

ISO 18504:2017(E)

ISO/TC 190/SC 7

Date: 2017-07

**Deleted: Date: 2017-05-17
ISO/PRF 18504:2017(E)**

ISO 18504:2017(E)

ISO/TC 190/SC 7/WG 12

Secretariat: DIN

Soil quality — Sustainable remediation

Qualité du sol — Remédiation durable

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO 18504:2017

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a563098f-7a93-4a0a-884d-498143b9c9b0/iso-18504-2017>

Moved down [1]: Soil quality —
Sustainable remediation

Deleted: ¶

Qualité du sol — Remédiation durable ¶

Section Break (Next Page)

COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT ¶

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester. ¶

ISO copyright office ¶

Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401 ¶

CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland ¶

Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11 ¶

Fax + 41 22 749 09 47 ¶

copyright@iso.org ¶

www.iso.org ¶

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO 18504:2017

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a563098f-7a93-4a0a-884d-498143b9c9b0/iso-18504-2017>

Contents

Page

Foreword.....	5
Introduction.....	6
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Abbreviations.....	3
5 Sustainable remediation, (re)development and regeneration.....	3
6 Risk-based contaminated land management	4
7 Integrated assessments, metrics and evaluations.....	4
7.1 General	4
7.2 Tiered assessments.....	5
7.3 Tiered assessment frameworks	5
7.4 Sustainable remediation assessment techniques.....	6
7.4.1 General	6
7.4.2 Qualitative.....	6
7.4.3 Semiquantitative.....	7
7.4.4 Quantitative.....	7
7.5 Holistic sustainable remediation indicator sets	7
8 Decision making.....	8
8.1 General	8
8.2 Project framing.....	9
8.3 How to identify a sustainable remediation approach	9
8.4 Key principles in decision making	10
8.4.1 Principles.....	10
8.4.2 Stakeholder engagement	11
8.4.3 Selection of relevant indicators.....	11
8.4.4 Assessment of agreed indicators	11
8.4.5 Selection and implementation.....	11
9 Economic dimension	12
9.1 General	12
9.2 Economic indicators	12
10 Social dimension	13
10.1 General	13
10.2 Social indicators.....	14
11 Environmental dimension.....	14
11.1 General	14
11.2 Environmental indicators.....	14
12 Indicators and metrics.....	15
12.1 General	15
12.2 Setting objectives for remediation	17
12.3 Quantification and qualification	18

ISO 18504:2017(E)

12.4	Options for indicator and metric selection.....	19
13	The role of sustainable remediation assessment tools.....	19
13.1	Sustainable remediation assessment	19
13.2	Intended objectives addressed by tools.....	19
13.3	Pre-determined indicators and metrics	20
13.4	Geographic and process specific information	20
13.5	General questions for understanding tool use and applicability	20
14	Communication.....	21
15	Promoting sustainable remediation	21
16	The role of governance and institutional structures	22
	Bibliography.....	23

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO 18504:2017

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a563098f-7a93-4a0a-884d-498143b9c9b0/iso-18504-2017>

Deleted: ISO/PRF 18504:2017(E)

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Deleted: www.iso.org/directives

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Deleted: www.iso.org/patents

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

Deleted: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 190, *Soil quality*, Subcommittee SC 7, *Soil and site assessment*.

Introduction

This document is intended to provide procedures for sustainable remediation. It contains accepted terminology and understanding of the features of sustainable remediation and of means of assessing the relative sustainability of site-specific alternative remediation strategies. Determining what is and is not sustainable remediation at a specific site will be influenced by many local factors and the governance context. Therefore, this document seeks to preserve local flexibility and freedom of action.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO 18504:2017

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/a563098f-7a93-4a0a-884d-498143b9c9b0/iso-18504-2017>

Soil quality — Sustainable remediation

Moved (insertion) [1]

Deleted: Soil quality — Sustainable remediation

1 Scope

This document provides procedures on sustainable remediation. In particular, it provides:

- standard methodology, terminology and information about the key components and aspects of sustainable remediation assessment;
- informative advice on the assessment of the relative sustainability of alternative remediation strategies.

This document is intended to inform practitioners about contemporary understanding of sustainable remediation. It is not intended to prescribe which methods of assessment, indicators or weights to use. Rather, it is intended to inform consideration of the concept of sustainable remediation in a local legal, policy, socio-economic and environmental context.

The scope of this document is restricted to sustainable remediation — that is demonstrably breaking the source-pathway-receptor linkages — in a manner that has been shown on a site-specific basis under a specific legal context to be sustainable.

The concepts of “green remediation” and “green and sustainable remediation” (so called GSR) that in some parts of the world are conflated with sustainable remediation are neither endorsed nor discussed in this document.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at <http://www.electropedia.org/>
- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <http://www.iso.org/obp>

Deleted: <http://www.electropedia.org/>Deleted: <http://www.iso.org/obp>

3.1 brownfield sites which

- have been affected by former uses of the site or surrounding land;
- are derelict or underused;
- are mainly in fully or partly developed urban areas;
- require intervention to bring them back to beneficial use;
- may have real or perceived contamination problems

3.2

environmental justice

combination of environmental rights and environmental responsibilities that asserts that everyone has

- a right to healthy places to live, work, play, learn and enjoy themselves;
- a right to a fair share of nature's benefits and ecosystem services, such as food and water;
- a responsibility to look after the planet for others and for future generations

3.3

indicator

single characteristic that represents a sustainability effect, whether benefit or negative impact, which may be compared across alternative remediation strategies, comprising one or more remediation techniques and/or institutional controls, to evaluate their relative performance

EXAMPLE Greenhouse gas emissions.

3.4

metric

measurement of an *indicator* (3.3)

EXAMPLE Tons/Tonnes CO₂.

3.5

remediation strategy

one or more remediation technologies and associated works that will meet specified contamination-related risk reduction objectives

3.6

remediation technology

technology that pre-processes, processes or post-processes the ground or contaminant as part of risk management

3.7

sustainable development

development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs

Note 1 to entry: Sustainable development is about integrating the broader expectations of society as a whole of a high quality of life, health and prosperity with environmental justice and maintaining Earth's capacity to support life in all its diversity. These social, economic and environmental goals are interdependent and mutually reinforcing.

[SOURCE: ISO 26000:2010, 2.23, modified — The Note has been modified and the last sentence has been deleted]

3.8

sustainable redevelopment

component of *sustainable development* (3.7) that results in the return to use of abandoned, derelict, underused and potentially contaminated sites in a way that increases their environmental, economic, and social benefits

3.9

sustainable regeneration

component of *sustainable development* (3.7) that reverses the economic, social and environmental decline of places

3.10**sustainable remediation**

elimination and/or control of unacceptable risks in a safe and timely manner whilst optimising the environmental, social and economic value of the work

3.11**threshold**

limit of acceptability for an indicator that may not be crossed or carries an unacceptable consequence if it is crossed, such as regulatory non-compliance

3.12**unacceptable risk**

level of risk that requires remediation

Note 1 to entry: The level of risk could be evaluated by comparison to a numeric threshold or by benchmarking against a narrative definition. Different levels of risk are deemed unacceptable in different countries or even by different laws within a country.

4 Abbreviations

BTU	British Thermal Units
CBA	cost benefit analysis
CCP	climate change potential
ESTCP	Environmental Security Technology Certification Program
GHG	greenhouse gas
GSR	green and sustainable remediation
LCA	life cycle assessment
MCA	multi-criteria analysis
MNC	multi-national corporation
RBLM	risk-based land management
SuRF	Sustainable Remediation Forum
US EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
WBCSD	World Business Council for Sustainable Development

Deleted: <#>Abbreviated terms¶

5 Sustainable remediation, (re)development and regeneration

Development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs^[1] is considered to constitute sustainable development. In the case of brownfield sites, remediation is a prelude to physical redevelopment and ultimately socio-economic regeneration. Sustainable regeneration provides multiple benefits. For example, it empowers local communities, provides new employment opportunities, enhances the aesthetics of an area and supports environmental justice.

Since remediation often links into the beneficial redevelopment and reuse of a site, sustainable redevelopment is inherently connected with sustainable remediation.^[2] Considering the reuse of a site from the beginning of a remediation project is a fundamental component of sustainable remediation, and therefore sustainable remediation may act either as a natural precursor to, or as a subset of, sustainable redevelopment. Much value may be achieved through successfully integrating remediation into the redevelopment process to exploit synergies while minimizing costs and environmental impacts associated with bringing sites back to beneficial use.

6 Risk-based contaminated land management

The concept of RBLM means integrating decisions on the need for remediation, the timeframe within which it should be implemented and the choice of remediation strategy by considering three components^[3]:

- fitness for current/intended land use;
- protection of the environment;
- long term care.

RBLM is intended to assist reaching balanced and informed decisions to achieve sustainable land management. The first decision is whether or not the risk posed by land contamination to human health, ecosystems, property or natural resources is deemed by law or corporate policy to merit intervention. Such remediation should be acceptable to those with an interest in its outcome — stakeholders.

Remediation should be reliable and not breakdown uncontrollably in the future. In addition, remediation should not introduce significant new risks and should be effective over the period in which the contamination risks need to be managed.

Sustainable remediation is about how to manage risks that merit intervention and should not be seen as justification for no intervention in the face of such risks.

There might be non-negotiable boundary conditions, such as legal, corporate policy or regulatory requirements, which have to be taken into consideration. Alternative remediation strategies that meet them may then form the focus for the sustainable remediation assessment.

7 Integrated assessments, metrics and evaluations

7.1 General

There are many ways to integrate various dimensions in order to provide a holistic measure to benchmark against the definition of sustainable remediation. A tiered approach allows application of simple sustainable remediation assessments at less complex sites and more sophisticated, costly and perhaps presently contested assessments at more complex sites.

Meaningful sustainability assessment of alternative remediation strategies is possible and should inform robust and reliable project management decisions. This is despite the fact that sustainability may not be measured in simple units, and that an assessment of the sustainability of remediation strategies is necessarily a subjective process at a given point in time and space. Stakeholders should be encouraged to provide their perspectives on the balance of potential impacts and benefits to facilitate consensus.

Sustainability assessment of alternative soil and groundwater remediation strategies^{[4]-[7]} is improved by:

- being limited to those strategies that are likely to achieve site-specific risk-management objectives (i.e. eliminate and/or control unacceptable risks to human health, property, surface or ground water and the environment);
- following a framework for assessment that is consistent with sustainable remediation (see 3.10) by:
 - considering the environmental, social and economic benefits and impacts associated with each option;
 - identifying which of the remediation strategies being assessed provides the greatest overall benefits;
- comparing alternative strategies against a relevant common baseline, e.g. pump and treat, excavation and off-site disposal or do nothing;
- adopting indicators and metrics that capture all significant benefits and impacts while avoiding double-counting;
- adopting a tiered approach, such that the sustainable remediation assessment is proportional to the scale of the project/problem being addressed;
- taking stakeholder opinions and perspectives into account and, where it is practical to do so, engage directly with stakeholders;
- documenting the activities, data, assumptions and decision points to aid transparency (e.g. see ASTM E2876:2013, Clause 8^[8]).

7.2 Tiered assessments

A tiered approach may be used, in which simple qualitative approaches are the default and most commonly used tier, and more complex quantitative tiers are applied only when necessary or otherwise justified.

Simple or relatively clear-cut problems require only simple sustainable remediation assessment. As a general rule, the simplest form of sustainable remediation assessment that allows a robust decision to be made should be adopted. On projects where the decision depends on a small number of indicators that can be measured, a more quantitative approach to sustainable remediation assessment may be necessary to help reach a robust and reliable decision.

7.3 Tiered assessment frameworks

A tiered approach to sustainable remediation assessment illustrates how a simple qualitative, semiquantitative or fully quantitative approach may be taken to a given project. In all tiers the initial considerations are the same:

- confirm the project objectives: what question is the assessment being completed to answer?
- confirm the shortlist of remediation strategies: likely to be effective in meeting project objectives;
- identify the relevant stakeholders: who could affect or be affected by the project?
- identify project boundaries: temporal, spatial and lifecycle limits to the assessment;

ISO 18504:2017(E)

- select sustainable remediation indicators: agree on the indicators that will form the basis of the sustainability assessment;
- determine how each indicator will be characterized or measured: agree on the metrics;
- agree the assessment techniques: sustainable remediation assessment techniques that will be applied (i.e. the tier and method).

Once these initial issues have been considered, and background information and data collated, the sustainable remediation assessment proceeds using the agreed assessment technique.

7.4 Sustainable remediation assessment techniques

7.4.1 General

Various qualitative, semiquantitative or quantitative techniques may be used to undertake a sustainable remediation assessment, either in its entirety, or partially (Table 1). The boundaries between the tiers are fuzzy. While there may be some overlap between the techniques applied under these three headings, they serve as a useful classification to emphasize that valid assessments may be completed using simple, intermediate or more complex approaches. In general, the effort involved increases in going from qualitative to quantitative assessments. However, users should beware of the danger of focusing on only those parameters that can (easily) be measured. The inherent flexibility of qualitative methods means they are easier to apply in a comprehensive manner.

Table 1 — Examples of techniques that may be useful for sustainable remediation assessment

Qualitative (simple but comprehensive)	Semiquantitative	Quantitative (complex but partial)
Narrative analysis	Pair-wise comparison	CBA
Non-parametric ranking	MCA	LCA
		(Environmental) Footprint Analysis
		Cost effectiveness analysis NOTE This is strictly an economic analysis.

7.4.2 Qualitative

Qualitative approaches do not attempt to put numbers to different remediation strategies within an assessment. Instead, non-parametric or even narrative alternatives to metrics may be used:

- ranking of one alternative against others as being “better”, “neutral” or “worse” for a specific indicator;
- a narrative drawn from discussions between stakeholders where alternative remediation strategies are considered and a preferred option selected based on performance against a range of sustainable remediation indicators.

It is generally possible to consider a wide range of sustainable remediation indicators qualitative; but, quantitative data that may be readily accessible for some indicators is not used to its full extent.

Alphanumeric terms may be used in rankings (e.g. 1, 2, 3 or a, b, c) and may be helpful in rapidly identifying patterns, and median rankings may then be considered. However, these labels should not be