



Designation: B931 – 09

Standard Test Method for Metallographically Estimating the Observed Case Depth of Ferrous Powder Metallurgy (PM) Parts¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation B931; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 A metallographic method is described for estimating the observed case depth of ferrous powder metallurgy (PM) parts. This method may be used for all types of hardened cases where there is a discernible difference between the microstructure of the hardened surface and that of the interior of the part.

1.2 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*²

B243 Terminology of Powder Metallurgy

E407 Practice for Microetching Metals and Alloys

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—Definitions of powder metallurgy (PM) terms can be found in Terminology **B243**. Additional descriptive information is available in the Related Material section of Vol 02.05 of the *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*.

3.2 The metallographically estimated observed case depth is defined as the distance from the surface of the part to the point where, at a magnification of 100X, there is a discernible difference in the microstructure of the material.

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 The powder metallurgy part is sectioned and the surface prepared for metallographic evaluation. The metallographic specimen is etched and the distance is measured from the

surface of the part to the point at which a discernible difference in the microstructure of the material is observed.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 The engineering function of many PM parts may require an exterior portion of the part to have a hardened layer. Where case hardening produces a distinct transition in the microstructure, metallographic estimation of the observed case depth may be used to check the depth to which the surface has been hardened.

6. Apparatus

6.1 Equipment for the metallographic preparation of test specimens—see **Appendix X1**.

6.2 *Metallographic Microscope*, permitting observation and measurement at a magnification of 100x.

7. Reagents and Materials

7.1 Etchants such as 2 to 5 % nital, nital/picral combinations, or other suitable etchants. For more information on suitable etchants refer to Practice **E407**.

8. Test Specimens

8.1 Cut a test specimen from the PM part, perpendicular to the hardened surface at a specified location, being careful to avoid any cutting or grinding procedure that would affect the original microstructure.

8.2 Mounting of the test specimen is recommended for convenience in surface preparation and edge retention. Edge retention is important for proper measurement of the observed case depth.

9. Procedure

9.1 Grind and polish the test specimen using methods such as those summarized in **Appendix X1**.

9.2 Etch the specimen with etchants such as 2 to 5 % nital or nital/picral combinations.

9.2.1 Observed Case Depth:

9.2.1.1 Examine the surface region of the part at a magnification of 100x.

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee B09 on Metal Powders and Metal Powder Products and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee B09.05 on Structural Parts.

Current edition approved Feb. 15, 2009. Published April 2009. Originally approved in 2003. Last previous edition approved in 2003 as B931-03. DOI: 10.1520/B0931-09.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

9.2.1.2 Measure the distance from the surface of the part to the point where there is a discernible difference in the microstructure of the material.

NOTE 1—The nature and amount of intermediate transformation products will depend on the material being heat treated, its density, and the type of surface hardening treatment being used. The sharpness of the change in the microstructure at the point of transition will therefore vary. The microstructure expected at this transition point should be agreed between the producer and user of the part. Magnifications higher than 100× may be used to check the microstructure of the part in the region of the transition zone. However, the metallographic estimate of the observed case depth shall be made at a magnification of 100×.

10. Report

10.1 Report the following information:

10.1.1 The type of material and case measured,

10.1.2 The type of etchant used,

10.1.3 The location of the measurement, and

10.1.4 The metallographically estimated observed case depth to the nearest 0.1 mm.

11. Precision and Bias

11.1 The precision that can be expected through the use of this test method is currently under review by Subcommittee B09.05 on Structural Parts.

12. Keywords

12.1 case depth; observed case depth; PM; powder metallurgy

APPENDIX

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. SAMPLE PREPARATION

X1.1 The methods described in this appendix are proven practices for metallographic preparation of porous PM materials. It is recognized that other procedures or materials used in preparation of a sample may be equally as good and can be used on the basis of availability and preference of individual laboratories.

X1.2 Method 1

X1.2.1 The porous samples should be free of oil or coolant. Remove any oil using Soxhlet extraction. Mount and vacuum impregnate samples with epoxy resin, to fill porosity and to prevent the pickup of etchants. Use a sample cup or holder to form the mount. Pour epoxy resin over the sample in the cup to a total depth of about 0.75 in (19 mm). Evacuate the cup to minus 26 in. of mercury (88 kPa) and hold at that pressure for 10 min. Then restore ambient air pressure to force the resin into most of the sample. Cure at room temperature or at 122 °F (50 °C).

X1.2.2 Grind on 240, 400, and 600 grit wet SiC paper, on a rotating wheel, and change the polishing direction 90° after each paper. Etch samples for 1 min in their normal etchant, for example, 2 % nital, to begin to open the porosity. Rough polishing for 8 to 12 min total on 1 μm alumina (Al₂O₃), long napped cloth (for example Struers felt cloth), at 250 rpm, and 300 gf load, using an automated polisher opens smeared pores. This rough polishing opens and exaggerates the pores. To return the pores to their true area fraction, polish for 4 min at 125 rpm on a shorter nap cloth (for example Struers MOL cloth), with 1 μm diamond paste. Final polishing is done for 20 to 30 s using 0.05 μm deagglomerated alumina, and a long napped cloth (for example, Buehler Microcloth), at 125 rpm, and 75 gf load, on an automated polisher. Polishing may also be done by hand for the times indicated. The first two polishings require moderate pressure and the final polish requires light pressure.

X1.2.3 The metallographic structure should be free of smeared porosity. Generally at 800 to 1000×, the edge of a smeared over pore will appear as a thin gray line outlining one side of the pore, and occasionally outlining most of the pore.

X1.3 Method 2

X1.3.1 The specimen should be carefully selected so that it is representative of the region of interest. After selection, the specimen may require sectioning to provide a workable specimen. Sectioning may be made employing an abrasive or diamond wheel.

X1.3.2 Heat should be avoided to prevent occurrence of possible changes in microstructure. If slow feeds are employed, a coolant may not be necessary to avoid temperature buildups. If abrasive wheels are used, then a coolant is often necessary to avoid overheating of the specimen.

X1.3.3 If a coolant is employed, it may be retained within the pores. The lubricant must be removed prior to the preparation of the specimen for examination. This may be accomplished by using a Soxhlet extractor or an ultrasonic cleaner. The extraction condenser is the most efficient and the least expensive.

X1.3.4 Generally, specimens to be evaluated for case depth are mounted to provide edge retention. There are many kinds of mounting compounds available. Most common materials include epoxies (powder or liquid), diallyl phthalate, or Bakelite. Of these, Bakelite is sometimes preferred because it is harder and therefore provides improved edge retention. Bakelite requires equipment to apply heat and pressure, whereas the epoxies do not.

X1.3.5 After mounting, the specimen is ground to provide a flat, stress-free surface. A belt grinder is generally used first with care to prevent heating of the specimen. Grit size is