

SLOVENSKI STANDARD kSIST-TP FprCEN/TR 17172:2017

01-november-2017

karbonatizacijo	standardizirane pre	skusne metode za penetracijo kloridov in
Validation testing pro methods	gram on chloride per	netration and carbonation standardized test
Validierungs-Prüfprog Karbonatisierung	gramm für genormte	Prüfverfahren der Chlorideindringung und der
Programme d'essai d pénétration des chlor	e validation des mét ures et à la carbonat	hodes d'essai normalisées relatives à la ation _{s/sist/eda5a3ab-0162-4739-8484-}
		-tp-cen-tt-1/1/2-2018

ICS:

91.100.30 Beton in betonski izdelki

Concrete and concrete products

kSIST-TP FprCEN/TR 17172:2017

en,fr,de

kSIST-TP FprCEN/TR 17172:2017

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>SIST-TP CEN/TR 17172:2018</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/eda5a3ab-0162-4739-8484-10e3aa2af155/sist-tp-cen-tr-17172-2018

TECHNICAL REPORT RAPPORT TECHNIQUE TECHNISCHER BERICHT

FINAL DRAFT FprCEN/TR 17172

September 2017

ICS

English Version

Validation testing program on chloride penetration and carbonation standardized test methods

Programme d'essai de validation des méthodes d'essai normalisées relatives à la pénétration des chlorures et à la carbonatation Validierungs-Prüfprogramm für genormte Prüfverfahren der Chlorideindringung und der Karbonatisierung

This draft Technical Report is submitted to CEN members for Vote. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/TC 104.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Warning : This document is not a Technical Report. It is distributed for review and comments. It is subject to change without notice and shall not be referred to as a Technical Report. J/TR 17172-2018

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/eda5a3ab-0162-4739-8484-10e3aa2af155/sist-tp-cen-tr-17172-2018



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Avenue Marnix 17, B-1000 Brussels

kSIST-TP FprCEN/TR 17172:2017

FprCEN/TR 17172:2017 (E)

Contents

Europ	ean foreword	3
Introd	luction	4
1	Scope	5
2	Concretes and specimens	5
3	Participating laboratories	6
4	Testing program	6
5	Statistical analysis	7
6 6.1 6.2	Results Accelerated carbonation test prEN 12390-12 Remarks from laboratories participating to the testing procedure CEN/TS 12390-12 (ACA)	7 7 9
7 7.1	Natural carbonation CEN/TS 12390-10 (NCA) Natural carbonation	9
7.2 7.3	Summary of precision parameters of <i>dk</i> (mm)	9
7.4	Carbonation rate	13
7.5	Summary of precision parameters of carbonation rate k_{CO_2} (mm/year ^{0.5})	17
8	Chloride diffusion test EN 12390-11	18
8.1	General	18
8.2	Precision parameters of the Surface concentration C _S	22
8.3	Summary of precison parameters of $D_{\rm nss}$ (m ² /s) and $C_{\rm s}$ (% by concrete mass)	24
9	Regression coefficients of the profile fitting procedure	25
9.1	Regression coefficients R^2 of the fitting of the error function into the chloride profiles	25
Annex	A (informative) Concretes and specimens prepared	28
A.1	Labelling and working program	31
Annex	B (informative) Chloride profiles and their diffusion fitting parameters	33
Annex	c C (informative) Climates in-or-near the places where natural carbonation was made	44

European foreword

This document (FprCEN/TR 17172:2017) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 104 "Concrete and related products", the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

This document is currently submitted to the Formal Vote.

This document reports the data obtained in the Validation Testing Program (VTP) on chloride penetration and carbonation organized by WG 12 starting from 2009 as from document CEN/TC 51/WG 12 – Doc. N 229/2009, where the preparation of specimens the collection of results and the statistical analysis were performed by the Institute of Construction Sciences "Eduardo Torroja" of the CSIC of Spain, IETcc–CSIC, under the managing activities of Prof. Carmen Andrade.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

SIST-TP CEN/TR 17172:2018 https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/eda5a3ab-0162-4739-8484-10e3aa2af155/sist-tp-cen-tr-17172-2018

Introduction

The procedure for the determination of chloride penetration is described in EN 12390-11:2015, *"Testing hardened concrete — Determination of the chloride resistance of concrete — Unidirectional diffusion"* and it has been published by CEN. The method is based on natural diffusion; a concentration profile after 90 days of contact with the chloride solution is used to fit Fick's law in order to calculate the chloride surface concentration, $C_{\rm S}$ and the non-steady-state chloride diffusion coefficient, $D_{\rm nss}$. The method specifies three different modes of contact of the salt solution with one face of the specimen, immersion (DCL1), ponding (DCL2) and inversion (DCL3).

CEN/TC 51/WG 12 has also produced two methods addressed to the determination of the carbonation resistance of the concrete, the first one refers to natural condition and has been published as CEN/TS 12390-10, *"Testing hardened concrete — Part 10: Determination of the relative carbonation resistance of the concrete"*, the second one, referring to accelerated condition, has been prepared by CEN/TC 51/WG 12/TG 5, but it has been disapproved by National Members at Formal Vote CEN TCA¹).

The upgrading to EN standard of the aforesaid documents should require as first step the evaluation of robustness and precision data.

Having in mind these needs, CEN/TC 51/WG 12 organized a "Validation Testing Program (VTP) on chloride penetration and carbonation" for the preliminary evaluation of the robustness and the precision data of the test methods.

For the scope of the present work as robustness is intended the sensitivity of the test method to a composition change of concrete that are expected to produce an appreciable change in related performance.



<u>SIST-TP CEN/TR 17172:2018</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/eda5a3ab-0162-4739-8484-10e3aa2af155/sist-tp-cen-tr-17172-2018

¹⁾ FprCEN/TS 12390-12:2010, Testing hardened concrete — Part 12: Determination of the potential carbonation resistance of concrete: Accelerated carbonation method.

1 Scope

The objective of the Report consists in testing concrete mixes complying with EN 206 for particular aggressive environments with the test methods being standardized by TC51/WG12 on chloride penetration and carbonation in order to verify their robustness and coherence.

2 Concretes and specimens

For the VTP four concrete mixes were designed considering the limiting values indicated in Table F1 of EN 206 and the scope of assessing the robustness of the methods.

Three composition parameters (cement type, w/c ratio and cement content) were suitably chosen.

The following cement type and class were chosen: CEM II/A-LL 42.5 R and CEM II/B-V 32.5 R.

The w/c ratio was intentionally changed to substantially affect the concrete performances.

Two cement contents were used, the first one (300 kg/m^3) for carbonation, the second one (350 kg/m^3) for chloride penetration.

Aggregate "round shaped" of siliceous nature and with a maximum diameter of 14 mm was used. In Table 1 the composition of concrete mixes is shown.

The use of superplasticizer admixture was modulated, were necessary, to obtain a slump class S3 (100 mm – 150 mm). Table 1 gives the nominal proportions of the mixes used.

		Stal CARBONATION COLOR			CHLORIDE				
		MIX 1	MIX 2	MIX 3	MIX 4	MIX 5	MIX6	MIX7	MIX8
Cement types://standan		CEM II/A-LL 42.5R		CEM II/B-V 32.5R		CEM II/A-LL 42.5R		CEM II/B-V 32.5R	
Cement	amount (kg/m ³)	295	296	296	300	345	351	349	357
Water	(l/m ³)	144	173	144	175	137	173	138	176
w/c ratio		0,49	0,58	0,49	0,58	0,4	0,49	0,4	0,49
Gravel	(kg/m ³)	1 049	1011	1 054	1 025	1 005	977	1 019	993
Sand	(kg/m ³)	857	827	861	838	816	793	827	806
Superplasticizer (% cement weight)		0,60	0,20	0,50	0,15	0,79	0,23	0,57	0,10
Density	(kg/dm ³)	2 260	2 265	2 294	2 285	2 273	2 313	2 330	2 330
Air content	(%)	5,75	4,9	5,15	3,75	5,7	3,8	4,4	2,3
Slump	(cm)	11	10	12	10	10	10	13,5	10

Table 1 — Proportions and cement types of the mixes prepared

For each laboratory and test method 2 cubes (150 mm) were produced.

In Annex I a summary of the experimental details of the preparation of the specimens and their submission is described. It is worth noting that the specimen preparation was centralized in one laboratory (IETcc) in order to have a better homogeneity.

3 Participating laboratories

The Laboratories participating in the VTP were 14 of different European countries and are indicated in Table 2 with the tests methods they performed.

COUNTRY	LABORATORY	NATURAL CARBONATION		ACCELERATED CARBONATION	DIFFUSION CHLORIDE PENETRATION		
		NCA1	NCA2	ACA	DCL1	DCL2	DCL3
France	Lafarge	Х			Х		
France	LRPC						Х
Spain	University of Alicante				Х	Х	
Spain	IETcc	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х	Х
Belgium	CRIC			Х	Х		
The Netherlands	КЕМА				Х	Х	Х
The Netherlands	TNO	Х	Х	X			
The Netherlands	SGS INTRON	X	X	X	X		
United Kingdom	Dundee University			X	Х	Х	Х
Sweden	CBI _{s://standards.iteh.a}	i/catalog/	standards/	<u>/1/2:2018</u> sist/eda3a3ab-010	52-4 X 39-	8484-	
Germany	FIZ/VDZ 10e3a	a2af155/s	ist-tp-cen	-tr-1717 X-20 18	Х	Х	Х
Italy	Polytechnic Milan	Х		X	Х	Х	Х
Poland	ISCMOIB/OMMB	Х	X				

Table 2 — List of laboratories participating in the exercise with the test types they perform

4 Testing program

The tests performed are summarized in Table 3.

Test methods	Reference	Methodology	Label	
Accelerated carbonation	a	At 4 % of CO ₂	ACA	
Natural and an ation	CENT/TC 12200 10b	Natural exposure	NCA1	
Natural carbonation	CEN/1512390-10 ⁵	Climatic chamber ^c	NCA2	
		Immersion	DCL1	
Chloride diffusion	EN 12390-11	Ponding	DCL2	
		Inversion	DCL3	

Table 3 — Test methods and standards used in the program

- ^a The draft test method used for this research received a negative vote and the Work Item was withdrawn; however it was published by BSI as BS1881-210. A new accelerated test is being prepared for standardization with the main change being a carbon dioxide concentration of 3%. The robustness and precision determined by the research is expected to be the same as for the test method under development.
- ^b The procedure described in CEN/TS 12390-10: 2007 differs from the method followed in the present report in that the CEN/TS 12390-10 prescribes that test specimens shall be tested when they have reached the 50% of the compressive strength of the reference concrete; in the present report there was not reference concrete as the purpose was not to determine the relative carbonation but the robustness of the testing procedures.
- ^c At 350ppm of carbon dioxide. An EN to replace CEN/TS 12390-10 is under development and the most significant change is to increase the carbon dioxide concentration in the chamber test to 400 ppm. This change is unlikely to impact the robustness and precision determined by this research.

5 Statistical analysis

The statistical treatment has been made following ISO 5725-2, "Determination of the accuracy (trueness and precision) of measurement methods and results — Part 2: Basic method for the determination of repeatability and reproducibility of a standard measurement method".

According to this standard, the parameters to be calculated are the mean value (*m*), the repeatability standard deviation (s_r), the reproducibility standard deviation (s_R). In addition, the limiting values of the repeatability and reproducibility, *r* and *R*, have been also calculated.

The basic model is simple of type I applied to a single experiment. It has been applied in three steps:

- 1) A critical exam of all data in order to identify and to treat any anomalous value or irregularities which could prevent the correct application of ISO 5725-2.
- 2) Once the outliers results are identified and discarded it is calculated the repeatability, *r* and *r* (%) and reproducibility, *R* and *R* (%) of the remaining results (excluding the outliers).
- 3) Definitive calculation of the mean and precision values, including the relations between the mean and the precision for each level (ISO 5725-2).

6 Results

6.1 Accelerated carbonation test prEN 12390-12

The individual results obtained are presented in Figure 1. The testing procedure ranks concretes with CEM II/A-LL as more resistant than concrete with CEM II/B-V for the same w/c ratios.

Also it can be noticed that the method is sensitive to changes in the w/c ratio for the concrete with the same cement as it was evidenced an increasing of the carbonation depth with the increasing of w/c ratio.

It is not possible to draft any conclusion on the effect of cement type because the strength class of cement is different. Consequently different cement lead to different concrete strength and porosity and they are subjected to the exposure with different degree of porosity evolution in concrete.

Lab 9 has been considered outlier due to its results were much smaller of the rest of laboratories, having being identified later that its chamber did not control well the relative humidity which was higher than that prescribed in the standard. Then the results of this LAB 9 in this test have not been used in the calculations. Table 4 shows the results of the statistical analysis.



Figure 1 — Individual results averaged for each mix of accelerated carbonation depth

Accele	Mix 1	Mix 2	Mix 3	Mix 4		
	Average (m)		8,38	15,17	16,79	23,85
Standard deviation	Repeatability	s _r (mm)	0,76	0,56	0,60	0,90
Standard deviation	Reproducibility	s _R (mm)	0,86	0,87	1,41	2,30
Variation coof	Repeatability	CV _r (%)	9,12	3,69	3,56	3,76
Variation coef.	Reproducibility	CV _R (%)	10,27	5,75	8,37	9,66
Limit values	Repeatability	<i>r</i> (mm)	2,14	1,57	1,67	2,51
Lilling values	Reproducibility	<i>R</i> (mm)	2,41	2,44	3,93	6,45
Limit	Repeatability	r (%)	25,53	10,33	9,96	10,53
values/average	Reproducibility	R (%)	28,77	16,10	23,42	27,04

Table 4 — Summar	ry of mean valu	es of carbonat	tion depth obta	ined for ACA n	nethod

6.2 Remarks from laboratories participating to the testing procedure CEN/TS 12390-12 (ACA)

The main aspect that should be mentioned is that 2 of 8 laboratories did not consider the indication of protecting 2 parallel faces of specimen (point 6.2) and then it can be recommended that the description of the testing procedure regarding measurements points and the number of faces should be improved.

7 Natural carbonation CEN/TS 12390-10 (NCA)

7.1 Natural carbonation

Its main aim of this test was to check its comparative ability and the robustness of the two alternative testing procedures. The "normalization" described in the chapter 6 of CEN/TS 12390-10 was not in the scope of the VTP.

Two results were considered:

- *dk* (mm) the penetration depth;
- k_c (mm/year^{0.5}) the carbonation rate.

7.2 Penetration depth dk

The test was performed by 6 laboratories, although not all performed both tests (in controlled chamber and outdoors), number of specimens and testing times. The durations on each test taken by each laboratory were not exactly those of the standard, but the data collected at different ages by each lab (see Table 5 and 6) were enough close between them to have relevance: all the tests were performed by taking some data before or at 1 year and other, at around two years. Due to these different testing ages, the direct comparison of the penetration depths using dk in mm is made with not all laboratories, however all data were used in the case of the rate of carbonation in mm/tyear^{0.5} because each dk was divided by the exact testing period.

For the sake of appraising the environmental conditions, in Annex III are given the average temperature and relative humidity of the atmospheres where the participating laboratories are located. Their relative differences are presented also in the sicrometric diagram.

Table 5 — Days of exposure by each laboratory in a controlled chamber or outdoor sheltered
from rain

LABORATORIES	TESTING TIMES (days)
L2	365, 730
L5	375, 801
L7	365
L9	190, 730
L11	365, 730, 886
L13	365

NUMBER OF LABORATORIES FOR EACH AVERAGED VALUE Natural carbonation							
	NCA1 NCA2						
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 1	Year 2			
MIX 1	5	4	4	2			
MIX 2	5	5	4	3			
Mix 3	5	4	4	2			
MIX 4	5	5	4	3			

Table 6 — Number of laboratory results averaged given in Figures 4 and 5

For averaging the values, Table 6 shows the number of laboratories per mix considered giving valid values. Figures 2 and 3 show the averaged results of the penetration depth per mix obtained by each "valid results" laboratory.



Figure 2 — Individual results of carbonation depth *dk* for each mix in natural exposure (NCA1) at equal or around 1 year. (LAB 9 did not test at 365 days but at 190 days)



Figure 3 — Individual results for each mix of natural carbonation depth *dk* in the chamber (NCA2) at equal or around 1 year. (LAB 9 did not test at 365 days but at 190 days).

For comparative purposes between NCA1 and NCA 2 test procedures, the differences in the carbonation depth *dk* obtained at around 1 year are given in Figure 4. It is remarkable to deduce that, in spite of the very different climates in the countries of the different laboratories (see Annex III), the *dk* values in natural outdoors sheltered from rain exposure are reasonable similar to those values obtained through NCA2 (controlled chamber).



Figure 4 — Comparison of testing procedures NCA1 (natural outdoors sheltered from rain) and NCA2 in the controlled chamber (LAB 9 did not test at 365 days but at 190 days). Individual values per mix at equal or around 1 year