SLOVENSKI STANDARD
kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021

01-september-2021

Kakovost zraka - Vrednotenje lastnosti senzorskih sistemov za kakovost zraka - 1. del: Plinasta onesnaževala v zunanjem zraku

Air quality - Performance evaluation of air quality sensor systems - Part 1: Gaseous pollutants in ambient air

Luftbeschaffenheit - Leistungsbewertung von Luftqualitäts-sensorsystemen - Teil 1: Gasförmige Schadstoffe in der Außenluft

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

Qualité de l'air - Évaluation des performances des systèmes capteurs de qualité de l'air - Partie 1 : Polluants gazeux dans l'air ambiant

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d957dcef-ce41-44b1-b65f-80fbbe8f0e34/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-17660-1-2021

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: **FprCEN/TS 17660-1**

ICS:

13.040.20 Kakovost okoljskega zraka Ambient atmospheres

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021 **en,fr,de**

2003-01.Slovenski inštitut za standardizacijo. Razmnoževanje celote ali delov tega standarda ni dovoljeno.

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d957dcef-ce41-44b1-b65f-80fcbc8f0a3d/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-17660-1-2021

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

SPÉCIFICATION TECHNIQUE

TECHNISCHE SPEZIFIKATION

FINAL DRAFT
FprCEN/TS 17660-1

June 2021

ICS 13.040.20

English Version

Air quality - Performance evaluation of air quality sensor systems - Part 1: Gaseous pollutants in ambient air

Qualité de l'air - Évaluation des performances des systèmes capteurs de qualité de l'air - Partie 1 : Polluants gazeux dans l'air ambiant

Luftbeschaffenheit - Leistungsbewertung von Luftqualitäts-sensorsystemen - Teil 1: Gasförmige Schadstoffe in der Außenluft

This draft Technical Specification is submitted to CEN members for Vote. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/TC 264.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Warning : This document is not a Technical Specification. It is distributed for review and comments. It is subject to change without notice and shall not be referred to as a Technical Specification.

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d957dcef-ce41-44b1-b65f-80fcbc8f0a3d/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-17660-1-2021

EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION
COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION
EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

© 2021 CEN All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CEN national Members.

Ref. No. FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021 E

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021

Contents

Page

European foreword 5

Introduction 6

1 Scope 7

2 Normative references 7

3 Terms and definitions 7

4 Symbols and abbreviations 13
4.1 Symbols 13
4.2 Abbreviations 17

5 Principle of the evaluation 17
5.1 Introduction to the methodology 17
5.2 General objective 19
5.3 Protocol 19
5.4 Initial requirements 21
5.5 Test infrastructure 22
5.6 Test results and classification 22
5.7 Sensor system design changes 22
5.8 List of tests to be performed 23
5.9 Normal test conditions 25

6 Performance requirements 26
6.1 Data quality objectives 26
6.2 Performance requirements 27

7 General requirements for the performance of tests 29
7.1 General requirements for testing 29
7.1.1 Number of sensor systems 29
7.1.2 Sequence of laboratory tests for Step 2 29
7.1.3 Preparation of the sensor systems prior to the laboratory and field tests 29
7.2 Exposure chamber for laboratory experiments 30
7.3 Averaging time and repetitions of readings for each laboratory test 31

8 Pre-test of sensor system under controlled conditions (Step 1) 31
8.1 General 31
8.2 Response time 32
8.2.1 Test conditions, test procedures and test requirements 32
8.2.2 Evaluation of the test results 32
8.3 Evaluation of lack of fit 33
8.3.1 Test conditions and test procedures 33
8.3.2 Evaluation of the test results 33
8.4 Repeatability 35
8.4.1 Test conditions and test procedures 35
8.4.2 Evaluation of the test results 35

9 Extended list of laboratory tests (Step 2) 36
9.1 Long-term drift 36
9.1.1 General 36
9.1.2 Test conditions and test procedures 37
9.1.3 Measurement uncertainty and evaluation of the test results for the long-term drift 37

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d957dcef-ce41-44b1-b65f-80fcbc8f0a3d/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-17660-1-2021

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021

9.2 Cross-sensitivities to gaseous interfering compounds 39
9.2.1 General 39
9.2.2 Test conditions and test procedures 39
9.2.3 Measurement uncertainty and evaluation of the test results 40
9.3 Temperature and humidity effects 42
9.3.1 Test conditions and test procedures 42
9.3.2 Measurement uncertainty and evaluation of the test results 44
9.4 Memory effect of main gas, humidity and temperature 45
9.4.1 Test conditions and test procedures 45
9.4.2 Evaluation of the test results and measurement uncertainty 45
9.5 Wind velocity effect 46
9.6 Atmospheric pressure effect 46
9.7 Electromagnetic fields effects 47
9.8 Power supply and battery effects 47
9.9 Evaluation of data quality objective of the laboratory experiments 47

10 Field tests (Step 3 or Step 4) 48
10.1 General 48
10.2 Selection of air quality monitoring station 48
10.3 Installation 49
10.4 Deployment and on-going quality control during field tests 50
10.5 Evaluation of the uncertainty of the sensor system measurement 50
10.5.1 General 50
10.5.2 Preliminary check on correlation between test gas and potential interferents at test sites 51
10.5.3 Between instrument uncertainty for sensor systems and reference instruments 51
10.5.4 Uncertainty of measurements of the field tests 52
10.5.5 Correction for slope and/or intercept 53

11 Classification based on the test results 55
11.1 General 55
11.2 Evaluation of the pre-test (Step 1) 55
11.3 Evaluation of laboratory test (Step 2, if applicable) 55
11.4 Evaluation of field tests (Step 3, if step is carried out; Step 4 otherwise) 56
11.5 Final classification 57

12 Test report 57

Annex A (informative) Co-location of sensors, deployment and management of a network of sensor systems 62
A.1 Background 62
A.2 Co-location and deployment 62
A.2.1 Individual sensor systems 62
A.2.2 Sensor networks 63
A.3 Calibration and validation of networks 64

Annex B (informative) Guidance on the testing of CO_2 sensor systems 66
B.1 Introduction 66
B.2 Principle of operation and general requirements for the performance of the tests 66
B.3 Data quality objectives and performance requirements 66
B.4 Laboratory tests 67
B.5 Field tests 68
B.6 Calculations 68

Annex C (informative) Guidance for the design of an exposure chamber 69

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d957dcef-ce41-44b1-b65f-80fcbc8f0a3d/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-17660-1-2021

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021

Annex D (informative) Evaluation of the effect of wind velocity on the sensor system measurements 71
Annex E (normative) Evaluation of the effect of atmospheric pressure on the sensor system measurements 73
Annex F (informative) Evaluation of the effect of electromagnetic fields on the sensor system measurements 75
Annex G (informative) Air composition in different outdoor type of sites 76
Annex H (informative) Selecting the climate for a field trial site 79
Annex I (normative) Ordinary least square regression formulas 81
Annex J (normative) Values for $u(\mathrm{bs},\mathrm{RM})$ 83
Annex K (informative) Example of determination of measurement uncertainty 86
K.1 General 86
K.2 Preliminary check 86
K.3 Between sensor systems and reference method 86
K.4 Measurement uncertainty 86
Bibliography 91

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d957dcef-ce41-44b1-b65f-80fcbc8f0a3d/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-17660-1-2021

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021

European foreword

This document (FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 264 “Air quality”, the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

This document is currently submitted to the Formal Vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document is Part 1 of a series of documents published under the general title *Air quality — Performance evaluation of air quality sensor systems*.

Part 1 covers the performance evaluation of air quality sensor systems for gaseous pollutants in ambient air.

Part 2 covers the performance evaluation of air quality sensor systems for particulate pollutants in ambient air.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d957dcef-ce41-44b1-b65f-80fcbc8f0a3d/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-17660-1-2021

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021

Introduction

Sensor systems are generally seen as emerging measuring devices for the monitoring of air quality. Sensor systems provide a fast and low-cost alternative to the reference methods as defined in Directive 2008/50/EC on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe [1]. Sensor systems could allow for air pollution monitoring at a lower cost and with a higher spatial density than with the reference methods. They also allow for new air pollution applications when coupled with the global positioning system (GPS), global system for mobile communications (GSM) and smartphones including monitoring in complex topographies, at traffic junctions, in street canyons, at remote sites and for citizen science studies; e.g. monitoring around sensitive receptors, schools, or parks.

Sensor systems are making use of one or more low-cost sensors that are based on several principles of operations, e.g. amperometric sensors, metal oxides, optical sensors (infra-red absorption). However, sensor systems share some common features regarding their portability and low-cost compared to traditional reference methods. Typically, sensor systems are able to continuously monitor air pollution, with fast response times ranging between a few tens of seconds and a few minutes.

Currently, the use of sensor systems for air quality monitoring is limited by the occasional low accuracy of measurements that they can achieve. Additionally, there was no unambiguous protocol of evaluation of sensor systems with a structured metrological approach, able to ensure traceability from sensor system measurements to national and international standards. A protocol will enable exhaustive and transparent evaluations of sensor systems that can be an important step to include sensor system measurements into the monitoring of air quality for regulatory and non-regulatory purposes.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

The protocol presented in this document applies to sensor systems and supports the requirements of Directive 2008/50/EC. The presented procedure evaluates if the measurement uncertainty defined in Directive 2008/50/EC as data quality objectives for indicative measurements and for objective estimation is met. However, the protocol additionally allows for a less demanding evaluation of the performance of sensor systems for non-regulatory measurements.

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d957dcef-ce41-44b1-b65f-80fcbc8ffa3d/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-17660-1-2021

The protocol applies to sensor systems as individual measurement devices. This protocol does not apply to sensor systems as nodes in a sensor network. Annex A gives information on the use of sensor systems in sensor networks.

This document defines common procedures and requirements for the evaluation of the performance of sensor systems to facilitate mutual recognition by the relevant bodies or stakeholders and thereby minimise both administrative and cost burdens on manufacturers. It does not describe the roles and responsibilities of manufacturers, test laboratories and relevant bodies under these procedures.

In addition to the gaseous pollutants regulated in Directive 2008/50/EC, carbon dioxide is considered in the scope of this protocol although this compound is not listed in Directive 2008/50/EC. Consequently, there is no data quality objective for carbon dioxide. The World Health Organisation (WHO) has not set any guidelines for carbon dioxide. However, there is a growing interest in monitoring carbon dioxide in ambient air with sensor systems.

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021

1 Scope

This document specifies the general principles, including testing procedures and requirements, for the classification of performance of low-cost sensor systems for the monitoring of gaseous compounds in ambient air at fixed sites. The classification of sensor systems includes tests that are performed under prescribed laboratory and field conditions.

The procedure described is applicable to the determination of the mass concentration of air pollutants. The pollutants that are considered in this document are the gaseous pollutants regulated under Directive 2008/50/EC (O_3, $NO/NO_2/NO_x$, CO, SO_2 and benzene) in the range of concentrations expected in ambient air.

This document provides a classification that is consistent with the requirements for indicative measurements and objective estimation defined in Directive 2008/50/EC. In addition, it provides a classification for applications (non-regulatory measurements) that require more relaxed performance criteria.

This document applies to sensor systems used as individual systems. It does not apply to sensor systems as part of a sensor network. However, for some applications (e.g. in cities) sensor systems are deployed as part of a sensor network. Annex A gives information on the use of sensor systems as nodes in a sensor network.

This document gives guidance on the testing of CO_2 sensor systems in Annex B since, although not listed in Directive 2008/50/EC, CO_2 is an interesting indicator as proxy for activities involving combustion processes or for CO_2 evaporation from soil or water.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

2 Normative references

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d957dcef-ce41-44b1-b65f-80fcbc8f0a3d/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-17660-1-2021

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN ISO 14956:2006, *Air quality — Evaluation of the suitability of a measurement procedure by comparison with a required measurement uncertainty (ISO 14956:2002)*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

— IEC Electropedia: available at http://www.electropedia.org/

— ISO Online browsing platform: available at http://www.iso.org/obp

3.1
ambient air
outdoor air in the troposphere where provisions concerning health and safety at work apply and to which members of the public do not have regular access

[SOURCE: Directive 2008/50/EC]

Note 1 to entry: This does not include workplaces defined by Directive 89/654/EC.

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021

3.2
sensor
individual sensor
chemical cell or physical unit that produces an analytically useful signal by detecting or measuring the analyte

3.3
sensor system
sensor node
single integrated set of hardware that uses one or more sensors to detect and/or measure a chemical concentration or quantity that is able to supply real time measurements

Note 1 to entry: The term “instrument” has a very similar definition, but many researchers are typically referring to a reference grade device when using the word “instrument”.

Note 2 to entry: All the tests that are intended in this document are designed for sensor systems only.

Note 3 to entry: Sensor systems contain a number of common components in addition to the basic sensing or analytical element that is used for detection. Common core components and functions can include:

— sensing element or detector (actually the sensor);

— sampling capability (active or passive sampling);

— power systems, including batteries;

— analogue to digital conversion;

— signal processing;

— local data storage;

— data transmission;

— housing or casing.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d957dcef-ce41-44b1-b65f-80fcbc8f0a3d/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-17660-1-2021

3.4
class 1 sensor system
measuring device delivering data that are at minimum consistent with the data quality objectives of indicative measurements

Note 1 to entry: The term “indicative measurement” refers to the definition in Directive 2008/50/EC and not to the performance of the sensor system.

3.5
class 2 sensor system
measuring device delivering data that are at minimum consistent with the data quality objectives of objective estimations

Note 1 to entry: The term “objective estimation” refers to the definition in Directive 2008/50/EC and not to the performance of the sensor system.

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021

3.6
class 3 sensor system
measuring device delivering data that comply with a relaxed target measurement uncertainty, but are not formally associated with any mandatory data quality objective

Note 1 to entry: Relaxed target measurement uncertainty are given in Table 3.

3.7
exposure chamber
volume that can be sealed with controlled conditions of temperature, humidity and test gas volume fraction, used for performing the test on the sensor system

3.8
averaging period
period of time for which a limit value is associated

Note 1 to entry: For this document, the averaging period for field measurements is default to 1 h if the averaging period in Directive 2008/50/EC is greater than 1 h.

3.9
independent measurement
measurement that is not influenced by a previous individual measurement, by separating two individual measurements by at least four response times

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

3.10
individual measurement
measurement averaged over a time period equal to the response time of the sensor system

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d957dcef-ce41-44b1-b65f-80fcbc8f0a3d/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-17660-1-2021

[SOURCE: adapted from EN 14211:2012, 3.15]

Note 1 to entry: This definition differs from the meaning of the concept “individual measurement” in Directive 2008/50/EC.

3.11
cold start
initial start after a shutdown or a long maintenance

Note 1 to entry: While the durations can vary, typically a cold start follows a shutdown of at least 48 h (2 days or more).

3.12
warm start
restart after a short maintenance period of typically 1 h

3.13
hot start
restart after a shutdown period of a few minutes

3.14
shelf life
maximum storage period before use as stated by the manufacturer

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021

3.15
zero gas
gas or gas mixture used to produce the zero response of a given analytical procedure or measuring device for a given range of content

[SOURCE: ISO 7504:2015, 4.6]

3.16
calibration
operation that, under specified conditions, in a first step, establishes a relation between the quantity values with measurement uncertainties provided by measurement standards and corresponding indications with associated measurement uncertainties and, in a second step, uses this information to establish a relation for obtaining a measurement result from an indication

[SOURCE: JCGM 200:2012, 2.39]

Note 1 to entry: A calibration can be expressed by a statement, calibration function, calibration diagram, calibration curve, or calibration table. In some cases, it can consist of an additive or multiplicative correction of the indication with associated measurement uncertainty. Calibration should not be confused with adjustment of a measuring system, often mistakenly called “self-calibration”, nor with verification of calibration.

Note 2 to entry: This document does not describe the process of calibration of sensor systems.

3.17
drift
iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)
continuous or incremental change over time in measurement, due to changes in properties of a sensor system

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d957dcef-ce41-44b1-b65f-80fcbc8f0a3d/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-17660-1-2021

Note 1 to entry: The drift is related neither to a change in a quantity being measured nor to a change of any recognized influence quantity.

3.18
memory effect
effect of previous values of the measurand on the current measurement results

[SOURCE: EN ISO 9169:2006, 2.1.21]

Note 1 to entry: Memory effect can be quantified by the difference between the upscale and downscale measurements starting from fixed lower and upper measurement values.

3.19
detection limit
limit of detection
measured quantity value, obtained by a given measurement procedure, for which the probability of falsely claiming the absence of a component in a material is β, given a probability α of falsely claiming its presence

Note 1 to entry: IUPAC recommends default values for α and β equal to 0,05.

Note 2 to entry: The abbreviation LOD is sometimes used.

Note 3 to entry: The term “sensitivity” is discouraged for “detection limit”.

[SOURCE: JCGM 200:2012, 4.18]

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021

3.20
repeatability of results of measurements
repeatability
closeness of the agreement between the results of successive measurements of the same measurand carried out under the same conditions of measurement

Note 1 to entry: These conditions are called repeatability conditions.

Note 2 to entry: Repeatability conditions include:

— the same measurement procedure;

— the same observer;

— the same measuring instrument, used under the same conditions;

— the same location;

— repetition over a short period of time.

Note 3 to entry: Repeatability can be expressed quantitatively in terms of the dispersion characteristics of the results.

[SOURCE: VIM:1993, 3.6]

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

3.21
selectivity of a measuring system
selectivity
property of a measuring system, used with a specified measurement procedure, whereby it provides measured quantity values for one or more measurands such that the values of each measurand are independent of other measurands or other quantities in the phenomenon, body, or substance being investigated

[SOURCE: JCGM 200:2012, 4.13]

Note 1 to entry: In chemistry, the measured quantities often involve different components in the system undergoing measurement and these quantities are not necessarily of the same kind.

Note 2 to entry: In chemistry, selectivity of a measuring system is usually obtained for quantities with selected components in concentrations within stated intervals.

3.22
sensitivity of a measuring system
sensitivity
quotient of the change in an indication of a measuring system and the corresponding change in a value of a quantity being measured

Note 1 to entry: Sensitivity of a measuring system can depend on the value of the quantity being measured.

Note 2 to entry: The change considered in a value of a quantity being measured must be large compared with the resolution.

[SOURCE: JCGM 200:2012, 4.12]

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021

3.23
stability of a measuring instrument
stability
property of a measuring instrument, whereby its metrological properties remain constant in time

[SOURCE: JCGM 200:2012, 4.19]

Note 1 to entry: Stability can be quantified in several ways, e.g. in terms of the duration of a time interval over which a metrological property changes by a stated amount, or in terms of the change of a property over a stated time interval.

3.24
uncertainty of measurement
measurement uncertainty
uncertainty
non-negative parameter characterizing the dispersion of the quantity values being attributed to a measurand, based on the information used

Note 1 to entry: Measurement uncertainty includes components arising from systematic effects, such as components associated with corrections and the assigned quantity values of measurement standards, as well as the definitional uncertainty. Sometimes estimated systematic effects are not corrected for, but instead, associated measurement uncertainty components are incorporated.

Note 2 to entry: The parameter may be, for example, a standard deviation called standard measurement uncertainty (or a specified multiple of it), or the half-width of an interval, having a stated coverage probability.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

Note 3 to entry: Measurement uncertainty comprises, in general, many components. Some of these may be evaluated by Type A evaluation of measurement uncertainty from the statistical distribution of the quantity values from series of measurements and can be characterized by standard deviations. The other components, which may be evaluated by Type B evaluation of measurement uncertainty, can also be characterized by standard deviations, evaluated from probability density functions based on experience or other information.

SIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/0f5e1ed1-4f87-4e58-
b0bb-8e4e3e1e4e4e/sist-ts-fprcen-ts-17660-1-2021

Note 4 to entry: In general, for a given set of information, it is understood that the measurement uncertainty is associated with a stated quantity value attributed to the measurand. A modification of this value results in a modification of the associated uncertainty.

[SOURCE: JCGM 200:2012, 2.26]

3.25
combined standard measurement uncertainty
standard measurement uncertainty that is obtained using the individual standard measurement uncertainties associated with the input quantities in a measurement model

[SOURCE: JCGM 200:2012, 2.31]

3.26

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021

expanded measurement uncertainty
expanded uncertainty
product of a combined standard measurement uncertainty and a factor larger than the number one

Note 1 to entry: The factor depends upon the type of probability distribution of the output quantity in a measurement model and on the selected coverage probability.

Note 2 to entry: The term "factor" in this definition refers to a coverage factor.

Note 3 to entry: Expanded measurement uncertainty is termed "overall uncertainty" in paragraph 5 of Recommendation INC-1 (1980) (see the GUM) and simply "uncertainty" in IEC documents.

[SOURCE: JCGM 200:2012, 2.35]

3.27
coverage factor
number larger than one by which a combined standard measurement uncertainty is multiplied to obtain an expanded measurement uncertainty

Note 1 to entry: A coverage factor is usually symbolized k.

[SOURCE: JCGM 200:2012, 2.38]

4 Symbols and abbreviations

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

4.1 Symbols

For the purposes of this document, the following symbols apply.

kSIST-TS FprCEN/TS 17660-1:2021
https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/d957dcef-ce41-44b1-b65f-80fc8c8f0a3d/ksist-ts-fprcen-ts-17660-1-2021

NOTE In the following list, all symbols related to the measurement uncertainty of source contributions are indicated as standard uncertainty, e. g. $u(X)$. However, in the text expanded uncertainties of the same source contributions can be used, e. g. $U(X)$.

a	intercept of the regression line
A	average of the values y_i
b	slope of the regression line
b_a	average of the slope of the regression line at zero and highest test level
c	intercept of the corrected dataset
C_a	average of the values C_i
C_i	i^{th} value of the sensor system response
$\hat{C}_i$	i^{th} value of the concentration estimated using a linear regression
$C_{\mathrm{int,max}}$	maximum concentration of interfering compound
$C_{\mathrm{int,min}}$	minimum concentration of interfering compound
$C_{\mathrm{s},0}$	average concentration of the measurements at the highest test level at the beginning of the drift period
$C_{\mathrm{s},1}$	average concentration of the measurements at the highest test level at the end of the drift period
C_{emf,X_1}	value of the sensor system response at tested electromagnetic field level $X_{\mathrm{emf},1}$