

## SLOVENSKI STANDARD SIST EN 17149-2:2024

01-junij-2024

Železniške naprave - Ocenjevanje odpornosti konstrukcije železniških vozil - 2. del: Ocena statične odpornosti

Railway applications - Strength assessment of rail vehicle structures - Part 2: Static strength assessment

Bahnanwendungen - Festigkeitsnachweis von Schienenfahrzeugstrukturen - Teil 2: Statischer Festigkeitsnachweis

Applications ferroviaires - Évaluation de la résistance des structures de véhicule ferroviaire - Partie 2 : Évaluation de la résistance statique

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: EN 17149-2:2024

ICS:

45.060.01 Železniška vozila na splošno Railway rolling stock in general

SIST EN 17149-2:2024 en,fr,de

# iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

SIST EN 17149-2:2024

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/391c8dcb-7741-47b4-bd9d-c95480102eb3/sist-en-17149-2-2024

EUROPEAN STANDARD NORME EUROPÉENNE EUROPÄISCHE NORM EN 17149-2

April 2024

ICS 45.060.01

#### **English Version**

# Railway applications - Strength assessment of rail vehicle structures - Part 2: Static strength assessment

Applications ferroviaires - Évaluation de la résistance des structures de véhicule ferroviaire - Partie 2 : Évaluation de la résistance statique Bahnanwendungen - Festigkeitsnachweis von Schienenfahrzeugstrukturen - Teil 2: Statischer Festigkeitsnachweis

This European Standard was approved by CEN on 27 February 2024.

CEN members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration. Up-to-date lists and bibliographical references concerning such national standards may be obtained on application to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre or to any CEN member.

This European Standard exists in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN members are the national standards bodies of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and United Kingdom.

### cument i review

#### SIST EN 17149-2:2024

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/391c8dcb-7741-47b4-bd9d-c95480102eb3/sist-en-17149-2-202



EUROPEAN COMMITTEE FOR STANDARDIZATION COMITÉ EUROPÉEN DE NORMALISATION EUROPÄISCHES KOMITEE FÜR NORMUNG

CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

Contents  European foreword		Page
		4
		5
1	Scope	6
2	Normative references	
3	Terms and definitions	
4	Stress and strain determination	
4.1 4.2	General  Calculation of equivalent stress with linear elastic material behaviour	
4.2.1	GeneralGeneral	
4.2.1	Equivalent stress for ductile materials	
4.2.3	Equivalent stress for brittle materials	
4.3	Calculation with nonlinear material behaviour	
4.3.1	Material models	
4.3.2	Equivalent stress	
4.3.3	Equivalent plastic strain	
4.4	Determination of stresses and strains by test	
5	Static strength ITeh Standards	
5 5.1	Material properties	
5.1.1	General	
5.1.1	Parent material	
5.1.3	Heat affected zone (HAZ) and weld metal	17
5.1.3	Admissible plastic strain	
5.2.1	Exceptional design loads	
5.2.2	Ultimate design loads SISTEN 17149-2:2024	
https://	/standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/391c8dcb-7741-47b4-bd9d-c95480102eb3/	
6	Partial factors	
6.1	General	
6.2	Partial factor for loads $\gamma_L$	
6.3	Partial factor for the component static strength $\gamma_{ extsf{M}}$	15
6.3.1	General	15
6.3.2	Partial factor for the consequence of failure $\gamma_{ ext{M,S}}$	15
6.3.3	Partial factor for the degree of the validation process γ <sub>M.V</sub>	
6.3.4	Partial factor for the material hardening $\gamma_{ ext{M,T}}$	16
6.3.5	Partial factor for casting $\gamma_{ ext{M,G}}$	
6.4	Partial factor for instability γ <sub>I</sub>	
7	Static strength assessment procedure	17
<i>7</i> .1	General	
7.2	Linear elastic analysis	
7.2.1	Stress criterion	
7.2.2	Deformation criterion	
7.2.3	Stability criterion	
7.3	Nonlinear elastic-plastic analysis	

7.3.1	General	19
7.3.2	Stress criterion	19
7.3.3	Strain criterion	20
	Deformation criterion	
	Stability criterion	
Annex A (informative) Additional information for the section factor $n_{\mathrm{pl},\epsilon}$		<b>2</b> 1
	ogranhy	

# iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

SIST EN 17149-2:2024

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/391c8dcb-7741-47b4-bd9d-c95480102eb3/sist-en-17149-2-2024

#### **European foreword**

This document (EN 17149-2:2024) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/TC 256 "Railway applications", the secretariat of which is held by DIN.

This European Standard shall be given the status of a national standard, either by publication of an identical text or by endorsement, at the latest by October 2024, and conflicting national standards shall be withdrawn at the latest by October 2024.

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. CEN shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

This document is part of the series EN 17149 *Railway applications* — *Strength assessment of rail vehicle structures*, which consists of the following parts:

- Part 1: General
- Part 2: Static strength assessment

The following part is under preparation:

— Part 3: Fatigue strength assessment based on cumulative damage

This document has been prepared under a mandate given to CEN by the European Commission and the European Free Trade Association.

Any feedback and questions on this document should be directed to the users' national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found on the CEN website.

According to the CEN-CENELEC Internal Regulations, the national standards organisations of the following countries are bound to implement this European Standard: Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Türkiye and the United Kingdom.

### Introduction

This document provides procedures and criteria for the static strength assessment based on linear analysis or nonlinear elastic plastic analysis.

It does not define load cases and does not define in which cases, for which structural components or for which kinds of rail vehicles a static strength assessment is to be undertaken.

# iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

SIST EN 17149-2:2024

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/391c8dcb-7741-47b4-bd9d-c95480102eb3/sist-en-17149-2-2024

#### 1 Scope

This document specifies a procedure for static strength assessment of rail vehicle structures.

It is part of a series of standards that specifies procedures for strength assessments of structures of rail vehicles that are manufactured, operated and maintained according to standards valid for railway applications.

The assessment procedure of the series is restricted to ferrous materials and aluminium.

This document series does not define design load cases.

This document series is not applicable for corrosive conditions or elevated temperature operation in the creep range.

This series of standards is applicable to all kinds of rail vehicles. However, it does not define in which cases or for which kinds of rail vehicles a static strength assessment is to be undertaken.

#### 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

EN 12663-1:2010+A2:2023, Railway applications — Structural requirements of railway vehicle bodies — Part 1: Locomotives and passenger rolling stock (and alternative method for freight wagons)

EN 12663-2:2010+A1:2023, Railway applications — Structural requirements of railway vehicle bodies — Part 2: Freight wagons

EN 13749:2021, Railway applications — Wheelsets and bogies — Method of specifying the structural requirements of bogie frames

EN 15227:2020, Railway applications — Crashworthiness requirements for rail vehicles

EN 15827:2011, Railway applications — Requirements for bogies and running gears

EN 17149-1:2024, Railway applications — Strength assessment of rail vehicle structures — Part 1: General

EN 17343:2023, Railway applications — General terms and definitions

ISO/TR 25901-1:2016, Welding and allied processes — Vocabulary — Part 1: General terms

#### 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions, symbols and abbreviations given in ISO/TR 25901-1:2016, EN 17343:2023 and EN 17149-1:2024 apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <a href="https://www.iso.org/obp">https://www.iso.org/obp</a>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <a href="https://www.electropedia.org/">https://www.electropedia.org/</a>

#### Stress and strain determination

#### 4.1 General

The assessment procedure is based on stresses or strains. These can be derived from calculation or from measurement during testing.

Stresses and strains may be determined with linear elastic material behaviour or nonlinear material behaviour.

For the determination of stresses or strains for the static strength assessment of welded joints, the effects of the weld throat eccentricity  $e_{W}$  may be discounted.

#### 4.2 Calculation of equivalent stress with linear elastic material behaviour

#### 4.2.1 General

 $f_{\rm C}$ 

For the calculation of equivalent stress, the plane stress tensor on the surface of the component should be used as characteristic stress value for the static strength assessment. The stress components of the plane stress tensor are  $\sigma_{\rm x}$  ,  $\sigma_{\rm v}$  ,  $\tau_{\rm xv}$  with associated principal normal stresses  $\sigma_1$  ,  $\sigma_2$ .

The equivalent stress shall be determined in dependence of the ductility of the material.

NOTE Ductile material and brittle material are defined in EN 17149-1:2024.

The equivalent stress for ductile materials shall be based on the Von Mises hypothesis (see Formula (3)).

The equivalent stress for brittle materials shall be determined in accordance with the normal stress hypothesis (see Formula (4) and Formula (5)).

In case of a material with different tensile proof strength and compressive proof strength (e.g. cast material) 4.2.2 and 4.2.3 may be applied.

The compressive strength factor  $f_{\rm C}$  is the ratio of the compressive proof strength over the tensile proof strength. This factor accounts for the enhanced strength of cast materials in the case of a compressive strength condition. The value of  $f_{\rm C}$  shall be determined by material properties specified in standards or other validated data. In cases where those material properties are not available, the values given in Table 1 may be applied.

Non-cast Cast Material group GIS, ADI GS GJL **GJM** materials aluminium

1,3

2,5

1,5

1,5

Table 1 — Parameter  $f_{\rm C}$ 

As a simplified approach, the compressive strength factor for cast material  $f_c$  may be generally set to 1,0.

1,0

#### 4.2.2 Equivalent stress for ductile materials

1,0

The equivalent stress  $\sigma_{eq}$  for ductile material may be determined according to the Drucker-Prager hypothesis in accordance with Formula (1).

$$\sigma_{\text{eq}} = \left[ 3 \frac{f_{\text{C}} - 1}{2f_{\text{C}}} \sigma_{\text{H}} + \frac{f_{\text{C}} + 1}{2f_{\text{C}}} \sigma_{\text{vM}} \right]$$
 (1)

For  $f_{\rm C}=$  1,0 Formula (1) results in  $\sigma_{\rm eq}=\sigma_{\rm vM}$  . NOTE 1

 $\sigma_H$  is the hydrostatic stress. For the plane stress state  $\sigma_H$  is calculated in accordance with Formula (2).

$$\sigma_{\rm H} = \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{3} \tag{2}$$

 $\sigma_{vM}$  is the equivalent stress according to the Von Mises hypothesis. For the plane stress state  $\sigma_{vM}$  is calculated in accordance with Formula (3).

$$\sigma_{\text{vM}} = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_1 \cdot \sigma_2 + \sigma_2^2} \tag{3}$$

Formula (1) is also valid for the general three-dimensional stress state by taking the formulae for  $\sigma_{_{
m H}}$ and  $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle {
m VM}}$  from the technical literature.

For a material where the compressive proof strength is lower than the tensile proof strength (e.g. in case of stainless steel in hardened condition), the above method may be applied with an appropriate factor for  $f_{\rm C}$  but is limited to a plane stress state.

#### 4.2.3 Equivalent stress for brittle materials

The equivalent stress  $\sigma_{ ext{eq}}$  for brittle materials is determined according to the normal stress hypothesis in accordance with Formula (4) and Formula (5).

Standards

$$\sigma_{\text{eq}} = \max\left(\left|\sigma_{c1}\right|, \left|\sigma_{c2}\right|, \left|\sigma_{c3}\right|\right) \tag{4}$$

with the principal normal stress adjusted by the compressive strength factor

$$\sigma_{\text{cl,c2,c3}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\sigma_{1,2,3}}{f_{\text{C}}} & \text{for } \sigma_{1,2,3} < 0 \\ \sigma_{1,2,3} & \text{for } \sigma_{1,2,3} \ge 0 \\ \sigma_{1,2,3} & \text{for } \sigma_{1,2,3} \ge 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\text{SISTEN } 17149 - 2:2024}{\text{st.//standards./sist/391c8dcb-7741-47b4-bd9d-c95480102eb3/sist-en-17149-2-2024}}$$

For  $f_{\rm C}=$  1,0 Formula (4) results in  $\sigma_{\rm eq}=\max\left(\left|\sigma_{\rm I}\right|,\left|\sigma_{\rm 2}\right|,\left|\sigma_{\rm 3}\right|\right)$ . NOTE

#### 4.3 Calculation with nonlinear material behaviour

#### 4.3.1 Material models

The real material behaviour (Figure 1) may be approximated by bi-linear (Figure 2), tri-linear (Figure 3), multilinear or continuous material models. Hardening effects for strains exceeding the proof strength may be applied but also the application of an elastic ideal-plastic material law is allowed. Also, different tensile proof strength and compressive proof strength may be accounted for by an appropriate stress-

Depending on the material model, the limit for the elastic behaviour represented by the proof strength  $R_{\rm p}$  can be either the upper yield strength  $R_{\rm eH}$  or the 0,2% proof strength  $R_{\rm p0,2}$  as defined in EN ISO 6892-1.

NOTE [1] and [2] give hints about the definition of the material law for the nonlinear stress strain calculation.