



SLOVENSKI STANDARD SIST ISO 37005:2024

01-september-2024

Upravljanje organizacij – Razvoj kazalnikov za učinkovito upravljanje

Governance of organizations - Developing Indicators for effective governance

Titre manque

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: ISO 37005:2024

ICS:

03.100.02 Upravljanje in etika [SIST ISO 37005:2024](http://standards.iteh.ai/SIST/81d671a8-2024-4e2f-4c4d-sist-iso-37005-2024) Governance and ethics

SIST ISO 37005:2024

en



International Standard

ISO 37005

Governance of organizations — Developing indicators for effective governance

*Gouvernance des organismes — Élaboration d'indicateurs d'une
gouvernance efficace*

**First edition
2024-07**

iTech Standards
(<https://standards.iteh.ai>)
Document Preview

SIST ISO 37005:2024

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/81d671a4-bb0d-4f19-92b4-d5fcace2f4c4/sist-iso-37005-2024>

ISO 37005:2024(en)

iTeh Standards (<https://standards.iteh.ai>) Document Preview

SIST ISO 37005:2024

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/81d671a4-bb0d-4f19-92b4-d5fcace2f4c4/sist-iso-37005-2024>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2024

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

ISO 37005:2024(en)

Contents

Page

Foreword	iv
Introduction	v
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Understanding indicators	3
4.1 General	3
4.2 Structure of indicators	4
4.3 Impact of indicators on decision making	4
4.4 Stages in the development and use of indicators	5
5 A taxonomy of types of indicators	5
5.1 Means to ends – inputs to impacts	5
5.2 Subjective or objective indicators	6
6 Using the taxonomy	6
6.1 General	6
6.2 Choosing an indicator	7
6.3 What type of indicators	7
6.3.1 General	7
6.3.2 Indicators of impact	9
6.4 Characteristics of an indicator	9
6.4.1 General	9
6.4.2 Assurable	10
6.4.3 Timely	10
6.4.4 Comparisons	10
6.5 Risks arising from indicator selection and use	10
6.5.1 General	10
6.5.2 Unintended consequences	10
6.5.3 Lack of accountability	11
6.5.4 Non-alignment of purpose and sustainability	11
6.5.5 Selecting indicators to measure against purpose or to measure effectiveness	11
6.5.6 Indicators of the method used to achieve the organization's purpose	12
6.5.7 Too much information	12
7 Selection of indicators by governing bodies using ISO 37000:2021	12
7.1 General	12
7.2 Aspects related to decision making	13
7.3 Aspects related to strategy, partnership and collaborations	15
7.4 Aspects related to organizational strategy and relevant indicators	15
7.5 Selecting indicators	15
8 Using indicators	16
8.1 Choosing one option over others	16
8.2 Decisions following an assessment of performance	16
9 Implementation	16
Bibliography	18

ISO 37005:2024(en)**Foreword**

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO document should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

ISO draws attention to the possibility that the implementation of this document may involve the use of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights.

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 309, Governance of organizations.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

SIST ISO 37005:2024

<https://standards.itech.ai/catalog/standards/sist/81d671a4-bb0d-4f19-92b4-d5fcace2f4c4/sist-iso-37005-2024>

ISO 37005:2024(en)

Introduction

The purpose of indicators is to enable measurement that helps an organization to establish, implement, maintain, and improve an effective governance framework and the conditions and practices enabling the organization to fulfil its purpose. By following the guidance in this document organizations can realize the governance principles as set out in ISO 37000.

This document provides a means to assess how an organization's governance framework contributes most effectively to the fulfilment of the organization's purpose. It covers:

- a taxonomy for the classification of different types of indicators;
- the context in which they are used, including the purpose of the organization and its appetite for risk given impacts on all stakeholders;
- the risk appetite and tolerance of the organization and stakeholders;
- the limitations of indicators;
- choosing or developing meaningful indicators.

The role of an organization's governing body is to establish, implement and maintain the organizational governance framework, conditions and practices so that the organization can fulfil its purpose. In performing this role the governing body has to make decisions and choose between available options, as many times there will not be one solution. The indicators used will be part of the process to generate those options and part of the mechanism to guide the choice between options. Governing bodies should measure performance against objectives, which requires indicators. ISO 37000 helps to create cross-sector international consensus on the role and results of organizational governance. However, the organizational outcomes of organizational governance depend on the decisions made by governing bodies, the information used to support those decisions as well as their execution. These decisions mean making choices between the intended performance of alternative options for the same organizational purpose. A mechanism is required to compare these options, in order to choose the optimal one for the specific context.

There is always the possibility that the choice made between options does not represent the "best" choice or that the execution is not effective. Effective governance therefore requires an understanding of appropriate indicators in the context of that possibility and the risk appetite of the governing body. Effective consideration of indicators linked to organizational purpose, stakeholder issues, materiality and risk appetite/tolerance reduces the extent to which a governing body can make decisions without the full range of material information. It also enhances a dynamic monitoring approach.

Indicators are used and understood in various ways. Ultimately the governing body is seeking to achieve the organizational purpose in the way intended. If this is done well then over time the governing body would expect its organizational purpose and financial results to become aligned with sustainable development and well-being as it considers the principles in ISO 37000, including social responsibility and viability and performance over time. The pursuit of a purpose with consequences that detracts from ISO 37000 principles would neither be responsible nor viable.

Unless otherwise indicated, all "principles" in this document refer to the principles in ISO 37000.

Governance of organizations — Developing indicators for effective governance

1 Scope

This document provides guidance to governing bodies on how to approach the development and use of indicators in their governing activities.

This document is primarily written for use by governing bodies, it is also written to be of relevance to a range of other stakeholders inside and outside of the organization to help them improve the quality of the information on which they assess and make decisions regarding the organization's governance.

It is applicable to all organizations regardless of type, size, location, structure or purpose. This document does not cover indicators of effective governance.

2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 37000:2021, *Governance of organizations — Guidance*

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 37000 and the following apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1 indicator

measurable representation of the condition or status of governing body decisions, organizational actions or activities (3.4), and stakeholder expectations

Note 1 to entry: Indicators can be measured, calculated, and described.

3.2 input indicator

indicator (3.1) of all types of resources on which the organization depends, irrespective of how they have been sourced

Note 1 to entry: Examples of these resources include air, biodiversity, unpaid labour etc, including resources used across the supply chain.

3.3 output indicator

indicator (3.1) for measuring activities (3.4)

Note 1 to entry: Can be qualitative or quantitative.

ISO 37005:2024(en)

3.4**activity**

way in which resources are used

3.5**objective**

result to be achieved

Note 1 to entry: An objective can be strategic, tactical or operational.

Note 2 to entry: An objective can be, for example, organization-wide or specific to a project, product or *process* (3.6).

Note 3 to entry: Result in this document can refer to *output* (3.7), *organizational outcome* (3.8), or *impact* (3.9)

[SOURCE: ISO 37301:2021, 3.6 modified – Note 2 to entry has been modified, Note 3 to entry has been replaced and Note 4 to entry has been deleted]

3.6**process**

set of interrelated or interacting *activities* (3.4) that uses or transforms inputs to deliver an intended result

Note 1 to entry: Result in this document can refer to *output* (3.7), *organizational outcomes* (3.8), or *impact* (3.9)

[SOURCE: ISO 9000:2015, 3.4.1 modified – Note 1 to entry has been modified, Notes 2 to 6 to entry have been deleted]

3.7**output**

result of a *process* (3.6)

Note 1 to entry: This result can be intended or unintended

[SOURCE: ISO 9000:2015, 3.7.15, modified – Note 1 to entry has been modified]

3.8**organizational outcome**

something, including aspects of *well-being* (3.14), that has the potential to change following a governing body's decisions

3.9**impact**

positive or negative change in an *organizational outcome* (3.8) because of a governing body's decision, execution and the consequences of those decisions

Note 1 to entry: Taking account of other causes of any change in those outcomes.

Note 2 to entry: The change in an outcome can be positive or negative depending on its relation to a threshold.

Note 3 to entry: There may be interim points between actions and impacts where measurement can support management towards achieving organizational purpose.

Note 4 to entry: If the change is in a *well-being* (3.14) outcome then this would be a well-being impact

3.10**impact valuation**

measurement (3.11) of the relative importance of *impacts* (3.9)

3.11**measurement**

process (3.6) to determine a value

Note 1 to entry: *Impact valuation* (3.10) is one type of measurement.

[SOURCE: ISO 37301:2021, 3.19, modified – Note 1 to entry has been added]