ETSI TS 119 312 V1.5.1 (2024-12)



Electronic Signatures and Trust Infrastructures (ESI); Cryptographic Suites

(https://standards.iteh.ai) **Document Preview**

ETSLTS 119 312 V1.5.1 (2024-12)

https://standards.iteh.ai/catalog/standards/etsi/d4e8e91f-fd9f-4347-b6bd-f8407433309e/etsi-ts-119-312-v1-5-1-2024-12

Reference RTS/ESI-0019312v1.5.1 Keywords digital signature, security, trust services

ETSI

650 Route des Lucioles F-06921 Sophia Antipolis Cedex - FRANCE

Tel.: +33 4 92 94 42 00 Fax: +33 4 93 65 47 16

Siret N° 348 623 562 00017 - APE 7112B Association à but non lucratif enregistrée à la Sous-Préfecture de Grasse (06) N° w061004871

Important notice

The present document can be downloaded from the ETSI Search & Browse Standards application.

The present document may be made available in electronic versions and/or in print. The content of any electronic and/or print versions of the present document shall not be modified without the prior written authorization of ETSI. In case of any existing or perceived difference in contents between such versions and/or in print, the prevailing version of an ETSI deliverable is the one made publicly available in PDF format on ETSI deliver repository.

Users should be aware that the present document may be revised or have its status changed, this information is available in the Milestones listing.

If you find errors in the present document, please send your comments to the relevant service listed under Committee Support Staff.

If you find a security vulnerability in the present document, please report it through our Coordinated Vulnerability Disclosure (CVD) program.

nttps://standards.nteh.an/catalog/standa

Notice of disclaimer & limitation of liability

The information provided in the present deliverable is directed solely to professionals who have the appropriate degree of experience to understand and interpret its content in accordance with generally accepted engineering or other professional standard and applicable regulations.

No recommendation as to products and services or vendors is made or should be implied.

No representation or warranty is made that this deliverable is technically accurate or sufficient or conforms to any law and/or governmental rule and/or regulation and further, no representation or warranty is made of merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose or against infringement of intellectual property rights.

In no event shall ETSI be held liable for loss of profits or any other incidental or consequential damages.

Any software contained in this deliverable is provided "AS IS" with no warranties, express or implied, including but not limited to, the warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose and non-infringement of intellectual property rights and ETSI shall not be held liable in any event for any damages whatsoever (including, without limitation, damages for loss of profits, business interruption, loss of information, or any other pecuniary loss) arising out of or related to the use of or inability to use the software.

Copyright Notification

No part may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and microfilm except as authorized by written permission of ETSI.

The content of the PDF version shall not be modified without the written authorization of ETSI.

The copyright and the foregoing restriction extend to reproduction in all media.

© ETSI 2024. All rights reserved.

Contents

Intelle	ectual Property Rights	6
Foreword		
Modal verbs terminology		
Introd	luction	6
1	Scope	7
2	References	
2.1	Normative references	
2.2	Informative references	8
3	Definition of terms, symbols, abbreviations and notations	9
3.1	Terms	9
3.2	Symbols	10
3.3	Abbreviations	10
3.4	Notations	11
4	Use of SOG-IS Agreed Mechanisms and Maintenance of the present document	12
5	Hash functions	12
5.1	General	
5.2	Recommendations for SHA hash functions	
5.2.1	SHA-512/256	
	Signature schemes 11en Standards	
6		
6.1	Introduction	
6.2	Signature algorithms	
6.2.1	General	
6.2.2	Signature algorithms	
6.2.2.1		
6.2.2.2		
6.2.2.3		
6.3	Key generation	
7	Signature suites	14
7.1	Introduction	14
7.2	General	14
7.3	Signature suites	15
8	Hash functions and key sizes suitability end dates	15
8.1	Introduction	
8.2	Basis for the recommendations	
8.3	Void	
8.4	Recommended end dates for key sizes	
9	Life time and resistance of hash functions and keys	17
9.1	General notes	
9.2	Time period resistance for hash functions	17
9.3	Time period resistance for signer's key	
9.4	Time period resistance for trust anchors	18
9.5	Time period resistance for other keys	
10	Practical ways to identify hash functions and signature algorithms	18
10.1	General	18
10.2	Hash function and signature algorithm objects identified using OIDs	18
10.2.1		
10.2.2		
10.2.3	1	19
10.2.4		
10.2.5	Signature suites	20

Hash function and si	gnature algorithm objects identified using URIs	20
Hash functions		20
Signature algorith	nms	20
8		
Recommended hash	functions and signature algorithms objects without a URN description	21
x A (normative):	Algorithms for various data structures	22
Introduction		22
CAdES and PAdES		22
XAdES		23
Signer's certificates		23
CRLs		23
OCSP responses		24
CA certificates		24
Self-signed certificate	s for CA issuing CA certificates	24
TSTs based on IETF I	RFC 3161	25
TSU certificates		25
Self-signed certificate	s for CAs issuing TSU certificates	25
x B (informative):	Signature maintenance	26
x C (informative):	Machine processable formats of the Algo Paper	27
·	_	
ry	E TTSSI 01V311 25 1 (2 0 2 4	30
	Hash functions Signature algorith Signature suites Recommended hash x A (normative): Introduction CAdES and PAdES XAdES Signer's certificates CRLs OCSP responses CA certificates Self-signed certificate TSTs based on IETF I TSU certificates Self-signed certificate x B (informative): x C (informative): XML file location XML file location x D (informative):	Signature suites Recommended hash functions and signature algorithms objects without a URN description

List of tables

Table 1: Hash Functions	12
Table 2: Digital Signature Algorithms	13
Table 3: Elliptic Curve Parameters	14
Table 4: List of signature suites	15
Table 5: Void	16
Table 6: Recommended end dates for RSA key sizes	16
Table 7: Recommended end dates for DSA key sizes	16
Table 8: Void	17
Table 9: Void	17
Table 10: Void	17
Table 11: OIDs of suitable hash functions	19
Table 12: OIDs of suitable elliptic curves	19
Table 13: OIDs of suitable signature algorithms	19
Table 14: OIDs of suitable signatures suites	20
Table 15: URIs of suitable hash functions	20
Table 16: URIs of suitable signature suites	21
Table A.1: Hash functions and signature algorithms for PAdES and CAdES	23
Table A.2: Hash functions and signature algorithms for XAdES	23
Table A.3: Algorithms for signer public keys and CA issuing keys	23
Table A.4: Algorithms for CRL issuer public keys	
Table A.5: Algorithms for OCSP responders	24
Table A.6: Algorithms for certification authorities	24
Table A.7: Algorithms for self-signed certificates	25
Table A.8: Algorithms for timestamps	25
Table A.9: Algorithms for timestamping units	25
Table D.1: Discontinued cryptographic hash functions	28
Table D.2: Discontinued signature algorithm and key size combinations	29
Table D.3: Discontinued signature suites (special cases)	29

Intellectual Property Rights

Essential patents

IPRs essential or potentially essential to normative deliverables may have been declared to ETSI. The declarations pertaining to these essential IPRs, if any, are publicly available for ETSI members and non-members, and can be found in ETSI SR 000 314: "Intellectual Property Rights (IPRs); Essential, or potentially Essential, IPRs notified to ETSI in respect of ETSI standards", which is available from the ETSI Secretariat. Latest updates are available on the ETSI IPR online database.

Pursuant to the ETSI Directives including the ETSI IPR Policy, no investigation regarding the essentiality of IPRs, including IPR searches, has been carried out by ETSI. No guarantee can be given as to the existence of other IPRs not referenced in ETSI SR 000 314 (or the updates on the ETSI Web server) which are, or may be, or may become, essential to the present document.

Trademarks

The present document may include trademarks and/or tradenames which are asserted and/or registered by their owners. ETSI claims no ownership of these except for any which are indicated as being the property of ETSI, and conveys no right to use or reproduce any trademark and/or tradename. Mention of those trademarks in the present document does not constitute an endorsement by ETSI of products, services or organizations associated with those trademarks.

DECTTM, **PLUGTESTS**TM, **UMTS**TM and the ETSI logo are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members. **3GPP**TM, **LTE**TM and **5G**TM logo are trademarks of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the 3GPP Organizational Partners. **oneM2M**TM logo is a trademark of ETSI registered for the benefit of its Members and of the oneM2M Partners. **GSM**[®] and the GSM logo are trademarks registered and owned by the GSM Association.

Foreword

This Technical Specification (TS) has been produced by ETSI Technical Committee Electronic Signatures and Trust Infrastructures (ESI).

Modal verbs terminology

In the present document "shall", "shall not", "should", "should not", "may", "need not", "will", "will not", "can" and "cannot" are to be interpreted as described in clause 3.2 of the <u>ETSI Drafting Rules</u> (Verbal forms for the expression of provisions).

"must" and "must not" are NOT allowed in ETSI deliverables except when used in direct citation.

Introduction

Selection of the cryptographic suites to apply for digital signatures is an important business parameter for products and services implementing digital signatures. The present document provides guidance on selection of cryptographic suites with particular emphasis on interoperability. The present document is based on the specified agreed cryptographic mechanisms of the SOG-IS Crypto Evaluation Scheme [14]. The SOG-IS Crypto WG is in charge of providing requirements and evaluation procedures related to cryptographic aspects of Common Criteria security evaluations of IT products. To avoid conflicts between the evaluation of security product for qualified trust services and the recommendation given in the present document, the ETSI Technical Committee Electronic Signatures and Trust Infrastructures (ESI) decided to refer for the trust services [i.12], article 3 (16a) consisting of creation, verification, and validation of electronic signatures, electronic seals and electronic time stamps, electronic registered delivery services and certificates related to those services to the SOG-IS Crypto Evaluation Scheme [14].

Other standardization bodies, security agencies and supervisory authorities of the Member States have published guidance documents with partially overlapping scope, not referenced in the present document.

1 Scope

The present document lists cryptographic suites used for the creation and validation of digital signatures and electronic timestamps and related certificates. The present document builds on the agreed cryptographic mechanisms from SOG-IS [14]. It may be used also for electronic registered delivery services in the future. In contrast to previous versions of the present document, specific end dates are provided. The present document works on the assumption that the validity period (i.e. between notBefore and notAfter) of (qualified) end-entity certificates issued by trust services providers is typically three years.

The present document focuses on interoperability issues and does not duplicate security considerations given by other standardization bodies, security agencies or supervisory authorities of the Member States. It instead provides guidance on the selection of concrete cryptographic suites that use agreed mechanisms. The use of SOG-IS agreed mechanisms is meant to help ensure a high level of security in the recommended cryptographic suites, while the focus on specific suites of mechanisms is meant to increase interoperability and simplify design choices.

There is no normative requirement on selection among the alternatives for cryptographic suites given here but for all of them normative requirements apply to ensure security and interoperability.

The present document also provides guidance on hash functions, (digital) signature schemes and (digital) signature suites to be used with the data structures used in the context of digital signatures and seals. For each data structure, the set of algorithms to be used is specified.

2 References

2.1 Normative references

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

Referenced documents which are not found to be publicly available in the expected location might be found in the ETSI docbox.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are necessary for the application of the present document.

Message Syntax (CMS)".

	U	
[1]	l	NIST FIPS Publication 180-4 (August 2015): "Secure Hash Standard (SHS)".
[2]	l	NIST FIPS Publication 186-5 (2023-02): "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)".
[3]	l	IETF RFC 8017 (2016): "PKCS #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.2".
[4]	I	<u>ISO/IEC 14888-3:2018</u> : "IT Security techniques — Digital signatures with appendix — Part 3: Discrete logarithm based mechanisms".
[5]	I	<u>IETF RFC 5639 (2010)</u> : "Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC) Brainpool Standard Curves and Curve Generation".
[6]	1	Void.
[7]	I	<u>IETF RFC 3279 (2002)</u> : "Algorithms and Identifiers for the Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile".
[8]	I	IETF RFC 4055 (2005): "Additional Algorithms and Identifiers for RSA Cryptography for use in the Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile".
[9]	l	IETF RFC 5753 (2010): "Use of Elliptic Curve Cryptography (ECC) Algorithms in Cryptographic

[10]	<u>IETF RFC 6931 (2013)</u> : "Additional XML Security Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs)".
[11]	W3C® Recommendation 11 April 2013: "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing Version 1.1".
[12]	IETF RFC 3161 (2001): "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Time-Stamp Protocol (TSP)".
[13]	IETF RFC 6960 (2013): "X.509 Internet Public Key Infrastructure Online Certificate Status Protocol - OCSP".
[14]	SOG-IS Crypto Working Group: "SOG-IS Crypto Evaluation Scheme - Agreed Cryptographic Mechanisms", Version 1.3, February 2023.
[15]	NIST FIPS Publication 202 (August 2015): "SHA-3 Standard: Permutation-Based Hash and Extendable-Output Functions".
[16]	IETF RFC 5480 (2009): "Elliptic Curve Cryptography Subject Public Key Information".
[17]	Void.
[18]	<u>IETF RFC 3526</u> : "More Modular Exponential (MODP) Diffie-Hellman groups for Internet Key Exchange (IKE)".
[19]	<u>IETF RFC 5758</u> : "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure: Additional Algorithms and Identifiers for DSA and ECDSA".
[20]	IETF RFC 9231: "Additional XML Security Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs)".
[21]	<u>IETF RFC 9688</u> : "Use of the SHA3 One-Way Hash Functions in the Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)".
[22]	NIST SP 800-186: "Recommendations for Discrete Logarithm-based Cryptography: Elliptic Curve Domain Parameters".

2.2 Informative references ent Preview

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

NOTE: While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication, ETSI cannot guarantee their long term validity.

The following referenced documents are not necessary for the application of the present document but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area.

[i.1]	European Network of Excellence in Cryptology: "Algorithms, Key Size and Protocols Report (2018)", ECRYPT - Coordination & Support, Action D5.4.
[i.2]	Void.
[i.3]	Void.
[i.4]	Void.
[i.5]	ISO/IEC 10118-3:2018: "Information technology — Security techniques — Hash functions — Part 3: Dedicated hash functions".
NOTE:	This ISO Standard duplicates the standardization from FIPS Publication 180-5 [1].
[i.6]	ETSI TS 101 733 (V2.2.1) (04-2013): "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); CMS Advanced Electronic Signatures (CAdES)".
[i.7]	ETSI TS 101 903 (V1.4.2) (12-2010): "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); XML Advanced Electronic Signatures (XAdES)".

[i.8]	ETSI TS 102 778 (parts 1 to 6): "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); PDF Advanced Electronic Signature Profiles".
[i.9]	IETF RFC 5280 (2008): "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile".
[i.10]	W3C® Recommendation (2 May 2008): "Canonical XML Version 1.1".
[i.11]	W3C® Recommendation (18 July 2002): "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0".
[i.12]	Regulation (EU) No 910/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 July 2014 on electronic identification and trust services for electronic transactions in the internal market and repealing Directive 1999/93/EC.
[i.13]	OID Repository.
	This OID repository is a kind of wiki where any user can add any information about any OID. It is not an official registration authority for OIDs and should be handle with care. Nevertheless it provides usually the link to corresponding official registration authority.
[i.14]	Void.
[i.15]	ETSI EN 319 422 (V1.1.1) (03-2016): "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); Time-stamping protocol and time-stamp token profiles".
[i.16]	Void.
[i.17]	ETSI EN 319 122 (parts 1 and 2): "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); CAdES digital signatures".
[i.18]	ETSI EN 319 132 (parts 1 and 2): "Electronic Signatures and Trust Infrastructures (ESI); XAdES digital signatures".
[i.19]	ETSI EN 319 142 (parts 1 and 2): "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); PAdES digital signatures".
[i.20]	ETSI EN 319 102-1: "Electronic Signatures and Trust Infrastructures (ESI); Procedures for Creation and Validation of AdES Digital Signatures; Part 1: Creation and Validation".
[i.21] iteh	ANSSI: "Avis relatif aux paramètres de courbes elliptiques définis pas l'État français". In: Journal Officiel 0241 (October 2011), p. 17533.
[i.22]	ETSI TS 119 172-1: "Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); Signature Policies; Part 1: Building blocks and table of contents for human readable signature policy documents".
[i.23]	Fukang Liu et al.: "Analysis of RIPEMD-160: New Collision Attacks and Finding Characteristics with MILP".
[i.24]	Marc Stevens et al.: "The first collision for full SHA-1".
[i.25]	Thorsten Kleinjung et al.: "Factorization of a 768-bit RSA modulus".

3 Definition of terms, symbols, abbreviations and notations

3.1 Terms

For the purposes of the present document, the following terms apply:

AdES (digital) signature: digital signature that is either a CAdES signature, or a PAdES signature or a XAdES signature

CAdES signature: digital signature that satisfies the requirements specified within ETSI EN 319 122 (parts 1 and 2) [i.17]

cryptographic suite: combination of a signature scheme with a padding method and a cryptographic hash function

(digital) signature: data associated to, including a cryptographic transformation of, a data unit that:

- a) allows to prove the source and integrity of the data unit;
- b) allows to protect the data unit against forgery; and
- c) allows to support signer non-repudiation of signing the data unit.

hash function: As defined in ISO/IEC 10118-3 [i.5].

legacy mechanism: mechanism deployed on a large scale, currently offering a security level of at least 100 bits and considered to provide an acceptable short-term security but which should be phased out as soon as practical because no longer fully reflecting the state of the art and suffering from some security assurance limitations

PAdES signature: digital signature that satisfies the requirements specified within ETSI EN 319 142 (parts 1 and 2) [i.19]

recommended mechanism: mechanism, that fully reflects the state of the art in cryptography, currently offers a security level of at least 125 bits, supported by strong security arguments and can be said to provide an adequate level of security against all presently known or conjectured threats even considering the generally expected increases in computing power

security level: number of operations necessary for an adversary to successfully break the security provided by the mechanism, expressed as a base 2 logarithm

NOTE 1: Security level is expressed as a base 2 logarithm, e.g. 100 bits of security means that 2¹⁰⁰ operations are necessary.

NOTE 2: As defined in [14].

signature policy: set of rules for the creation and validation of a signature, that defines the technical and procedural requirements for signature creation and validation, in order to meet a particular business need, and under which the signature can be determined to be valid

signature scheme: triplet of three algorithms composed of a signature creation algorithm, a signature verification | -5 | -2024 | 2 algorithm and a key generation algorithm

XAdES signature: digital signature that satisfies the requirements specified within ETSI EN 319 132 (parts 1 and 2) [i.18]

3.2 Symbols

For the purposes of the present document, the following symbols apply:

FR Identifier for Elliptic Curves defined by ANSSI

3.3 Abbreviations

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations apply:

ANSI	American National Standards Institute
ANSSI	Agence Nationale de la Sécurité des Systèmes d'Information (National Agency for Security of
7111001	Information Systems)
C A	·
CA	Certification Authority
CMS	Cryptographic Message Syntax
CRL	Certificate Revocation List
CSOR	Cryptographic Algorithm Object Registration
DLOG	Discrete Logarithm
DSA	Digital Signature Algorithm

EC Elliptic Curve

ECC Elliptic Curve Cryptography

ECDSA Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm EC-DSA Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm

EC-SDSA-opt optimized Elliptic Curve Schnorr Digital Signature Algorithm

ESI Electronic Signatures and Trust Infrastructure

NOTE: A Technical Committee of ETSI.

FF Finite Field

FIPS Federal Information Processing Standard
GDSA German Digital Signature Algorithm
IETF Internet Engineering Task Force

ISO International Organization for Standardization

IT Information Technology
MGF Mask Generation Function

NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology

OCSP Online Certificate Status Protocol

OID Object Identifier

PDF Portable Document Format

PKCS Public-Key Cryptography Standards
PSS Probabilistic Signature Scheme
RFC Request For Comments

RNG Random Number Generator

RSA Rivest, Shamir and Adleman algorithm SDSA Schnorr Digital Signature Algorithm

SHA Secure Hash Algorithm

SOG-IS Senior Officials Group Information Systems Security

TST Time-Stamp Token

TSU Time-Stamping Unit

URI Uniform Resource Identifier URN Uniform Resource Number

WG Working Group

XML eXtensible Markup Language

3.4 ds. iteh. Notations dards/etsi/d4e8e91f-fd9f-4347-b6bd-f8407433309e/etsi-ts-119-312-v1-5-1-2024-12

The requirements identified in the present document include the following notations for the classification of mechanisms as legacy mechanisms or recommended mechanisms:

L: denotes a legacy mechanism with a deprecation/phasing out date of 31.12.2033 and which might be extended with future releases of the present document.

NOTE: In contrast to [14] and to reflect the assumed typical validity period of end-entity certificates issued by

trust service providers as laid out in the Scope, a default of three years is added to all the end dates in the

present document.

L[yyyy]: denotes a legacy mechanism with a deprecation/phasing out date no later than 31.12.yyyy, where yyyy is an integer expressing a year.

L[yyyy+]: denotes a legacy mechanism with a deprecation/phasing out date of 31.12.yyyy, where yyyy is an integer expressing a year and which might be extended with future releases of this document.

NOTE: L is semantically equivalent to L[2033+].

R: denotes a recommended mechanism which has no defined end date, yet.