

SLOVENSKI STANDARD oSIST prEN 16603-32-10:2019

01-november-2019

Vesoljska tehnika - Strukturni varnostni faktorji za strojne dele vesoljskih plovil

Space engineering - Structural factors of safety for spaceflight hardware

Raumfahrttechnik - Strukturelle Sicherheitsfaktoren für Raumflughardware

iénierie spatiale - Facteurs de sécurité pour les structures spatiales

Ingénierie spatiale - Facteurs de sécurité pour les structures spatiales

Ta slovenski standard je istoveten z: prEN 16603-32-10

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/48f0d2b6-ca76-4199-a333-995b3chfb8fc/sist-en-16603-32-10-2020

ICS:

49.140 Vesoljski sistemi in operacije Space systems and operations

oSIST prEN 16603-32-10:2019 en,fr,de

oSIST prEN 16603-32-10:2019

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>SIST EN 16603-32-10:2020</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/48f0d2b6-ca76-4199-a333-995b3cbfb8fc/sist-en-16603-32-10-2020

EUROPEAN STANDARD NORME EUROPÉENNE **EUROPÄISCHE NORM**

DRAFT prEN 16603-32-10

September 2019

ICS 49.140

Will supersede EN 16603-32-10:2014

English version

Space engineering - Structural factors of safety for spaceflight hardware

Ingénierie spatiale - Facteurs de sécurité pour les structures spatiales

Raumfahrttechnik - Strukturelle Sicherheitsfaktoren für Raumflughardware

This draft European Standard is submitted to CEN members for enquiry. It has been drawn up by the Technical Committee CEN/CLC/JTC 5.

If this draft becomes a European Standard, CEN and CENELEC members are bound to comply with the CEN/CENELEC Internal Regulations which stipulate the conditions for giving this European Standard the status of a national standard without any alteration.

This draft European Standard was established by CEN and CENELEC in three official versions (English, French, German). A version in any other language made by translation under the responsibility of a CEN and CENELEC member into its own language and notified to the CEN-CENELEC Management Centre has the same status as the official versions.

CEN and CENELEC members are the national standards bodies and national electrotechnical committees of Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Republic of North Macedonia, Romania, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey and United Kingdom.

Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation. Recipients of this draft are invited to submit, with their comments, notification of any relevant patent rights of which they are aware and to provide supporting documentation.

Warning : This document is not a European Standard. It is distributed for review and comments. It is subject to change without notice and shall not be referred to as a European Standard.





CEN-CENELEC Management Centre: Rue de la Science 23, B-1040 Brussels

© 2019 CEN/CENELEC All rights of exploitation in any form and by any means reserved worldwide for CEN national Members and for **CENELEC** Members.

Table of contents

European Foreword4						
1 Scop	e		5			
2 Normative references						
3 Term	s, defin	itions and abbreviated terms	8			
3.1	Terms a	nd definitions	8			
3.2	Terms specific to the present standard8					
3.3	Abbrevia	ated terms	9			
3.4	Nomeno	slature	9			
4 Requ	lirement	S. ANDARD PREVIEW	11			
4.1		pility of structural factors of safety	11			
	4.1.1	Overview	11			
	4.1.2	Applicability	11			
	4.1.3	General	11			
	4.1.4	Design factor for loads/sist-en-16603-32-10-2020	11			
	4.1.5	Additional factors for design	13			
4.2	Loads and factors relationship		14			
	4.2.1	General				
	4.2.2	Specific requirements for launch vehicles	16			
4.3	Factors values		16			
	4.3.1	Test factors				
	4.3.2	Factors of safety	18			
Annex	Annex A (informative) Qualification test factor for launch vehicles					
Bibliography24						

Figures

Figure 4-1: Logic for Factors of Safety application	15
-igure 4-2: Analysis tree	16

prEN 16603-32-10:2019 (E)

Tables

Table 4-1: Relationship among (structural) factors of safety, design factors and	
additional factors	15
Table 4-2: Test factor values	16
Table 4-3: Factors of safety for metallic, FRP, sandwich, glass and ceramic structural parts	19
Table 4-4: Factors of safety for joints, inserts and connections	20
Table 4-5: Factors of safety for buckling	21
Table 4-6: Factors of safety for pressurized hardware	21

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>SIST EN 16603-32-10:2020</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/48f0d2b6-ca76-4199-a333-995b3cbfb8fc/sist-en-16603-32-10-2020

European Foreword

This document (prEN 16603-32-10:2019) has been prepared by Technical Committee CEN/CLC/TC 5 "Space", the secretariat of which is held by DIN (Germany).

This document (prEN 16603-32-10:2019) originates from ECSS-E-ST-32-10C Rev.2.

This document is currently submitted to the ENQUIRY.

This document will supersede EN 16603-20-10:2014.

The main changes with respect to EN 16603-20-10:2014 are:

Added requirements:

• 4.3.2.1e; 4.3.2.2b.

Modified requirements:

• 4.1.2a NOTE moved to end (editorial); 4.3.2.1b, c and d (editorial); Table 4 3; Table 4 4.

Editorial corrections:

- Nomenclature added
 <u>SIST_EN_16603-32-10:2020</u>
- Nonenciature added https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/48f0d2b6-ca76-4199-a333-
- Change of "thermal induced loads" to "thermally induced loads"
- Bibliography updated

This document has been developed to cover specifically space systems and will therefore have precedence over any EN covering the same scope but with a wider do-main of applicability (e.g. : aerospace).

1 Scope

The purpose of this Standard is to define the Factors Of Safety (FOS), Design Factor and additional factors to be used for the dimensioning and design verification of spaceflight hardware including qualification and acceptance tests.

This standard is not self standing and is used in conjunction with the ECSS-E-ST-32, ECSS-E-ST-32-02 and ECSS-E-ST-33-01 documents.

Following assumptions are made in the document:

- that recognized methodologies are used for the determination of the limit loads, including their scatter, that are applied to the hardware and for the
- stress analyses;
 - that the structural and mechanical system design is amenable to engineering analyses by current state-of-the-art methods and is conforming to standard aerospace industry practices.

Factors of safety are defined to cover chosen load level probability, assumed https://sti uncertainty in mechanical properties and manufacturing but not a lack of engineering effort. Ic/sist-en-16603-32-10-2020

The choice of a factor of safety for a program is directly linked to the rationale retained for designing, dimensioning and testing within the program. Therefore, as the development logic and the associated reliability objectives are different for:

- unmanned scientific or commercial satellite,
- expendable launch vehicles,
- man-rated spacecraft, and
- any other unmanned space vehicle (e.g. transfer vehicle, planetary probe)

specific values are presented for each of them.

Factors of safety for re-usable launch vehicles and man-rated commercial spacecraft are not addressed in this document.

For all of these space products, factors of safety are defined hereafter in the document whatever the adopted qualification logic: proto-flight or prototype model.

For pressurized hardware, factors of safety for all loads except internal pressure loads are defined in this standard. Concerning the internal pressure, the factors

prEN 16603-32-10:2019 (E)

of safety for pressurised hardware can be found in ECSS-E-ST-32-02. For loads combination refer to ECSS-E-ST-32-02.

For mechanisms, specific factors of safety associated with yield and ultimate of metallic materials, cable rupture factors of safety, stops/shaft shoulders/recess yield factors of safety and limits for peak Hertzian contact stress are specified in ECSS-E-ST-33-01.

Alternate approach

The factors of safety specified hereafter are applied using a deterministic approach i.e. as generally applied in the Space Industry to achieve the structures standard reliability objectives. Structural safety based on a probabilistic analysis could be an alternate approach but it has to be demonstrated this process achieves the reliability objective specified to the structure. The procedure is approved by the customer.

This standard may be tailored for the specific characteristics and constraints of a space project in conformance with ECSS-S-ST-00.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>SIST EN 16603-32-10:2020</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/48f0d2b6-ca76-4199-a333-995b3cbfb8fc/sist-en-16603-32-10-2020

2 Normative references

The following normative documents contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this ECSS Standard. For dated references, subsequent amendments to, or revision of any of these publications, do not apply. However, parties to agreements based on this ECSS Standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the more recent editions of the normative documents indicated below. For undated references, the latest edition of the publication referred to applies.

EN reference	Reference in text	Title
EN 16601-00-01	ECSS-S-ST-00-01	ECSS system – Glossary of terms
EN 16603-10-02	ECSS-E-ST-10-02	Space engineering – Verification
EN 16603-10-03	ECSS-E-ST-10-03	Space engineering – Testing
EN 16603-32	ECSS-E-ST-32	Space engineering – Structural general requirements
EN 16603-32-02	ECSS-E-ST-32-02	Space engineering – Structural design and verification
https://st	andards.iteh.ai/catalog/s	of pressurized hardware ca76-4199-a333-

995b3cbfb8fc/sist-en-16603-32-10-2020

3

Terms, definitions and abbreviated terms

3.1 Terms and definitions

For the purpose of this Standard, the terms and definitions from ECSS-S-ST-00-01, ECSS-E-ST-10-02, ECSS-ST-E-10-03, and ECSS-E-ST-32 apply.

3.2 Terms specific to the present standard

3.2.1 local design factor (K_{LD})

factor used to take into account local discontinuities and applied in series with FOSU or FOSY

3.2.2 Smargin policy factor (K_{MP})

factor, specific to launch vehicles, which includes the margin policy defined by the project <u>SIST EN 16603-32-10:2020</u>

3.2.3 model factor (K_M)

factor which takes into account the representativity of mathematical models

3.2.4 project factor (K_P)

factor which takes into account at the beginning of the project the maturity of the design and its possible evolution and programmatic margins which cover project uncertainties or some growth potential when required

3.2.5 prototype test

test performed on a separate flight-like structural test article

3.2.6 protoflight test

test performed on a flight hardware

3.2.7 test factors (KA and KQ)

factors used to define respectively the acceptance and the qualification test loads

3.2.8 ultimate design factor of safety (FOSU)

multiplying factor applied to the design limit load in order to calculate the design ultimate load

3.2.9 yield design factor of safety (FOSY)

multiplying factor applied to the design limit load in order to calculate the design yield load

3.3 Abbreviated terms

For the purpose of this standard, the abbreviated terms from ECSS-S-ST-00-01 and the following apply.

Abbreviation	Meaning
AL	acceptance test load
DLL	design limit load
DUL	design ultimate load
DYL	design yield load
FOS	factor of safety
FOSU	ultimate design factor of safety
FOSY	yield design factor of safety
FRP	fibre reinforced plastics
GSE	ground support equipment
KA (standa	acceptance test factor
KQ	qualification test factor
LCDA SIST EN	launch vehicle coupled dynamic analysis
os://stand_rds.iteh.ai/catalog/s	limit load ist/48f0d2b6-ca76-4199-a333-
N/A 995b3cbfb8fc/si	not applicable
QL	qualification test load
S/C	spacecraft

3.4 Nomenclature

The following nomenclature applies throughout this document:

- a. The word "shall" is used in this Standard to express requirements. All the requirements are expressed with the word "shall".
- b. The word "should" is used in this Standard to express recommendations. All the recommendations are expressed with the word "should".
 - NOTE It is expected that, during tailoring, recommendations in this document are either converted into requirements or tailored out.
- c. The words "may" and "need not" are used in this Standard to express positive and negative permissions, respectively. All the positive permissions are expressed with the word "may". All the negative permissions are expressed with the words "need not".