



Designation: E 1074 – 06^{ε2}

Standard Practice for Measuring Net Benefits and Net Savings for Investments in Buildings and Building Systems¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E 1074; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

^{ε1} NOTE—Footnotes updated editorially in August 2007.

^{ε2} NOTE—Section 2.2 and Footnote 4 were editorially corrected in January 2009.

INTRODUCTION

The net benefits (NB) and net savings (NS) methods are part of a family of economic evaluation methods that provide measures of economic performance of an investment over some period of time. Included in this family of evaluation methods are life-cycle cost analysis, benefit-to-cost and savings-to-investment ratios, internal rates of return, and payback analysis.

The NB method calculates the difference between discounted benefits and discounted costs as a measure of the cost effectiveness of a project. The NS method calculates the difference between life-cycle costs as a measure of the cost-effectiveness of a project. The NB and NS methods are sometimes called the net present value method. The NB and NS methods are used to decide if a project is cost effective (net benefits greater than zero, or net savings greater than zero), or which size, or design, competing for a given purpose is most cost effective (the one with the greatest net benefits, or the one with the greatest net savings).

1. Scope

1.1 This practice covers a recommended procedure for calculating and interpreting the net benefits (NB) and net savings (NS) methods in the evaluation of building designs and systems.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:²

- E 631 Terminology of Building Constructions
- E 833 Terminology of Building Economics
- E 917 Practice for Measuring Life-Cycle Costs of Buildings and Building Systems
- E 964 Practice for Measuring Benefit-to-Cost and Savings-to-Investment Ratios for Buildings and Building Systems
- E 1057 Practice for Measuring Internal Rate of Return and Adjusted Internal Rate of Return for Investments in Build-

ings and Building Systems

- E 1121 Practice for Measuring Payback for Investments in Buildings and Building Systems
 - E 1185 Guide for Selecting Economic Methods for Evaluating Investments in Buildings and Building Systems
 - E 1369 Guide for Selecting Techniques for Treating Uncertainty and Risk in the Economic Evaluation of Buildings and Building Systems
 - E 1765 Practice for Applying Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) to Multiattribute Decision Analysis of Investments Related to Buildings and Building Systems
 - E 1946 Practice for Measuring Cost Risk of Buildings and Building Systems
 - E 2204 Guide for Summarizing the Economic Impacts of Building-Related Projects
- 2.2 *Adjuncts:*
- Discount Factor Tables Adjunct to Practices E 917, E 964, E 1057, E 1074, E 1121³

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—For definitions of terms used in this practice, refer to Terminologies E 631 and E 833.

³ Available from ASTM International Headquarters. Order Adjunct No. ADJE091703.

¹ This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E06 on Performance of Buildings and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E06.81 on Building Economics.

Current edition approved April 1, 2006. Published April 2006. Originally approved in 1985. Last previous edition approved in 2004 as E 1074 – 04.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

TABLE 1 Calculation of Net Benefits

Year, t	Benefits, B_t , dollars	Costs, \bar{C}_t , dollars	Net Cash Flow $B_t - \bar{C}_t$, dollars	SPV Factor ^A for $i = 15\%$	PVNB, dollars
0	0	10 000	-10 000	1.000	-10 000
1	4 000	3 000	+1 000	0.8696	+870
2	11 500	4 500	+7 000	0.7561	+5 293
3	10 000	4 000	+6 000	0.6575	+3 945
4	8 000	5 000	+3 000	0.5718	+1 715
Total	33 500	26 500	+7 000		+1 823

^A To find the PVNB of the net cash flow for each discounting period, the single present value (SPV) discount factor is multiplied times the net cash flow. For an explanation of discounting factors and how to use them, see [Discount Factor Tables](#).

4. Summary of Practice

4.1 This practice is organized as follows:

4.1.1 *Section 2, Referenced Documents*—Lists ASTM standards referenced in this practice.

4.1.2 *Section 3, Definitions*—Addresses definitions of terms used in this practice.

4.1.3 *Section 4, Summary of Practice*—Outlines the contents of the practice.

4.1.4 *Section 5, Significance and Use*—Explains the application of the practice and how and when it should be used.

4.1.5 *Section 6, Procedures*—Summarizes the steps in making NB (NS) analysis.

4.1.6 *Section 7, Compute NB (NS)*—Describes calculation procedures for NB (NS).

4.1.7 *Section 8, Analysis of NB(NS) Results and the Decision*—Discusses the decision criterion and the treatment of uncertainty, risk, and unqualified effects.

4.1.8 *Section 9, Applications*—Explains circumstances under which the NB (NS) method is appropriate.

4.1.9 *Section 10, Report*—Identifies information that should be included in a report of a NB (NS) analysis.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 The NB (NS) method provides a measure of the economic performance of an investment, taking into account all relevant monetary values associated with that investment over the investor's study period. The NB (NS) measure can be expressed in either present value or equivalent annual value terms, taking into account the time value of money.

5.2 The NB (NS) method is used to decide if a given project is cost effective and which size or design for a given purpose is most cost effective when no budget constraint exists.

5.3 The NB (NS) method can also be used to determine the most cost effective combination of projects for a limited budget; that is, the combination of projects having the greatest aggregate NB (NS) and fitting within the budget constraint.

5.4 Use the NB method when the focus is on the benefits rather than project costs.

5.5 Use the NS method when the focus is on project savings (that is, reductions in project costs).

6. Procedures

6.1 The recommended steps for applying the NB (NS) method to an investment decision are summarized as follows:

6.1.1 Make sure that the NB (NS) method is the appropriate economic measure (see [Guide E 1185](#)),

6.1.2 Identify objectives, alternatives, and constraints,

6.1.3 Establish assumptions,

6.1.4 Compile data,

6.1.5 Convert cash flows to a common time basis (discounting),

6.1.6 Compute NB (NS)⁴ and compare alternatives, and

6.1.7 Make final decision, based on NB (NS) results as well as consideration of risk and uncertainty, unquantifiable effects, and funding constraints (if any).

6.2 Since the steps mentioned in [6.1.2-6.1.5](#) are treated in detail in [Practice E 917](#) and briefly in [Practices E 964](#) and [E 1121](#), they are not discussed in this practice. In calculating NB (NS), these four steps should be followed exactly as described in [Practice E 917](#). The remainder of this practice focuses on the computation, analysis, and application of the NB (NS) measure.

7. NB (NS) Computation

7.1 Computation of NB for any given project requires the estimation, in dollar terms, of differences between benefits, and differences between costs, for that project relative to a mutually exclusive alternative. Computation of NS for any given project requires the estimation, in dollar terms, of the difference between life-cycle costs for the project relative to a mutually exclusive alternative. The mutually exclusive alternative may be a similar design/system of a different scale, a dissimilar design/system for the same purpose, or the do nothing case. Denote the alternative under consideration as A_j and the mutually exclusive alternative to be used for purposes of comparison as A_k . Alternative A_k is typically the do nothing case or the project with the lowest first cost, which may or may not be the same project. But the analyst can choose any of the mutually exclusive alternatives as the base case against which to compare alternatives. Benefits can include (but are not limited to) revenue, productivity, functionality, durability, resale value, and tax advantages. Costs can include (but are not limited to) initial investment, operation and maintenance (including energy consumption), repair and replacements, and tax liabilities.

7.2 Eq 1 is used to compute the present value of net benefits (PVNB_{j;k}) for the proposed project relative to its mutually exclusive alternative.

$$PVNB_{j;k} = \sum_{t=0}^N (B_t - \bar{C}_t) / (1 + i)^t \quad (1)$$

⁴ The NIST Building Life-Cycle Cost (BLCC) Computer Program helps users calculate measures of worth for buildings and building components that are consistent with ASTM standards. The program is downloadable from http://www.eere.energy.gov/femp/information/download_blcc.html.