
**Carbon dioxide capture,
transportation and geological
storage — Injection operations,
infrastructure and monitoring**

*Captage, transport et stockage géologique du dioxyde de carbone —
Opérations d'injection, infrastructure et surveillance*

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/PRE TR 27923](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/289ef043-09e0-478a-aa47-011ea8164a59/iso-prf-tr-27923)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/289ef043-09e0-478a-aa47-011ea8164a59/iso-prf-tr-27923>

PROOF / ÉPREUVE



Reference number
ISO/TR 27923:2021(E)

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/PRE TR 27923](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/289ef043-09e0-478a-aa47-011ea8164a59/iso-prf-tr-27923)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/289ef043-09e0-478a-aa47-011ea8164a59/iso-prf-tr-27923>



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2021

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office
CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8
CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva
Phone: +41 22 749 01 11
Email: copyright@iso.org
Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

	Page
Foreword	vii
Introduction	viii
1 Scope	1
2 Normative references	1
3 Terms and definitions	1
4 Symbols and abbreviated terms	15
5 Legal framework	17
5.1 General.....	17
5.2 United States.....	17
5.2.1 General.....	17
5.2.2 UIC Class II and Class VI.....	18
5.3 The European Union.....	18
5.3.1 General.....	18
5.3.2 The EU CCS Directive.....	18
5.3.3 The Environmental Liability Directive.....	19
5.4 Germany.....	19
5.5 France.....	20
5.6 Norway.....	20
5.6.1 General.....	20
5.6.2 The permitting regime for CCS activities.....	20
5.6.3 Financial security.....	20
5.6.4 Monitoring.....	21
5.7 Canada.....	21
5.8 Japan.....	22
5.9 Australia.....	22
6 Well design	23
6.1 General.....	23
6.2 Components.....	23
6.2.1 Conductor casing.....	23
6.2.2 Surface casing.....	23
6.2.3 Main section casing.....	24
6.2.4 Liner.....	25
6.2.5 Tubing and completion assemblies.....	25
6.2.6 Wellhead and Christmas tree.....	25
6.3 CO ₂ Injection wells.....	25
6.3.1 Well design and construction.....	26
6.3.2 Well completion.....	26
6.3.3 CO ₂ -EOR injection well construction.....	26
6.3.4 Research injection well construction.....	27
6.3.5 Commercial-scale injection.....	28
6.4 Monitoring well construction.....	29
6.4.1 General.....	29
6.4.2 Perforated monitoring well.....	29
6.4.3 Induction logging monitoring well (Plastic casing: Nagaoka, Cranfield).....	30
6.5 Discussion.....	31
7 Surface infrastructure concepts (non-well)	33
7.1 Design and materials.....	33
7.1.1 General.....	33
7.1.2 Material selection.....	33
7.1.3 Carbon steel.....	33
7.1.4 Stainless steel.....	34
7.1.5 Alloys.....	34

7.2	Equipment.....	34
7.2.1	Tie-in to CO ₂ injection well.....	34
7.2.2	Pressurization to supercritical phase.....	34
7.2.3	Dehydration.....	34
7.2.4	Valves.....	34
7.2.5	Measurement.....	35
7.2.6	Leak detection.....	35
7.2.7	Venting.....	35
7.3	Considerations for storage incidental to CO ₂ -EOR.....	35
7.3.1	General.....	35
7.3.2	Liquids.....	36
7.3.3	CO ₂ stream production and recycling.....	37
7.3.4	Operating pressure regime.....	37
7.3.5	Recycle Compression.....	37
7.3.6	Interstage cooling & separation.....	38
7.3.7	Dehydration.....	38
7.3.8	Booster pumps.....	38
7.3.9	Impact of CO ₂ production – asphaltenes.....	38
7.3.10	Impact of recycle stream composition on metering and operating pressures.....	39
7.4	Maintenance and remediation.....	39
7.5	Onshore case studies.....	39
7.6	Offshore case studies.....	40
8	CO₂ storage site injection operations.....	41
8.1	General.....	41
8.1.1	Objectives.....	41
8.1.2	Scope of operations.....	41
8.2	Design of CO ₂ injection operations.....	41
8.2.1	General components of operations design.....	41
8.2.2	Storage complex design parameters.....	43
8.2.3	Storage project modelling.....	43
8.2.4	Case Study - Aquistore.....	44
8.2.5	Contractual agreement impacts on injection design parameters.....	44
8.3	Operations and maintenance plan.....	45
8.3.1	General - Definition of the main operational conditions.....	45
8.3.2	Operational protocols and maintenance schedules.....	45
8.3.3	Recording management of change.....	45
8.3.4	Communication plan.....	46
8.3.5	Nomination process for CO ₂ delivery and receipt.....	46
8.3.6	Safety plan.....	46
8.4	Injection operations.....	46
8.4.1	Initial (start-up).....	47
8.4.2	Shutdowns.....	47
8.4.3	Start-up following shutdowns.....	47
8.5	Data acquisition, monitoring and testing.....	47
8.5.1	General.....	47
8.5.2	Surface equipment and injection line data.....	48
8.5.3	Wellbore monitoring.....	48
8.5.4	Well surveillance.....	48
8.6	Well intervention (workovers).....	50
8.7	Considerations for storage using enhanced oil recovery (CO ₂ -EOR).....	50
9	Storing CO₂ in petroleum reservoirs.....	51
9.1	General.....	51
9.2	Reservoir screening.....	53
9.2.1	Storage complex integrity.....	53
9.2.2	Project transition type.....	53
9.2.3	Geological data.....	53
9.2.4	Historical production and reservoir performance.....	54

9.2.5	Hydrocarbon compositional analysis (PVT).....	54
9.2.6	CO ₂ storage capacity.....	54
9.2.7	Reservoir pressure history.....	55
9.2.8	Aquifer considerations.....	56
9.2.9	Water extraction.....	56
9.3	Surface production and injection facilities.....	56
9.3.1	CO ₂ distribution system.....	56
9.3.2	Production facilities.....	57
9.4	Production and injection wellbores (subsurface infrastructure).....	58
9.5	Operating considerations.....	58
9.5.1	Operations management plan.....	58
9.5.2	Measurement calibration.....	58
9.5.3	Well interventions.....	59
9.6	Monitoring.....	59
9.7	Transition to storage.....	59
9.7.1	Reservoir considerations.....	60
9.7.2	Legal/regulatory considerations.....	60
9.7.3	Financial considerations.....	60
9.8	Closure.....	61
10	Monitoring.....	61
10.1	General.....	61
10.2	Monitoring objectives.....	61
10.3	Monitoring plan design.....	61
10.3.1	Geological Storage vs. CO ₂ -EOR storage projects.....	62
10.3.2	Land vs. marine storage project.....	62
10.3.3	Monitoring vs. project stage.....	62
10.4	Monitoring methods.....	63
10.4.1	Wellbore monitoring.....	63
10.4.2	Surface-based monitoring.....	64
10.5	Case studies.....	69
10.5.1	CCS pilot projects (<100 kt).....	69
10.5.2	Industrial-scale CCS projects.....	70
10.5.3	CO ₂ -EOR projects with monitoring.....	74
11	Decommissioning.....	74
11.1	General.....	74
11.2	Activities.....	74
11.3	Closure or termination plan.....	75
11.4	Identification of jurisdictions and relevant framework.....	75
11.5	United States.....	76
11.5.1	EPA regulations for closure and post-injection site care.....	76
11.5.2	Class II well plugging regulations.....	76
11.5.3	Class VI well plugging regulations.....	76
11.5.4	Class VI PISC.....	76
11.6	The European Union.....	77
11.6.1	Closure.....	77
11.6.2	Post closure.....	77
11.6.3	Transfer of liability.....	77
11.7	Germany.....	77
11.8	France.....	78
11.9	Norway.....	78
11.10	Canada.....	78
11.11	Japan.....	79
11.12	Discussion of closure at selected projects.....	79
11.12.1	
	Illinois Basin Decatur Project.....	79
11.12.2	
	Ketzin.....	81

11.12.3.....	
Sleipner.....	84
11.12.4.....	
Snøhvit.....	84
Annex A (informative) Case studies project overview.....	85
Bibliography.....	110

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/PRF TR 27923](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/289ef043-09e0-478a-aa47-011ea8164a59/iso-prf-tr-27923)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/289ef043-09e0-478a-aa47-011ea8164a59/iso-prf-tr-27923>

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html (standards.iteh.ai).

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 265, *Carbon dioxide capture, transportation, and geological storage*. [ISO/PREF TR 27923](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/289ef043-09e0-478a-aa47-011111111111)

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at www.iso.org/members.html.

Introduction

Carbon capture and storage (CCS) is a key technology to reduce CO₂ emissions to the atmosphere and contribute to the abatement of global warming. To have a significant impact it needs to be deployed globally. ISO 27914 on geological storage of carbon dioxide presents the elements necessary to define performance expectations for onshore and offshore geological storage of carbon dioxide with an aim to establish investor and other stakeholder confidence, regulatory support, and public credibility to encourage deployment of CCS around the globe. ISO 27916 on CO₂-EOR presents the elements for confirming and quantifying associated storage of CO₂ during the production of hydrocarbons, to encourage increased use of anthropogenic CO₂.

The application of these International Standards by project developers for planning, design, and operation will be assisted by information based on existing operational practices and infrastructural requirements for both onshore and offshore geological storage projects. This document supports the implementation of ISO 27914 and ISO 27916 by providing information from selected existing CCS projects that are operated under a variety of geological settings.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

[ISO/PRF TR 27923](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/289ef043-09e0-478a-aa47-011ea8164a59/iso-prf-tr-27923)

<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/289ef043-09e0-478a-aa47-011ea8164a59/iso-prf-tr-27923>

Carbon dioxide capture, transportation and geological storage — Injection operations, infrastructure and monitoring

1 Scope

This document covers:

- A description of the existing legal frameworks and associated laws and directives covering current and planned projects.
- Specific information about CO₂ injection facilities based on existing and planned projects that include storage of CO₂ in both saline aquifers and CO₂-EOR as relevant. This information includes aspects of materials used, surface infrastructure, well design considerations, concepts around well placement strategies, considerations for downhole monitoring tool deployment, well completions, and well and infrastructure maintenance and remediation practices.
- Descriptions of current practices regarding operating projects including monitoring, safety, and reporting activities associated with both surface and downhole components of the projects.
- Discussion on operational aspects of storing CO₂ in hydrocarbon reservoirs including depleting gas fields and reusing facilities.
- A description of monitoring requirements and methods including measurements to establish baselines.
- A description of existing and emerging tools, accuracy, and expectations for quantification.
- A description of regulatory requirements for operating and decommissioning CO₂-EOR with associated storage and CCS projects around the world.
- A description of decommissioning activities and timelines associated with end-of-project.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <https://www.iso.org/obp>
- IEC Electropedia: available at <https://www.electropedia.org/>

3.1

abandonment

process and procedures used to permanently end the operation of a well

Note 1 to entry: Well abandonment is designed to eliminate the physical hazard of the well (the hole in the ground), eliminate a pathway for migration of contamination, and prevent changes in the hydrogeologic system, such as the changes in hydraulic head and the mixing of formation fluids between hydraulically distinct strata.

[SOURCE: ISO 27914:2017, 3.1]

3.2 acceptable risk

risk borne by the project operator and others having regard to legal obligations and management policies

Note 1 to entry: A tolerable risk is a risk of significant level considered as temporarily or conditionally acceptable. It is tolerated in order to facilitate a gradual response (e.g. monitoring of risk treatment) until the risk has been reduced.

[SOURCE: ISO 27917:2017, 3.4.7]

3.3 anthropogenic carbon dioxide anthropogenic CO₂

carbon dioxide that is initially produced as a by-product of a combustion, chemical, or separation process (including separation of hydrocarbon-bearing fluids or gases) where it would otherwise be emitted to the atmosphere (excluding the recycling of non-anthropogenic CO₂)

Note 1 to entry: The chemical symbol “CO₂” is synonymous with “carbon dioxide”. Accordingly, the two ways of writing out “carbon dioxide” and “CO₂” are used interchangeably in this document.

[SOURCE: ISO 27916:2019, 3.1]

3.4 area of review

geographical area(s) of a *storage project* (3.76), or part of it, designated for assessment of the extent to which a storage project, or part of it, could affect life and human health, the environment, competitive development of other resources, or infrastructure

Note 1 to entry: The delineation of an area of review defines the outer perimeters on the land surface or seabed and water surface within which assessments will be conducted as may be required by regulatory authorities.

[SOURCE: ISO 27917:2017, 3.3.10]

3.5 associated storage

CO₂ stored in association with CO₂-EOR that occurs as an inherent result of a dedicated hydrocarbon production operation

Note 1 to entry: The requirements of ISO 27916 are intended to ensure that CO₂ stored in association with a CO₂-EOR operation is stored as effectively as CO₂ stored in a geologic storage operation that complies with ISO 27914.

[SOURCE: ISO 27916:2019, 3.2]

3.6 authority

governmental entity or entities with legal power to regulate or permit CO₂-EOR, to regulate storage of CO₂ in a CCS project or in association with a CO₂-EOR operation, or to regulate quantification of the storage of CO₂ in a CCS project or in association with a CO₂-EOR operation

[SOURCE: ISO 27916:2019, 3.3, modified to add “a CCS project or in” and delete “competent”]

3.7 baseline

reference basis for comparison against which project status or performance is monitored or measured

[SOURCE: ISO 27917:2017, 3.3.2]

3.8 carbon dioxide capture and storage CCS

process consisting of the separation of CO₂ from industrial and energy-related sources (or capture directly from the atmosphere), transportation and injection into a geological formation, resulting in long-term isolation from the atmosphere

Note 1 to entry: CCS is often referred to as Carbon Capture and Storage. This terminology is not encouraged because it is inaccurate: the objective is the capture of carbon dioxide and not the capture of carbon. Tree plantation is another form of carbon capture that does not describe precisely the physical process of removing CO₂ from industrial emission sources.

Note 2 to entry: The term "sequestration" is also used alternatively to "storage". The term "storage" is preferred since "sequestration" is more generic and can also refer to biological processes (absorption of carbon by living organisms).

Note 3 to entry: Long-term means the minimum period necessary for geological storage of CO₂ to be considered an effective and environmentally safe climate change mitigation option.

Note 4 to entry: CCS should also ensure long-term isolation of CO₂ from oceans, lakes, potable water supplies and other natural resources.

[SOURCE: ISO 27917:2017, 3.1.1, modified to add " (or capture directly from the atmosphere)"]

3.9 carbon dioxide capture and storage project CCS project

consists of one or more connected CO₂ capture systems, transportation systems, and geological storage systems

Note 1 to entry: Each system (capture, transportation, or storage) might be operated by independent operators.

[SOURCE: ISO 27914:2017, 3.5.6] [standards.iteh.ai](https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/289ef043-09e0-478a-aa47-011ea8164a59/iso-prf-tr-27923)

3.10 carbon dioxide enhanced oil recovery CO₂-EOR

process designed to produce hydrocarbons CO₂ from a reservoir using the injection of CO₂

Note 1 to entry: The process of CO₂ enhanced oil recovery is explained in detail in ISO 27916:2019.

[SOURCE: ISO 27916:2019, 3.4]

3.11 carbon dioxide enhanced oil recovery project CO₂-EOR project

EOR complex, underground equipment, wells, surface or above seabed equipment, activities and rights necessary to an enhanced oil recovery operation, including any necessary or required surface or subsurface rights regulated by the authority

[SOURCE: ISO 27916:2019, 3.5]

3.12 carbon dioxide injection well CO₂ injection well

well used to inject CO₂ into a project reservoir

[SOURCE: ISO 27916:2019, 3.6]

3.13

carbon dioxide plume

CO₂ plume

region within geologic strata where injected CO₂ is present in free phase

[SOURCE: ISO 27914:2017, 3.6, modified to add “injected”]

3.14

carbon dioxide stream

CO₂ stream

stream consisting overwhelmingly of carbon dioxide

Note 1 to entry: The CO₂ stream typically includes impurities and may include substances added to the stream to improve the injection process or performance of hydrocarbon recovery operation and/or to facilitate CO₂ detection.

[SOURCE: ISO 27916:2019, 3.7, modified to add “the injection process or”]

3.15

carbon dioxide stream composition

CO₂ stream composition

comprehensive description of the CO₂ stream contents that lists the fraction of each component

Note 1 to entry: The CO₂ stream composition is usually subject to regulatory discretion and approval. It is commonly documented on a volumetric basis but may also be documented as a mass fraction.

3.16

casing

pipe material placed inside a drilled hole to prevent the surrounding strata from collapsing into the hole

Note 1 to entry: There are many acceptable variations on casing design but typical types of casing in most injection wells are:

- a) surface casing, i.e. the outermost casing that extends from the surface to the base of the lowermost *protected groundwater* (3.58);
- b) intermediate casing is one or more strings of casing installed between the surface and long-string casing for various design reasons;
- c) long-string casing, which extends from the surface to the bottom of the well.

[SOURCE: ISO 27914:2017, 3.8, modified to delete “to or through protected groundwater”]

3.17

closure period

period between the cessation of CO₂ injection and the demonstration of compliance with the criteria for site closure

[SOURCE: ISO 27917:2017, 3.1.7]

3.18

communication plan

document describing when, what and how to communicate with project stakeholders

Note 1 to entry: A communication plan may provide information relating to issues such as monitoring and verification, environmental impacts, risk treatment.

[SOURCE: ISO 27917:2017, 3.5.4]

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW
(standards.iteh.ai)

ISO/PRE TR 27923
<https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/289e1043-09e0-478a-aa47-011ea8164a59/iso-prf-tr-27923>

3.19**containment**

status of CO₂ being confined within the *storage complex* (3.74) or *EOR complex* (3.30) by an effective trap or combination of traps

[SOURCE: ISO 27916:2019, 3.8, modified to add "storage complex or"]

3.20**containment assurance**

demonstrating that the features and geologic structure of the CO₂ storage project or CO₂-EOR project are adequate to provide safe, long-term containment of CO₂, and that the CO₂ flood is operated in a way to assure containment of the CO₂ in the EOR complex

[SOURCE: ISO 27916:2019, 3.9, modified to add "the CO₂ storage project or"]

3.21**corrective action**

action taken to correct material irregularities or to contain breaches in order to prevent or minimize damage to, or release of CO₂ from, a *storage complex* (3.74) or *EOR complex* (3.30)

Note 1 to entry: Corrective actions are implemented after an irregularity has occurred to help prevent or minimize damage.

[SOURCE: ISO 27914:2017, 3.12, modified to add "or EOR complex"]

3.22**decommission**

take an engineered system or component out of service, render it inoperative, dismantle and decontaminate it

[SOURCE: ISO 27914:2017, 3.13]

3.23**demulsifiers or emulsion breakers**

specialty chemicals used to break emulsions (that is, to separate the two phases), for example, water in oil

Note 1 to entry: They are commonly used in the processing of crude oil, which is typically produced along with significant quantities of saline water.

Note 2 to entry: The type of demulsifier selected depends on the type of emulsion, either oil-in-water or water-in-oil.

Note 3 to entry: Demulsifiers are used in the chemical analysis of oil and synthetic muds and to treat produced hydrocarbons.

3.24**dense phase CO₂**

CO₂ in its liquid or supercritical phases

Note 1 to entry: Compression and transport of dense phase CO₂ are commonly achieved using compressors and pumps.

Note 2 to entry: Because liquid CO₂ is also considered dense phase, not all dense phase CO₂ is supercritical.

[SOURCE: ISO 27917:2017, 3.2.2, modified Note 1 to entry to add "compressors and" and delete "Compression and transport at lower densities are commonly achieved with turbo-compressors." Note 2 to entry - "Not all supercritical CO₂ is in a dense phase and" has been modified to "Because liquid CO₂ is also considered dense phase" - Note 3 to entry has been deleted.]

3.25

detection threshold

smallest value of a property of a substance that can be reliably detected by a specified method of measurement in a specified context

[SOURCE: ISO 27917:2017, 3.3.3, modified to change “limit” to “threshold”]

3.26

element of concern

valued element or objective for which *risk* (3.60) is evaluated and managed

[SOURCE: ISO 27914:2017, 3.14]

3.27

emergency response plan

systematic procedures that clearly detail what is to be done, how, when, and by whom before, during and after the time an emergency occurs

Note 1 to entry: In some jurisdictions, it can be called “emergency and remedial response plan”, “contingency plan”, etc.

Note 2 to entry: Emergency response plans often also cite preparations to be completed before an emergency occurs.

[SOURCE: ISO 27917:2017, 3.4.12]

3.28

emissions

CO₂ stream releases to the atmosphere over a specified period of time

3.29

environmental impact

change, which may be adverse or beneficial, to the environment, wholly or partially resulting from CCS project activities

[SOURCE: ISO 27917:2017, 3.4.13]

3.30

EOR complex

project reservoir (3.57) *trap* (3.84), and such additional surrounding volume in the subsurface as defined by the operator within which injected CO₂ will remain contained long-term

[SOURCE: ISO 27916:2019, 3.10, modified from “in safe, long-term containment” to “contained long-term”]

3.31

event

material occurrence or change in a particular set of circumstances

[SOURCE: ISO 27914:2017, 3.16]

3.32

geological storage

long-term *containment* (3.19) of CO₂ streams in subsurface geological formations

Note 1 to entry: Long-term means the minimum period necessary for CO₂ geological storage to be considered an effective and environmentally safe climate change mitigation option.

Note 2 to entry: The term “sequestration” has been used by a number of countries and organizations instead of “storage” (e.g. the international “Carbon Sequestration Leadership Forum”). The two terms are considered to be synonymous, and only “storage” is used in this document.

[SOURCE: ISO 27914:2017, 3.17, modified to delete Note 3 to entry]

3.33**greenhouse gas
GHG**

gaseous constituent of the atmosphere, natural or anthropogenic, that absorbs and emits radiation at specific wavelengths within the spectrum of infrared radiation emitted by the Earth's surface, the atmosphere, and clouds

Note 1 to entry: The most common greenhouse gases are carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), nitrous oxide (N₂O), hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs), nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃) perfluorocarbons (PFCs) and sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆). Emissions from these gases are reported under the UNFCCC and aggregated into carbon dioxide equivalents (CO₂-e) using factors called global warming potentials (GWPs).

[SOURCE: ISO 14064-2:2019, 3.1.1]

3.34**impurities**

non- CO₂ substances that are part of the CO₂ stream that may be derived from the source materials or the capture process, or added as a result of commingling for transportation, or released or formed as a result of sub-surface storage and/or leakage of CO₂

Note 1 to entry: As a subset of impurities, contaminants are non- CO₂ substances whose presence in the CO₂ stream is generally unwanted.

Note 2 to entry: As a subset of impurities, additives are substances added to the stream for the purposes of managing its physical or chemical behaviour (e.g. hydrate and corrosion inhibitors), for or from interaction with equipment (e.g. lubricants), and to track its distribution in the subsurface after injection (geochemical tracers).

[SOURCE: ISO 27917:2017, 3.2.12]

3.35**injectivity**

rate and pressure at which fluids can be pumped into the storage unit without fracturing the storage unit

[SOURCE: ISO 27914:2017, 3.19]

3.36**Joule-Thomson effect**

thermodynamic process that occurs when a fluid expands from high pressure to low pressure at constant enthalpy such as across a valve

Note 1 to entry: Under the right conditions, this can cause cooling of the fluid.

3.37**leak****leakage**

unintended release of CO₂ out of a pre-defined containment

Note 1 to entry: Containments can include both surface containers (e.g. compressors, pipelines, trucks, ships, trains) and subsurface containments (e.g. storage complex).

[SOURCE: ISO 27917:2017, 3.2.14]

3.38**leakage pathway**

geological or artificial conduit for leakage of CO₂ out of the storage complex or EOR complex

[SOURCE: ISO 27916:2019, 3.13, modified to add "storage complex or"]