-49a4-ad82-b4469d5b6585/sist-en-16303-2020

### **European foreword**

This document (prEN 16303:2018) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 226 "Road equipment", the secretariat of which is held by AFNOR.

This document is currently submitted to the CEN Enquiry.

This document will supersede CEN/TR 16303-1:2012, CEN/TR 16303-2:2012, CEN/TR 16303-3:2012 and CEN/TR 16303-4:2012 (which have been merged).

In comparison to the previous Technical Reports, this document contains the following changes:

- some symbols and abbreviations have been modified;
- the roadmap for the validation of the numerical vehicle model has been updated and acceptance conditions have been provided;
- the validation requirements for virtual testing against roadside devices have been updated;
- the verification Evaluation Criteria for Finite Element model have been updated.

Annexes A, B, are normative and Annexes C to I are informative.

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

SIST EN 16303:2020

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ddc78adf-1f2d-49a4-ad82-b4469d5b6585/sist-en-16303-2020

#### Introduction

This document provides a common basis for the use of virtual testing to reproduce vehicle impacts against roadside safety devices including safety barriers, crash cushions, terminals, removable barrier sections, transitions and passive safety devices in accordance with the EN 1317 series and EN 12767.

This document provides requirements to establish the degree to which the numerical models of roadside safety device and of vehicle are an accurate representation of the real word from the perspective of the intended uses of the model.

Two main modelling approaches have been considered:

- Finite Element (FE) Method;
- Multi-Body (MB) approach.

In this document a methodology is defined to validate the results obtained with computational mechanics work and to verify the reliability of the virtual test. It also includes a report template and incorporates specific content for general requirements for the competence of entities performing virtual testing.

General recommendations based on experiences for developing numerical models of roadside safety devices and vehicles for virtual tests are also given.

# iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

SIST EN 16303:2020

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/ddc78adf-1f2d-49a4-ad82-b4469d5b6585/sist-en-16303-2020

### 1 Scope

This document defines the accuracy, credibility and confidence in the results of virtual crash test to roadside safety devices through the definition of procedures for verification, validation and development of numerical models for roadside safety application. Finally it defines a list of indications to ensure the competences of an expert/organization in the domain of virtual testing.

#### 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 1317-1:2010, Road restraint systems – Part 1: Terminology and general criteria for test methods

EN 1317-2:2010, Road restraint systems – Part 2: Performance classes, impact test acceptance criteria and test methods for safety barriers including vehicle parapets

EN 1317-3:2010, Road restraint systems – Part 3: Performance classes, impact test acceptance criteria and test methods for crash cushions

ENV 1317-4:2001, Road restraint systems – Part 4: Performance classes, impact test acceptance criteria and test methods for terminals and transitions of safety barriers

EN 1317-5, Road restraint systems – Part 5: Product requirements and evaluation of conformity for vehicle restraint systems

CEN/TS 1317-8, Road restraint systems – Part 8: Motorcycle road restraint systems which reduce the impact severity of motorcyclist collisions with safety barriers

 $EN~12767:2007^{\,1}$ , Passive safety of support structures for road equipment - Requirements, classification and test methods

#### 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <a href="http://www.electropedia.org/">http://www.electropedia.org/</a>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <a href="http://www.iso.org/obp">http://www.iso.org/obp</a>

-

<sup>1</sup> Currently under review.

#### 3.1

#### **Numerical Model**

mathematical model of roadside safety device and vehicle

Note 1 to entry: It refers to a numerical model which might be analytical or discrete and aims to reproduce the basic physical phenomena of a subject.

#### 3.2

#### roadside safety device

device tested according to the EN 1317 series

#### 3.3

#### passive safety device

device tested according to EN 12767

#### 3.4

#### test

full-scale impact test performed at a test house according to the relevant standard

#### 3.5

#### test item

device to be assessed using virtual testing

#### 3.6

#### validated model

numerical model of the roadside device or vehicle that fulfils requirements of this document

#### 3.7

#### validation procedure

set of activities defined to assess whether a numerical model can be considered representative of a physical system or part in a specified range of conditions

#### 3.8

## verification procedure

set of activities defined to check whether a numerical model is reliable and numerically stable

#### 3.9

#### virtual test or testing

activities related to the use of a numerical model to reproduce a real test

#### 4 Symbols and abbreviations

For the purposes of this document, the following symbols and abbreviations apply:

erity Index

CoG Center of gravity
D Dynamic deflection

 $D_m$  Measured maximum Dynamic Deflection of the real test, in metres (m)  $D_{VT}$  Measured maximum Dynamic Deflection of the virtual test, in metres (m)

FE Finite elements

HGV Heavy goods vehicle

LD Lateral displacement

 $LD_m$  Measured Lateral displacement of the real test, in metres (m)  $LD_{VT}$  Measured Lateral displacement of the virtual test, in metres (m)

MB Multi-body

RT Real test or testing

THIV Theoretical Head Impact Velocity

VI Vehicle intrusion

 $VI_m$  Measured Vehicle Intrusion of the real test, in metres (m)  $VI_{VT}$  Measured Vehicle Intrusion of the virtual test, in metres (m)

VT Virtual test or testing

W Working width

 $W_m$  Measured Working Width of the real test, in metres (m)  $W_{VT}$  Measured Working Width of the virtual test, in metres (m)

### 5 Requirements for numerical vehicle model

#### 5.1 General

A complete 3D numerical model of the vehicle shall be created. The geometry of the vehicle and all inertial properties shall be reproduced faithfully. The numerical model shall include:

— frame;
Document Preview

— body:

SIST EN 16303:2020

— suspensions systems, front and rear;

wheels;

steering system;

windows;

engine block.

Vehicle specifications under test conditions shall be as specified as in EN 1317-1:2010, Table 1.

The vehicle shall be fitted with, as a minimum, one accelerometer for measurement in the longitudinal (forward) direction, one for the lateral (sideways) direction, one for the vertical direction (downward) and an angular velocity sensor (rate sensor). The accelerometers shall be mounted as prescribed by the EN 1317-1. Vehicular accelerations shall be used for the calculation of the severity indices, accordingly to EN 1317-1.

Justification of chosen material models and implemented material failure and strain rate dependency shall be provided.

#### 5.2 Validation

For the validation of the numerical vehicle model, tests shall be performed according to this standard, comparable parameters shall be measured and the results shall be fully documented.

The validation tests that shall be carried out to ensure the numerical stability and the capability of the numerical model are divided in categories dealing with vehicle setup, dynamics, handling and crashworthiness.

#### 5.3 Test methodology

#### **5.3.1** General

The scope of the following test is to ensure the stability and robustness of the vehicle model and the level of reliability of the results.

The finite element model and the multi body vehicle model shall be validated with the same requirements and limit.

This procedure consists of a fixed number of compulsory tests and a series of additional tests that can be performed depending on the availability of experimental tests and on the intended use of the model.

For the vehicle model in order to be considered validated all compulsory tests shall be completed without error terminations.

The test shall be performed when the vehicle development is completed. Modification(s) that might influence the result(s) of one or more test will request to perform these (those) test(s) again.

If the tests do not satisfy limits described in Table 1, the entity performing the vehicle validation activity shall explain his motivations inside the final validation report and those motivations shall be checked and agreed by the certification body.

If some of tests listed in Table 1 are not performed, the entity performing the vehicle validation activity shall explain his motivations inside the final validation report and those motivations shall be checked and agreed by the certification body.

#### 5.3.2 Test description

#### 5.3.2.1 Compulsory test

The tests are grouped in four different sets:

- Set 1. Vehicle setup;
- Set 2. Vehicle suspension and steering kinematics;
- Set 3. Vehicle handling;
- Set 4. Vehicle crashworthiness.

The tests included in Table 1 shall be performed to complete the vehicle validation.

The table includes the scope of the test, the results to be provided and the acceptance conditions (limits).

 ${\bf Table~1-Validation~Roadmap-Reduced~procedure}$ 

	Set 1 Vehicle setup	
Test 1 - Idle test	Set 1. Vemele setup	
Scope: Verify stability of the vehicle model and general setup	Results to be Provided:  — Acceleration time (filtered)  — Suspensions movement time history	Limits:  — Filtered accelerations CG < ± 2,5 G  — Suspension movement < 15 mm
Set 2. – Vehi	 icle suspension and steering ki	nematics
Test 1 – Isolated suspensions syste	m (constrained vehicle) – full con	npression
Scope: Verify suspension kinematic and loading and unloading capacity	Results to be Provided:  — Comparison between theoretical and virtual stiffness curves  — identify the suspension maximum compression	Limits:  — Allowed variation between theoretical and virtual working curves: ±5%
Test 2 – Isolated suspensions syste	m (constrained vehicle) – full ext	ension
Verify suspension kinematic and loading capacity  ttps://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards.ite	Results to be Provided:  — Comparison between theoretical and virtual stiffness curves  — Identify the suspension maximum extension	Limits:  — Allowed variation between theoretical and virtual working curves: ±5%  82-b4469d5b6585/sist-en-1630
Test 3 – Isolated steering system (c	constrained vehicle) – full rotation	n both sides
	Results to be Provided:  — Comparison between left and right wheel steering angle (time Vs angle curves)  — Identify the steering maximum angle in compression	Limits:  — Verify Ackerman principle
	Set 3. – Vehicle handling	
Test 1 - Linear track test		
Scope: Verify the general behavior of the vehicle (steering and suspension system) and its capability to run	Results to be Provided:  — Trajectory plot  — Energies balance in time	Limits: