



Designation: D6349 – 08^{e1}

Standard Test Method for Determination of Major and Minor Elements in Coal, Coke, and Solid Residues from Combustion of Coal and Coke by Inductively Coupled Plasma—Atomic Emission Spectrometry¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D6349; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

^{e1} NOTE—Footnote 7 was editorially updated in June 2008.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method covers a procedure for the analysis of the commonly determined major and minor elements in coal, coke, and solid residues from combustion of coal and coke. These residues may be laboratory ash, bottom ash, fly ash, flue gas desulfurization sludge, and other combustion process residues.

1.2 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as the standard.

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

D346 Practice for Collection and Preparation of Coke Samples for Laboratory Analysis

D1193 Specification for Reagent Water

D2013 Practice for Preparing Coal Samples for Analysis

D3173 Test Method for Moisture in the Analysis Sample of Coal and Coke

D3180 Practice for Calculating Coal and Coke Analyses from As-Determined to Different Bases

D5142 Test Methods for Proximate Analysis of the Analysis Sample of Coal and Coke by Instrumental Procedures

E691 Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to Determine the Precision of a Test Method

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D05 on Coal and Coke and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D05.29 on Major Elements in Ash and Trace Elements of Coal.

Current edition approved Feb. 1, 2008. Published February 2008. Originally approved in 1998. Last previous edition approved in 2007 as D6349 - 07. DOI: 10.1520/D6349-08E01.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

2.2 ISO Standard:³

ISO/IEC Guide 99:2007 International vocabulary of metrology -- Basic and general concepts and associated terms (VIM)

3. Summary of Test Method

3.1 The sample to be analyzed is ashed under standard conditions and ignited to constant weight. The ash is fused with a fluxing agent followed by dissolution of the melt in dilute acid solution. Alternatively, the ash is digested in a mixture of hydrofluoric, nitric, and hydrochloric acids. The solution is analyzed by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP) for the elements. The basis of the method is the measurement of atomic emissions. Aqueous solutions of the samples are nebulized, and a portion of the aerosol that is produced is transported to the plasma torch where excitation and emission occurs. Characteristic line emission spectra are produced by a radio-frequency inductively coupled plasma. A grating monochromator system is used to separate the emission lines, and the intensities of the lines are monitored by photomultiplier tube or photodiode array detection. The photocurrents from the detector are processed and controlled by a computer system. A background correction technique is required to compensate for variable background contribution to the determination of elements. Background must be measured adjacent to analyte lines of samples during analysis. The position selected for the background intensity measurement, on either or both sides of the analytical line, will be determined by the complexity of the spectrum adjacent to the analyte line. The position used must be free of spectral interference and reflect the same change in background intensity as occurs at the analyte wavelength measured.

4. Significance and Use

4.1 A compositional analysis of coal and coke and their associated combustion residues are often useful in assessing

³ Available from International Organization for Standardization (ISO), 1 rue de Varembe, Case postale 56, CH-1211, Geneva 20, Switzerland, http://www.iso.ch.

TABLE 1 Recommended Wavelengths for Elements Determined by ICP

Element	Wavelengths, nm
Aluminum	396.152, 256.80, 308.215, 309.271
Barium	455.403, 493.41, 233.53
Calcium	317.93, 315.887, 364.44, 422.67
Iron	259.940, 271.44, 238.204
Magnesium	279.553, 279.08, 285.21, 277.983
Manganese	257.610, 294.92, 293.31, 293.93
Phosphorous	178.287, 214.900
Potassium	766.491, 769.896
Silicon	212.412, 288.16, 251. 611
Sodium	588.995, 589.592
Strontium	421.55
Sulfur	182.04
Titanium	337.280, 350.50, 334.941

their quality. Knowledge of the elemental composition of the associated residues is also useful in predicting the elemental enrichment/depletion compositional behavior of ashes and slags in comparison to the concentration levels in the parent coal. Utilization of the ash by-products and hazardous potential may also depend on the chemical composition and leachability of the inorganic constituents of the coal ash.

4.2 The chemical composition of laboratory-prepared ash may not exactly represent the composition of mineral matter in coal or the composition of fly ash and slag resulting from commercial-scale burning of the coal.

5. Interferences

5.1 Several types of interference effects may contribute to inaccuracies in the determination of major and minor elements. The analyst should follow the manufacturer's operating guide to develop and apply correction factors to compensate for the interferences. The interferences can be classified as spectral, physical, and chemical.

5.1.1 Spectral interferences can be categorized as overlap of a spectral line from another element, unresolved overlap of molecular band spectra, background contribution from continuous or recombination phenomena, and background contribution from stray light from the line emission of high concentration elements. The second effect may require selection of an alternate wavelength. The third and fourth effects can usually be compensated by a background correction adjacent to the analyte line. In addition, users of simultaneous multi-element instrumentation must assume the responsibility of verifying the absence of spectral interference from an element that could occur in a sample but for which there is no channel in the instrument array.

5.1.2 **Table 1** lists the elements determined by this method and the recommended wavelengths using conventional nebulization. Sulfur may only be determined if the sample is dissolved by the mixed acid dissolution described in **10.3.2**.

5.1.3 **Table 2**⁴ lists some interference effects for the recommended wavelengths given in **Table 1**. The data in **Table 2** are intended for use only as a rudimentary guide for the indication of potential spectral interferences. For this purpose, linear

relations between concentration and intensity for the analytes and the interferences can be assumed. The analyst should follow the manufacturer's operating guide to develop and apply correction factors to compensate for the interferences.

5.1.4 Physical interferences are generally considered to be effects associated with the sample nebulization and transport processes. Such properties as change in viscosity and surface tension can cause significant inaccuracies, especially in samples that may contain high dissolved solids or acid concentrations, or both. The use of a peristaltic pump is recommended to lessen these interferences. If these types of interferences are operative, they must be reduced by dilution of the sample or utilization of standard addition techniques, or both. Another problem that can occur from high dissolved solids is salt buildup at the tip of the nebulizer. This affects aerosol flow rate causing instrumental drift. Wetting the argon before nebulization, the use of a tip washer, or sample dilution have been used to control this problem. Also, it has been reported that better control of the argon flow rate, particularly nebulizer flow, improves instrument precision. This is accomplished with the use of mass flow controllers.

5.1.5 Chemical interferences are characterized by molecular compound formation, ionization effects, and solute vaporization effects. Normally these effects are not pronounced with the ICP technique. However, if such effects are observed they can be minimized by careful selection of operating conditions (that is, incident power, observation position, and so forth), by buffering of the sample, matrix matching, and standard addition procedures. These types of interferences can be highly dependent on matrix type and the specific analyte element.

6. Apparatus

6.1 *Ashing Furnace*, with an adequate air circulation (two to four volume changes per minute) and capable of having its temperature regulated between 700 and 750°C.

6.2 *Fusion Furnace*, with an operating temperature of 1000 to 1200°C.

6.3 *Meeker-Type Burner*, with inlets for fuel gas (propane or natural gas) and compressed air, capable of flame temperatures of 1000 to 1200°C.

6.4 *Platinum Dishes or Crucibles*, 35- to 85-mL capacity. Graphite crucibles with 10- to 15-mL capacity may also be used.

6.5 *Stirring Hotplate and Bars*, with operating temperature up to 200°C.

6.6 *Polycarbonate Bottles*, 250-mL capacity with an O-ring seal and screw cap, capable of withstanding temperatures of 100 to 130°C, the pressure that is developed during the digestion, and resistant to oxidation. Other types of bottles or vials may be used provided they are capable of withstanding the temperatures and pressures developed during the digestion.

6.7 *Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometer (ICP)*, either a sequential or simultaneous spectrometer is suitable. Because of the differences between various makes and models of satisfactory instruments, no detailed operating instructions can be provided. Instead, the analyst should follow the instructions provided by the manufacturer of the particular instrument. Sensitivity, instrumental detection limit, precision, linear dynamic range, and interference effects

⁴ *Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes*, (EPA-600/4-79-020), Metals-4, Method 200.7 CLP-M.

TABLE 2 Examples of Analyte Concentration Equivalents Arising from Interference at the 100-ppm (mg/L) Level⁴

NOTE 1—Dashes indicate that no interference was observed even when interferents were introduced at the following levels: Al, Ca, and Fe = 1000 ppm, Mn = 200 ppm, and Mg = 100 ppm.

Analyte Elements	Wavelengths, nm	Interferents					
		Al	Ca	Fe	Mg	Mn	Ti
Aluminum	308.215	---	---	---	---	0.21	---
Barium	455.103	---	---	---	---	---	---
Calcium	317.933	---	---	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.03
Iron	259.940	---	---	---	---	0.12	---
Magnesium	279.079	---	0.02	0.13	---	0.25	0.07
Manganese	257.610	0.005	---	0.002	0.002	---	---
Silicon	288.148	---	---	---	---	---	---
Sodium	588.995	---	---	---	---	---	0.08

must be investigated and established for each individual analyte line on that particular instrument. All measurements must be within the instrument's linear range in which correction factors are valid. It is the responsibility of the analyst to verify that the instrument configuration and operating conditions used satisfy the analytical requirements of this method and to maintain quality control data confirming instrument performance and analytical results.

7. Reagents

7.1 Purity of Reagents—Reagents grade chemicals shall be used in all tests. It is intended that all reagents shall conform to the specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society in which such specifications are available.⁵ Other grades may be used provided it is first ascertained that the reagent is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determination.

7.2 Purity of Water—Unless otherwise indicated, references to water shall be understood to mean Type II reagent water as defined by Specification [D1193](https://standards.sis/877745c8-a4).

7.3 Standard Stock Solutions—Stock solutions of 1000 ppm (mg/L) for each element are needed for preparation of dilute standards in the range from <0.1 to 100 ppm. Prepare standard stock solutions from 99.999 % purity metals or salts. Alternatively, one can use commercially available stock solutions specifically prepared for ICP-AES spectroscopy.

7.4 Internal Standard Solution—Stock solution of 1000 ppm (mg/L) of yttrium (Y), scandium (Sc), indium (In), or other suitable element not found in significant concentrations in the test samples.

7.5 Acids:

7.5.1 Hydrochloric Acid—Concentrated HCl, sp gr 1.19.

7.5.2 Hydrofluoric Acid—Concentrated HF, sp gr 1.17.

7.5.3 Nitric Acid—Concentrated HNO₃, sp gr 1.42.

7.5.4 Nitric Acid (5 + 95)—Dilute 50 mL of concentrated nitric acid to 1000 mL.

7.5.5 Mixed Acid Solution, 70/30 HCl/HF—Mix seven parts concentrated hydrochloric acid and three parts concentrated hydrofluoric acid.

7.6 Fluxing Agents—Lithium tetraborate, Li₂B₄O₇, or mixtures of lithium tetraborate (Li₂B₄O₇) and anhydrous lithium metaborate (LiBO₃).

7.7 Boric Acids Solution—1.5 %.

7.8 Hydrogen Peroxide—30%

7.9 Wetting Agents—Approximately 0.1 g of reagent grade lithium iodide (LiI) or other suitable wetting agent may be added to the flux to facilitate pooling of the melt and removal of the melt of cooled pellet.

7.10 Standard Solution Diluent—Use either [7.10.1](#) or [7.10.2](#).

7.10.1 Weigh 4 g, to the nearest 0.0001 g, of fluxing agent (see [7.6](#)) into a clean 1000-mL beaker containing a magnetic stirring bar. Add 500 mL of 5 + 95 nitric acid (see [7.5.4](#)) to the beaker and place on a stirring hot plate. Heat the mixture to just below boiling and maintain this temperature with constant stirring until the fluxing agent dissolves. This dissolution process should take about 30 min or less (see [Note 1](#)). Quantitatively transfer the warm solution to a 1000-mL volumetric flask. After the solution cools to room temperature, dilute to 1000 mL with reagent grade water.

7.10.2 Weigh 4 g, to the nearest 0.0001 g, of fluxing agent (see [7.6](#)) into a platinum dish (or crucible). Heat to 1000°C to form a liquid and cool. Carefully rinse the bottom and outside of the platinum dish to remove possible contamination. Place the cooled platinum dish containing the flux and a magnetic stirring bar into a clean 1000-mL beaker. Add 500 mL of 5 + 95 nitric acid (see [7.5.4](#)) to the beaker and place immediately on the stirring hotplate. Heat the mixture to just below the boiling temperature and maintain this temperature with constant stirring until the melt dissolves. This dissolution process should take about 30 min (see [Note 1](#)). After dissolution remove the platinum dish after rinsing with reagent water and collecting the washings in the acid solution. Quantitatively transfer the warm solution to a 1000-mL volumetric flask. After the solution cools to room temperature, dilute to 1000 mL with reagent grade water.

NOTE 1—This time and temperature are sufficient to dissolve the melt completely. If stirring is not maintained constantly, some of the material may not dissolve, and the final solution must be filtered before use.

⁵ *Reagent Chemicals, American Chemical Society Specifications*, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC. For suggestions on the testing of reagents not listed by the American Chemical Society, see *Analar Standards for Laboratory Chemicals*, BDH Ltd., Poole, Dorset, U.K., and the *United States Pharmacopeia and National Formulary*, U.S. Pharmacopeial Convention, Inc. (USPC), Rockville, MD.

7.11 *Blank Solutions*—All of the test methods in this standard require two types of blank solutions. A calibration blank that is used to establish the analytical calibration curve and a method blank which is used to evaluate possible contamination and assess spectral background. The calibration blank is also used initially and periodically to verify the baseline of the calibration has not changed significantly.

7.11.1 *Calibration Blank*—The same solution as the Standard Solution Diluent.

7.11.2 *Method Blank*—The method blank shall be processed through the same digestion procedure as the samples and consist of all the reagents in the same volumes as used in preparing the samples.

7.12 *Initial calibration verification standard(s)* :

7.12.1 Where possible the initial calibration verification standard(s) shall be from alternate producers or different lot numbers from the calibration standard(s).

7.12.2 Where possible the initial calibration verification standard(s) shall be traceable to a primary standard such as a NIST CRM.

7.13 *Periodic calibration verification standard(s)*—The source of these materials can be the same as the calibration materials.

7.14 *Primary Control Sample*—A material that is processed following the same procedure as an analytical sample and is a measurement standard whose quantity value and measurement uncertainty are established without relation to another measurement standard for a quantity of the same kind (see [ISO/IEC Guide 99:2007](#) International Vocabulary of Basic and General Terms in Metrology).

7.15 *Secondary Control Sample*—A material that is processed following the same procedure as an analytical sample and is a measurement standard whose quantity value and measurement uncertainty are assigned through calibration against, or comparison with, a primary measurement standard for a quantity of the same kind (see [ISO/IEC Guide 99:2007](#) International Vocabulary of Basic and General Terms in Metrology).

8. Sample Preparation

8.1 *Coal and Coke*—Prepare the analysis sample in accordance with Practice [D2013](#) (coal) or Practice [D346](#) (coke) by pulverizing the material to pass a 250- μm (No. 60) sieve.

8.1.1 Analyze separate test portions for moisture content in accordance with Test Methods [D3173](#) or [D5142](#) so that calculation to other bases can be made.

8.2 *Solid Combustion Residue*—Dry a representative portion of the solid residue to constant weight at 110 to 115°C. Determine the moisture loss during this drying step if it is desirable to calculate results to an as-received basis. Crush the dried portion of the sample to pass a No. 200 (75- μm) sieve. Use a mill that minimizes metal contamination.

9. Preparation of Ash

9.1 *Ashing of Coal and Coke Analysis Sample*—Prepare the ash from a thoroughly mixed analysis sample of coal or coke (see [8.1](#)) that has been ground to pass a 250- μm (No. 60) U.S.A. standard sieve. Spread the coal and coke in a layer not over 6 mm ($\frac{1}{4}$ in.) in depth in a porcelain, quartz, or fused

silica roasting dish. Place the dish in a cold muffle furnace and heat gradually so that the temperature reaches 500°C in 1 h and 750°C in 2 h. Ignite at 750°C until all carbonaceous matter is removed or for an additional 2 h. Allow the dish to cool, transfer to an agate mortar, and grind to pass a 75- μm (No. 200) sieve. Reignite the ash at 750°C for 1 h, cool rapidly, and weigh portions for analysis. If samples are stored and the absorption of moisture or CO₂, or both, is in question, reignite the ash using the 500-750°C staged combustion before use. Alternatively, determine loss on ignition using the 500-750°C staged combustion on a separate sample weighed out at the same time as the test portion and make the necessary corrections. Thoroughly mix each sample before weighing.

9.2 *Ashing of Solid Combustion Residues*—Spread an appropriate amount of the prepared sample from [8.2](#) in a layer not over 2 mm in a porcelain, quartz, or fused silica roasting dish. Place the dish in a cold muffle furnace and heat gradually so that the temperature reaches 500°C in 1 h and 750°C in 2 h. Ignite the sample at 750°C until all carbonaceous matter is removed. Allow the ash to cool, transfer to an agate mortar, and grind to pass a 75- μm (No. 200) sieve. Reignite the ash for 1 h at 750°C, cool rapidly, and weigh portions for analysis. If samples are stored and the absorption of moisture or CO₂, or both, is in question, reignite the ash using the 500-750°C staged combustion before use. Alternatively, determine loss on ignition using the 500-750°C staged combustion on a separate sample weighed out at the same time as the test portion and make the necessary corrections. Thoroughly mix each sample before weighing.

10. Procedure

10.1 The solutions and proportions described below are the typical ash samples as represented by American coals. Therefore, stronger or weaker dilutions may be required to establish suitable concentrations for those elements of varying percents outside the range of the typical sample. Analysts must determine the sensitivity and linear range of calibration of their own equipment and choose concentration ranges for standards compatible with the samples and instrument specific to their own work.

10.2 To minimize the potential of contamination, platinum ware must be prepared by boiling in dilute HNO₃ (5 + 95) and rinsing thoroughly with reagent-grade water. After this initial cleaning, the platinum ware must be handled with clean tongs and protected from contamination from table tops, and so forth. All glassware used in analyses must be equally clean and protected.

10.3 *Ash Dissolution*—Two methods of dissolving the ash samples are offered for this test method. The analyst may choose the method most appropriate for their laboratory and instrumentation. Laboratories using the fusion method (see [10.3.1](#)) for dissolving the ash should be aware that a considerable amount of sulfur may be lost from the ash during the fusion process. A blank test solution containing the same concentration of reagents used for the ash samples shall be prepared and analyzed with the ash sample solutions.

10.3.1 *Sample Fusion and Dissolution*—Weigh 0.1 \pm 0.0001 g of the ash sample as prepared in [9.1](#) or [9.2](#) into a platinum dish (or crucible) (see [Note 2](#)). Weigh 0.4000 \pm 5 mg

(to nearest 0.1 mg) of the fluxing agent and add to the ash sample. Mix the ash and fluxing agent thoroughly and heat to melting at 1000 to 1200°C with stirring, according to 10.3.1.1 or 10.3.1.2, until a clear melt is obtained.

10.3.1.1 If a muffle furnace is used for heating, place the platinum dish in a clean silica or refractory tray and place in a muffle furnace preheated to 1000°C; 7 min at this temperature is sufficient to fuse most mixtures completely, but heating should be continued until a clear pellet is obtained. Use platinum-tipped tongs to swirl the melt gently to dissolve the ash. Remove the tray with the dish and cool to room temperature. Carefully rinse the bottom and outside of the platinum dish to remove possible contamination; then place in a clean 250- or 400-mL beaker. Place a clean TFE-fluorocarbon coated magnetic stirring bar in the platinum dish and add 50 mL of 5 + 95 HNO₃ (see 7.5.4) to the melt in the platinum dish. Immediately place the beaker with the dish on the stirring hotplate. Stir and heat the solution to just below boiling and maintain this near boiling condition until the melt is dissolved or for not more than 30 min (see Note 3). Remove the platinum dish from the beaker, rinse the dish with small amounts of reagent water, and quantitatively transfer the solution to a 100-mL volumetric flask. Add 1 mL of internal standard to the flask and dilute to the 100-mL mark with water. This solution is 1000 ppm with respect to the total sample and contains 4 g/L of fluxing agent.

NOTE 2—Graphite crucibles may be used instead of platinum for the fusion. The graphite crucibles are not to be immersed in the digestion solution. Pour the red-hot melt directly from the crucible into the acid solution and proceed with stirring and heating as written above.

NOTE 3—If the stirring is not constantly maintained, some of the constituents may precipitate, primarily silicic acid, as a result of heating in the highly acidic solution. The analysis must then be repeated.

10.3.1.2 If a flame is used for heating, rotate the platinum dish in the flame until a clear melt is obtained. If automated fusion equipment is being used, follow the manufacturer's programmed steps. If the crucible is inserted manually into the flame using platinum-tipped tongs, stir by swirling for at least 5 min. When a clear melt is obtained, either pour the hot melt into 50 mL of 5 + 95 nitric acid (see 7.5.4) in a clean 250- or 400-mL beaker containing a Teflon-coated magnetic stirring bar or cool the crucible and transfer the solid pellet to this solution. (It is the analyst's responsibility to ensure that the entire sample is transferred to the nitric acid solution). Immediately place the beaker on a stirring hot plate. Stir and heat the solution to just below boiling and maintain the near boiling condition until the pellet is dissolved or for not more than 30 min (see Note 3). Transfer the solution quantitatively to a 100-mL volumetric flask. Add 1 mL of internal standard to the flask and dilute to the 100-mL mark with water. This solution is 1000 ppm with respect to the total sample and contains 4 g/L of fluxing agent.

10.3.2 *Mixed Acid Dissolution*—Weigh 0.1 ± 0.0001 g of the ash sample as prepared in 9.1 or 9.2 into a 250-mL polycarbonate bottle with an O-ring seal and screw cap 9see Note 4. The bottle should be capable of withstanding a temperature up to 130°C, the pressure developed during digestion, and resistant to oxidation. (**Warning**—With repeated use the polycarbonate bottles will become brittle and

develop cracks. They should be inspected before each use. A convenient way to do this is to hold them up to a light source. If any evidence of cracks is noted, the bottle should be discarded.)

NOTE 4—Some combustions residues may contain sulfite sulfur. If sulfite is known to be present or is suspected, add 1-mL of 30% H₂O₂ to the digestion bottle before proceeding to 10.3.2.1. The peroxide will oxidize sulfite species to sulfate which is quantitatively retained in the digestion process. If peroxide is added, make the appropriate adjustment to the final sample volume used in the calculation of results in Section 12.

10.3.2.1 Add 5.0 mL of the 70/30 HCl/HF mixed acid solution (7.5.5) and 2.0 mL of HNO₃ to the sample and tighten the screw cap (see Note 5). Heat the bottle at 100 to 130°C in a boiling water bath, on a steam bath, or in an oven for at least 2 h. Remove the bottle from the heat source, and add 93.0 mL of 1.5 % boric acid (H₃BO₃) solution. Return the bottle to the heat source and continue heating for 1 h. Cool the solution to room temperature before analysis. If the samples are not analyzed immediately, they may be stored in their original digestion bottles or transferred to polyethylene bottles. Prepare a method blank using the above procedure.

NOTE 5—The 70/30 HCl/HF mixed acid solution (see 7.5.5) can be prepared and stored until use, whereas an aqua regia mixture (HCl and HNO₃) is not stable. Using the mixed acid solution and concentrated HNO₃ is equivalent to using aqua regia and HF.

10.3.3 Prepare calibration standards using appropriate values of standard stock solutions (see 7.3). Add 1-mL internal standard solution (see 7.4) per 100-mL volume used. Dilute to the mark with the proper diluents.

11. Instrument Operation

11.1 Consult the manufacturer's instructions for operation of the ICP spectrometer. The present method assumes that good operating procedures are followed. Design differences among instruments and different selected analytical wavelengths for individual spectrometers make it impractical to list detailed conditions.

11.2 To ensure the validity of the data obtained from an ICP analysis, the following QC elements shall be considered the minimum for each analyte wavelength.

11.2.1 Initial and periodic instrument performance verification (also to be performed after major maintenance):

11.2.1.1 All manufacturer specified spectral alignment practices (such as Mercury lamp alignment) shall be followed.

11.2.1.2 The reference peak intensity shall be monitored following the manufacturer recommendations. A Manganese solution is often used for this purpose.

11.2.1.3 The minimum detectable limit shall be verified every 6 months for analytes previously determined to be within ten times the minimum detectable limit. The minimum detectable limit must be less than or equal to the reporting limit.

11.2.1.4 Select peak wavelengths to minimize/eliminate spectral interferences.

11.2.1.5 Inter-element interference corrections (spectral interferences) and background point corrections shall be verified every 3 months according to manufacturer specifications.

11.2.2 *Calibration* :

11.2.2.1 All analysis results must fall within the concentration range of the calibration standards. If a sample result occurs above the high calibration standard, dilute the sample and reanalyze for that element.

11.2.2.2 All calibration solutions shall be matrix matched to the sample solutions.

11.2.2.3 The calibration shall include a minimum of a calibration blank and three calibration standard concentrations, assuming a linear calibration. The recommended relative concentrations for the calibration standards are:

(1) The middle standard should be near the mid-point of the expected sample concentration range.

(2) The high standard should be approximately two times the middle standard

(3) The low standard should be approximately one-tenth ($1/10$) of the middle standard.

(4) The linear correlation of the calibration regression shall be 0.995 or greater.

Analyte	Calcium	Silicon
Estimated sample concentration	Calcium 10 mg/L to 20 mg/L in solution	180 mg/L to 230 mg/L in solution
Recommended middle standard concentration	15 mg/L	200 mg/L
Recommended high standard concentration	30 mg/L	400 mg/L
Recommended low standard concentration	1.5 mg/L	20 mg/L

11.2.3 Initial calibration verification:

11.2.3.1 A successful calibration shall be verified with an initial calibration verification standard(s) and the calibration blank prior to the analysis of any samples.

11.2.3.2 The initial calibration verification recovery shall be within 10% of the known value.

11.2.3.3 The initial calibration blank reported concentration shall be below the reporting limit.

11.2.4 Periodic calibration verification:

11.2.4.1 The calibration shall be verified after every 10th analysis and at the end of the batch or shift using a periodic calibration verification standard(s) and a calibration blank.

11.2.4.2 The periodic calibration verification recovery shall be within 10% of the known value.

11.2.4.3 The periodic calibration blank reported concentration shall be below the reporting limit.

11.2.5 If a calibration verification fails to meet the criteria, it shall be rerun once. If it still fails the calibration is suspect and any samples analyzed after the last acceptable calibration verification shall be re-analyzed.

11.2.6 Preparation batch Quality Control Checks:

11.2.6.1 Various checks are necessary to ensure that the dissolution process applied to the samples provides accurate recovery without contamination.

11.2.6.2 All preparation batch quality control shall be performed once for each batch or for every 40 samples, whichever is more frequent.

11.2.6.3 *Method blank*—Absolute results for this blank shall be less than the reporting limit.

11.2.6.4 *Preparation duplicates*—A sample prepared in duplicate following the procedure in section 10 of this standard. The duplicates shall meet the repeatability specifications of this method.

11.2.6.5 *Secondary Control sample*—A secondary measurement standard shall be processed following the procedure in section 10 of this standard. Results for the control sample shall be within the ASTM Reproducibility limits and within the laboratory's process control limits (as defined in ASTM Manual 7⁶ or other appropriate process control limit definition).

11.2.6.6 *Primary Control sample*—A primary measurement standard shall be processed through the entire sample digestion scheme. This sample shall be performed a minimum of once per quarter. Results for the primary control sample shall be within the ASTM Reproducibility limit and within the laboratory's process control limit (as defined in ASTM Manual 7 or other appropriate process control limit definition).

11.2.7 *Secondary QC verifications*—Post analysis verifications include verification of the sum of the oxides as a weight percent of the sample. The undetermined content shall not exceed 5% when all major and minor analytes and SO₃ are included. If the undetermined value exceeds 5%, the analysis shall be considered suspect, and verification steps shall be taken when the cause for a high undetermined percent is not already known.

12. Calculation or Interpretation of Results

12.1 Calculate the percentage (by weight) of each element in the ash using the following equation:

$$\% E = (C \times V) / W \times D \times 100 \quad (1)$$

where:

E = element analyzed,

C = concentration in mg/L (ppm or g/g) of M in the analyzed solution,

V = volume (in litres) of sample solution prepared in Section 10,

W = weight of sample in milligrams, and

D = dilution factor; = final volume of analyzed solution divided by the amount of the prepared solution (see Section 10) used for the dilution.

12.2 Use Practice D3180 to calculate as-determined results to other bases.

12.3 Convert concentrations in the ash to the dry sample basis for reporting as follows:

$$C = A \times B / 100 \quad (2)$$

where:

C = percent of element in the dry sample,

A = percent of element determined in the ash, and

B = % ash in the dry sample.

13. Precision and Bias

13.1 *Precision*—The precision of this test method for the determination of major and minor elements in ash from coal, coke, and solid combustion residues are shown in Table 3. The precision characterized by the repeatability (S_r , r) and reproducibility (S_R , R) is described in Table A1.1 in the Annex A1.

⁶ Manual of Presentation of Data and Control Chart Analysis, ASTM MNL7A, ASTM International, 2002.