

**SLOVENSKI STANDARD
SIST-TS CLC/TS 50136-9:2017****01-oktober-2017****Nadomešča:**
SIST-TS CLC/TS 50136-9:2014

Alarmni sistemi - Sistemi in oprema za prenos alarma – 9. del: Zahteve za skupni protokol za prenos alarma po internetnem protokolu

Alarm systems - Alarm transmission systems and equipment - Part 9: Requirements for common protocol for alarm transmission using the Internet Protocol (IP)

Alarmanlagen - Alarmübertragungsanlagen- und -einrichtungen - Teil 9: Anforderungen an standardisierte Protokolle zur Alarmübertragung unter Nutzung des Internetprotokolls (IP)

[SIST-TS CLC/TS 50136-9:2017](#)

Systèmes d'alarmes - Systèmes et équipements de transmission d'alarme - Partie 9 : Exigences pour le protocole commun de transmission d'alarme utilisant le protocole Internet (IP)

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: CLC/TS 50136-9:2017

ICS:

13.320	Alarmni in opozorilni sistemi	Alarm and warning systems
33.040.40	Podatkovna komunikacijska omrežja	Data communication networks

SIST-TS CLC/TS 50136-9:2017 **en**

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[SIST-TS CLC/TS 50136-9:2017](#)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b97f1291-3021-4bb9-b46f-3a69d17a558b/sist-ts-clc-ts-50136-9-2017>

TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION
SPÉCIFICATION TECHNIQUE
TECHNISCHE SPEZIFIKATION

CLC/TS 50136-9

August 2017

ICS 13.320; 33.040.40

Supersedes CLC/TS 50136-9:2013

English Version

**Alarm systems - Alarm transmission systems and equipment -
Part 9: Requirements for common protocol for alarm
transmission using the Internet Protocol (IP)**

Systèmes d'alarmes - Systèmes et équipements de
transmission d'alarme - Partie 9 : Exigences pour le
protocole commun de transmission d'alarme utilisant le
protocole Internet (IP)

Alarmanlagen - Alarmübertragungsanlagen und -
einrichtungen - Teil 9: Anforderungen an standardisierte
Protokolle zur Alarmübertragung unter Nutzung des
Internetprotokolls (IP)

This Technical Specification was approved by CENELEC on 2017-05-29.

CENELEC members are required to announce the existence of this TS in the same way as for an EN and to make the TS available promptly at national level in an appropriate form. It is permissible to keep conflicting national standards in force.

CENELEC members are the national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and the United Kingdom.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[SIST-TS CLC/TS 50136-9:2017](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b97f1291-3021-4bb9-b46f-3a69d17a558b/sist-ts-clc-ts-50136-9-2017)
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b97f1291-3021-4bb9-b46f-3a69d17a558b/sist-ts-clc-ts-50136-9-2017>



European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization
Comité Européen de Normalisation Electrotechnique
Europäisches Komitee für Elektrotechnische Normung

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

Contents

	Page
European foreword	6
1 Scope	7
2 Normative references	7
3 Terms, definitions and abbreviations	7
3.1 Terms and definitions	7
3.2 Abbreviations.....	7
4 Objective	8
5 Messaging	8
5.1 General	8
5.2 Message format overview.....	9
5.2.1 General.....	9
5.2.2 Identifiers.....	9
5.2.3 Message format.....	10
5.2.4 Connection handle.....	11
5.2.5 Device ID	11
5.2.6 Message ID	12
5.2.7 Message Length	13
5.2.8 Sequence numbers.....	13
5.2.9 Flags	13
5.3 Padding and message length.....	13
5.3.1 General.....	13
5.3.2 Message Length.....	14
5.4 Hashing	14
5.4.1 General.....	14
5.4.2 http://standards.iteh.ai	14
5.4.3 Invalid hash – transmitter response.....	14
5.4.4 Invalid hash – receiver response.....	14
5.5 Encryption	14
5.5.1 General.....	14
5.5.2 Key exchange.....	15
5.6 Timeouts and retries.....	15
5.7 Version number	16
5.8 Reverse commands.....	16
5.9 Initial values.....	16
6 Message types	17
6.1 Path supervision.....	17
6.1.1 General.....	17
6.1.2 Poll message	17
6.1.3 Poll response.....	18
6.2 Event message format.....	18
6.2.1 General.....	18
6.2.2 Event field.....	20
6.2.3 Time event field	20
6.2.4 Time message field.....	20
6.2.5 Link field – IP Address	21
6.2.6 Link field – Port number	21
6.2.7 Link field – URL	21
6.2.8 Link field - Filename.....	22
6.2.9 Alarm Text	22
6.2.10 Site Name.....	22
6.2.11 Building Name	22
6.2.12 Location.....	22
6.2.13 Room.....	23

6.2.14	Alarm Trigger.....	23
6.2.15	Longitude.....	23
6.2.16	Latitude.....	23
6.2.17	Altitude	24
6.3	Event response format	24
6.4	Configuration messages.....	25
6.4.1	General.....	25
6.4.2	Connection handle request.....	25
6.4.3	Connection handle response	25
6.4.4	Device ID request	26
6.4.5	Device ID response	27
6.4.6	Encryption selection request.....	27
6.4.7	Encryption selection response	28
6.4.8	Encryption key exchange request.....	28
6.4.9	Encryption key exchange response	29
6.4.10	Hash selection request	29
6.4.11	Hash selection response	30
6.4.12	Path supervision request	30
6.4.13	Path supervision response.....	31
6.4.14	Set time command.....	31
6.4.15	Set time response.....	31
6.4.16	Protocol version request.....	32
6.4.17	Protocol version response	32
6.4.18	Transparent message	33
6.4.19	Transparent response.....	33
6.4.20	DTLS completed request.....	34
6.4.21	DTLS completed response	34
6.4.22	RCT IP parameter request.....	35
6.4.23	RCT IP parameter response	35
7	Commissioning and connection setup	36
7.1	Commissioning.....	36
7.1.1	General.....	36
7.1.2	Procedures	36
7.1.3	Commissioning message sequence	36
7.1.4	Commissioning using Shared Secret	37
7.1.5	Commissioning using X.509 Certificates and DTLS	38
7.2	Connection setup	39
	Annex A (normative) Result codes	41
	Annex B (normative) Protocol Identifiers	42
	Annex C (normative) Shared secret	43
	C.1 Formatting of the shared secret.....	43
	C.2 Checksum for Shared Secret Formatting.....	43
	C.3 Example of Secret Encoding and Formatting	43
	Annex D (informative) Examples of messaging sequences	44
	D.1 Commissioning	44
	D.2 Connection setup.....	48
	Annex E (informative) Examples of application protocols	51
	E.1 SIA	51
	E.2 Ademco Contact ID.....	51
	E.3 Scancom Fast Format	52
	E.4 VdS 2465	52
	Annex F (informative) Design principles	54
	F.1 General.....	54

F.2 Information security	54
F.3 Use of UDP signalling	54
Bibliography.....	55

Tables

Table 1 — Backwards compatibility	9
Table 2 — Backwards compatibility result code.....	9
Table 3 — Identifiers	9
Table 4 — Basic unencrypted format of messages	10
Table 5 — Basic encrypted format of messages	10
Table 6 — Message ID overview	12
Table 7 — Flags	13
Table 8 — Hashing ID's.....	14
Table 9 — Encryption ID's	15
Table 10 — Reverse commands	16
Table 11 — Initial values	17
Table 12 — Poll message SPT ← → RCT	17
Table 13 — Poll response RCT ← → SPT	18
Table 14 — Poll response - result code	18
Table 15 — Event message format – SPT → RCT.....	19
Table 16 — Event message format – Fields.....	19
Table 17 — Event field	20
Table 18 — Time event field	20
Table 19 — Time message field	21
Table 20 — Link field – IP Address	21
Table 21 — Link field – Port number	21
Table 22 — Link field – URL	21
Table 23 — Link field – Filename	22
Table 24 — Alarm Text	22
Table 25 — Site Name	22
Table 26 — Building Name	22
Table 27 — Location	23
Table 28 — Room	23
Table 29 — Alarm Trigger	23
Table 30 — Longitude	23
Table 31 — Latitude	24
Table 32 — Altitude	24
Table 33 — Event response message format	24
Table 34 — Event response - result code	24
Table 35 — Connection handle request message format	25
Table 36 — Connection handle response message format	26
Table 37 — Connection handle response - result code	26
Table 38 — Device ID request message format	26
Table 39 — Device ID request flags	27
Table 40 — Device ID response message format	27
Table 41 — Encryption selection request message format	27
Table 42 — ‘Master Encryption Selection request’ flag	28

Table 43 — Encryption selection response message format	28
Table 44 — Encryption selection response - result code	28
Table 45 — Encryption key exchange request message format	28
Table 46 — ‘Master Key request’ flag	29
Table 47 — Encryption key exchange response message format	29
Table 48 — Encryption key - result code	29
Table 49 — Hash selection request message format	30
Table 50 — Hash selection response message format	30
Table 51 — Path supervision request message format.....	30
Table 52 — Path supervision response message format.....	31
Table 53 — Path supervision response - result code	31
Table 54 — Set time command message format.....	31
Table 55 — Set time response message format.....	32
Table 56 — Set time response - result code	32
Table 57 — Protocol version request message format	32
Table 58 — Protocol version response message format	33
Table 59 — Protocol version response - result code	33
Table 60— Transparent message format	33
Table 61 — Transparent response format	33
Table 62 — Transparent response - result code	34
Table 63 — DTLS completed request message format	34
Table 64 — DTLS completed response message format	34
Table 65 — DTLS completed response - result code	34
Table 66 — RCT IP parameter request message format	35
Table 67 — RCT IP parameter response message format	35
Table 59 — RCT IP parameter response - result code.....	35
Table 68 — Message flow during the commissioning of a new SPT	36
Table 69 — Message flow during connection setup.....	40
Table A.1 — Result codes.....	41
Table B.1 — Protocol identifiers	42
Table D1 — Example of the commissioning messaging sequence	45
Table D.2 — Example of the connection setup messaging sequence.....	48
Table E.1 — VdS2465 message example	53

European foreword

This document (CLC/TS 50136-9:2017) has been prepared by CLC/TC 79 “*Alarm systems*”.

This document supersedes CLC/TS 50136-9:2013.

This technical specification specifies a common IP transport protocol for alarm transmission. The published version (2013, first version) required solving both technical and security issues identified during the first actual implementations of the protocol. The working group was working closely with the early adopters of the protocol and has a very clear and complete list of issues and solutions. This revision supersedes the previous version.

EN 50136 will consist of the following parts, under the general title “*Alarm systems - Alarm transmission systems and equipment*”:

- Part 1 General requirements for alarm transmission systems
- Part 2 General requirements for Supervised Premises Transceiver (SPT)
- Part 3 Requirements for Receiving Centre Transceiver (RCT)
- Part 4 Annunciation equipment used in alarm receiving centres
- Part 5 (Free) **Teh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)**
- Part 6 (Free) [SIST-TS CLC/TS 50136-9:2017](#)
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b97f1291-3021-4bb9-b46f-3a69d17a558b/sist-ts-clc-ts-50136-9-2017>
- Part 7 Application guidelines
- Part 8 (Free) [SIST-TS CLC/TS 50136-9:2017](#)
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b97f1291-3021-4bb9-b46f-3a69d17a558b/sist-ts-clc-ts-50136-9-2017>
- Part 9 Requirements for a common protocol for alarm transmission using the Internet Protocol (IP)

1 Scope

This Technical Specification specifies a protocol for point-to-point transmission of alarms and faults, as well as communications monitoring, between a Supervised Premises Transceiver and a Receiving Centre Transceiver using the Internet Protocol (IP).

The protocol is intended for use over any network that supports the transmission of IP data. These include Ethernet, xDSL, GPRS, WiFi, UMTS and WIMAX.

The system performance characteristics for alarm transmission are specified in EN 50136-1.

The performance characteristics of the supervised premises equipment should comply with the requirements of its associated alarm system standard and applies for transmission of all types of alarms including, but not limited to, fire, intrusion, access control and social alarms.

Compliance with this Technical Specification is voluntary.

2 Normative references

The following documents, in whole or in part, are normatively referenced in this document and are indispensable for its application. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 50136-1:2012, *Alarm systems - Alarm transmission systems and equipment - Part 1: General requirements for alarm transmission systems*

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

3 Terms, definitions and abbreviations

3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in EN 50136-1:2012 apply.
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist-ts-clc-ts-50136-9-2017>

[3a69d17a558b/sist-ts-clc-ts-50136-9-2017](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist-ts-clc-ts-50136-9-2017)

For the purposes of this document, the following abbreviations apply.

AES	Advanced Encryption Standard
ARC	Alarm Receiving Centre
ATP	Alarm Transmission Path
ATS	Alarm Transmission System
CA	X.509 Certificate Authority
CBC	Cipher Block Chaining
CRC	Cyclic redundancy check
DNS	Domain Name System
DTLS	Datagram Transport Layer Security
HL	Header Length
IP	Internet Protocol
IV	Initialization Vector
MAC	Media Access Control
MTU	Maximum Transmission Unit
NAT	Network Address Translation
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
NTP	Network Time Protocol

CLC/TS 50136-9:2017 (E)

NVM	Non-Volatile Memory
P-MTU	Path Maximum Transmission Unit
RCT	Receiver Centre Transceiver
RX	Receive
SCTP	Stream Control Transmission Protocol
SNTP	Simple Network Time Protocol
SPT	Supervised Premises Transceiver
TFTP	Trivial File Transfer Protocol
TX	Transmit
UDP	User Datagram Protocol
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier
URL	Uniform Resource Locator
UTC	Coordinated Universal Time
WS	Window Size

4 Objective

The object of this Technical Specification is to specify the protocol details (transport and application layers) for alarm transmission systems using Internet Protocol (IP), to ensure interoperability between SPTs and RCTs supplied by different manufacturers. Mechanisms to commission SPT and RCT and build mutual trust between the communicating parties are also described.

As compliance with this Technical Specification is voluntary, any other alarm transmission protocol or equipment not covered by this Technical Specification may be used, provided that the requirements of EN 50136-1 are met.

3a69d17a558b/sist-ts-clc-ts-50136-9-2017

The protocol and its elements concern one ATP only.

This protocol is designed to run on top of UDP and is designed to support both IPv4 and IPv6.

NOTE For further discussion of IP and UDP in alarm transmission please see F.3.

5 Messaging**5.1 General**

This clause defines the messaging layer, on top of which the alarm event data are transmitted using the existing reporting formats like for example Sia and Contact ID. Clause 7 defines the initial commissioning of an SPT, as well as how SPTs connect to the RCT.

The functionality of the alarm messaging and polling protocol includes:

- exchanging master and session parameters;
- (alarm) event reporting (including linking to out-of-band additional data related to events, like audio/video);
- line monitoring;
- transparent message transmission, e.g. vendor specific messages that, for example, can be used for remote commands from RCT to SPT.

It fulfils the following requirements:

- encryption, fulfilling requirements for most demanding category of EN 50136-1;
- authentication, fulfilling requirements for most demanding category of EN 50136-1;
- SPT: allows a broad range of hardware (limited demands on memory footprint as well as CPU power);
- RCT: allows support for at least 10 000 SPTs in compliance with any category in EN 50136-1, using modern general purpose server hardware;
- allow Dynamic IP addresses of the SPTs;
- allow one or more SPTs to be placed behind a NAT firewall.

5.2 Message format overview

5.2.1 General

This subclause describes the basic outline of all messages.

Each message shall be explicitly acknowledged, including line supervision messages.

Backwards compatibility is achieved by the implementation of a response with the same message id as the unknown message, however with bit 7 set, with the result code:

“RESP_CMD_NOT_SUPPORTED”.

Table 1 — Backwards compatibility

Byte index	Bytes	TS CLC/TS 50136-9:2017	Description
0	HL	https://standards.itech.ai/catalog/standards/sist/1/0701291-2021-4bb9-146f-3a69d17a558b/sist-ts-clc-ts-50136-9-2017	Header, Message ID and Message Length
HL	1		Result code
			Padding
			Hash

Table 2 — Backwards compatibility result code

Description	Purpose Description
RESP_CMD_NOT_SUPPORTED	If the message ID is not supported by the RCT

Multi-byte values will be transmitted using network byte order (big-endian).

5.2.2 Identifiers

The following identifiers exist:

Table 3 — Identifiers

Description	Purpose	Present in	Encrypted	See
Connection Handle	Look up the current symmetric encryption key	All messages	No	5.2.4
Device ID	Uniquely identify the hardware		N / A	5.2.5

CLC/TS 50136-9:2017 (E)

The Connection Handle is unencrypted. It is a unique number, initialized during the setup of the connection. Its sole purpose is to be able to look up the encryption key. It is valid for the communication session only.

The Device ID uniquely identifies the hardware once the connection has been established.

NOTE Device ID is not equivalent to any account code or similar ID specified by application protocol.

The Device ID shall be stored in non-volatile memory within the SPT.

The IP address is not used for identification purposes, in order to allow for the use of dynamic or translated IP addresses.

5.2.3 Message format

The basic unencrypted format of all messages is as follows. Message in this format is never transmitted. It is described here only to clarify the hash value calculation.

Table 4 — Basic unencrypted format of messages

Byte Index	Bytes	Description	See	Group
0	4	Connection handle	5.2.4	Header
4	2	Tx Sequence number	5.2.8	
6	2	Rx Sequence number	5.2.8	
8	2	Flags	5.2.9	
10	1	Protocol version number	5.7	
11	1	Message ID	5.2.6	
12	2	Message Length	5.2.7	
14	n	Message data	Clause 6	Message
14 + n	n	Padding	6.3	

The basic encrypted, transmitted format of all messages is as follows. Note that the Device ID field is not included in the encrypted message and its value is not used to compute the message hash value i.e. the hash is calculated from the unencrypted version of the message described above.

Table 5 — Basic encrypted format of messages

Byte Index	Bytes	Description	See	Encrypted	Group
0	4	Connection Handle	5.2.4	No	Header
4	2	Tx Sequence number	5.2.8	Yes	
6	2	Rx Sequence number	5.2.8	Yes	
8	2	Flags	5.2.9	Yes	
10	1	Protocol version number	5.7	Yes	
11	1	Message ID	5.2.6	Yes	
12	2	Message Length	5.2.7	Yes	
14	n	Message data	Clause 6	Yes	Message
14 + n		Padding	5.3.1	Yes	Tail
	32	Hash – SHA-256, or Hash – RIPEMD-256	5.4	Yes	

The Connection Handle is unencrypted; the remainder of the message (from Tx Sequence Number up to and including Hash) is encrypted using the encryption method as negotiated during the commissioning stage.

Message ID's are defined in pairs: each message has its matching response. For responses the first byte of the Message Data always holds a 'Result code' as defined in Annex A.

All fields are described in detail in the following subclauses.

5.2.4 Connection handle

The Connection Handle is assigned (uniquely for the RCT to which a SPT reports) using the commissioning protocol. The RCT creates a unique Connection Handle and links this to the Device ID of the SPT in its internal database. This translation results in a compact, fixed length Connection Handle.

The purpose of the Connection Handle is to be able to determine the encryption key to be used to decrypt the received message, independent of the IP address of the message.

The Connection Handle is a 32-bit binary value.

For the purpose of commissioning a SPT, the RCT will present a one-time-connection-handle to the installer/operator. It will be shared/represented as a hexadecimal number (consisting of eight characters).

The final Connection Handle as in use after the commissioning phase is not a (by the installer/operator) configurable parameter, nor made visible on user interfaces. It is used internally by the SPT/RCT equipment only.

The STANDARD PREVIEW

(standards.iteh.ai)

5.2.5 Device ID

5.2.5.1 General

SIST-TS CLC/TS 50136-9:2017

The Device ID uniquely identifies the SPT

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b97f1291-3021-4bb9-b46f>

Within the message header, the Device ID itself is never transmitted.

Device ID is 16 bytes long.

5.2.5.2 SPT Device ID

The Device ID of the SPT is an ID that is random to the SPT, but fixed and read-only over the lifetime of the SPT, i.e. a hardware serial number. It is unique within the SPT database in the RCT.

The Device ID is created during manufacturing time of the device; in messaging, it is never transmitted itself in cleartext, but is needed to be known in cleartext for the ARC to configure the RCT accordingly.

Thus, it is only transmitted during initial commissioning phase to the RCT.

Uniqueness is ensured by the following principles:

- Each SPT manufacturer shall use his 24 bits "Organizationally Unique Identifier" as assigned to him by the IEEE for MAC-address generation.
- Each SPT manufacturer not having such a code shall attend for such a code from IEEE.
- If an interface in the SPT makes use of a MAC address, the next 24 bits in the device ID shall be the same as the rest of MAC address specified by the manufacturer. If such interface does not exist, the manufacturer shall use another numbering scheme documented by the manufacturer.
- The manufacturer shall use non-consecutive, randomly distributed numbers for the rest of the device ID field and guarantee uniqueness for all his delivered SPT devices.

5.2.6 Message ID

The Message ID's as used are listed in the following table:

Table 6 — Message ID overview

Message name	Description	Direction SPT ↔ RCT	Version	Message ID
POLL_MSG	Poll message	↔	1	0x11
EVENT_MSG	Event message	→	1	0x30
CONN_HANDLE_REQ	Connection handle request	→	1	0x40
DEVICE_ID_REQ	Device ID request	→	1	0x41
ENCRYPT_SELECT_REQ	Encryption selection request	→	1	0x42
ENCRYPT_KEY_REQ	Encryption key exchange	↔	1	0x43
HASH_SELECT_REQ	Hash selection request	→	1	0x44
PATH_SUPERVISION_REQ	Path supervision request	↔	1	0x45
SET_TIME_CMD	Set time command	←	1	0x47
VERSION_REQ	Protocol version request	→	1	0x48
DTLS_COMPLETE_REQ	DTLS completed request	→	1	0x62
RCT_IP_REQ	RCT IP parameter request	→	1	0x63
TRANSPARENT_MSG	Transparent message	↔	1	0x70
POLL_RESP	Poll Response	↔	1	0x91
EVENT_RESP	Event response	←	1	0xB0
CONN_HANDLE_RESP	Connection handle response	←	1	0xC0
DEVICE_ID_RESP	Device ID response	←	1	0xC1
ENCRYPT_SELECT_RESP	Encryption selection response	←	1	0xC2
ENCRYPT_KEY_RESP	Encryption key exchange response	↔	1	0xC3
HASH_SELECT_RESP	Hash selection response	←	1	0xC4
PATH_SUPERVISION_RESP	Path supervision response	↔	1	0xC5
SET_TIME_RESP	Set time response	→	1	0xC7
VERSION_RESP	Protocol version response	←	1	0xC8
DTLS_COMPLETE_RESP	DTLS completed response	←	1	0xE2
RCT_IP_RESP	RCT IP parameter response	←	1	0xE3
TRANSPARENT_RESP	Transparent response	↔	1	0xF0

The Message ID of any Response is the same as the Message ID of the corresponding Command, but with bit 7 set.

5.2.7 Message Length

This is the length of the Message Data (excluding Message ID and Message Length). This field is used:

- in variable length messages (see for example 6.3.1 and 6.4.19) to check for the end of data;
- to be able to determine the start of an embedded reverse command (see 5.8).

Possible padding is never considered when calculating the value of message length field.

5.2.8 Sequence numbers

The sequence number is used to determine if a message is missing or duplicated. Both ends have a transmit sequence number and a receive sequence number.

These two counters exist at both ends (e.g. we are speaking about 4 counters in total), whereas the RX_Sequence counters are used to realize a “state-full machine” implementation.

These counters are used to fulfil three simultaneous functions:

- a) Initially, both the SPT and RCT choose their TX_seqs to be a random number, then they use it as a datagram counter, incrementing them for each sent datagram by one. The RX_seqs are the expected next TX_seqs from the other communication end-point. That is: If one did see “42” as the last TX_seq coming in from the communication partner, oneself would send out “43” as next RX_seq. As the other end does this in the same style, the TX_seq and RX_seq function as a mutual sequence control mechanism.
- b) Second, they can simultaneously function as a resend-mechanism: If one detected that one missed a datagram (because for example, the incoming TX_seq is “44”, but one expected TX_seq = 43) or the one got is corrupt (by checking the hash), one just resends the own old previously sent last datagram and the other side will see by the old TX_seq that one wants to get a re-transmission. <https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/b97f1291-3021-4bb9-b46f-3a69d17a558b/sist-ts-clc-ts-50136-9-2017>
- c) Being chosen randomly and being part of the encrypted data block, they rule out replay attacks.

For each connection, every message shall be acknowledged before the next new (not retransmission) message may be transmitted.

5.2.9 Flags

The following flags are defined:

Table 7 — Flags

Byte	Bit	Definition
0	0	Reverse command included in response: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> – value 0 = no reverse command included, – value 1 = reverse command included
0	1...7	Reserved
1	0...7	Reserved

5.3 Padding and message length

5.3.1 General

Padding is required for the following two reasons:

- create a message length which is a multiple of the block length of the encryption algorithm as used;