

PUBLICLY
AVAILABLE
SPECIFICATION

ISO/PAS
19450

First edition
2015-12-15

Automation systems and integration — Object-Process Methodology

*Systèmes d'automatisation et intégration — Object-Process
Methodology*

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/PAS 19450:2015](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e40a3da6-c047-4bd8-af8e-14498380ea17/iso-pas-19450-2015)

[https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e40a3da6-c047-4bd8-af8e-
14498380ea17/iso-pas-19450-2015](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e40a3da6-c047-4bd8-af8e-14498380ea17/iso-pas-19450-2015)



Reference number
ISO/PAS 19450:2015(E)

© ISO 2015

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/PAS 19450:2015

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e40a3da6-c047-4bd8-af8e-14498380ea17/iso-pas-19450-2015>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2015, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11
Fax +41 22 749 09 47
copyright@iso.org
www.iso.org

Contents

	Page
Foreword	vi
Introduction	vii
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Symbols	8
5 Conformance	10
6 OPM principles and concepts	10
6.1 OPM modelling principles	10
6.1.1 Modelling as a purpose-serving activity	10
6.1.2 Unification of function, structure, and behaviour	11
6.1.3 Identifying functional value	11
6.1.4 Function versus behaviour	11
6.1.5 System boundary setting	12
6.1.6 Clarity and completeness trade-off	12
6.2 OPM Fundamental concepts	12
6.2.1 Bimodal representation	12
6.2.2 OPM modelling elements	12
6.2.3 OPM things: objects and processes	13
6.2.4 OPM links: procedural and structural	13
6.2.5 OPM context management	14
6.2.6 OPM model implementation	14
7 OPM thing syntax and semantics	15
7.1 Objects	15
7.1.1 Description	15
7.1.2 Representation	15
7.2 Processes	15
7.2.1 Description	15
7.2.2 Representation	16
7.3 OPM things	16
7.3.1 OPM thing defined	16
7.3.2 Object-process test	16
7.3.3 OPM thing generic properties	17
7.3.4 Default values of thing generic properties	18
7.3.5 Object states	18
8 OPM link syntax and semantics overview	20
8.1 Procedural link overview	20
8.1.1 Kinds of procedural links	20
8.1.2 Procedural link uniqueness OPM principle	20
8.1.3 State-specified procedural links	20
8.2 Operational semantics and flow of execution control	20
8.2.1 The Event-Condition-Action control mechanism	20
8.2.2 Preprocess object set and postprocess object set	21
8.2.3 Skip semantics of condition versus wait semantics of non-condition links	21
9 Procedural links	22
9.1 Transforming links	22
9.1.1 Kinds of transforming links	22
9.1.2 Consumption link	22
9.1.3 Result link	23
9.1.4 Effect link	23
9.1.5 Basic transforming links summary	23

9.2	Enabling links.....	24
9.2.1	Kinds of enabling links	24
9.2.2	Agent and Agent Link.....	24
9.2.3	Instrument and Instrument Link.....	25
9.2.4	Basic enabling links summary.....	26
9.3	State-specified transforming links.....	26
9.3.1	State-specified consumption link.....	26
9.3.2	State-specified result link.....	27
9.3.3	State-specified effect links.....	28
9.3.4	State-specified transforming links summary.....	30
9.4	State-specified enabling links	31
9.4.1	State-specified agent link.....	31
9.4.2	State-specified instrument link.....	32
9.4.3	State-specified enabling links summary	32
9.5	Control links.....	33
9.5.1	Kinds of control links	33
9.5.2	Event links	34
9.5.3	Condition links.....	40
9.5.4	Exception links	47
10	Structural links	48
10.1	Kinds of structural links.....	48
10.2	Tagged structural link.....	48
10.2.1	Unidirectional tagged structural link.....	48
10.2.2	Unidirectional null tagged structural link.....	49
10.2.3	Bidirectional tagged structural link.....	49
10.2.4	Reciprocal tagged structural link.....	49
10.3	Fundamental structural relations.....	50
10.3.1	Kinds of fundamental structural relations.....	50
10.3.2	Aggregation-participation relation link.....	51
10.3.3	Exhibition-characterization link.....	52
10.3.4	Generalization-specialization and inheritance.....	55
10.3.5	Classification-instantiation link.....	58
10.3.6	Fundamental structural relation link and tagged structural link summary.....	61
10.4	State-specified structural relations and links.....	62
10.4.1	State-specified characterization relation link.....	62
10.4.2	State-specified tagged structural relations.....	63
11	Relationship cardinalities	67
11.1	Object multiplicity in structural and procedural links	67
11.2	Object multiplicity expressions and constraints.....	69
11.3	Attribute value and multiplicity constraints.....	71
12	Logical operators: AND, XOR, and OR.....	71
12.1	Logical AND procedural links.....	71
12.2	Logical XOR and OR procedural links.....	73
12.3	Diverging and converging XOR and OR links	74
12.4	State-specified XOR and OR link fans	76
12.5	Control-modified link fans.....	77
12.6	State-specified control-modified link fans.....	77
12.7	Link probabilities and probabilistic link fans.....	79
13	Execution path and path labels	81
14	Context management with OPM.....	83
14.1	Completing the SD.....	83
14.2	Achieving model comprehension.....	83
14.2.1	OPM refinement-abstraction mechanisms.....	83
14.2.2	Control (operational) semantics within an in-zoomed process context	87
14.2.3	OPM fact consistency principle.....	98
14.2.4	Abstraction ambiguity resolution for procedural links.....	99

Annex A (normative) OPL formal syntax in EBNF	102
Annex B (informative) Guidance for OPM	121
Annex C (informative) Modelling OPM using OPM	124
Annex D (informative) OPM dynamics and simulation	157
Bibliography	163

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/PAS 19450:2015
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e40a3da6-c047-4bd8-af8e-14498380ea17/iso-pas-19450-2015>

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the WTO principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: [Foreword - Supplementary information](#)

The committee responsible for this document is Technical Committee ISO/TC 184, *Automation systems and integration*, Subcommittee SC 5, *Interoperability, integration, and architectures for enterprise systems and automation applications*.

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e40a3da6-c047-4bd8-af8e-14498380ea17/iso-pas-19450-2015>

Introduction

Object-Process Methodology (OPM) is a compact conceptual approach, language, and methodology for modelling and knowledge representation of automation systems. The application of OPM ranges from simple assemblies of elemental components to complex, multidisciplinary, dynamic systems. OPM is suitable for implementation and support by tools using information and computer technology. This Publicly Available Specification specifies both the language and methodology aspects of OPM in order to establish a common basis for system architects, designers, and OPM-compliant tool developers to model all kinds of systems.

OPM provides two semantically equivalent modalities of representation for the same model: graphical and textual. A set of hierarchically structured, interrelated Object-Process Diagrams (OPDs) constitutes the graphical model, and a set of automatically generated sentences in a subset of the English language constitutes the textual model expressed in the Object-Process Language (OPL). In a graphical-visual model, each OPD consists of OPM elements, depicted as graphic symbols, sometimes with label annotation. The OPD syntax specifies the consistent and correct ways to manage the arrangement of those graphically elements. Using OPL, OPM generates the corresponding textual model for each OPD in a manner that retains the constraints of the graphical model. Since the syntax and semantics of OPL are a subset of English natural language, domain experts easily understand the textual model.

OPM notation supports the conceptual modelling of systems with formal syntax and semantics. This formality serves as the basis for model-based systems engineering in general, including systems architecting, engineering, development, life cycle support, communication, and evolution. Furthermore, the domain-independent nature of OPM opens system modelling to the entire scientific, commercial and industrial community for developing, investigating and analysing manufacturing and other industrial and business systems inside their specific application domains; thereby enabling companies to merge and provide for interoperability of different skills and competencies into a common intuitive yet formal framework.

OPM facilitates a common view of the system under construction, test, integration, and daily maintenance, providing for working in a multidisciplinary environment. Moreover, using OPM, companies can improve their overall, big-picture view of the system's functionality, flexibility in assignment of personnel to tasks, and managing exceptions and error recovery. System specification is extensible for any necessary detail, encompassing the functional, structural and behavioural aspects of a system.

One particular application of OPM is in the drafting and authoring of technical standards. OPM helps sketch the implementation of a standard and identify weaknesses in the standard to reduce, thereby significantly improving the quality of successive drafts. With OPM, even as the model-based text of a system expands to include more details, the underlying model keeps maintaining its high degree of formality and consistency.

This Publicly Available Specification provides a baseline for system architects and designers, who can use it to model systems concisely and effectively. OPM tool vendors can utilise the PAS as a formal standard specification for creating software tools to enhance conceptual modelling.

This Publicly Available Specification provides a presentation of the normative text that follows the Extended Backus-Naur Form (EBNF) specification of the language syntax. All elements are presented in [Clauses 6 to 13](#) with only minimal reference to methodological aspects, [Clause 14](#) presents the context management mechanisms related to in-zooming and unfolding.

This specification utilizes several conventions for the presentation of OPM. Specifically, Arial bold font in text and Arial bold italic font in figure captions, table captions and headings distinguish label names for OPM objects, processes, states, and link tags. OPL reserved words are in Arial regular font with commas and periods in Arial bold font. Most figures contain both a graphic image, the OPD portion, and a textual equivalent, the OPL portion. Because this is a language specification, the precise use of term definitions is essential and several terms in common use have particular meaning when using OPM. Clause B.6 explains other conventions for the use of OPM.

Annex A presents the formal syntax for OPL, in EBNF form.

Annex B presents conventions and patterns commonly used in OPM applications.

Annex C presents aspects of OPM as OPM models.

Annex D summarizes the dynamic and simulation capabilities of OPM.

The International Organization for Standardization (ISO) draws attention to the fact that it is claimed that compliance with this document may involve the use of a patent concerning OPM as a modelling system given in [Clauses 6](#) to [14](#).

ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity and scope of this patent right.

The holder of this patent right has assured the ISO that he/she is willing to negotiate licences either free of charge or under reasonable and non-discriminatory terms and conditions with applicants throughout the world. In this respect, the statement of the holder of this patent right is registered with ISO. Information may be obtained from:

Prof. Dov Dori

Technion Israel Institute of Technology

Technion City

Haifa 32000, Israel

dori@ie.technion.ac.il

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights other than those identified above. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO (www.iso.org/patents) and IEC (<http://patents.iec.ch>) maintain on-line databases of patents relevant to their standards. Users are encouraged to consult the databases for the most up to date information concerning patents.

Automation systems and integration — Object-Process Methodology

1 Scope

This Publicly Available Specification specifies Object-Process Methodology (OPM) with detail sufficient for enabling practitioners to utilise the concepts, semantics, and syntax of Object-Process Methodology as a modelling paradigm and language for producing conceptual models at various extents of detail, and for enabling tool vendors to provide application modelling products to aid those practitioners.

While this Publicly Available Specification presents some examples for the use of Object-Process Methodology to improve clarity, it does not attempt to provide a complete reference for all the possible applications of Object-Process Methodology.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

3.1

abstraction

decreasing the extent of detail and system model completeness (3.8) in order to achieve better comprehension

3.2

affectee

transformee (3.78) that is affected by a *process* (3.58) occurrence, i.e. its *state* (3.69) changes

Note 1 to entry: An affectee can only be a *stateful object* (3.66). A *stateless object* (3.67) can only be created or consumed, but not affected.

3.3

agent

enabler (3.17) that is a human or a group of humans

3.4

attribute

object (3.39) that characterizes a *thing* (3.76) other than itself

3.5

behaviour

transformation (3.77) of *objects* (3.39) resulting from the execution of an *Object-Process Methodology* (3.43) model comprising a collection of *things* (3.76) and *links* (3.36) to objects in the model

3.6

beneficiary

<system> *stakeholder* (3.65) who gains functional *value* (3.82) from the system's *operation* (3.46)

3.7

class

collection of *things* (3.76) with the same *perseverance* (3.50), essence, and affiliation values, and the same *feature* (3.21) and *state* (3.69) set

3.8

completeness

<system model> extent to which all the details of a system are specified in a model

3.9

condition link

procedural link (3.56) from an *object* (3.39) or *object state* (3.69) to a *process* (3.58), denoting a procedural constraint

3.10

consumee

transformee (3.78) that a *process* (3.58) occurrence consumes or eliminates

3.11

context

<model> portion of an *Object-Process Methodology* (3.43) model represented by an *Object-Process Diagram* (3.41) and corresponding *Object-Process Language* (3.42) text

3.12

control link

procedural link (3.56) with additional control semantics

3.13

control modifier

symbol embellishing a *link* (3.36) to add control semantics to it, making it a *control link* (3.12)

Note 1 to entry: The control modifiers are the symbols 'e' for *event* (3.18) and 'c' for *condition*.

3.14

discriminating attribute

attribute (3.4) whose different *values* (3.81) identify corresponding specialization relations

3.15

effect

change in the *state* (3.69) of an *object* (3.39) or an *attribute* (3.4) *value* (3.81)

Note 1 to entry: An effect only applies to a *stateful object* (3.66).

3.16

element

thing (3.76) or *link* (3.36)

3.17

enabler

<process> *object* (3.39) that enables a *process* (3.58) but which the process does not *transform*

3.18

event

<OPM> point in time of creation (or appearance) of an object, or entrance of an object (3.39) to a particular *state* (3.69), either of which may initiate an evaluation of the *process* (3.58) *precondition* (3.53)

3.19

event link

control link (3.12) denoting an *event* (3.18) originating from an *object* (3.39) or *object state* (3.69) to a *process* (3.58)

3.20**exhibitor**

thing (3.76) that exhibits (is characterized by) a *feature* (3.21) by means of the exhibition-characterization relation

3.21**feature**

attribute (3.4) or *operation* (3.46)

3.22**folding**

mechanism of *abstraction* (3.1) achieved by hiding the *refineables* (3.61) of an unfolded *refinee* (3.62)

Note 1 to entry: The four kinds of folded refineables are parts (part folding), *features* (3.21) (feature folding), specializations (specialization folding), and *instances* (3.28) (instance folding).

Note 2 to entry: Folding is primarily applied to *objects* (3.39). When applied to a process, its subprocesses are unordered, which is adequate for modelling asynchronous systems, in which processes' temporal order is undefined.

Note 3 to entry: The opposite of folding is *unfolding* (3.80).

3.23**function**

process (3.58) that provides functional *value* (3.82) to a *beneficiary* (3.6)

3.24**general**

<OPM> *refineable* (3.61) with specializations

3.25**informatical**

of, or pertaining to informatics, e.g. data, information, knowledge

3.26**inheritance**

assignment of *Object-Process Methodology* (3.43) *elements* (3.16) of a *general* (3.24) to its specializations

3.27**input link**

link (3.36) from *object* (3.39) source (input) *state* (3.69) to the transforming *process* (3.58)

3.28**instance**

<model> *object* (3.39) instance or *process* (3.58) instance that is a *refinee* (3.62) in a classification-instantiation relation

3.29**instance**

<operational> *object* (3.39) instance or *process* (3.58) instance that is an actual, uniquely identifiable *thing* (3.76) that exists during model *operation* (3.46), e.g. during simulation or runtime implementation

Note 1 to entry: A process instance is identifiable by the operational instances of the *involved object set* (3.32) during process occurrence and the process start and end time stamps of the occurrence.

3.30**instrument**

non-human *enabler* (3.17)

3.31**invocation**

<process> initiating of a *process* (3.58) by a process

3.32

involved object set

union of *preprocess object set* (3.54) and *postprocess object set* (3.52)

3.33

in-zoom context

things (3.76) and *links* (3.36) within the boundary of the thing being *in-zoomed*

3.34

in-zooming

<object> *object* (3.39) part *unfolding* (3.80) that indicates spatial ordering of the constituent objects

3.35

in-zooming

<process> *process* (3.58) part *unfolding* (3.80) that indicates temporal partial ordering of the constituent processes

3.36

link

graphical expression of a *structural relation* (3.73) or a *procedural relation* (3.57) between two *Object-Process Methodology* (3.43) *things* (3.76)

3.37

metamodel

model of a modelling language or part of a modelling language

3.38

model fact

relation between two *Object-Process Methodology* (3.43) *things* (3.76) or *states* (3.69) in the *Object-Process Methodology* model

3.39

object

<OPM> model *element* (3.16) representing a *thing* (3.76) that does or might exist physically or *informatically* (3.25)

3.40

object class

pattern for *objects* (3.39) that have the same *structure* (3.74) and pattern of *transformation* (3.77)

3.41

Object-Process Diagram

OPD

Object-Process Methodology (3.43) graphic representation of an *Object-Process Methodology* model or part of a model, in which *objects* (3.39) and *processes* (3.58) in the universe of interest appear together with the *structural links* (3.72) and *procedural links* (3.56) among them

3.42

Object-Process Language

OPL

subset of English natural language that represents textually the *Object-Process Methodology* (3.43) model that the *Object-Process Diagram* (3.42) represents graphically

3.43

Object-Process Methodology

OPM

formal language and method for specifying complex, multidisciplinary systems in a single function-structure-behaviour unifying model that uses a bimodal graphic-text representation of *objects* (3.39) in the system and their *transformation* (3.77) or use by *processes* (3.58)

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/PAS 19450:2015

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/e40a3da6-c047-4bd8-af8e-14498380ea17/iso-pas-19450-2015>

3.44**OPD object tree**

tree graph, whose root is an *object* (3.39), depicting elaboration of the object through *refinement* (3.63)

3.45**OPD process tree**

tree graph whose root is the *System Diagram* (3.75) and each node is an *Object-Process Diagram* (3.42) obtained by *in-zooming* (3.35) of a *process* (3.58) in its ancestor Object-Process Diagram (or the System Diagram) and each directed edge points from the refined process at the parent Object-Process Diagram to the same process in the child Object-Process Diagram

Note 1 to entry: *Object-Process Methodology* (3.43) model elaboration usually occurs by process decomposition through in-zooming, therefore the OPD process tree is the primary way to navigate an Object-Process Methodology model.

3.46**operation**

process (3.58) that a *thing* (3.76) performs, which characterizes the thing other than itself

3.47**output link**

link (3.36) from the transforming *process* (3.58) to the output (destination) *state* (3.69) of an *object* (3.39)

3.48**out-zooming**

<object> inverse of *object* (3.39) *in-zooming* (3.34)

3.49**out-zooming**

<process> inverse of *process* (3.58) *in-zooming* (3.35)

3.50**perseverance**

property (3.60) of *thing* (3.76) which can be static, defining an *object* (3.39), or dynamic, defining a *process* (3.58)

3.51**postcondition**

<process> condition that is the outcome of successful *process* (3.58) completion

3.52**postprocess object set**

collection of *objects* (3.39) remaining or resulting from *process* (3.58) completion

Note 1 to entry: The postprocess object set may include *stateful objects* (3.66), for which specific *states* (3.69) result from process performance.

3.53**precondition**

<process> condition for starting a *process* (3.58)

3.54**preprocess object set**

collection of *objects* (3.39) to evaluate prior to starting a *process* (3.58)

Note 1 to entry: The collection of the objects may include *stateful objects* (3.66) for which specific *states* (3.69) are necessary for process performance.

3.55**primary essence**

<system> *essence* of the majority of *things* (3.76) in a system, which can be either *informational* (3.25) or physical

3.56

procedural link

graphical notation of *procedural relation* (3.57) in *Object-Process Methodology* (3.43)

3.57

procedural relation

connection or association between an *object* (3.39) or *object state* (3.69) and a *process* (3.58)

Note 1 to entry: Procedural relations specify how the system operates to attain its *function* (3.23), designating time-dependent or conditional initiating of processes that transform objects.

Note 2 to entry: An *invocation* (3.31) or *exception link* (3.36) signifies a transient object in the flow of execution control between two processes.

3.58

process

transformation (3.77) of one or more *objects* (3.39) in the system

3.59

process class

pattern for *processes* (3.58) that perform the same *object* (3.39) *transformation* (3.77) pattern

3.60

property

modelling annotation common to all *elements* (3.16) of a specific kind that serve to distinguish that *element*

Note 1 to entry: Cardinality constraints, path labels, and *structural link* (3.72) tags are frequent property annotations.

Note 2 to entry: Unlike an *attribute* (3.4), the value of a property may not change during model simulation or operational implementation. Each kind of element has its own set of properties.

Note 3 to entry: Property is an attribute of an element in the *Object-Process Methodology* (3.43) *metamodel* (3.37).

3.61

refineable

<OPM> *thing* (3.76) amenable to *refinement* (3.63), which can be a *whole* (3.83), an *exhibitor* (3.20), a *general* (3.24), or a *class* (3.7)

3.62

refinee

thing (3.76) that refines a *refineable* (3.61), which can be a *part*, a *feature* (3.21), a *specialization*, or an *instance* (3.29)

Note 1 to entry: Each of the four kinds of refinees has a corresponding refineable (part-whole, feature-exhibitor, specialization-generalization, instance-class).

3.63

refinement

<model> elaboration that increases the extent of detail and the consequent model *completeness* (3.8)

3.64

resultee

transformee (3.78) that a *process* (3.58) occurrence creates

3.65

stakeholder

<OPM> individual, organization, or group of people that has an interest in, or might be affected by the system being contemplated, developed, or deployed

3.66**stateful object**

object (3.39) with specified *states* (3.69)

3.67**stateless object**

object (3.39) lacking specified *states* (3.69)

3.68**state**

<object> possible situation or position of an *object* (3.39)

Note 1 to entry: In *Object-Process Methodology* (3.43) there is no concept of *process* (3.58) *state*, such as “started”, “in process”, or “finished” within a model. Instead, Object-Process Methodology represents and models subprocesses, such as starting, processing, or finishing. See also discussion of Object-Process Methodology process metamodel in Annex C.

3.69**state**

<system> snapshot of the system model taken at a certain point in time, which shows all the existing *object* (3.39) instances, current states of each *stateful object* (3.66) instance, and the *process* (3.58) instances, with their elapsed times, executing at the time the snapshot occurs

3.70**state expression**

refinement (3.63) involving the revealing of any proper subset of an *object's* (3.39) set of *states* (3.69)

3.71**state suppression**

abstraction (3.1) involving the hiding of any proper subset of an *object's* (3.39) set of *states* (3.69)

3.72**structural link**

graphic notation of *structural relation* (3.73) in *Object-Process Methodology* (3.43)

3.73**structural relation**

operationally invariant connection or association between things

Note 1 to entry: Structural relations persist in the system for at least some interval of time. They provide the structural aspect of the system, and are not contingent upon conditions that are time-dependent.

3.74**structure**

<OPM> collection of *objects* (3.39) in an *Object-Process Methodology* (3.43) model and the non-transient relations or associations among them

3.75**System Diagram****SD**

Object-Process Diagram (3.41) with one systemic *process* (3.58) indicating the system *function* (3.23) and the *objects* (3.39) connecting with that function to depict the overall *context* (3.11) for and top-level view of the system

Note 1 to entry: System Diagram is the root of the *OPD process tree* (3.45) and has no extent of detail beyond the overall context depicted, i.e. no in-zoomed *refinee* (3.62) is present. Any Object-Process Diagram other than System Diagram is a node in the OPD process tree resulting from *refinement* (3.63).

3.76**thing**

<OPM> *object* (3.39) or *process* (3.58)