



Designation: G 171 – 03 (Reapproved 2009)^{ε1}

Standard Test Method for Scratch Hardness of Materials Using a Diamond Stylus¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation G 171; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reappraisal. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reappraisal.

^{ε1} NOTE—Deleted erroneous reference in 8.4 editorially in May 2009.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method covers laboratory procedures for determining the scratch hardness of the surfaces of solid materials. Within certain limitations, as described in this guide, this test method is applicable to metals, ceramics, polymers, and coated surfaces. The scratch hardness test, as described herein, is not intended to be used as a means to determine coating adhesion, nor is it intended for use with other than specific hemispherically-tipped, conical styli.

1.2 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as standard. No other units of measurement are included in this standard.

1.3 *This standard may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 *ASTM Standards:*²

G 40 Terminology Relating to Wear and Erosion

G 117 Guide for Calculating and Reporting Measures of Precision Using Data from Interlaboratory Wear or Erosion Tests

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—For definitions of terms applicable to this standard see Terminology G 40.

3.2 *Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:*

3.2.1 *scratch hardness number, n*—a quantity, expressed in units of force per unit area, that characterizes the resistance of

a solid surface to penetration by a moving stylus of given tip radius under a constant normal force and speed; namely,

$$HS_P = \frac{kP}{w^2}$$

where:

HS_P = scratch hardness number,
 k = a geometrical constant,
 P = applied normal force, and
 w = scratch width.

NOTE 1—The constant k may be chosen to include conversion factors for expressing HS_P in units of GPa. For HS_P in GPa, P in grams-force, and w in μm , $k = 24.98$.

3.2.2 *scratching force, n*—the force that opposes relative motion between a moving stylus and the surface that is being scratched by that stylus, and which is perpendicular to the normal force exerted by the stylus.

3.2.3 *stylus drag coefficient, n*—in scratch testing, the dimensionless ratio of the scratching force to the normal force applied to the stylus; namely,

$$D_{sc} = \frac{F_{scr}}{P}$$

where:

D_{sc} = stylus drag coefficient,
 F_{scr} = scratching force, and
 P = normal force.

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 This test involves producing a scratch in a solid surface by moving a diamond stylus of specified geometry along a specified path under a constant normal force and with a constant speed. The average width of the scratch is measured, and that value is used to compute the scratch hardness number in units of pressure.

4.2 As an option, the scratching force may be measured during this test and used to compute a stylus drag coefficient, which is a dimensionless measure of the resistance of the test surface to deformation by a tangentially-moving stylus.

4.3 This test is usually conducted under unlubricated conditions and at room temperature; however, it is possible to

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee G02 on Wear and Erosion and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee G02.30 on Abrasive Wear.

Current edition approved May 1, 2009. Published May 2009. Originally approved in 2003. Last previous edition approved in 2003 as G 171–03.

² For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, www.astm.org, or contact ASTM Customer Service at service@astm.org. For *Annual Book of ASTM Standards* volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.

conduct scratch hardness tests under lubricated and elevated temperature conditions. The provisions of this standard allow testing under both conditions provided that requirements for valid scratch hardness testing are met and that the testing conditions are fully reported.

4.4 Effects of moisture in the air and other ambient atmospheric conditions may affect results depending on the sensitivity of the test material to the environment. If such effects are either expected or observed during the course of testing, precautions to control the surrounding atmosphere and to document the relative humidity level should be taken and reported.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 This test method is intended to measure the resistance of solid surfaces to permanent deformation under the action of a single point (stylus tip). It is a companion method to quasi-static hardness tests in which a stylus is pressed into a surface under a certain normal load and the resultant depth or impression size is used to compute a hardness number. Scratch hardness numbers, unlike quasi-static hardness numbers, involve a different combination of properties of the surface because the indenter, in this case a diamond stylus, moves tangentially along the surface. Therefore, the stress state under the scratching stylus differs from that produced under a quasi-static indenter. Scratch hardness numbers are in principle a more appropriate measure of the damage resistance of a material to surface damage processes like two-body abrasion than are quasi-static hardness numbers.

5.2 This test method is applicable to a wide range of materials. These include metals, alloys, and some polymers. The main criteria are that the scratching process produces a measurable scratch in the surface being tested without causing catastrophic fracture, spallation, or extensive delamination of surface material. Severe damage to the test surface, such that the scratch width is not clearly identifiable or that the edges of the scratch are chipped or distorted, invalidates the use of this test method to determine a scratch hardness number. Since the degree and type of surface damage in a material may vary with applied load, the applicability of this test to certain classes of materials may be limited by the maximum load at which valid scratch width measurements can be made.

5.3 The resistance of a material to abrasion by a single point may be affected by its sensitivity to the strain rate of the deformation process. Therefore, this test is conducted under low stylus traversing speeds. Use of a slow scratching speed also minimizes the possible effects of frictional heating.

5.4 This test uses measurements of the residual scratch width after the stylus has been removed to compute the scratch hardness number. Therefore, it reflects the permanent deformation resulting from scratching and not the instantaneous state of combined elastic and plastic deformation of the surface.

6. Apparatus

6.1 *General Description*—The apparatus consists of (1) the rigid stylus mount and specimen holding fixture, (2) a means to apply a normal force while traversing the stylus along the surface at constant speed, and (3) a means to measure the width

of the scratch. Optionally, the apparatus can be equipped with a sensor to detect the magnitude of the scratching force.

6.1.1 *Stylus*—The stylus shall be conical of apex angle $120 \pm 5^\circ$, and the cone shall terminate in a hemispherical tip of $200 \mu\text{m}$ ($\pm 10 \mu\text{m}$) radius. The material of the tip shall be diamond.

NOTE 2—The smaller the tip radius, the higher the contact stress under a given normal force. If a tip radius other than that indicated here is used, results shall indicate that a modified version of the standard was used, and the size of the tip radius shall be reported (see also 10.1.1).

6.1.2 *Apparatus*—A means to traverse the specimen under the stylus, or the stylus across the specimen, under constant speed and normal force, shall be provided. Fixtures shall be sufficiently rigid to withstand the normal, lateral, and tangential forces associated with the scratching process without undue elastic or plastic deflection. The path of the stylus may be in a straight line or an arc, as produced using a rotating table-type device.

6.1.3 *Scratch Width Measurement System*—A means for measuring the width of the scratch shall be provided. This can consist of any imaging system that is capable of magnifying the scratch such that its width can be accurately determined. The measuring system shall be capable of measuring the width of the scratch to a precision of at least 2%. For example, the required resolution for a measuring optical microscope needed for an average $50 \mu\text{m}$ -wide scratch shall be $(0.02 \times 50 \mu\text{m}) = 1.0 \mu\text{m}$ or better. Reflecting-type, optical microscopes using monochromatic illumination or interference-contrast and having a measuring eyepiece are suitable for scratch measurement. Alternatively, photographic or video images may be used as long as the magnifications are properly calibrated.

6.1.4 *Scratching Force (Optional)*—A load cell or similar force-sensing device can be used to measure the scratching forces generated during sliding. This standard does not specify a method for measuring the scratching force, only that the sensor shall be capable of being calibrated in the direction of the scratching force and in line with the contact point between the stylus and surface.

7. Calibration

7.1 The parts of the apparatus that require calibration are (1) the normal force application system, (2) stylus traverse speed, and optionally (3) the scratching force sensor.

7.2 *Loading System*—The normal force applied to the stylus while it is traversing the surface shall be calibrated in such a way that the normal force is known to within 1%. For example, a normal force of 1 N shall be applied to within an accuracy of ± 0.01 N. The means to calibrate the scratch tester shall be determined by its individual design; however, the method of normal force calibration shall be stated in the report.

NOTE 3—One method to calibrate the normal force on the stylus is to use a quasi-static system such as a button-type load cell placed under the stylus tip in the position where the test specimen is located.

7.3 *Stylus Traverse Speed*—The speed of the stylus across the surface s may be calibrated in any suitable manner such as timing the period t required to produce a scratch of length L . Thus:

$$s = \frac{L}{t} \quad (1)$$

7.4 *Scratching Force Sensor (Optional)*—The scratching force sensor shall be calibrated periodically in the direction of the scratching force, and as closely as possible in line with the point of contact between the stylus and specimen. The interval between calibrations shall be determined by the user to ensure accurate readings of scratching force and compensate for any electronic signal drift.

8. Procedure

8.1 *Specimen Preparation*—The test specimen shall be prepared in such a way as to represent the application of interest or polished to facilitate observation and measurement of scratch width. A surface may be unsuitable for scratch testing if its roughness or porosity is such that the edges of the scratch are indistinct or jagged, or if the stylus cannot traverse the surface without skipping along it or catching in a pocket. In a polished condition, the surface should be as free as possible from preparation artifacts such as grinding-induced cracks, gross grinding marks, and grain pull-out. Surface roughnesses of 0.02 to 0.05 μm R_a (arithmetic average roughness) are typical of polished surfaces. Surfaces may be scratch tested in the as-fabricated condition as long as the characteristics of the scratch do not display the types of artifacts described in this paragraph.

8.2 *Specimen Cleaning*—Since many different kinds of materials can be scratch tested, one specific cleaning treatment cannot be given. Specimens shall be cleaned in such a way that the surface is free from grit, grease, fingerprints, or other contaminants. Metals and alloys may be cleaned in non-polar solvents. Plastics may require alternative cleaning with eye-glass cleaner or similar. If contact with solvents or cleaners could result in changes to their properties, surfaces may be tested as-received. The method of cleaning, if any, shall be described in the report.

8.3 *Inspection of the Stylus*—Inspect the stylus tip with a microscope or other topographic inspection method to ensure that there are no defects (cracks, chips), wear or adhering material left from manufacturing or resulting from a previous test. Wiping the stylus with a soft cloth moistened with acetone or other cleaning solvent is usually suitable.

NOTE 4—Oily residues on the stylus can lubricate the surface, reduce the scratch width, and increase the apparent scratch hardness number. Chipped styli can increase the scratching force and produce striae that extend along the entire bottom of the scratch.

8.4 *Normal Force*—The normal force shall be selected so as to produce a measurable groove in the surface, but it shall not be so large as to cause fracture, spalling, delamination, or other form of gross surface damage. A series of scratches at different normal forces may be used to assess the resistance of the test material to increasing localized stresses.

8.5 *Stroke Length and Shape*—The stroke length shall be at least 5 mm. Strokes need not be linear, but may be in the shape of an arc, as in the case of turntable-type scratching apparatus.

8.6 *Scratching Speed*—The scratching speed shall be constant along the measured portion of the scratch, and in the range of 0.2 to 5.0 mm s^{-1} .

8.7 *Conducting the Test*—Ensure that the instrument is leveled and that the stylus is normal to the test surface while

scratching. Lower the stylus to apply the load on the specimen surface gently to avoid impact damage. Activate the traversing drive to produce the scratch of desired length. Raise the stylus off of the surface. Select another location at least 5 scratch widths away from the previous scratch and produce another scratch parallel to the first. Repeat as necessary, but with a minimum of three (3) scratches per value of the normal force. Measure the scratch width as described in 8.8.

8.8 *Scratch Width Measurement*—Using a measuring microscope or other calibrated magnifying or surface profiling system, measure the width of each scratch at three locations spaced approximately equally along the length of the scratch. The width of the scratch shall be determined optically, as shown by the examples in Fig. 1. Owing to acceleration and deceleration effects, scratch widths should not be measured near the ends of the scratch.

NOTE 5—Other methods, such as surface profiling, may produce values different from optical measurements. Therefore, to improve consistency, widths should be measured on enlarged images.

8.8.1 *Special Considerations in Optical Scratch Measurement*—The characteristics of the surfaces being tested, such as their roughness, color, degree of light diffusion, extent of plastic deformation, and reflectivity, will all affect the ease or difficulty in precisely locating scratch edges. In general, finer scratches present more difficulties in width measurement than wider scratches (see also 11.2). It may be necessary to use special lighting methods, such as oblique illumination, polarized light, or differential interference contrast microscopy to provide sufficient contrast to measure the scratch widths optically. Report the use of special lighting methods, when applicable.

9. Calculations

9.1 *Scratch Hardness Number*—The scratch hardness number is calculated by dividing the applied normal force on the stylus by the projected area of scratching contact, assuming that the hemispherically-tipped stylus produces a groove whose leading surface has a radius of curvature r , the tip radius of the stylus. The projected area of the contact surface is therefore a semi-circle whose diameter is the final scratch width, as shown in Fig. 2. Therefore,

$$HS_P = \frac{8P}{\pi w^2} \quad (2)$$

where:

HS_P = scratch hardness number, Pa,

P = normal force, N, and

w = scratch width, m.

If the normal force on the stylus is applied by means of a dead-weight of m grams directly above it, and the scratch width x is in units of μm , then Eq 2, which provides the scratch hardness number in GPa, becomes:

$$HS_P = 24.98 \frac{m}{x^2} \quad (3)$$

Since the state of stress at the stylus tip is a function of contact geometry and applied force, the magnitude of the scratch hardness number is dependent upon both the stylus tip radius and the normal load. Since the tip radius prescribed in