TECHNICAL REPORT

ISO/TR 14073

First edition

Environmental management — Water footprint — Illustrative examples on how to apply ISO 14046

Management environnemental — Empreinte eau — Exemples illustrant l'application de l'ISO 14046

PROOF/ÉPREUVE



Reference number ISO/TR 14073:2016(E)



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2016, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland Tel. +41 22 749 01 11 Fax +41 22 749 09 47 copyright@iso.org www.iso.org

Co	ntent	S	Page
Fore	eword		vi
Intr	oductio	n	vii
1	Scop	e	1
2	Norn	native references	1
_			
3		ns and definitions	
4	-	ools and abbreviated terms	
	4.1 4.2	SymbolsAbbreviated terms	
_			
5		ction of the type of water footprint assessment General	3
	5.2	Choice of the type of water footprint study	
6		e ntation of the examples Example A – Water footprint inventory of two power plants	7
	0.1	6.1.1 Goal and scope	7
3 4 5 6		6.1.1 Goal and scope 6.1.2 Inventory 6.1.3 Interpretation Example B - Water footprint inventory of rice cultivation	8
		6.1.3 Interpretation	8
	6.2	Example B - Water footprint inventory of rice cultivation	8
3 T 4 S 4 4 5 S 5 6 P 6		6.2.1 Goal and scope	8
	6.3	6.2.2 Inventory Example C – Water scarcity footprint of municipal water management	9 12
	0.5	6.3.1 Goal and scope	12
		6.3.1 Goal and scope 6.3.2 Inventory 6.3.3 Impact assessment 6.3.4 Interpretation	12
		6.3.3 Impact assessment	13
		6.3.4 Interpretation	13
	6.4	Example D – Water scarcity footprint of rice cultivation (cradle-to-gate)	14
		6.4.1 Goal and scope 6.4.2 Inventory 6.4.3 Impact assessment	14 14
		6.4.3 Impact assessment	14
	6.5	Example E – Water scarcity footprint of a textile with life cycle stages in	
		different locations of the second of the sec	
		6.5.1 Goal and scope	
		6.5.2 Inventory	
		6.5.3 Impact assessment 6.5.4 Interpretation	
	6.6	Example F – Water scarcity footprint of reservoir operation, reflecting seasonality	
Introduct 1		6.6.1 Goal and scope	
		6.6.2 Inventory	
		6.6.3 Impact assessment	
	(7	6.6.4 Interpretation	18
	6.7	Example G – Water scarcity footprint and water availability footprint of packaging production	1Ω
		6.7.1 Goal and scope	
		6.7.2 Inventory	
		6.7.3 Impact assessment	
	6.8	Example H – Water scarcity footprint differentiated by source of water	
		6.8.1 Goal and scope	
		6.8.2 Inventory 6.8.3 Impact assessment	
		6.8.4 Interpretation	
	6.9	Example I – Variation of water scarcity by forest management and land use	
		6.9.1 Goal and scope	23
		6.9.2 Inventory	23

ISO/TR 14073:2016(E)

	6.9.3 Impact assessment	23
	6.9.4 Interpretation	
6.10	Example J - Water eutrophication footprint of maize cultivation, calculated as one	. 4
0.10	or two indicator results	24
	6.10.1 Goal and scope	
	6.10.2 Inventory	
	6.10.3 Impact assessment	
6.11	Example K – Comprehensive water footprint profile of packaging production	27
	6.11.1 Goal and scope	
	6.11.2 Inventory	
	6.11.3 Impact assessment	
	6.11.4 Interpretation	30
6.12	Example L – Non-comprehensive weighted water footprint of cereal cultivation	30
	6.12.1 Goal and scope	30
	6.12.2 Inventory	
	6.12.3 Impact assessment	
6.13	Example M - Water footprint of packaging production as part of a life cycle assessment	
0.10	6.13.1 Goal and scope	
	6.13.2 Inventory	
	6.13.3 Impact assessment	32
	6.13.4 Interpretation	. J _ 22
(11		
6.14	Example N – Non-comprehensive water footprint of textile production	. 33
	6.14.1 Goal and Scope	. 33
	6.14.1 Goal and Scope 6.14.2 Inventory 6.14.3 Impact assessment 6.14.4 Discussion 6.14.5 Limitations	.33
	6.14.3 Impact assessment	34
	6.14.4 Discussion	36
	6.14.5 Limitations	36
6.13 6.14 6.15 6.16	HVample () _ Non-comprehencive watchted water toothrint of minicipal	
	water management water management	37
	water management 6.15.1 Goal and scope 6.15.2 Inventory 6.15.3 Impact assessment 6.15.4 Interpretation	37
	6.15.2 Inventory	37
	6.15.3 Impact assessment	38
	615.4 Interpretation	40
616	Example P – Non-comprehensive water footprint of a company producing	. 10
0.10	chamicals (organization)	4.1
	chemicals (organization) 6.16.1 Goal and scope 15.	.TI
	6.16.2 Inventory	.41 12
	S .	
	6.16.3 Impact assessment	
	6.16.4 Interpretation	
6.17	Example Q – Water scarcity footprint of an aluminium company (organization)	
	6.17.1 Goal and scope	
	6.17.2 Inventory	
	6.17.3 Impact assessment	47
	6.17.4 Interpretation	51
6.18	Example R – Non-comprehensive direct water footprint of a hotel (organization)	
	considering seasonality	51
	6.18.1 Goal and scope	
	6.18.2 Inventory	
	6.18.3 Impact assessment	
	6.18.4 Interpretation	
	-	
Issue	s arising in water footprint studies	
7.1	Seasonality	
7.2	Use of a baseline	
7.3	Evaporation, transpiration and evapotranspiration	
7.4	Water quality	
	7.4.1 General	
	7.4.2 Relevant air and soil (and water) emissions	
7.5	Choice of indicators along the environmental mechanism	
7.5	Ghoice of mulcators along the environmental mechanism	5 /

7

7.7	Sensitivity analysis	58
Bibliography	y	60

Interest standards it shart and standards stan

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

The committee responsible for this document is Technical Committee ISO/TC 207, *Environmental management*, Subcommittee SC 5, *Life cycle assessment*

Introduction

Principles, requirements and guidelines for the quantification and reporting of a water footprint are given in ISO 14046. The water footprint assessment according to ISO 14046 can be conducted as a stand-alone assessment, where only impacts related to water are assessed, or as part of a life cycle assessment. In addition, a variety of modelling choices and approaches are possible depending on the goal and scope of the assessment. The water footprint can be reported as a single value or as a profile of impact category indicator results.

This document provides illustrative examples on the application of ISO 14046 to further enhance understanding of ISO 14046 and to facilitate its widespread application.

At the time of the publication of this document, water footprint assessment methods are developing rapidly. Practitioners are encouraged to be aware of the latest developments when undertaking water footprint studies.

These examples are for illustrative purposes only and some of the data used are fictitious. The data are not intended be used outside of the context of this document.

The Bibliography might contain references to methods that are not fully compliant with ISO 14046:2014.

and some of the data use of this document.

Loutain references to methods that are not fully compared to the data use of this document.

Loutain references to methods that are not fully compared to the data use of this document.

Loutain references to methods that are not fully compared to the data use of this document.

Loutain references to methods that are not fully compared to the data use of this document.

Loutain references to methods that are not fully compared to the data use of t

Figh Standards the heart of sandards sa

1

Environmental management — Water footprint — Illustrative examples on how to apply ISO 14046

1 Scope

This document provides illustrative examples of how to apply ISO 14046, in order to assess the water footprint of products, processes and organizations based on life cycle assessment.

The examples are presented to demonstrate particular aspects of the application of ISO 14046 and therefore do not present all of the details of an entire water footprint study report as required by ISO 14046.

NOTE The examples are presented as different ways of applying ISO 14046 and do not preclude alternative ways of calculating the water footprint, provided they are in accordance with ISO 14046.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 14046:2014, Environmental management - Water footprint — Principles, requirements and guidelines

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 14046:2014 apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at http://www.iso.org/obp
- IEC Electropedia: available at http://www.electropedia.org/

4 Symbols and abbreviated terms

4.1 Symbols

α	characterization factor

C concentration

E emission

F footprint

R rainfall

V volume

ISO/TR 14073:2016(E)

4.2 Abbreviated terms

1,4-DB 1.4-Dichlorobenzene

2,4-D 2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid

APSIM Agricultural Production Systems sIMulator

BOD Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD5 means "measured during 5 days")

CF Characterization Factor

COD Chemical Oxygen Demand

CTU Comparative Toxic Unit

NOTE 1 "CTUe" for ecosystems; "CTUh" for humans; "CTUc" for cancer; "CTUn-c" for

non-cancer.

CWUConsumptive Water Use

CWV Critical Water Volume

DALY Disability Adjusted Life Years

DWCM-AgWU Distributed Water Circulation Model Incorporating Agricultural Water Use

Evapotranspiration ET

FU **Functional Unit**

H₂O-eq Water "equivalent"

NOTE 2 Typical unit to express the impact score associated with water scarcity. Some-

times the term H_2O -eq is written H_2O eq, or H_2O e.

Life Cycle Assessment LCA

LCI Life Cycle Inventory

LCIA Life Cycle Impact Assessment

Organization Environmental Footprint **OEF**

Product Environmental Footprint PEF

PDF Potentially Disappeared Fraction of species

PAF Potentially Affected Fraction of species

RU Reporting Unit

TOC Total Organic Carbon

Water Scarcity Index WSI

3

NOTE 3 Sometimes the term water stress index (also abbreviated as WSI) is used in the literature for what is termed a water scarcity index in this document.

WSF Water Scarcity Footprint

WULCA Water Use in LCA

5 Selection of the type of water footprint assessment

5.1 General

The water footprint assessment conducted according to ISO 14046 can be:

- a stand-alone assessment where only impacts related to water are assessed;
- a part of a life cycle assessment (LCA) where consideration is given to a comprehensive set of environmental impacts, which are not only impacts related to water.

<u>Table 1</u> lists the illustrative examples in this document and the different topics that are highlighted in each example.

Table 1 — Types of water footprint assessment shown in the examples

Product/ process or organization focus	Topic highlighted a	Case study used in the example	Type of footprint	System boundary	Impact assessment method ^a
Product/ Process	Water footprint inventory	Power plant	n/a (Water foot- print inventory only)	Gate-to-gate	n/a (inventory only)
Product/ Process	Water footprint inventory using a baseline	Rice cultiva- tion	n/a (Water foot- print inventory only)	Gate-to-gate	n/a (inventory only)
Product/ Process	Option com- parison using scarcity	Municipal water manage- ment	Water scarcity footprint	Gate-to-gate	Boulay et al. (2016) (WU LCA)[5]
Product/ Process	Application of water scarcity footprint method	Rice	Water scarcity footprint	Gate-to-gate	Ridoutt and Pfister (2010)
Product/ Process	Influence of impact assessment method chosen for scarcity	Textile	Water scarcity footprint	Cradle-to- grave	Boulay et al. (2016) (WULCA) [5]; Pfister et al. (2009)[7]; Frischknecht et al. (2008) [8]; EU (2013) (PEF/OEF)[9]; Boulay et al. (2011a)[10]; Hoekstra et al. (2012) (Water Footprint Network - WFN) [11]; Berger et al. (2014)[12]
	process or organization focus Product/ Process Product/ Process Product/ Process Product/ Process Product/ Process	process or organization focus Product/ Process Influence of impact assessment method chosen for scarcity Process Product/ Process	process or organization focus Product/ Process Influence of impact assessment method chosen for scarcity Product/ Process Product/ Process Influence of impact assessment method chosen for scarcity Process Textile	process or organization focus Topic highlighted a Case study used in the example Type of footprint a Product/Process Water footprint inventory Power plant Power plant inventory using a baseline n/a (Water footprint inventory only) Product/Process Option comparison using scarcity Municipal water management Water scarcity footprint Product/Process Application of water scarcity footprint method Rice Water scarcity footprint Product/Process Influence of impact assessment method chosen Textile Water scarcity footprint	Product/Process Mater footprint inventory using a baseline Product/Process Application of water scarcity footprint method Product/Process Influence of impact assessment method chosen for scarcity Process Influence of impact assessment method chosen for scarcity Process Product/Process Influence of impact assessment method chosen for scarcity Process Influence of impact assessment method chosen for scarcity Process Influence of impact assessment method chosen for scarcity Process Influence of impact assessment method chosen for scarcity Process Influence of impact assessment method chosen for scarcity Influence of impact assessment method Influence of impact assessment method Influence of impact assessment I

All examples explicitly or implicitly contain a water footprint inventory.

 Table 1 (continued)

Example	Product/ process or organization focus	Topic highlight- ed ^a	Case study used in the example	Type of footprint a	System boundary	Impact assessment method ^a	
F	Product/ Process	Seasonality	Reservoir operation	Water scarcity footprint	Gate-to-gate	Pfister and Bayer (2014) [13]	
G	Product/ Process	Scarcity vs availability	Packaging production	Water scarcity footprint; water availability foot- print	Gate-to-gate	Boulay et al. (2011a) ^{[10}]	
Н	Product/ Process	Influence of water sources	Wheat cultiva- tion	Water scarcity footprint	Gate-to-gate	Yano et al. (2015)[¹⁴]	
I	Product/ Process	Influence of for- est management / land use change	Beer produc- tion	Water scarcity footprint	Gate-to-gate	Yano et al. (2015)[14]	
J	Product/ Process	Number of indi- cators per type of impact	Maize	Water eutrophica- tion footprint	Cradle-to- gate	EU (2013) (PEF/OEF) ^[2] ; Jolliet et al. (2003) (IM- PACT 2002+) [15]	
K	Product/ Process	Comprehensive water footprint	product	Water eutrophication footprint Water footprint (comprehensive profile)	Cradle-to- gate	Bulle et al. (2016) (IMPACT World+) ^[16] ; Rosenbaum et al. (2008) (USEtox) ^[17] ; Guinée et al. 2001 ^[19] ; EU (2013) (PEF/OEF) ^[9] ; Verones et al. (2011) ^[19] ; Boulay et al. (2016) (WULCA) ^[5] ; Boulay et al. (2011a) ^[9] ; Hannafiah et al. (2011) ^[20]	
L	Product/ Process	Applying weight- ing to obtain a single value	Cereal cultiva- tion	Non-comprehen- sive weighted water footprint	Gate-to-gate	Goedkoop et al. (2009) (ReCiPe)[21]; Ridoutt and Pfister (2010) [6]; Ridoutt and Pfister (2013)[22]	
All examples explicitly or implicitly contain a water footprint inventory.							

Table 1 (continued)

Example	Product/ process or organization focus	Topic highlight- ed ^a	Case study used in the example	Type of footprint	System boundary	Impact assessment method ^a
М	Product/ Process	Water footprint as part of an LCA	Packaging product	Water footprint as part of an LCA	Cradle-to- gate	Boulay et al. (2016) (WULCA)[5] (Water degradation footprint profile already present)
N	Product/ Process	Seasonality	Textile product	Non-compre- hensive water footprint	Cradle-to- gate	Hoekstra et al. (2012); (Water Foot- print Network - WFN)[11]
0	Product/ Process	Applying weighting to obtain to single value	water manage	Non-comprehen- sive weighted water footprint	Cradle-to- grave	Pfister et al. (2009)[Z]; Ridoutt and Pfister (2013) [22]; Goedkoop et al., (2009) (ReCiPe) [21]; Jolliet et al. (2003) (IMPACT 2002+)[15]; Rosenbaum et al. (2008) (USEtox)[17]
Р	Organization	Applying water footprint to dif- ferent sites	Chemical pro- duction	Non-compre- hensive water footprint	Gate-to-gate	Berger et al. (2014) ^{[12}]; Saling et al. (2002) ^{[23}]
Q	Organization	Applying water footprint to supply chain of a company	Aluminium production	Water scarcity footprint	Cradle-to- gate	Pfister et al. (2009)[^Z]
R	Organization	Applying water footprint to a service company	Hotel opera- tion	Non-compre- hensive water footprint	Gate-to-gate	Boulay et al. (2016) (WULCA) ^[5] at the monthly approach; Goedkoop et al. (2009) (ReCiPe) ^[21]

NOTE 1 Guidance about application of LCA to organizations is given in ISO/TS 14072. In addition, ISO 14046:2014, Annex A, provides guidelines for water footprint assessment of organizations.

NOTE 2 The principles of comprehensiveness for an LCA study and for a water footprint assessment are different (see ISO 14040:2006, 4.1.7, and ISO 14046:2014, 4.13).

NOTE 3 The term "partial" is sometimes used as a synonym for "non-comprehensive". However, "partial" is avoided in this document as it is also used with a different meaning, such as in ISO/TS 14067.