#### ISO/DTR 41030:####(X)

ISO/TC 267<mark>/WG 8</mark>

Secretariat: BSI

Date: 2024-03-20

## Facility management — Existing performance management in facility management organizations — State of the industry

# DTR stage

## (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

<u>ISO/DTR 41030</u>

#### © ISO 2024

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva Phone: + 41 22 749 01 11 E-mail: copyright@iso.org Website: <u>www.iso.org</u>

Published in Switzerland

## iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

**ISO/DTR 41030** 

#### Contents

Forev	vord	iv
Intro	luction	v
1	Scope	1
2	Normative references	1
3	Terms and definitions	1
4	Context	1
4.1	History	1
4.2	Impact of FM on the world	3
4.3	State of the industry	3
4.4	Industry survey	4
5	Challenges	4
6	Opportunities	7
Annez	x A (informative) State of the industry highlights	11
A.1	Seven performance criteria	11
A.2	Performance measurement matrix	
A.3	Performance pyramid iITeh Standards	14
A.4	Balanced scorecard	16
A.5	Establishment of KPIs to measure performance	
A.6	FM value map	18
A.7	Conceptual framework of de Vries	20
A.8	Indicators relating to building and facilities in four categories	22
A.9 <sup>htt</sup> FM va	FM and CREM performance criteria and KPIs according to the literature — Clustered in connectio llue map impacts	
A.10	KPIs to measure the performance of a university	25
A.11	Clustered KPIs in six perspectives of business performance	25
Biblio	graphy	26

#### Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO <u>documentsdocument</u> should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see <u>www.iso.org/directives</u>).

Attention is drawnISO draws attention to the possibility that some of the elements implementation of this document may beinvolve the subjectuse of (a) patent(s). ISO takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of any claimed patent rights in respect thereof. As of the date of publication of this document, ISO had not received notice of (a) patent(s) which may be required to implement this document. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information, which may be obtained from the patent database available at www.iso.org/patents<sub>--</sub>. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see ).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation of the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT), see <a href="https://www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html">www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html</a>.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 267, Facility Managementmanagement.

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/49c1c6e4-45c6-4111-a672-0f54aba2aca1/iso-dtr-41030 Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at <u>www.iso.org/members.html</u>.

#### **0**—Introduction

#### 0.1 Purpose

Facility management (FM) directly involves or impacts a signification portion of the world's total workforce, indicating <u>that</u> improving FM can have a significant impact on how <u>Demand Organizationsdemand</u> <u>organizations</u> function worldwide. As a result, effective FM performance measurement and management is essential within the FM profession to <u>make certain facilityensure FM</u> professionals <u>bothand their</u> <u>organizations</u> understand and meet the objectives of the Demand Organizations.

<u>demand organizations.</u> While there is a large body of existing efforts to <u>providingprovide</u> meaningful performance measures that align <u>to the with</u> demand <u>organization's organization</u> objectives and business practices, there does not seem to be a clear and consistent approach across the FM industry.

This document provides a summary of existing research, methodologies, and performance indicators, and creates a recommended path forward for standard development which addresses the ed performance measurement and management needs.

This document is intended to allow for involvement by a broader range of participants and provide an opportunity for Project Manager and Technical Expert roles from a broader representation of National Standardization Bodies (NSBs) who may have found participation or leadership more difficult with all the activity organized in a single work output versus a framework with multiple work outputs.

This document will establish the **FM Performance Management - Measurement and Improvement Framework**, Identifying the requirements that will collectively constitute Performance Measures and Improvement strategies for Facilities Management organizations across the spectrum of Demand Organizations. Each work item within the Framework will similarly offer an opportunity to advance the approved work outputs.

#### 0.2 Background

#### ISO/DTR 41030

Since its beginning in 1980's, FM has evolved from a function with responsibility for buildings, furniture, and equipment, to a professional discipline concerned with people, processes, data, environment, and health and safety. This has raised the profile of the discipline along with other support functions such as human resources, management, and information technology.

While there is a large body of existing efforts to providing meaningful performance measures that align to the demand organization's objectives and business practices, there does not seem to be a clear and consistent approach across the FM industry.

This document incorporates performance measurement and management references from over 1,600 source documents provided in the appendices. While common thinking exists across many of the documents, the reviewed literature is inconsistent in its use of terminology and approaches the development of performance measurement and management with varying methodologies and performance metrics.

#### 0.3 Opportunities

Today, many authors have recognized that FM is an emerging discipline that is gaining recognition around the world. It remains strong and consistently increases by the day in today's service-oriented business management.

Effective FM performance measurement and management is essential within the FM profession to ensure FM professionals and their organizations understand and meet the objectives of the Demand Organizations.

Performance management programs provide feedback based on specifics rather than generalizations and are based on specific objectives derived from the desired outcome of performance measurement results.

#### 0.4 Challenges

Reliable, quantitative empirical data to inform business strategies and measure organizational performance are still scarce. A reason for this lack of data and problematic interpretations of cause-effect relationships may be the broad scope of FM. This makes it difficult to trace and measure the impact of particular FM input. Clear standard performance indicators are in its infancy

Additionally, the FM practice and broader industry application of performance measurement and management appears inconsistent in its methodology, terms, definitions, and application of standardized concepts. Industry research and existing technical publications indicate a strong need for clear and consistent performance terminology that create a foundation for a performance management framework and associated development methodology that incorporates historical, current, and future indicators for FM.

## iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

**ISO/DTR 41030** 

## Facility management — Existing performance management in facility management organizations — State of the industry

#### 1-Scope

This document builds <u>fromon</u> previous efforts completed <u>within-by ISO/</u>TC 267 <u>for Performance Measures</u> and Improvement, efforts from working groups within the TC 267.regarding performance measures and improvement. The underlying strategy is <u>three-foldthreefold</u> and designed to provide efficient progress towards a well-organized <u>familycollection</u> of work outputs:

- a) Explore<u>exploring</u> a broad understanding of the current state of FM <u>Performance Measuresperformance</u> <u>measures</u> and <u>Improvementimprovement</u> across all <u>Demand Organizationsdemand organizations</u> with an anticipated greater interest and engagement from a broad-based perspective.
- b) <u>Modularizemodularizing</u> work output development into smaller, more focused initiatives that are part of the broader framework (allowing greater <u>and expert</u>-participation and a work output focused <u>toon</u> meeting specific requirements<del>].</del>]:
- c) <u>Createcreating a</u> long-range approach that can be adjusted and enhanced as circumstances dictate;, allowing for improved life -cycle management of the work <u>outputsoutput</u> products.

## iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO/DTR 41030

### iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

**ISO/DTR 4103** 

#### ISO/DTR 41030:(en)

#### <u>Facility management</u> — Existing performance management in facility management organizations — State of the industry

#### 1 Scope

This document <u>is intended to provideprovides</u> a robust understanding of existing performance measures <u>in</u> <u>facility management (FM) organizations</u> and the needs of both-the:

#### Demand Organization and

- <u>— the demand organization;</u>
- <u>the</u> FM organization across the breadth of public and private sector organizations, profit and -not for profit' with FM needsprofit.

#### 2 Normative references

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 41011, Facility management — Vocabulary Standards

#### 3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the terms and definitions given in ISO 41011 apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminology databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at <u>https://www.iso.org/obp</u>
  672-0154aba2aca1/iso-dtr-41030
- IEC Electropedia: available at <u>https://www.electropedia.org/</u>

#### 4 Context

#### 4.1History

Since its beginning in <u>1980's1980s</u>, as <u>the</u> studies related to the history of FM <u>showedshow</u>, the profession has <u>movedevolved</u> from <u>a function with responsibility for</u> dealing only with <u>""</u>hardware, "," such as buildings, furniture, and equipment, to <u>dealinga professional discipline concerned</u> with <u>""</u>software, " which looks," <u>looking</u> at people, processes, data, environment, and health and safety.

For some, FM went from being the custodial function of a building superintendent/janitor concerned largely with operational issues of maintenance, cleaning, and tenant security to a more complex one, where the cost of its management and operation has led to the need for tactical and strategic functions.

This has raised the profile of the discipline along with other support functions such as human resources management and information technology. [22, -1]

Demand Organizationsorganizations range from private sector entities with a financial performance focus to public sector and federal government organizations whose primary objectives are often not financially driven. Similarly, profit versus not -for -profit influences Demand Organization<u>the selection of the demand organization's</u> performance indicators <u>selection</u>, and in many instances, FM organizations become nested

#### ISO/DTR 41030:(en)

with supporting roles between an <u>Demand Organization</u> demand <u>organization</u> and a third-party service provider delivering some or all of the FM activities to the <u>Demand Organization.demand organization</u>. These entities would typically have different objectives.

How different countries with dissimilar social-economic milestones adopt FM must also be considered. The research by Anna-Lissa<sup>[19]</sup> revealed reveals how the diverse approaches adopted by societies in cultivating leadership and organizational growth are directly influenced by societies and social, economic, and historical progress. Every country has its own culture, type of organization, and leadership, resulting in different levels of facility managementFM at different stages of development. Due to these differences in leadership and organizational structure, it would beis logical that the demands set for the facility professionals will-differ and the quality realized will beis valued differently. Differences in FM leadership and organizational structure will also impact the way that in which FM is performed, measured, and managed.

Historically, performance management and measurement has mainly focused on outcomes, utilizing available information to establish a quantifiable indicator, and then measuring whether the desired performance was achieved in the context of the performance measurement. More recently, performance management has expanded within FM organizations to incorporate forward-looking performance management that also incorporates measurements assessing the organizations'an organization's ability to identify and adapt to future requirements versus historical performance.

Performance measurement is important because it provides the basis for an organization to assess how well it is progressing towards its predetermined objectives, to identify areas of strengths and weaknesses, and to decide on future initiatives, <u>while</u> aiming to improve organizational performance.<sup>[2,1]</sup> The function of performance measurement is to generate a class of information that will be useful in a wide variety of problems and situations. It focuses on the means and results (ends) or processes and outcomes and can be described in terms of practices and metrics. The importance and need for performance<u>Performance</u> measurement <u>includeincludes</u>:

- Enhancingenhancing improvements;
- Adoptingadopting a long-term perspective; SO/DTR 41030
- https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/49c1c6e4-45c6-4111-a672-0f54aba2aca1/iso-dtr-41030 — Moremore precise communication;
- <u>Allocatingallocating</u> resources to the most attractive <u>improvementsimprovement</u> activities;
- <u>Effectivean effective</u> and efficient planning, control, and evaluation system;
- Motivatingmotivating individuals and encourage right organization behaviorencouraging the correct organizational behaviour;
- <u>Supporting supporting</u> management initiatives and <u>managemanaging</u> change.

Organizations couldcan use performance measures, in order to:

- <u>Identifyidentify</u> success;
- <u>Identifyidentify</u> whether they are meeting customer requirements;
- <u>Understandunderstand</u> their processes (to confirm what they know or reveal what they do not know);
- <u>Identifyidentify</u> where problems, bottlenecks and waste <u>existsexist</u>, and where improvements are necessary;
- <u>Ensureensure</u> that decisions are based on facts, <u>and</u> not supposition, emotion or intuition;

— <u>Showshow</u> if <u>the</u> improvements planned, actually happened.

A performance measurement system can be defined as a complete set of performance measures and indicators derived in a consistent manner according to a forward set of rules or guidelines. It is a means <u>toof</u> monitoring and maintaining organizational control, i.e., the process of ensuring that an organization pursues strategies that lead to the achievement of overall goals and objectives. Performance measures can be used to force an organization to focus on the right issues.

To reduce the complexity of performance measurement, a wide range of measurements <u>need tomust</u> be arranged or categorized. Representing the cause-and-effect relationship of an organization's strategy <u>showedhas shown</u> to be helpful to categorizing a huge number of measurements in connection to core business and surroundings.<sup>[12,]</sup> On the other hand, the overview of <u>key performance indicators (KPIs)</u> can be useful to organizations in different contexts and on different levels: operational, tactical and strategic.

The measurements can provide performance management information that affects positive change in organizational culture, systems, and process. The shift from performance measurement to performance management is accomplished by helping to set the agreed-upon performance goals, <u>and</u> allocating and prioritizing an organization's resources.

Many authors have reflected on general performance measurement and performance criteria, i.e., different aspects or areas of performance, and tried to link and categorize performance to concepts such as quality, effectiveness, efficiency, productivity, innovation, profitability/budgeting and others.

Performance management programsprogrammes provide feedback based on specifics rather than generalizations and are based on specific objectives derived from the desired outcome of performance measurement results.<sup>[2,]</sup>

#### 4.1<u>4.2</u>Impact of FM on the Worldworld

Effective FM performance measurement and management is essential within the FM profession to ensure facility professionals and their organizations understand and meet the objectives of the Demand Organizations. FM directly involves or impacts a signification portion of the world's total workforce, indicating improving FM can have a significant impact on how Demand Organizations function worldwide.

The significancessignificance of FM's impact on global gross domestic product (GDP) suggests that improved performance management and measurement can directly contribute to global economic productivity with both quantitative and qualitative benefits. This applies to practitioners, Demand Organizations,demand organizations and those individuals that are directly or indirectly the beneficiaries of improved performance with clearly quantifiable benefits across all seventeen17 of the United Nation'sNations (UN) Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Enhancing FM performance measurement and management represents a clear opportunity to <u>both</u> support <u>Demand Organization</u> objectives and <u>concurrently be complementary to complement</u> global economic performance and established sustainable development goals.

#### 4.2<u>4.3</u> State of the Industryindustry

This document discusses performance measurement and management based on reviewinga review of over 150 source documents. A selection of relevant information is provided in the appendices. While common thinking exists across many of the documents, the reviewed literature is inconsistent in its use of terminology, and approaches the development of performance measurement and management with varying methodologies and performance metrics.