
INTERNATIONAL STANDARD



Second edition 2018-12

Road vehicles — Functional safety —

Part 9:

Automotive safety integrity level (ASIL)-oriented and safety-oriented analyses

iTeh STVéhicules routiers — Sécurité fonctionnelle —

Partie 9: Analyses liées aux niveaux d'intégrité de sécurité automobile (ASIL) et à la sécurité

<u>ISO 26262-9:2018</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/2ac3ad99-7439-4817-a402-74543eb2965b/iso-26262-9-2018



Reference number ISO 26262-9:2018(E)

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>ISO 26262-9:2018</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/2ac3ad99-7439-4817-a402-74543eb2965b/iso-26262-9-2018



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2018

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva Phone: +41 22 749 01 11 Fax: +41 22 749 09 47 Email: copyright@iso.org Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Contents

Page

Foreword		
Introductionvii		
1	Scope	1
2	Normative references	2
3	Terms and definitions	2
4	Requirements for compliance	2
	4.1 Purpose	2
	4.2 General requirements	2
	4.3 Interpretations of tables	3
	4.4 ASIL-dependent requirements and recommendations	3
	4.5 Adaptation for motorcycles	
	4.6 Adaptation for trucks, buses, trailers and semi-trailers	4
5	Requirements decomposition with respect to ASIL tailoring	
	5.1 Objectives	
	5.2 General	
	5.3 Inputs to this clause	
	5.3.1 Prerequisites5.3.2 Further supporting information	
	5.3.2 Further supporting information 5.4 Requirements and recommendations	כ
	5.4 Requirements and recommendations.5.5 Work products	
6	Criteria for coexistence of tements rds.iteh.ai)	0
0	6.1 Objectives	9
	6.2 General <u>180-26262-92018</u>	
	6.3 Inputs to/this clauser.ai/catalog/standards/sist/2ac3ad99+7439+4817-a402+	9
	6.3.1 Prerequisites 543eb2965b/isov26262a9v2018	9
	6.3.2 Further supporting information	10
	6.4 Requirements and recommendations	
	6.5 Work products	10
7	Analysis of dependent failures	11
	7.1 Objectives	11
	7.2 General	
	7.3 Inputs to this clause	
	7.3.1 Prerequisites	
	7.3.2 Further supporting information	
	7.4 Requirements and recommendations	
	7.5 Work products	
8	Safety analyses	
	8.1 Objectives	
	8.2 General	
	8.3 Inputs to this clause	
	8.3.1 Prerequisites8.3.2 Further supporting information	
	8.4 Requirements and recommendations8.5 Work products	10 19
A		10
Annex A (informative) Overview of and document flow of Automotive Safety Integrity Level		
	(ASIL)-oriented and safety-oriented analyses	19
Annex	x B (informative) Example architectures for Coexistence of elements and	22
_	Decomposition of requirements	
Annex	x C (informative) Framework for Identifying Dependent Failures	25

Bibliography 29

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>ISO 26262-9:2018</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/2ac3ad99-7439-4817-a402-74543eb2965b/iso-26262-9-2018

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular, the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.ltml and a standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.ltml and a standards.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 22, *Road vehicles*, Subcommittee SC 32, *Electrical and electronic components and general system aspects*.

This edition of ISO 26262 series of **standards** cancels and replaces the edition ISO 26262:2011 series of standards, which has been technically revised and includes the following main changes:

- requirements for trucks, buses, trailers and semi-trailers;
- extension of the vocabulary;
- more detailed objectives;
- objective oriented confirmation measures;
- management of safety anomalies;
- references to cyber security;
- updated target values for hardware architecture metrics;
- guidance on model based development and software safety analysis;
- evaluation of hardware elements;
- additional guidance on dependent failure analysis;
- guidance on fault tolerance, safety related special characteristics and software tools;
- guidance for semiconductors;
- requirements for motorcycles; and
- general restructuring of all parts for improved clarity.

Any feedback or questions on this document should be directed to the user's national standards body. A complete listing of these bodies can be found at <u>www.iso.org/members.html</u>.

A list of all parts in the ISO 26262 series can be found on the ISO website.

iTeh STANDARD PREVIEW (standards.iteh.ai)

<u>ISO 26262-9:2018</u> https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/2ac3ad99-7439-4817-a402-74543eb2965b/iso-26262-9-2018

Introduction

The ISO 26262 series of standards is the adaptation of IEC 61508 series of standards to address the sector specific needs of electrical and/or electronic (E/E) systems within road vehicles.

This adaptation applies to all activities during the safety lifecycle of safety-related systems comprised of electrical, electronic and software components.

Safety is one of the key issues in the development of road vehicles. Development and integration of automotive functionalities strengthen the need for functional safety and the need to provide evidence that functional safety objectives are satisfied.

With the trend of increasing technological complexity, software content and mechatronic implementation, there are increasing risks from systematic failures and random hardware failures, these being considered within the scope of functional safety. ISO 26262 series of standards includes guidance to mitigate these risks by providing appropriate requirements and processes.

To achieve functional safety, the ISO 26262 series of standards:

- a) provides a reference for the automotive safety lifecycle and supports the tailoring of the activities to be performed during the lifecycle phases, i.e., development, production, operation, service and decommissioning;
- b) provides an automotive-specific risk-based approach to determine integrity levels [Automotive Safety Integrity Levels (ASILs)]; ANDARD PREVIEW
- c) uses ASILs to specify which of the requirements of ISO 26262 are applicable to avoid unreasonable residual risk; (standards.iteh.ai)
- d) provides requirements for functional safety management, design, implementation, verification, validation and confirmation measures; and validation/sist/2ac3ad99-7439-4817-a402-
- e) provides requirements for relations between customers and suppliers.

The ISO 26262 series of standards is concerned with functional safety of E/E systems that is achieved through safety measures including safety mechanisms. It also provides a framework within which safety-related systems based on other technologies (e.g. mechanical, hydraulic and pneumatic) can be considered.

The achievement of functional safety is influenced by the development process (including such activities as requirements specification, design, implementation, integration, verification, validation and configuration), the production and service processes and the management processes.

Safety is intertwined with common function-oriented and quality-oriented activities and work products. The ISO 26262 series of standards addresses the safety-related aspects of these activities and work products.

<u>Figure 1</u> shows the overall structure of the ISO 26262 series of standards. The ISO 26262 series of standards is based upon a V-model as a reference process model for the different phases of product development. Within the figure:

- the shaded "V"s represent the interconnection among ISO 26262-3, ISO 26262-4, ISO 26262-5, ISO 26262-6 and ISO 26262-7;
- for motorcycles:
 - ISO 26262-12:2018, Clause 8 supports ISO 26262-3;
 - ISO 26262-12:2018, Clauses 9 and 10 support ISO 26262-4;
- the specific clauses are indicated in the following manner: "m-n", where "m" represents the number of the particular part and "n" indicates the number of the clause within that part.

EXAMPLE "2-6" represents ISO 26262-2:2018, Clause 6.




Figure 1 — Overview of the ISO 26262 series of standards

Road vehicles — Functional safety —

Part 9: Automotive safety integrity level (ASIL)-oriented and safety-oriented analyses

1 Scope

This document is intended to be applied to safety-related systems that include one or more electrical and/or electronic (E/E) systems and that are installed in series production road vehicles, excluding mopeds. This document does not address unique E/E systems in special vehicles such as E/E systems designed for drivers with disabilities.

NOTE Other dedicated application-specific safety standards exist and can complement the ISO 26262 series of standards or vice versa.

Systems and their components released for production, or systems and their components already under development prior to the publication date of this document, are exempted from the scope of this edition. This document addresses alterations to existing systems and their components released for production prior to the publication of this document by tailoring the safety lifecycle depending on the alteration. This document addresses integration of existing systems not developed according to this document and systems developed according to this document by tailoring the safety lifecycle.

This document addresses possible hazards caused by malfunctioning behaviour of safety-related E/E systems, including interaction of these systems. It does not address hazards related to electric shock, fire, smoke, heat, radiation, toxicity, flammability, reactivity, corrosion, release of energy and similar hazards, unless directly caused by malfunctioning behaviour of safety-related E/E systems.

This document describes a framework for functional safety to assist the development of safetyrelated E/E systems. This framework is intended to be used to integrate functional safety activities into a company-specific development framework. Some requirements have a clear technical focus to implement functional safety into a product; others address the development process and can therefore be seen as process requirements in order to demonstrate the capability of an organization with respect to functional safety.

This document does not address the nominal performance of E/E systems.

This document specifies the requirements for Automotive Safety Integrity Level (ASIL)-oriented and safety-oriented analyses, including the following:

- requirements decomposition with respect to ASIL tailoring;
- criteria for coexistence of elements;
- analysis of dependent failures; and
- safety analyses.

<u>Annex A</u> provides an overview on objectives, prerequisites and work products of this document.

Normative references 2

The following documents are referred to in the text in such a way that some or all of their content constitutes requirements of this document. For dated references, only the edition cited applies. For undated references, the latest edition of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

ISO 26262-1:2018, Road vehicles — Functional safety — Part 1: Vocabulary

ISO 26262-2:2018, Road vehicles — Functional safety — Part 2: Management of Functional Safety

ISO 26262-3:2018, Road vehicles — Functional safety — Part 3: Concept phase

ISO 26262-4:2018, Road vehicles — Functional safety — Part 4: Product development at the system level

ISO 26262-5:2018, Road vehicles — Functional safety — Part 5: Product development at the hardware level

ISO 26262-6:2018, Road vehicles — Functional safety — Part 6: Product development at the software level

ISO 26262-7:2018, Road vehicles — Functional safety — Part 7: Production, operation, service and decommissioning

ISO 26262-8:2018, Road vehicles — Functional safety — Part 8: Supporting processes

Terms and definitions 3

For the purposes of this document, the terms, definitions and abbreviated terms given in ISO 26262-1:2018 apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- IEC Electropedia: available at http://www.electropedia.org/
- https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/sist/2ac3ad99-7439-4817-a402-ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp

Requirements for compliance 4

4.1 Purpose

This clause describes how:

- to achieve compliance with the ISO 26262 series of standards; a)
- to interpret the tables used in the ISO 26262 series of standards; and b)
- to interpret the applicability of each clause, depending on the relevant ASIL(s). c)

4.2 General requirements

When claiming compliance with the ISO 26262 series of standards, each requirement shall be met, unless one of the following applies:

- tailoring of the safety activities in accordance with ISO 26262-2 has been performed that shows a) that the requirement does not apply; or
- a rationale is available that the non-compliance is acceptable and the rationale has been evaluated b) in accordance with ISO 26262-2.

Informative content, including notes and examples, is only for guidance in understanding, or for clarification of the associated requirement, and shall not be interpreted as a requirement itself or as complete or exhaustive.

The results of safety activities are given as work products. "Prerequisites" are information which shall be available as work products of a previous phase. Given that certain requirements of a clause are ASIL-dependent or may be tailored, certain work products may not be needed as prerequisites.

"Further supporting information" is information that can be considered, but which in some cases is not required by the ISO 26262 series of standards as a work product of a previous phase and which may be made available by external sources that are different from the persons or organizations responsible for the functional safety activities.

4.3 Interpretations of tables

Tables are normative or informative depending on their context. The different methods listed in a table contribute to the level of confidence in achieving compliance with the corresponding requirement. Each method in a table is either:

- a) a consecutive entry (marked by a sequence number in the leftmost column, e.g. 1, 2, 3), or
- b) an alternative entry (marked by a number followed by a letter in the leftmost column, e.g. 2a, 2b, 2c).

For consecutive entries, all listed highly recommended and recommended methods in accordance with the ASIL apply. It is allowed to substitute a highly recommended or recommended method by others not listed in the table, in this case, a rationale shall be given describing why these comply with the corresponding requirement. If a rationale can be given to comply with the corresponding requirement without choosing all entries, a further rationale for omitted methods is not necessary.

For alternative entries an appropriate combination of methods shall be applied in accordance with the ASIL indicated, independent of whether they are listed in the table or not. If methods are listed with different degrees of recommendation for an ASU, the methods with the higher recommendation should be preferred. A rationale shall be given that the selected combination of methods or even a selected single method complies with the corresponding requirement.

NOTE A rationale based on the methods listed in the table is sufficient. However, this does not imply a bias for or against methods not listed in the table.

For each method, the degree of recommendation to use the corresponding method depends on the ASIL and is categorized as follows:

- "++" indicates that the method is highly recommended for the identified ASIL;
- "+" indicates that the method is recommended for the identified ASIL; and
- "o" indicates that the method has no recommendation for or against its usage for the identified ASIL.

4.4 ASIL-dependent requirements and recommendations

The requirements or recommendations of each sub-clause shall be met for ASIL A, B, C and D, if not stated otherwise. These requirements and recommendations refer to the ASIL of the safety goal. If ASIL decomposition has been performed at an earlier stage of development, in accordance with <u>Clause 5</u>, the ASIL resulting from the decomposition shall be met.

If an ASIL is given in parentheses in the ISO 26262 series of standards, the corresponding sub-clause shall be considered as a recommendation rather than a requirement for this ASIL. This has no link with the parenthesis notation related to ASIL decomposition.

4.5 Adaptation for motorcycles

For items or elements of motorcycles for which requirements of ISO 26262-12 are applicable, the requirements of ISO 26262-12 supersede the corresponding requirements in this document. Requirements of ISO 26262-2 that are superseded by ISO 26262-12 are defined in Part 12.

4.6 Adaptation for trucks, buses, trailers and semi-trailers

Content that is intended to be unique for trucks, buses, trailers and semi-trailers (T&B) is indicated as such.

5 Requirements decomposition with respect to ASIL tailoring

5.1 Objectives

If ASIL decomposition is applied, the objectives of this clause are:

- a) to ensure that a safety requirement is decomposed into redundant safety requirements at the next level of detail, and that these are allocated to sufficiently independent design elements; and
- b) to apply ASIL decomposition according to permitted ASIL decomposition schemas.

NOTE The independence mentioned in this clause is technical independence and not organizational independence (see ISO 26262-1:2018, 3.78)

5.2 General

The ASIL of the safety goals of an item under development is propagated throughout the item's development. Starting from safety goals, the safety requirements are derived and refined during the development phases. The ASIL, as an attribute of the safety goal, is inherited by each subsequent safety requirement. The safety requirements are allocated to architectural elements, starting with functional safety requirements allocated to elements of system architectural design and finally resulting in safety requirements allocated to the hardware and/or software elements.

ASIL decomposition is a method of ASIL tailoring during the concept and development phases. During the safety requirements allocation process, benefit can be obtained from architectural decisions including the existence of sufficiently independent architectural elements. This offers the opportunity

- to implement safety requirements redundantly by these independent architectural elements, and
- to assign a potentially lower ASIL to (some of) these decomposed safety requirements.

If the architectural elements are not sufficiently independent, then the redundant requirements and the architectural elements inherit the initial ASIL.

ASIL decomposition is an ASIL tailoring measure that can be applied to the functional, technical, hardware or software safety requirements of the item or element.

In general, ASIL decomposition allows the apportioning of the ASIL of a safety requirement between several elements that ensure compliance with the same safety requirement addressing the same safety goal. ASIL decomposition between an intended functionality and its corresponding safety mechanism is allowed under certain conditions (see <u>5.4.7</u>).

The requirements specific to the random hardware failures, including the evaluation of the hardware architectural metrics and the evaluation of safety goal violations due to random hardware failures (see ISO 26262-5:2018, Clause 8 and Clause 9) remain unchanged by ASIL decomposition.

An example architecture decomposition is given in <u>Annex B</u>.