TECHNICAL REPORT

ISO/TR 12112

First edition 2018-04

Metallic materials — Principles and designs for multiaxial fatigue testing

Matériaux métalliques — Principes et conceptions associés aux essais de fatigue multiaxiale

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO/TR 12112:2018

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/11dcd60b-3a6b-4757-bf1a-d61cce02d534/iso-tr-12112-2018



iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO/TR 12112:2018

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/11dcd60b-3a6b-4757-bf1a-d61cce02d534/iso-tr-12112-2018



COPYRIGHT PROTECTED DOCUMENT

© ISO 2018

All rights reserved. Unless otherwise specified, or required in the context of its implementation, no part of this publication may be reproduced or utilized otherwise in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, or posting on the internet or an intranet, without prior written permission. Permission can be requested from either ISO at the address below or ISO's member body in the country of the requester.

ISO copyright office CP 401 • Ch. de Blandonnet 8 CH-1214 Vernier, Geneva Phone: +41 22 749 01 11 Fax: +41 22 749 09 47 Email: copyright@iso.org Website: www.iso.org

Published in Switzerland

Co	ntent	5		Page		
Fore	word			v		
Intr	oductio	1		vi		
1						
_	_					
2						
3	Tern	s and definitions		1		
4	Gene					
	4.1					
	4.2	Historical development				
	4.3		methods			
			ion[6] [7]			
			pressure[8]			
			l + external pressure[9]			
			l + external pressure + torsion[<u>10</u>]			
		4.3.6 Cruciform — L	CF[<u>11</u>]	6		
			rack growth ^[12]			
	4.4		sis			
			d design			
	4.5	4.4.2 Fatigue life pre	dictione criteriae.			
		•				
5	Axial + torsion testing systems and specimen design					
	5.1		<u>Starfoaros: ten.arj</u>			
	5.2	5 2 1 Design consider	rations	1 11		
		5.2.1 Design conside 5.2.2 Design recomm	nendations	11		
		5.2.3 Comparison wi	th ASTM E2207 ^[4]	11		
	5.3	Machine design	ISO/TR 12112:2018	12		
			/11dcd60b-3a6b-4757-bfla-d61ccc02d534/ico-tr-12			
			ment			
			n			
_						
6			d specimen design			
	6.1 6.2	-				
	6.3					
	0.0					
		6.3.3 Strain measure	ment	15		
			nonitoring			
			n			
7		16				
	7.1					
	7.2					
			rations			
		U	nendationse to pressure			
	7.3		e to pressure			
	, 10	7 3 1 Frame		20		

ISO/TR 12112:2018(E)

7.3.2	Pressure containment	20
7.3.3	Differential pressure	20
7.3.4	Force measurement	20
7.3.5	Pressure measurement	20
7.3.6	Strain measurement	20
7.3.7	Control	20
7.3.8	Data acquisition	20
	Software	
Annex A Historical a	nalysis of specimen geometry	22
Rihliogranhy		20

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO/TR 12112:2018

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/11dcd60b-3a6b-4757-bf1a-d61cce02d534/iso-tr-12112-2018

Foreword

ISO (the International Organization for Standardization) is a worldwide federation of national standards bodies (ISO member bodies). The work of preparing International Standards is normally carried out through ISO technical committees. Each member body interested in a subject for which a technical committee has been established has the right to be represented on that committee. International organizations, governmental and non-governmental, in liaison with ISO, also take part in the work. ISO collaborates closely with the International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC) on all matters of electrotechnical standardization.

The procedures used to develop this document and those intended for its further maintenance are described in the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 1. In particular the different approval criteria needed for the different types of ISO documents should be noted. This document was drafted in accordance with the editorial rules of the ISO/IEC Directives, Part 2 (see www.iso.org/directives).

Attention is drawn to the possibility that some of the elements of this document may be the subject of patent rights. ISO shall not be held responsible for identifying any or all such patent rights. Details of any patent rights identified during the development of the document will be in the Introduction and/or on the ISO list of patent declarations received (see www.iso.org/patents).

Any trade name used in this document is information given for the convenience of users and does not constitute an endorsement.

For an explanation on the voluntary nature of standards, the meaning of ISO specific terms and expressions related to conformity assessment, as well as information about ISO's adherence to the World Trade Organization (WTO) principles in the Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) see the following URL: www.iso.org/iso/foreword.html.

This document was prepared by Technical Committee ISO/TC 164, *Mechanical testing of metals*, Subcommittee SC 4, *Fatigue*, *fracture and toughness testing*.

ISO/TR 12112:2018

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/11dcd60h-3a6h-4757-htfla-d61cce02d534/iso-tr-12112-2018

Introduction

Structural components in industry are frequently subject to some form of multiaxial stressing. Fatigue cracks generally initiate from surface defects or discontinuities and are thus primarily influenced by the surface biaxial stress system. This can vary from equibiaxial, where surface principal stresses are equal in magnitude and sign (present under conditions of pressurization, rotation and thermal loading) to pure shear where surface stresses are equal in magnitude, opposite in sign (as in shafts and shear panels).

The majority of fatigue test data gathered worldwide have been and will continue to be under uniaxial conditions for reasons of simplicity and cost. A secondary goal of multiaxial testing is therefore to develop behavioural models which relate failure under specified multiaxial conditions to established uniaxial cases.

This document utilizes data gathered from the past 80 years spanning most multiaxial fatigue research. It can be of interest to new researchers in the field and form a basis for full International Standards as the need arises.

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO/TR 12112:2018

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/11dcd60b-3a6b-4757-bfla-d61cce02d534/iso-tr-12112-2018

Metallic materials — Principles and designs for multiaxial fatigue testing

1 Scope

This document discusses the general principles of multiaxial fatigue testing and the design recommendations for specific classes of multiaxial testing machines and test specimens.

2 Normative references

There are no normative references in this document.

3 Terms and definitions

For the purposes of this document, the following terms and definitions apply.

ISO and IEC maintain terminological databases for use in standardization at the following addresses:

- ISO Online browsing platform: available at https://www.iso.org/obp
- IEC Electropedia: available at http://www.electropedia.org/

3.1

biaxial strain ratio

ф

ratio of the surface principal strains, smaller/larger

3.2

ISO/TR 12112:2018

biaxial stress ratio talog/standards/iso/11dcd60b-3a6b-4757-bfl a-d61cce02d534/iso-tr-12112-2018 ψ

ratio of the surface principal stresses, smaller/larger

3.3

principal strains

 $\varepsilon_1 > \varepsilon_2 > \varepsilon_3$

principal direct strains at a point in a multiaxial strain field

3.4

principal stresses

 $\sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \sigma_3$

principal direct stresses at a point in a multiaxial strain field

3.5

Poisson's ratio

ν

negative ratio of transverse to longitudinal strain under uniaxial tensile stressing

3.6

specimen diameter

d

diameter of a cylindrical tubular specimen

Note 1 to entry: The symbols d_0 , d_i and d_m are used to express outside, inside and mean diameters, respectively.

ISO/TR 12112:2018(E)

3.7

parallel length

parallel length of a cylindrical tubular specimen

3.8

fillet radius

fillet radius of a cylindrical tubular specimen

3.9

directional suffix

suffix identifying a direction in a cylindrical tubular specimen

Note 1 to entry: The suffixes z, r and θ are used to express axial, radial and circumferential directions, respectively.

3.10

strain component suffix

suffix identifying a strain component

Note 1 to entry: The suffixes e, p and t are used for elastic, plastic and total strain components, respectively.

3.11

internal pressure

internal pressure within a cylindrical tubular specimen dards

General principles

4.1 Methodology

Multi-axial fatigue testing sets out to simulate the dynamic stress-strain conditions at key locations on components, on test specimens of constant geometry for a given test series, and to determine the cyclic stress-strain history, crack initiation and propagation behaviour, fatigue life and failure mode.

Dependent on the level of geometric constraint in the real component, it can be more useful to test specimens under stress or strain control, e.g. a test specimen representative of a relatively unconstrained gas turbine blade can be tested in stress control whereas it can be more relevant to utilize strain control for a test specimen simulating part of a steam turbine disc subject to thermal straining during start-up.

Further, where stress amplitudes are sufficient to take test specimen materials well into the region of cyclic plasticity (LCF), it can be preferable to employ strain control in order to better control cyclic amplitude during the test and failure at end of test.

4.2 Historical development

Multiaxial fatigue has been addressed since the 1930s. Initially, testing machine and specimen designs were created to address specific biaxial stress conditions, e.g. torsion, bending + torsion, cantilever bending, anticlastic bending and plate pressurization. However, a criticism of much of the early research was that specimen design had to change in order to change the biaxial stress or strain ratio, leading to uncertainty in the interpretation of results.

The benefit of being able to test a single specimen design over a wide range of biaxiality led to the choice of two generic specimen types, tubular and cruciform, together with associated multi-axis testing machine designs.

Table 1[5] summarizes the attributes of the different test methods applicable to tubular and plate specimens.

Biaxiality is shown in terms of the range of surface strains with ε_1 held constant. Only cruciforms and systems employing axial force plus internal and external pressure are capable of applying fully reversed fatigue cycles over the full range of biaxiality ($-1 \le \phi \le +1$) to test specimens.

Buckling is a key concern in the design of effective LCF specimens.

A reasonable gauge area of essentially constant strain is beneficial.

Ideally, strain should be constant through the thickness.

If all the applied forces are carried by the gauge area, then all stresses and strains can be determined; otherwise, only total (not plastic) strains can be measured.

The ability to visually observe the specimen is useful especially for surface crack monitoring.

Some designs are suitable for high temperature and thermo-mechanical fatigue (TMF) testing.

Systems involving torsion cause the principal axes to rotate up to 45°.

System cost can be scaled by the number of actuators, and therefore closed servo-loops, in the design.

iTeh Standards (https://standards.iteh.ai) Document Preview

ISO/TR 12112:2018

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/iso/11dcd60b-3a6b-4757-htla-d61cce02d534/iso-tr-12112-2018

Table 1 — Multiaxial test methods for tubular and plate specimens

			ı		
No. of actuators propor- tional to cost	₽	7	7	2	4
Rota- tion of principal stresses	>	>			>
TMF		>			
High tem- perature capability		>	>	>	
Crack growth studies		>			
Speci- men ob- servation	>	>	> Teh S	tanda	ırds
Monitoring biaxial σ and ε _P	(1	ht t ps	://sta	ndard	s.itel
Min. E-gra- dient through thickness	> litals ai/aatal	> co/atondono	ISO/T	R 12112:201	8 757 left o
Invariant σ and ε on gauge area		>	>	>	>
Immune to buck- ling	>				
Single geome- try	>	>	>	>	>
Range of surface principal strains	¹ ² ³	3			
al nen lat- nd s of					
Biaxial specimen schemat- ics and modes of loading					

61cce02d534/iso-tr-12112-2018

	No. of actuators propor- tional to cost	1	1	1	4	4
	Rota- tion of principal stresses					
	TMF				<i>></i>	>
	High temperature	<i>></i>	>		V	>
	Crack growth studies		^			>
	Speci- men ob- servation	<u>^</u>	; iTeh	Stan	dard	> S
ontinued)	Monitoring biaxial σ and ε _P	(http	os://si	tanda	rds.it Previ	ek ai
settle 1 (continued)	Min. E-gra- dient through thickness	rata la g/stan	IS tards/iso/1	D/TR 1211	2:2018	> fla d61aaa
	Invariant σ and ε on gauge area	>	>	>	.00-4737-0	>
	Immune to buck- ling	<i>></i>	>	>		
	Single geome- try				^	>
	Range of surface principal strains	¹ 3				
	Biaxial specimen schemat- ics and modes of loading		-		*	
		Cantile- ver bend	Anticlas- tic bend	Plate pressuri- zation	Cruci- form LCF	Cruci- form crack growth

02d534/iso-tr-12112-2018

4.3 Specific multiaxial test methods

4.3.1 Bending + torsion[6]

This was the first technique used to apply combined stresses in high cycle fatigue (HCF) at room temperature. Oscillating vertical forces were applied to a horizontally clamped cylindrical specimen which could be rotated by up to 90° in the horizontal plane so as to introduce bending, bending + torsion, or torsion in the waisted centre section. Specimens were either solid or hollow. A number of these electro-mechanical testing machines were built between 1930 and 1950 to investigate fatigue of aero-engine steels, especially for crankshaft applications.

4.3.2 Axial + torsion[7]

This popular technique employs a single tubular specimen design with a gauge length over which stress and strain are substantially invariant and access for strain measurement and crack monitoring. The principal stress and strain directions progressively rotate through 45° as the test moves from uniaxial to torsion. Elevated temperature testing and thermo-mechanical fatigue (TMF) are achievable with relevant accessories and control software. Despite a limited range of strain biaxiality ($-v \ge \phi \ge -1$), this approach is widespread and standard testing machines with dual servo-hydraulic actuators are available from commercial manufacturers.

4.3.3 Axial + internal pressure[8] Teh Standards

This approach permits a single tubular specimen design with essentially invariant stress and strain over the gauge length. Crack studies are difficult as maximum stress occurs at the bore, so cracks can only be visible after penetration of the wall shortly prior to failure. In addition, cyclic plasticity results in strain ratchetting as external radial compression cannot be applied to fully reverse the stress — strain cycle. Hence this approach is essentially restricted to elastic HCF studies. The testing machine typically utilizes a dual actuator servo-hydraulic design.

ISO/TR 12112:2018

4.3.4 ps Axial + internal + external pressure[9] dcd60b-3a6b-4757-bf1a-d61cce02d534/iso-tr-12112-2018

This design enables fully reversed cycling without ratchetting because radial compression can be applied. Axial and circumferential stresses and strains are measurable, enabling LCF hysteresis loops on both surface axes, which makes the approach suitable for fundamental behavioural studies. Because a pressure vessel is located around the specimen, visual observations are difficult. Also elevated temperature testing above about 200 °C requires gas pressurization which presents safety issues. By employing variable internal pressure and fixed external pressure, a design with just 2 servo-hydraulic actuators is achievable.

4.3.5 Axial + internal + external pressure + torsion[10]

The addition of torsion introduces rotation of principal stress or strain axes which allows, in principle, material anisotropy and the effects of the different symmetries (in the axial and circumferential directions) to be investigated. The mechanical design is complex with 4 servo-hydraulic actuators, but has been successfully achieved.

NOTE Multiaxial testing machines featuring axial force and differential pressure are typically used for academic research or specific R&D applications, and are usually designed and manufactured to order.

4.3.6 **Cruciform** — **LCF**[11]

Four orthogonal loading arms apply biaxial strain to a central circular gauge area on the specimen. This area is usually spherically recessed on both sides in order to resist buckling and ensure that cracks initiate near the centre. In consequence, the gauge area does not support all the applied forces, i.e. some of the force is shunted around the outside. As a result, stresses and plastic strains are not readily