



SLOVENSKI STANDARD
oSIST prEN IEC 62061:2019
01-julij-2019

Varnost strojev - Funkcijska varnost nadzornih sistemov, povezanih z varnostjo

Safety of machinery - Functional safety of safety-related control systems

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: prEN IEC 62061

[ksIST FprEN IEC 62061:2020](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/29d83a08-a396-4586-86b8-3d924b05c39b/ksist-fpren-iec-62061-2020)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/29d83a08-a396-4586-86b8-3d924b05c39b/ksist-fpren-iec-62061-2020>

ICS:

13.110	Varnost strojev	Safety of machinery
25.040.40	Merjenje in krmiljenje industrijskih postopkov	Industrial process measurement and control

oSIST prEN IEC 62061:2019

en,fr,de

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW **(standards.iteh.ai)**

[kSIST FprEN IEC 62061:2020](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/29d83a08-a396-4586-86b8-3d924b05c39b/ksist-fpren-iec-62061-2020)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/29d83a08-a396-4586-86b8-3d924b05c39b/ksist-fpren-iec-62061-2020>



44/847/CDV

COMMITTEE DRAFT FOR VOTE (CDV)

PROJECT NUMBER: IEC 62061 ED2	
DATE OF CIRCULATION: 2019-04-26	CLOSING DATE FOR VOTING: 2019-07-19
SUPERSEDES DOCUMENTS: 44/827/CD, 44/844A/CC	

IEC TC 44 : SAFETY OF MACHINERY - ELECTROTECHNICAL ASPECTS	
SECRETARIAT: United Kingdom	SECRETARY: Mrs Nyomee Hla-Shwe Tun
OF INTEREST TO THE FOLLOWING COMMITTEES:	PROPOSED HORIZONTAL STANDARD: <input type="checkbox"/> Other TC/SCs are requested to indicate their interest, if any, in this CDV to the secretary.
FUNCTIONS CONCERNED: <input type="checkbox"/> EMC <input type="checkbox"/> ENVIRONMENT <input type="checkbox"/> QUALITY ASSURANCE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SAFETY	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SUBMITTED FOR CENELEC PARALLEL VOTING <input type="checkbox"/> NOT SUBMITTED FOR CENELEC PARALLEL VOTING Attention IEC-CENELEC parallel voting The attention of IEC National Committees, members of CENELEC, is drawn to the fact that this Committee Draft for Vote (CDV) is submitted for parallel voting. The CENELEC members are invited to vote through the CENELEC online voting system.	

This document is still under study and subject to change. It should not be used for reference purposes.

Recipients of this document are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

TITLE:

Safety of machinery – Functional safety of safety-related control systems

PROPOSED STABILITY DATE: 2024

NOTE FROM TC/SC OFFICERS:

Copyright © 2019 International Electrotechnical Commission, IEC. All rights reserved. It is permitted to download this electronic file, to make a copy and to print out the content for the sole purpose of preparing National Committee positions. You may not copy or "mirror" the file or printed version of the document, or any part of it, for any other purpose without permission in writing from IEC.

CONTENTS

FOREWORD.....	9
INTRODUCTION.....	12
1 Scope.....	13
2 Normative references	14
3 Terms, definitions and abbreviations	15
3.1 Alphabetical list of definitions.....	15
3.2 Terms and definitions.....	17
3.3 Abbreviations.....	28
4 Design process of an SCS and management of functional safety.....	29
4.1 Objective	29
4.2 Design process	29
4.3 Management of functional safety using a functional safety plan	31
4.4 Configuration management	32
4.5 Modification	33
5 Specification of a safety function	33
5.1 Objective	33
5.2 Safety Requirements Specification (SRS).....	33
5.2.1 Information to be available.....	34
5.2.2 Functional requirements specification	34
5.2.3 Safety integrity requirements specification	35
6 Design of an SCS.....	35
6.1 General.....	35
6.2 Subsystem architecture based on top down decomposition	36
6.3 Basic methodology – Use of subsystem	36
6.3.1 General	36
6.3.2 SCS architecture design based on subsystems.....	36
6.3.3 Sub-function allocation	38
6.3.4 Use of a pre-designed subsystem	38
6.4 Determination of safety integrity of the SCS.....	38
6.4.1 General	38
6.4.2 Average frequency of dangerous failures	39
6.5 Requirements for systematic safety integrity of the SCS	39
6.5.1 Requirements for the avoidance of systematic hardware failures	39
6.5.2 Requirements for the control of systematic faults.....	40
6.6 Electromagnetic immunity	41
6.7 Software based manual parameterization.....	41
6.7.1 General	41
6.7.2 Influences on safety-related parameters	41
6.7.3 Requirements for software based manual parameterization	42
6.7.4 Verification of the parameterization tool.....	43
6.7.5 Performance of software based manual parameterization	43
6.8 Security aspects	43
6.9 Aspects of periodic testing	44
6.9.1 General principle	44

6.9.2	Proof test.....	44
7	Design and development of a subsystem.....	45
7.1	General.....	45
7.2	Subsystem architecture design	46
7.3	Requirements for the selection and design of subsystem and subsystem elements.....	46
7.3.1	General	46
7.3.2	Systematic integrity	46
7.3.3	Fault consideration and fault exclusion	49
7.3.4	Failure rate of subsystem element	50
7.4	Architectural constraints of a subsystem	52
7.4.1	General	52
7.4.2	Estimation of safe failure fraction (SFF).....	53
7.4.3	Behaviour (of the SCS) on detection of a fault in a subsystem	54
7.4.4	Realization of diagnostic functions.....	55
7.5	Subsystem design architectures.....	56
7.5.1	General	56
7.5.2	Basic subsystem architectures.....	56
7.5.3	Basic requirements	57
7.6	Probability of dangerous random hardware failures of subsystems.....	58
7.6.1	General	58
7.6.2	Methods to estimate the PFH of a subsystem	58
7.6.3	Methods to estimate the PFD _{avg} of a subsystem	58
7.6.4	Simplified approach to estimation of contribution of common cause failure (CCF).....	58
8	Software.....	59
8.1	General.....	59
8.2	Definition of Software Levels.....	59
8.3	Software Level 1	60
8.3.1	Software safety lifecycle SW Level 1	60
8.3.2	Software Design SW Level 1	61
8.3.3	Module design SW Level 1	63
8.3.4	Coding SW Level 1	64
8.3.5	Module test SW Level 1	64
8.3.6	Software testing SW Level 1	64
8.3.7	Documentation SW Level 1.....	65
8.3.8	Configuration and modification management process SW Level 1.....	65
8.4	Software Level 3	66
8.4.1	Software safety lifecycle SW Level 3	66
8.4.2	Software Design SW Level 3	68
8.4.3	Software system design SW Level 3	69
8.4.4	Module design SW Level 3	70
8.4.5	Coding SW Level 3	70
8.4.6	Module test SW Level 3.....	71
8.4.7	Software integration testing SW Level 3	71
8.4.8	Software testing SW Level 3.....	71
8.4.9	Documentation SW Level 3.....	73
8.4.10	Configuration and modification management process SW Level 3.....	73
9	Validation	73

9.1	Validation principles.....	73
9.1.1	Validation plan.....	77
9.1.2	Use of generic fault lists	77
9.1.3	Specific fault lists	78
9.1.4	Information for validation	78
9.1.5	Validation record	79
9.2	Analysis as part of validation	79
9.2.1	General	79
9.2.2	Analysis techniques	79
9.2.3	Verification of safety requirements specification for safety functions	79
9.3	Testing as part of validation	80
9.3.1	General	80
9.3.2	Measurement accuracy.....	80
9.3.3	More stringent requirements	81
9.3.4	Number of test samples	81
9.4	Validation of the safety function	81
9.4.1	General	81
9.4.2	Analysis and testing.....	82
9.5	Validation of the safety integrity of the SCS	82
9.5.1	Validation of subsystem(s).....	82
9.5.2	Validation of measures against systematic failures	82
9.5.3	Validation of safety-related software	83
9.5.4	Validation of combination of subsystems	83
9.5.5	Verification of safety integrity.....	84
10	Documentation.....	84
10.1	General.....	84
10.2	Technical documentation	84
10.3	Information for use of the SCS	85
10.3.1	General	85
10.3.2	Information for use given by the manufacturer of subsystems	86
10.3.3	Information for use given by the SCS integrator	86
Annex A	(informative) Determination of required safety integrity	88
A.1	General.....	88
A.2	Matrix assignment for the required SIL.....	88
A.2.1	Hazard identification/indication	88
A.2.2	Risk estimation	88
A.2.3	Severity (Se)	89
A.2.4	Probability of occurrence of harm	89
A.2.5	Class of probability of harm (CI)	92
A.2.6	SIL assignment.....	92
A.3	Overlapping hazards	94
Annex B	(informative) Example of SCS design methodology	95
B.1	General.....	95
B.2	Safety requirements specification	95
B.3	Decomposition of the safety function.....	95
B.4	Design of the SCS by using subsystems	97
B.4.1	General	97
B.4.2	Subsystem 1 design – “guard door monitoring”	97

B.4.3	Subsystem 2 design – “evaluation logic”	99
B.4.4	Subsystem 3 design – “motor control”	99
B.4.5	Evaluation of the SCS	99
B.5	Verification	100
B.5.1	Analysis	100
B.5.2	Tests	100
Annex C (informative)	Examples of MTTF _D values for single components	101
C.1	General	101
C.2	Good engineering practices method	101
C.3	Hydraulic components	101
C.4	MTTF _D of pneumatic, mechanical and electromechanical components	101
Annex D (normative)	Low demand requirements	103
D.1	General	103
D.2	Normative references	103
D.3	Terms and definitions	103
D.4	Design process of an SCS and management of functional safety	103
D.5	Specification of a safety function	103
D.6	Design of an SCS	104
D.7	Design and development of subsystem	105
D.8	Software	106
D.9	Validation	106
D.10	Documentation	106
Annex E (informative)	Examples for diagnostic coverage (DC)	107
Annex F (informative)	Methodology for the estimation of susceptibility to common cause failures (CCF)	109
F.1	General	109
F.2	Methodology	109
F.2.1	Requirements for CCF	109
F.2.2	Estimation of effect of CCF	109
Annex G (informative)	Guideline for Software level 1	111
G.1	Software safety requirements	111
G.2	Coding guidelines	112
G.3	Specification of safety functions	112
G.4	Specification of hardware design	114
G.5	Software system design specification	115
G.6	Protocols	118
Annex H (informative)	((void))	120
Annex I (informative)	Examples of safety functions	121
I.1	Examples of safety functions	121
I.2	Example of low demand function	122
Annex J (informative)	((void))	126
Annex K (informative)	Simplified approaches to evaluate the PFH value of a subsystem	127
K.1	Table allocation approach	127
K.2	Simplified Formulas for the estimation of PFH	129
K.2.1	General	129
K.2.2	Basic subsystem architecture A: single channel without a diagnostic function	129

K.2.3	Basic subsystem architecture B: dual channel without a diagnostic function	130
K.2.4	Basic subsystem architecture C: single channel with a diagnostic function	130
K.2.5	Basic subsystem architecture D: dual channel with a diagnostic function(s)	135
K.3	Parts count method	135
Annex L ((void))	137
Annex M (informative)	The functional safety plan and design activities	138
M.1	General	138
M.2	Example of a machine design plan including a safety plan	138
M.3	Example of activities, documents and roles	138
Bibliography	141
Figure 1	Relationship of this standard to other standards	14
Figure 2	Integration within the risk reduction process of ISO 12100 (excerpt)	29
Figure 3	Iterative process for design of the safety-related control system	30
Figure 4	Examples of combination of subsystems as one SCS	31
Figure 5	Examples of typical decomposition of a safety function into sub-functions and its allocation to subsystems	37
Figure 6	Example of safety integrity of a safety function based on allocated subsystems as one SCS	38
Figure 7	Subsystem A logical representation	56
Figure 8	Subsystem B logical representation	57
Figure 9	Subsystem C logical representation	57
Figure 10	Subsystem D logical representation	57
Figure 11	V-model for SW level 1	60
Figure 12	V-model for software modules customized by the designer for SW level 1	60
Figure 13	V-model of software safety lifecycle for SW Level 3	66
Figure 14	Overview of the validation process	76
Figure A.1	Parameters used in risk estimation	88
Figure A.2	Example proforma for SIL assignment process	93
Figure B.1	Decomposition of the safety function	96
Figure B.2	Overview of design of the subsystems of the SCS	97
Figure D.1	Example of safety integrity of a safety function based on allocated subsystems as one SCS	104
Figure G.1	Plant sketch	113
Figure G.2	Principal module architecture design	116
Figure G.3	Principal design approach of logical evaluation	117
Figure G.4	Example of logical representation (program sketch)	118
Figure I.1	Relationship between demand of a safety function, failure and trip limit in a safety function	123
Figure I.2	Typical configuration of a gas turbine	124
Figure K.1	Subsystem A logical representation	129
Figure K.2	Subsystem B logical representation	130
Figure K.3	Subsystem C logical representation	130

Figure K.4 - Correlation of subsystem C and the pertinent fault handling function	131
Figure K.5 - Subsystem C with external fault handling function	131
Figure K.6 – Subsystem C with external fault diagnostics	132
Figure K.7 – Subsystem C with external fault reaction	133
Figure K.8 – Subsystem C with internal fault diagnostics and internal fault reaction	133
Figure K.9 - Subsystem D logical representation.....	135
Figure M.1 – Example of a machine design plan including a safety plan	138
Figure M.2 – Example of activities, documents and roles	139
Table 1 – SIL and limits of PFH values	35
Table 2 – Required SIL and PFH of pre-designed subsystem.....	38
Table 3 – Relevant information for each subsystem	45
Table 4 – Architectural constraints on a subsystem: maximum SIL that can be claimed for an SCS using the subsystem	53
Table 5 – Overview of basic requirements and interrelation to basic subsystem architectures.....	58
Table 6 – Different levels of software	59
Table 7 – Minimum levels of independence for review, testing and verification activities SW Level 1	61
Table 8 – Minimum levels of independence for review, testing and verification activities SW Level 3	67
Table 9 – Minimum levels of independence for validation activities	77
Table 10 – Documentation of an SCS	85
Table A.1 – Severity (Se) classification	89
Table A.2 – Frequency and duration of exposure (Fr) classification	90
Table A.3 – Probability (Pr) classification.....	91
Table A.4 – Probability of avoiding or limiting harm (Av) classification	91
Table A.5 – Parameters used to determine class of probability of harm (Cl).....	92
Table A.6 – Matrix assignment for determining the required SIL (or PL _r) for a safety function.....	93
Table B.1 – Safety requirements specification – example of overview	95
Table B.2 – Systematic integrity – example of overview	100
Table B.3 – Verification by tests	100
Table C.1 – Standards references and MTTF _D or B _{10D} values for components.....	102
Table D.1 – SIL and limits of PFD _{avg} values in low demand mode of operation	103
Table E.1 – Estimates for diagnostic coverage (DC)	107
Table F.1 – Criteria for estimation of CCF.....	109
Table F.2 – Criteria for estimation of CCF.....	110
Table G.1 – Example of relevant documents related to the simplified V-model	111
Table G.2 – Examples of coding guidelines.....	112
Table G.3 – Specified safety functions	113
Table G.4 – Relevant list of input and output signals.....	114
Table G.5 – Example of simplified cause and effect matrix.....	118
Table G.6 – Verification of software system design specification.....	119
Table G.7 – Software code review	119

Table G.8 – Software validation	119
Table I.1 – Examples of typical safety functions	121
Table K.1 – Allocation of PFH value of a subsystem	128
Table K.2 – Relationship between B_{10D} , operations and $MTTF_D$	129

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW **(standards.iteh.ai)**

[kSIST FprEN IEC 62061:2020](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/29d83a08-a396-4586-86b8-3d924b05c39b/ksist-fpren-iec-62061-2020)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/29d83a08-a396-4586-86b8-3d924b05c39b/ksist-fpren-iec-62061-2020>

INTERNATIONAL ELECTROTECHNICAL COMMISSION

SAFETY OF MACHINERY – FUNCTIONAL SAFETY OF SAFETY-RELATED CONTROL SYSTEMS

FOREWORD

- 1) The International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) is a worldwide organization for standardization comprising all national electrotechnical committees (IEC National Committees). The object of IEC is to promote international co-operation on all questions concerning standardization in the electrical and electronic fields. To this end and in addition to other activities, IEC publishes International Standards, Technical Specifications, Technical Reports, Publicly Available Specifications (PAS) and Guides (hereafter referred to as "IEC Publication(s)"). Their preparation is entrusted to technical committees; any IEC National Committee interested in the subject dealt with may participate in this preparatory work. International, governmental and non-governmental organizations liaising with the IEC also participate in this preparation. IEC collaborates closely with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) in accordance with conditions determined by agreement between the two organizations.
- 2) The formal decisions or agreements of IEC on technical matters express, as nearly as possible, an international consensus of opinion on the relevant subjects since each technical committee has representation from all interested IEC National Committees.
- 3) IEC Publications have the form of recommendations for international use and are accepted by IEC National Committees in that sense. While all reasonable efforts are made to ensure that the technical content of IEC Publications is accurate, IEC cannot be held responsible for the way in which they are used or for any misinterpretation by any end user.
- 4) In order to promote international uniformity, IEC National Committees undertake to apply IEC Publications transparently to the maximum extent possible in their national and regional publications. Any divergence between any IEC Publication and the corresponding national or regional publication shall be clearly indicated in the latter.
- 5) IEC itself does not provide any attestation of conformity. Independent certification bodies provide conformity assessment services and, in some areas, access to IEC marks of conformity. IEC is not responsible for any services carried out by independent certification bodies.
- 6) All users should ensure that they have the latest edition of this publication.
- 7) No liability shall attach to IEC or its directors, employees, servants or agents including individual experts and members of its technical committees and IEC National Committees for any personal injury, property damage or other damage of any nature whatsoever, whether direct or indirect, or for costs (including legal fees) and expenses arising out of the publication, use of, or reliance upon, this IEC Publication or any other IEC Publications.
- 8) Attention is drawn to the Normative references cited in this publication. Use of the referenced publications is indispensable for the correct application of this publication.
- 9) Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this IEC Publication may be the subject of patent rights. IEC shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

International Standard IEC 62061 has been prepared by IEC technical committee 44: Safety of machinery – Electrotechnical aspects.

This second edition cancels and replaces the previous edition. This edition constitutes a technical revision and it includes the following significant technical changes:

1. structure has been changed and contents have been updated to reflect the design process of the safety function
2. standard extended to non-electrical technologies
3. standard extended to low demand mode for specific applications (Annex D)
4. definitions updated to be aligned with IEC 61508
5. functional safety plan introduced and configuration management updated (Section 4)
6. requirements on parametrization expanded (Section 6)
7. reference to requirements on security added (Section 6.8)
8. requirements on periodic testing added (Section 6.9)
9. various improvements and clarification on architectures and reliability calculations (Sections 6 and 7)
10. shift from SILCL to maximum SIL of a subsystem (Section 7)
11. use cases for software described including requirements (Section 8)

12. requirements on independence for software verification (Section 8) and validation activities (Sections 9) added

13. new informative annex with examples (Annex I)

14. new informative annexes on typical MTTF_D values, diagnostics and calculation methods for the architectures (Annexes C, E and K)

The text of this standard is based on the following documents:

FDIS	Report on voting
XX/XX/FDIS	XX/XX/RVD

Full information on the voting for the approval of this standard can be found in the report on voting indicated in the above table.

This publication has been drafted in accordance with the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[ksIST FprEN IEC 62061:2020](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/29d83a08-a396-4586-86b8-3d924b05c39b/ksist-fpren-iec-62061-2020)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/29d83a08-a396-4586-86b8-3d924b05c39b/ksist-fpren-iec-62061-2020>

The committee has decided that the contents of this publication will remain unchanged until the stability date indicated on the IEC web site under "http://webstore.iec.ch" in the data related to the specific publication. At this date, the publication will be

- reconfirmed,
- withdrawn,
- replaced by a revised edition, or
- amended.

The National Committees are requested to note that for this publication the stability date is 20XX.

THIS TEXT IS INCLUDED FOR THE INFORMATION OF THE NATIONAL COMMITTEES AND WILL BE DELETED AT THE PUBLICATION STAGE.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[ksIST FprEN IEC 62061:2020](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/29d83a08-a396-4586-86b8-3d924b05c39b/ksist-fpren-iec-62061-2020)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/29d83a08-a396-4586-86b8-3d924b05c39b/ksist-fpren-iec-62061-2020>

84

INTRODUCTION

85 As a result of automation, demand for increased production and reduced operator physical
86 effort, Safety-related Control Systems (referred to as SCS) of machines play an increasing
87 role in the achievement of overall machine safety. Furthermore, the SCS themselves
88 increasingly employ complex electronic technology.

89 IEC 62061 and ISO 13849-1 specify requirements for the design and implementation of
90 safety-related control systems of machinery. This standard is machine sector specific within
91 the framework of IEC 61508.

92 This International Standard is intended for use by machinery designers, control system
93 manufacturers and integrators, and others involved in the specification, design and validation
94 of an SCS. It sets out an approach and provides requirements to achieve the necessary
95 performance.

96 It is intended to facilitate the specification of the safety functions intended to achieve the risk
97 reduction of machine when it is intended to be achieved by safety-related control systems.

98 This standard provides a machine sector specific framework for functional safety of a SCS of
99 machines. It only covers those aspects of the safety lifecycle that are related to safety
100 requirements allocation through to safety validation. Requirements are provided for
101 information for safe use of SCS of machines that can also be relevant to later phases of the
102 lifecycle of a SCS.

103 There are many situations on machines where SCS are employed as part of safety measures
104 that have been provided to achieve risk reduction. A typical case is the use of an interlocking
105 guard that, when it is opened to allow access to the danger zone, signals the machine control
106 system to stop hazardous machine operation. Also in automation, the machine control system
107 that is used to achieve correct operation of the machine process often contributes to safety by
108 mitigating risks associated with hazards arising directly from control system failures. This
109 standard gives a methodology and requirements to

- 110 • assign the required safety integrity for each safety function to be implemented by SCS;
- 111 • enable the design of the SCS appropriate to the assigned safety (control) function(s);
- 112 • integrate safety-related subsystems designed in accordance with other applicable
113 functional safety-related standards (see 6.2.4);
- 114 • validate the SCS.

115 This standard is intended to be used within the framework of systematic risk reduction, in
116 conjunction with risk assessment described in ISO 12100. Suggested methodologies for a
117 safety integrity assignment are given in informative Annex A.

SAFETY OF MACHINERY – FUNCTIONAL SAFETY OF SAFETY-RELATED CONTROL SYSTEMS

1 Scope

This International Standard specifies requirements and makes recommendations for the design, integration and validation of safety-related control systems (SCS) for machines. It is applicable to control systems used, either singly or in combination, to carry out safety functions on machines that are not portable by hand while working, including a group of machines working together in a co-ordinated manner.

This standard is machinery sector specific standard within the framework of the IEC 61508 series.

The design of complex programmable electronic subsystems or subsystem elements is not in the scope of this standard. This is in the scope of IEC 61508 or standards linked to it, see Figure 1.

The main body of this sector standard specifies general requirements for the design, and verification of a safety-related control system intended to be used in high/continuous demand mode.

Specific requirements for design, and verification of a safety-related control system intended to be used in low demand mode are given in normative Annex D.

NOTE 1 It's recognized that a subsystem can be shared by high and low demand functions.

This standard:

- is concerned only with functional safety requirements intended to reduce the risk of injury or damage to the health of persons in the immediate vicinity of the machine and those directly involved in the use of the machine;
- is restricted to risks arising directly from the hazards of the machine itself or from a group of machines working together in a co-ordinated manner;

NOTE 2 Requirements to mitigate risks arising from other hazards are provided in relevant sector standards. For example, where a machine(s) is part of a process activity, additional information is available in IEC 61511.

This document does not cover

- electrical hazards arising from the electrical control equipment itself (e.g. electric shock – see IEC 60204–1);
- other safety requirements necessary at the machine level such as safeguarding;
- specific measures for security aspects – see IEC TR 63074.

This document is not intended to limit or inhibit technological advancement.

Figure 1 shows the relationship of this standard to other relevant standards.