

---

---

**Intelligent transport systems —  
Cooperative ITS —**

**Part 7:  
Privacy aspects**

*Systèmes intelligents de transport — Systèmes intelligents de  
transport coopératifs*

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
*Partie 7: Aspects relatifs à la vie privée*  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

ISO/TR 17427-7:2015

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bf0ee066-60ff-424e-a68c-1af5fc16f7c9/iso-tr-17427-7-2015>



**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

[ISO/TR 17427-7:2015](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bf0ee066-60ff-424e-a68c-1a5fc16f7c9/iso-tr-17427-7-2015)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bf0ee066-60ff-424e-a68c-1a5fc16f7c9/iso-tr-17427-7-2015>



**COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT**

© ISO 2015, Published in Switzerland

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office  
Ch. de Blandonnet 8 • CP 401  
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva, Switzerland  
Tel. +41 22 749 01 11  
Fax +41 22 749 09 47  
copyright@iso.org  
www.iso.org

# Contents

	Page
<b>Foreword</b> .....	<b>iv</b>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>vi</b>
<b>1 Scope</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Terms and definitions</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>3 Abbreviations and acronyms</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>4 How to use this Technical Report</b> .....	<b>2</b>
4.1 Acknowledgements.....	2
4.2 Guidance.....	3
4.3 ITS and 'Privacy'.....	3
4.4 C-ITS 'Privacy' issues.....	4
4.4.1 General C-ITS 'Privacy' issues.....	4
4.4.2 Examples of vehicle tracking.....	6
4.4.3 Anonymity.....	6
4.4.4 Deployment models.....	8
<b>5 C-ITS Actors and Privacy</b> .....	<b>9</b>
5.1 C-ITS and jurisdictions.....	9
5.1.1 United States.....	9
5.1.2 Europe.....	10
5.1.3 Australia.....	12
5.1.4 Other countries.....	14
5.1.5 International Standards.....	14
5.1.6 Privacy and governments.....	14
5.2 C-ITS and road operators/managers.....	15
5.2.1 Jurisdictions.....	15
5.2.2 Core systems.....	16
5.3 C-ITS and manufacturers.....	16
5.4 C-ITS information/application service providers.....	16
5.5 C-ITS, drivers and vehicle owners.....	17
5.6 Further reading.....	17
5.7 Aspects relating to probe vehicle information services.....	17
<b>6 Policy questions and approaches</b> .....	<b>17</b>
6.1 Is specific regulation required for C-ITS?.....	17
6.1.1 Option 1: Continue current approach.....	17
6.1.2 Option 2: Privacy code.....	18
6.1.3 Option 3: Provide guidance on best practice.....	18
6.1.4 Option 4: Legislate C-ITS governance arrangements and use of information.....	18
6.1.5 Option 5: Legislate technical standards to protect privacy.....	18
6.1.6 Option 6: Match and copy mobile phone privacy measures.....	18
<b>7 Summary of findings</b> .....	<b>19</b>
7.1 General.....	19
7.2 Principal opinions.....	20
7.3 Privacy — Private Sector.....	22
7.4 Privacy — Public Sector.....	22
<b>Bibliography</b> .....	<b>23</b>

## Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see [www.iso.org/directives](http://www.iso.org/directives)).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see [www.iso.org/patents](http://www.iso.org/patents)).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the WTO principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: Foreword - Supplementary information

The committee responsible for this document is ISO/TC 204, *Intelligent transport systems*.

ISO 17427 consists of the following parts, under the general title *Intelligent transport systems — Cooperative ITS*:

- *Part 2: Framework Overview* [Technical Report]
- *Part 3: Concept of operations (ConOps) for 'core' systems* [Technical Report]
- *Part 4: Minimum system requirements and behaviour for core systems* [Technical Report]
- *Part 6: 'Core system' risk assessment methodology* [Technical Report]
- *Part 7: Privacy aspects* [Technical Report]
- *Part 8: Liability aspects* [Technical Report]
- *Part 9: Compliance and enforcement aspects* [Technical Report]
- *Part 10: Driver distraction and information display* [Technical Report]

The following ITS parts are under preparation:

- *Part 1: Roles and responsibilities in the context of co-operative ITS architecture(s)*
- *Part 5: Common approaches to security* [Technical Report]
- *Part 11: Compliance and enforcement aspects* [Technical Report]
- *Part 12: Release processes* [Technical Report]
- *Part 13: Use case test cases* [Technical Report]
- *Part 14: Maintenance requirements and processes* [Technical Report]

Further technical reports in this series are expected to follow. Please also note that these TRs are expected to be updated from time to time as the C-ITS evolves.

**iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW**  
**(standards.iteh.ai)**

[ISO/TR 17427-7:2015](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bf0ee066-60ff-424e-a68c-1af5fc16f7c9/iso-tr-17427-7-2015)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bf0ee066-60ff-424e-a68c-1af5fc16f7c9/iso-tr-17427-7-2015>

## Introduction

Intelligent transport systems (*ITS*) are transport systems in which advanced information, communication, sensor and control technologies, including the Internet, are applied to increase safety, sustainability, efficiency, and comfort.

A distinguishing feature of '*ITS*' are its communication with outside entities.

Some *ITS* systems operate autonomously, for example 'adaptive cruise control' uses radar/lidar/and/or video to characterize the behaviour of the vehicle in front and adjust its vehicle speed accordingly. Some *ITS* systems are informative, for example 'Variable Message Signs' at the roadside, or transmitted into the vehicle, provide information and advice to the driver. Some *ITS* systems are semi-autonomous, in that they are largely autonomous, but rely on 'static' or 'broadcast' data, for example, *GNSS* based 'SatNav' systems operate autonomously within a vehicle but are dependent on receiving data broadcast from satellites in order to calculate the location of the vehicle.

Cooperative Intelligent Transport Systems (*C-ITS*) are a group of *ITS* technologies where service provision is enabled by, or enhanced by, the use of 'live', present situation related, dynamic data/information from other entities of similar functionality (for example from one vehicle to other vehicle(s)), and/or between different elements of the transport network, including vehicles and infrastructure (for example from the vehicle to an infrastructure managed system or from an infrastructure managed system to vehicle(s)). Effectively, these systems allow vehicles to 'talk' to each other and to the infrastructure. These systems have significant potential to improve the transport network.

A distinguishing feature of '*C-ITS*' is that data are used across *application*/service boundaries.

It will be immediately clear to the reader that such systems present the possibility to seriously compromise privacy, and must, and will, be strictly controlled by regulation and managed to prevent abuse of privacy by any party. The purpose of this Technical Report is to identify potential critical privacy issues that *C-ITS* service provision may introduce, to consider how to control, limit or mitigate such privacy issues, and to limit the risk of exposure to the financial consequences of privacy issues.

This Technical Report is a 'living document' and as our experience with *C-ITS* develops, it is intended that it will be updated from time to time, as and when we see opportunities to improve this Technical Report.

# Intelligent transport systems — Cooperative ITS —

## Part 7: Privacy aspects

### 1 Scope

The scope of this Technical Report is as an informative document to identify potential critical privacy issues that *C-ITS* service provision may introduce; to consider strategies for how to control, limit or mitigate such privacy issues; and to give pointers, where appropriate, to standards deliverables existing that provide specifications for all or some of these aspect and to limit the risk of exposure to the financial consequences of privacy issues.

The objective of this Technical Report is to raise awareness of and consideration of such issues. This Technical Report does not provide specifications for solutions of these issues.

### 2 Terms and definitions

**2.1  
application  
app**  
software application

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW  
(standards.iteh.ai)

**2.2  
application service**

service provided by a service provider accessing data from the *IVS* (2.6) within the vehicle in the case of *C-ITS*, via a wireless communications network, or provided on-board the vehicle as the result of software (and potentially also hardware and firmware) installed by a service provider or to a service providers instruction

**2.3  
cooperative ITS  
C-ITS**

group of *ITS* technologies where service provision is enabled, or enhanced by, the use of 'live', present situation related, data/information from other entities of similar functionality [for example, from one vehicle to other vehicle(s)], and/or between different elements of the transport network, including vehicles and infrastructure

[SOURCE: for example from the vehicle to an infrastructure managed system or from an infrastructure managed system to vehicle(s)]

**2.4  
core system**

combination of enabling technologies and services that will provide the foundation for the support of a distributed, diverse set of *applications* (2.1), and *application* transactions which work in conjunction with 'External Support Systems' such as 'Certificate Authorities'

Note 1 to entry: the system boundary for the core system is not defined in terms of devices or agencies or vendors, but by the open, standardized interface specifications that govern the behaviour of all interactions between core system users

**2.5  
global navigation satellite system  
GNSS**

comprises several networks of satellites that transmit radio signals containing time and distance data that can be picked up by a receiver, allowing the user to identify the location of its receiver anywhere around the globe

**2.6  
intelligent transport systems  
IVS**

hardware, firmware and software on board a vehicle that provides a platform to support *C-ITS* service provision, including that of the *ITS-station* (2.8) (ISO 21217), the facilities layer, data pantry and on-board 'apps'

**2.7  
in-vehicle system  
ITS**

transport systems in which advanced information, communication, sensor and control technologies, including the Internet, are applied to increase safety, sustainability, efficiency, and comfort

**2.8  
ITS-station**

entity in a communication network [comprised of *application* (2.1), facilities, networking and access layer components] that is capable of executing *ITS-S application* processes, comprised of an *ITS-S* facilities layer, *ITS-S* networking & transport layer, *ITS-S* access layer, *ITS-S* management entity and *ITS-S* security entity, which adheres to a minimum set of security principles and procedures so as to establish a level of trust between itself and other similar *ITS-stations* with which it communicates

**3 Abbreviations and acronyms**

ISO/TR 17427-7:2015

<b>ANPR</b>	automatic number plate recognition <small><a href="https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bf0ee066-60ff-424e-a68c-fa1e1017c9/iso-tr-17427-7-2015">https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/bf0ee066-60ff-424e-a68c-fa1e1017c9/iso-tr-17427-7-2015</a></small>
<b>EDR</b>	electronic data recorder
<b>C-ITS</b>	cooperative intelligent transport systems, cooperative ITS
<b>IPP</b>	information privacy principle
<b>ITS</b>	intelligent transport systems (2.6)
<b>IVS</b>	<i>in-vehicle system</i> (2.7)
<b>NPP</b>	National privacy principle
<b>TR</b>	technical report
<b>V2V</b>	vehicle to vehicle

**4 How to use this Technical Report**

**4.1 Acknowledgements**

Much of the inspiration for this Technical Report and its considerations and content originate from the reports "*Cooperative ITS Regulatory Policy Issues*" and "*Cooperative Intelligent Transport Systems Policy Paper*" National Transport Commission, Australia. And this source is acknowledged and thanked.[1][17]

Contribution from various TCA (Transport Certification Australia) documents are acknowledged.

Contribution from the report of EC Project PRECIOSA is acknowledged.



Contribution from the US DoT document “CoreSystem\_SE\_SyRS\_RevF” is acknowledged.

The review by the office of the European Data Protection Supervisor is acknowledged and thanked

## 4.2 Guidance

This Technical Report is designed to provide guidance and a direction for considering the issues concerning privacy associated with the deployment of *C-ITS* service provision. It does not purport to be a list of all potential privacy factors – which will vary according to the regime of the jurisdiction, the location of the instantiation, and to the form of the instantiation, nor does it provide definitive specification. Rather this TR discusses and raises awareness of the major issues to be considered, and provides guidance and direction for considering and managing privacy in the context of future and instantiation specific deployment of *C-ITS*.

## 4.3 ITS and ‘Privacy’

Privacy is the subject of National Regulation, but most countries have signed up to one or more of OECD, APEC and/or European Union principles for personal privacy.

The subject of how ‘privacy’ regulations affect *ITS*, the variations of ‘privacy’ regulations around the world, and general measures that *ITS* should adopt in respect of ‘privacy’ and *ITS* are dealt with in ISO/TR 12859.

Suffice to say, in summary that ISO/TR 12859 recommends that the conditions under which data shall be collected and held in support or provision of *ITS* services shall uphold all of the following principles:

<p>a) <b>Avoidance of harm</b></p>	<p>Recognize the interests of the individual to legitimate expectations of privacy, personal information protection; prevent the misuse of such information. Further, acknowledging the risk that harm may result; take account of such risk, and remedial measures should be proportionate.</p>
<p>b) <b>Fairly and lawfully</b></p>	<p>Personal data obtained and processed fairly and lawfully.</p>
<p>c) <b>Specified, explicit and legitimate purposes</b></p>	<p>Personal data collected for specified, explicit and legitimate purposes.</p>
<p>d) <b>Explicit and legitimate and must be determined at the time of collection of the data</b></p>	<p>Purposes for which personal data are collected shall be determined at the time of the collection of the data and shall be explicit and legitimate at the time of collection of the data and use and subsequent of the data limited to the fulfilment of those purposes (or such others as are not incompatible with those purposes specified); All personal data collected shall be adequate, relevant and not excessive in relation to the purposes for which they are processed.</p>
<p>e) <b>Not further processed in a way incompatible with the purposes for which it was originally collected</b></p>	<p>Personal data shall not be further processed or used in a way incompatible with the purposes for which it was originally collected.</p>
<p>f) <b>Not be disclosed without the consent of the data subject</b></p>	<p>Personal data shall not be disclosed, made available or otherwise used for purposes other than those specified.</p>
<p>g) <b>Adequate, relevant and not excessive in relation to the purposes for which they are collected</b></p>	<p>Personal data shall be adequate, relevant and not excessive in relation to the purposes for which they are collected and/or further processed.</p>
<p>h) <b>Accurate and, where necessary, kept up to date</b></p>	<p>Personal data shall be accurate and kept up to date; every reasonable step must be taken to erase or rectify inaccurate or incomplete data, having regard to the purposes for which they were collected.</p>
<p>i) <b>Identification of data subjects for no longer than is necessary for the purposes for which the data were collected</b></p>	<p>Personal data shall be kept in a form which permits identification of data subjects for no longer than is necessary for the purposes for which the data were collected or for which they are further processed.</p>
<p>j) <b>Restricted to those who have a demonstrable ‘need to know’</b></p>	<p>The use of personal data to be restricted to those who have a genuine need to know.</p>

<p><b>k) Clear and accessible</b></p>	<p>Personal information controllers shall provide clear and easily accessible statements about their practices and policies with respect to personal information that should include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) the fact that personal information is being collected;</li> <li>b) the purposes for which personal information is being collected</li> <li>c) the types of persons or organizations to whom personal information might be disclosed</li> <li>d) the identity and location of the personal information controller, including information on how to contact them about their practices and handling of personal information.</li> </ul>
<p><b>l) Security safeguards</b></p>	<p>Personal data should be protected by reasonable security safeguards against such risks as loss or unauthorised access, destruction, use, modification or disclosure of data.</p>
<p><b>m) Cumulative interpretation of multiple recommendations</b></p>	<p>In the development of <i>ITS</i> systems and standards, we are advised by legislators and lawyers that the recommendations cannot just be taken individually in isolation, but the combination of the recommendations may infer interpretations, this has significant implications. Lawyers often refer to this as ‘cumulative interpretation’</p>

**4.4 C-ITS ‘Privacy’ issues**

NOTE General privacy requirements are not dealt with further in this document, and the reader is referred to ISO/TR 12859 for further information on general aspects.

**4.4.1 General C-ITS ‘Privacy’ issues (standards.iteh.ai)**

This Technical Report refers to the specific issues of privacy and privacy protection introduced by *C-ITS* service provision, the *C-ITS* environment, *C-ITS* data exchanges, and the data storage, mining and consolidation made possible by *C-ITS*.

In the introduction it was stated that a distinguishing feature of ‘*ITS*’ are its communication with outside entities, and that a distinguishing feature of ‘*C-ITS*’, is that data is used across *application* (2.1)/service boundaries. One can see that while *ITS* itself has to be very privacy aware, *C-ITS* has particular opportunity to compromise that privacy if not managed in a way to protect personal privacy. Furthermore, the use of data ‘across *application*/service boundaries’ runs the risk to conflict with the privacy regulations requirement “Not further processed in a way incompatible with the purposes for which it was originally collected”.

*C-ITS applications* rely on vehicles broadcasting signals to indicate their location, signals which are intended to be received and understood by a range of other devices. This raises a significant privacy issue: should *C-ITS* enable persons or organisations — either governments or private-sector companies — be able to locate and track specific vehicles? Clearly, without knowledge of the exact location and direction of movement of a vehicle, *applications* such as ‘collision avoidance’ nor even collision risk warnings’, nor ‘ice alerts’ and other ‘road obstacle’ alerts would be possible. The risk for *C-ITS* consumers is that this information will be ‘personal information’ if their identity can be acquired or construed or is otherwise apparent. This is feasible if those that have access to location data can link the unique vehicle identifier (or series of identifiers) of the *C-ITS* signal to a registered vehicle and, in turn, to an individual (a registered owner). This information could be in real time (where the vehicle is presently located) or historic (where the vehicle was at a certain time on a certain day).

While the opportunities for improved road safety, traffic management and law enforcement are considerable, many individuals would not expect the movement of their private vehicle, and by extension themselves, to be plotted across the network by a government or a third party. This is a valid and legitimate concern and will require both government and industry to practice in good faith the privacy principles based on legal requirements, particularly in respect to the purpose of collection, data storage disclosure, and sharing of data.

The likelihood and significance of the privacy risk will depend on the extent to which the data is/are anonymised and the nature of the controls in place with respect to collecting the data and linking information to individuals. It will also depend on which bodies hold relevant data, (such as certificate information).

*C-ITS* is intrinsically linked to the movement and exchange of data, and cooperation among the various entities acquiring the information is often expected. In this situation, responsibilities need to be assessed in terms of security risks and possible threats to privacy, as some of the data will be purely situational or anonymous, while other data, either by itself or as part of multiple data sets, which independently can be purely situational or anonymous, taken together can provide personal information.

The definition of personal information is sufficiently broad to include location information if that information is about an individual whose identity is apparent or can be reasonably ascertained from that information. Australia, for example, succinctly defines personal information as “*Information or an opinion (including information or an opinion forming part of a database), whether true or not, and whether recorded in a material form or not, about an individual whose identity is apparent, or can reasonably be ascertained, from the information or opinion.*” (Privacy Act.1988).[28]

Location information can be a very personal matter worthy of privacy protection, even if the movements and activities of an individual are within the law. In a report regarding surveillance activities; an Australian body, the Victorian Law Reform Commission, reflected on location information:

*“The need to retain privacy in public places is sometimes concerned with the desire to keep particular information private. This information may relate to a person’s political views, medical issues (such as attendance at an abortion clinic or a drug and alcohol treatment centre), and social matters (such as attendance at a gay bar). It is strongly arguable that people ought to be able to restrict access to information about themselves of this nature.”*

(standards.iteh.ai)

Consumers make choices that impact upon their privacy in many ways. For example, most people accept that certain location information can already be tracked, particularly through their mobile phone and location-based *applications*. They do so because of the advantages that these *applications* afford them, and – implicitly – because they trust the service providers to handle their personal information securely and responsibly. However, the ability for individuals to make this choice is important.

These privacy issues mirror a bundle of technology innovations that pose comparable risks, from ANPR to facial recognition technology. Privacy issues are also becoming increasingly cross-border in nature, due to the move towards cloud computing where personal information may be held anywhere in the world (or in multiple places).

In order to do responsibly manage these risks, and to avoid or minimize the risk of transgressing privacy and data security regulations, three general rules should ideally be observed regarding *C-ITS* data.

- With the exception of imminent safety of life *applications* (collision avoidance, ramp access, etc.), data should be anonymised before being sent to any third party.
- Data collected for imminent safety of life *applications* should be stored for only a very short period of time, — the minimum necessary to achieve its task, and in any event no longer than 24 hours may be suggested.
- Unless determined by the jurisdiction to be for ‘safety of life of others’, those wishing to benefit from service provision where their personal data may be required for the provision of such service should specifically need to ‘opt-in’ to such services, acknowledge the use of their personal data in such service provision, and be advised how long such data are stored, and how to opt-out of such service provision.

Regardless of good intent, failure to observe these tenets is likely to cause friction with privacy regulators in most jurisdictions and may well result in the *C-ITS application* being prevented.

However, in order to achieve the life saving benefits of safety systems such as collision avoidance, ramp access, grade crossing warnings/control etc., some jurisdictions may want to *require* use of the *C-ITS* safety systems, as the lives, not only of the specific vehicle driver, but other road users, may be

compromised/risked if a driver can chose to opt-out of the *C-ITS* service provision. For example, in the US, NHTSA 05-14. “.....NHTSA will then begin working on a regulatory proposal that would require V2V devices in new vehicles in a future year, consistent with applicable legal requirements, Executive Orders, and guidance.”

This places difficult dilemmas to the jurisdiction (that will be a matter of National decision, not an ISO TR).

(Past regulated analogies include things like the use of direction indicators, brake lights, safety belts, traction control systems, etc.)

#### 4.4.2 Examples of vehicle tracking

Vehicle tracking technology is widely utilized around the world today. Many telematics systems include a level of tracking, for example, to provide emergency assistance or to track stolen vehicles. Vehicle tracking technology is also a common component of commercial freight management systems, and many modern ‘SatNav’ systems provide traffic information based on data submitted from other vehicles, and recipient vehicles therefore also provide their location data so that the service company can analyse traffic conditions and advise those in or approaching problem spots.

Telematics are increasingly used for insurance and crash-liability purposes. Systems may record information regarding driving style, including speeds, distances, time of day and harsh braking events. While offering safety benefits for drivers, and cost benefits (lower insurance premiums etc.), telematics devices are also capturing driver behaviour and vehicle location information.

Infrastructure providers also utilize vehicle-tracking technology in a range of circumstances. Enforcement agencies have applied ANPR technology for many years to track vehicles through point-to-point systems or mobile units, while tolling systems record certain vehicle information for the purposes of road user charging. In some countries (such as Australia), vehicle tracking technology is also required for certain types of commercial vehicles in return for access to the transport network and management of vehicles using the transport network. These systems typically have stringent controls in place to govern the collection, use, access and disposal of information.

Some stakeholders have suggested that, due to the significant vehicle tracking which already takes place, and because most vehicle users carry cell phones that either enable them to be tracked, or at the least identify which communications cell they are linked to, additional information collection through *C-ITS* is likely to have a minimal impact. However, all technologies that have an impact on privacy require a risk assessment and systems appraisal to ensure compliance with the privacy principles, and as has been seen above, the law, though it may vary to some extent from jurisdiction to jurisdiction, is demanding in most countries of the world in respect of personal privacy protection.

To consider any potential threat to privacy caused by *C-ITS*, it is necessary to consider two aspects in a little more detail:

- the extent to which *C-ITS* data will be anonymous;
- the deployment model for *C-ITS*.

#### 4.4.3 Anonymity

While this paper is intended to focus on the policy principles and not specific technologies, the privacy issues will to some extent be framed by the technology solutions implemented and in particular the extent to which *C-ITS* signals generated by vehicles can be anonymised. This is critical given that the surest way to protect privacy is not to gather the personal information in the first instance.

International standards for *C-ITS* are in development. A focus of these standards needs to be that *intelligent transport systems* (2.6) will be developed with a ‘privacy by design’ objective. There may, however, be limitations on whether true anonymity can be achieved. For security purposes, vehicles are likely to be required to have a form of security certificate (similar to those for secure websites) in order to ensure that signals are legitimate and prevent false signals being generated. While security certificates