

SLOVENSKI STANDARD oSIST prEN ISO 11132:2016

01-december-2016

Senzorična analiza - Metodologija - Smernice za nadzorovanje lastnosti kvantitativnega senzoričnega panela (ISO 11132:2012)

Sensory analysis - Methodology - Guidelines for monitoring the performance of a quantitative sensory panel (ISO 11132:2012)

Sensorische Analyse - Methodologie - Leitlinien zur Überprüfung der Leistungsfähigkeit eines quantitativen sensorischen Panels (ISO 11132:2012)

Analyse sensorielle - Méthodologie - Lignes directrices pour le contrôle de la performance d'un jury sensoriel quantitatif (ISO 11132:2012)

626966a2814/sist-en-iso-11132-2017

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: prEN ISO 11132

ICS:

67.240 Senzorična analiza

Sensory analysis

oSIST prEN ISO 11132:2016

en

oSIST prEN ISO 11132:2016

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>SIST EN ISO 11132:2017</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/414b608e-5656-4e89-9fa0a626966a2814/sist-en-iso-11132-2017

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ISO 11132

First edition 2012-11-01

Sensory analysis — Methodology — Guidelines for monitoring the performance of a quantitative sensory panel

Analyse sensorielle — Méthodologie — Lignes directrices pour le contrôle de la performance d'un jury sensoriel quantitatif

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>SIST EN ISO 11132:2017</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/414b608e-5656-4e89-9fa0a626966a2814/sist-en-iso-11132-2017



Reference number ISO 11132:2012(E)

ISO 11132:2012(E)

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

SIST EN ISO 11132:2017 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/414b608e-5656-4e89-9fa



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2012

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm, without permission in writing from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office Case postale 56 • CH-1211 Geneva 20 Tel. + 41 22 749 01 11 Fax + 41 22 749 09 47 E-mail copyright@iso.org Web www.iso.org

ISO 11132:2012(E)

Page

Contents

Forew	ord	iv
1	Scope	1
2	Normative references	1
3	Terms and definitions	1
4	Principle	2
5	Experimental conditions	4
6	Qualification of assessors	4
7 7.1 7.2 7.3 7.4 7.5 7.6 7.7 7.8 7.9 7.10	Procedure Monitoring via formal performance validation Statistical analysis of data from formal performance validation (a single session) Overall panel performance from formal performance validation Individual assessor performance from formal performance validation Performance issues Monitoring via routine product profiling Experimental design for study of performance over time Statistical analysis of data over time Reproducibility between panels Statistical analysis of complete profiles	4 5 6 7 9 9 9 9 9
Annex	A (informative) Example of practical application	11
Annex	B (informative) Example of use of cusum analysis	
Annex	C (informative) Example of use of Shewhart chart	21
Biblio	graphy	23

a626966a2814/sist-en-iso-11132-2017

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

International Standards are drafted in accordance with the rules given in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

The main task of technical committees is to prepare International Standards. Draft International Standards adopted by the technical committees are circulated to the member bodies for voting. Publication as an International Standard requires approval by at least 75 % of the member bodies casting a vote.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

ISO 11132 was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 34, *Food products*, Subcommittee SC 12, *Sensory analysis*.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

SIST EN ISO 11132:2017 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/414b608e-5656-4e89-9fa0a626966a2814/sist-en-iso-11132-2017

Sensory analysis — Methodology — Guidelines for monitoring the performance of a quantitative sensory panel

1 Scope

This International Standard gives guidelines for monitoring and assessing the overall performance of a quantitative descriptive panel and the performance of each member.

A panel of assessors can be used as an instrument to assess the magnitude of sensory attributes.

Performance is the measure of the ability of a panel or an assessor to make valid attribute assessments across the products being evaluated. It can be monitored at a given time point or tracked over time. Performance comprises the ability of a panel to detect, identify, and measure an attribute, use attributes in a similar way to other panels or assessors, discriminate between stimuli, use a scale properly, repeat their own results, and reproduce results from other panels or assessors.

The methods specified allow the consistency, repeatability, freedom from bias and ability to discriminate of panels and assessors to be monitored and assessed. Monitoring and assessment of agreement between panel members is also covered. Monitoring and assessment can be carried out in one session or over time.

Monitoring performance data enables the panel leader to improve panel and assessor performance, to identify issues and retraining needs or to identify assessors who are not performing well enough to continue participating.

The methods specified in this International Standard can be used by the panel leader to appraise continuously the performance of panels or individual assessors.

This International Standard applies to individuals or panels in training as well as for established panels.

626966a2814/sist-en-iso-11132-2017

2 Normative references

The following referenced documents are indispensable for the application of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 5492, Sensory analysis — Vocabulary

ISO 8586, Sensory analysis — General guidelines for the selection, training and monitoring of selected and expert assessors

ISO 8589, Sensory analysis — General guidance for the design of test rooms

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 5492 and the following apply.

3.1

agreement

ability of different panels or assessors to assign similar scores on a given attribute to samples of the same product

3.2

homogeneity

measure of the agreement of responses among individual assessors within a test session, as a panel of assessors in replicate sessions, or for an individual assessor in replicate sessions

3.3

assessor bias

tendency of an assessor to give scores which are consistently above or below the true score when that is known or the panel mean when it is not

3.4

outlier

an assessment that does not conform to the overall pattern of the data or is extremely different from other assessments of the same or similar products

3.5

panel drift

phenomenon where a panel, over time, changes in sensitivity or becomes susceptible to biases and as a consequence changes the location on the scale where an attribute is rated for a constant, reference product

3.6

performance

ability of a panel or an assessor to make valid and reliable assessments of stimuli and stimulus attributes

3.7

repeatability

agreement in assessments of equivalent product samples under the same test conditions by the same assessor or panel

3.8

reproducibility

agreement in assessments of equivalent product samples under different test conditions, with different tasks or by a different assessor or panel

NOTE Reproducibility may be measured as any of the following:

— the reproducibility of a panel in the short term, measured between two or more sessions separated by several days;

— the reproducibility of a panel in the medium or long term, measured among sessions separated by several months;

- the reproducibility between different panels, in the same laboratory or in different laboratories;

- the reproducibility of assessments by a single assessor of different attributes of a product.

3.9

validation

process of establishing that sensory data correlate with other data on samples of the same product (e.g. laboratory measurements, consumer perception, results from other panels, consumer complaints) or that a panel or assessor is able to meet specified performance criteria

3.10

session

occasion on which products are assessed

NOTE In a single session either one or several products may be assessed by one or several assessors. For an assessor, whether alone or as part of a panel, sessions are separated in time.

3.11

replicate sessions

sessions in which the assessors, the products, the test conditions, and the task are the same

4 Principle

This International Standard is concerned with sensory panels used to assess the magnitude of one or more sensory attributes in order to make quantitative descriptions or profiles of products. Different methods are appropriate to the assessment and monitoring of the performance of panels used for difference testing.

The performance of a quantitative sensory panel may be evaluated by using assessments already available or from panel sessions conducted specifically for the purpose of obtaining performance data.

This International Standard may be used either for periodic monitoring or for reviewing ongoing profile data.

A dedicated monitoring procedure at periodic intervals is appropriate for accreditation and other purposes. Figure 1 is a flow chart for this procedure.

To review ongoing profile data generated by a panel, it can be appropriate to use data that originated from quite different profiling experiments using different product types, product numbers, etc. The procedure is the same as that shown in Figure 1. However, as there are no predefined differences, it is recommended that attributes that are significantly discriminated by the panel as a whole for a given profile be used as the key measures to check the performance of individual panelists. Attributes that result in no significant difference cannot be reliably used to check consistency since the lack of agreement within and between panelists probably means that the products are very similar for those characteristics.

a)	Monitoring	by	means	of	performance	validation.
----	------------	----	-------	----	-------------	-------------

Use a small set of samples (perhaps three or four) for which some attributes are known to be different. These attributes are then used as the key measures on which to measure performance.

- b) Overall panel performance
 - 1) How many of the expected key attributes have been significantly discriminated?
 - 2) How many of the key attributes show an interaction of sample and assessor? This gives an initial indication of where there is least consistency across the panel (7.3.2)
 - 3) Repeatability of the panel for the key attributes in replicate sessions (7.3.3)?

SIST FN ISO 1 132.2017

- c) Individual assessor performance and and standards/sist/414b608e-5656-4e89-9fa0-
 - 1) Discrimination ability: how many of the expected key attributes have been significantly discriminated?
 - 2) Repeatability: consistency of discrimination for a given attribute and product (7.4.2)?
 - 3) Contributions to interaction: for which attributes do interactions occur?
 - i) Interaction due to cross-over effects (7.4.4)
 - ii) Interaction due to different use of the scale (7.4.5)

d) Where performance issues have been identified, either for the panel or for individual assessors, appropriate training sessions should be planned.

Figure 1 — Flow chart for performance monitoring

In a single session, the following indicators can be determined.

- Bias of an assessor, measured as the difference between the assessor's mean and a known, 'true' value, or the mean of the panel as an estimate of the 'true' value.
- Repeatability of an assessor, inversely related to the standard deviation (SD) of repeat assessments by the
 assessor of the same sample, or between replicates of the same product.
- Reproducibility of an assessor, inversely related to the SD of the assessor's biases across individual products.

 Discrimination of an assessor, measured as the ability to assign consistently different scores to different products.

Bias in an assessor may indicate sensory sensitivity that is different from other assessors and/or use of the response scale in a way that differs from other assessors.

If an assessor appears to give assessments that differ from those of other assessors, review all the results with a view to determining whether:

- a) the assessments are consistent or variable for repeated samples of the same product;
- b) the assessments are similar or different for samples of different products;
- c) bias occurs with all, or only some, assessment scales.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) can be used to investigate these questions.

In some cases, bias may indicate an assessor of superior ability whose results are particularly useful. In other cases, an assessor showing bias may require retraining or removal from the panel.

A single, consistent approach to statistical analysis of the results is described here. However, some attributes of panel performance can be assessed by more than one descriptive measure. For instance, error mean square and error SD (its square root) both express variability in the evaluation of a product. The measures used should be those that are usual in the field of application.

Other relevant measures of agreement between assessors in the use of the scale for an attribute are the interaction of assessor and product and the coefficient of correlation between an assessor's scores and the panel means. An assessor may have no bias, but may be using the scale in a different way. A correlation close to 1, a regression slope close to 1, and a regression intercept close to 0 indicate good agreement between an assessor and the rest of the panel.

With a small number of assessments (fewer than six) the correlation coefficient should be interpreted with caution, as it can be high (up to 0,7), by chance alone.

dards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/414b608e-5656-4e89-9fa0-

a626966a2814/sist-en-iso-11132-2017

5 Experimental conditions

The test facilities shall be in accordance with ISO 8589.

6 Qualification of assessors

The panel shall have the level of qualification and experience of selected assessors (ISO 8586) or better.

7 Procedure

7.1 Monitoring via formal performance validation

At each session, the panel of assessors should be presented with a set of samples similar to those the panel are to assess when evaluating products and for which statistically significant differences between at least one pair of the samples can be guaranteed for at least eight attributes.

This number is recommended to encourage panel leaders or sensory managers to identify and select validation samples that show a realistic as well as a statistical measure of a panel's performance.

These key attributes are used as key measures against which to assess panel performance. The sample set should include replicates. There shall be the same number of replicates of each sample. The numbers of assessors, samples, and replicates depends on the products, the sensory attributes assessed and the purpose of the procedure. For example 2 or 3, replicates of three or four samples might be used. Care should be taken to limit the number of assessments required so as to avoid sensory fatigue. The attributes of the samples should be similar to the range of values that the panel assesses when evaluating products.

A randomized block experimental design has been adopted, in which the assessors are the "blocks".

If there is expected to be a carry-over effect from one sample to the next, a suitable experimental design is the Williams Latin square. The basic design uses four assessors and four samples.

Assessor	Order					
ASSESSO	1	2	3	4		
1	А	В	С	D		
2	В	D	А	С		
3	С	А	D	В		
4	D	С	В	А		

Table 1 — Williams Latin square

In this design, each assessor samples the four products in a different order and any particular product is followed by a different one for each assessor, for example A is followed by B for assessor 1, C for assessor 2, D for assessor 3 and none for assessor 4.

If multiples of four assessors are available, the same design can be repeated for each set of four.

7.2 Statistical analysis of data from formal performance validation (a single session)

Table 2 illustrates one way to tabulate and summarize the results. Some computer software may require a different organization of the data, for instance with the samples in columns and the assessors in rows.

	SIST EN ISO (Assessor) 7								
Sample ttps	//standar1s.iteh.ai/		atalog/st 2 ndards/s		st/414b6j8e-5656-4e89-9fang				Mean
	Scores	Mean 9	Scores	IS Mean SO	Scores	1 Mean	Scores	Mean	
	Y ₁₁₁				Y _{1j1}				
1	Y ₁₁₂	<i>Ϋ</i> 11.			Y _{1j2}	\overline{Y}_{1j} .			<i>Υ</i> ₁
	$Y_{1 1 n_r}$				Y _{1jnr}				
2									
	<i>Y</i> _{i11}				Y _{ij1}	Ŧ _{ij.}			
i	i Y_{i12} \overline{Y}_{i1}	\overline{Y}_{i1} .			Y _{ij2}				\overline{Y}_{i}
	Y _i 1n _r				Y _{ijnr}				
n _p									
Mean					$\overline{Y}_{.j.}$				<u> </u>
In this table it is assumed that there are:									
$n_p \equiv$ number of samples ($i = 1, 2 \dots n_p$);									
$n_q \equiv$ number of assessors ($j = 1, 2 \dots n_q$);									
$n_r =$ number of replicates per sample ($k = 1, 2 \dots n_r$).									

Table 2 — Results of the assessors

Measures of the performance of the panel as a whole and individual assessors, other than bias, require the data to be analysed by ANOVA.

The details of the basic calculations are not shown in this International Standard, since the analyses are normally carried out by a computer package.